

Proofs in Online Resource Allocation

Tongtong Lin, Jiahang Qiu, and Liquan Fu

School of Informatics, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China
Email: {tongtong, jiahang}@stu.xmu.edu.cn, liquan@xmu.edu.cn

Lemma 1. For any control parameter $M \geq 0$, the drift-plus-penalty expression of problem \mathcal{P}_1 is upper bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V(t) + M \cdot \mathbb{E}\{h(C(t) + \mathbf{a}(t)^\top \mathbf{r}(t)) | \mathbf{Q}(t)\} \\ \leq D + \sum_{k=1}^K Q_k(t) \mathbb{E}\{r_k(t) | \mathbf{Q}(t)\} \\ + M \cdot \mathbb{E}\{h(C(t) + \mathbf{a}(t)^\top \mathbf{r}(t)) | \mathbf{Q}(t)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where D is a positive constant that satisfies

$$D \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^K Q_k(t) \mathbb{E}\{(r_k(t) - \bar{r}_k)^2 | \mathbf{Q}(t)\}, \forall t = 1, 2, \dots, T.$$

Proof. According to the definitions of \mathbf{Q}_t and $\Delta V(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V(t) &= \mathbb{E}\{\mathbf{V}(t+1) - \mathbf{V}(t) | \mathbf{Q}(t)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{E}\{Q_k^2(t+1) - Q_k^2(t) | \mathbf{Q}(t)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{E}\left\{(\max\{Q_k(t) + r_k(t) - \bar{r}_k, 0\})^2 - Q_k^2(t) \middle| \mathbf{Q}(t)\right\} \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{E}\left\{(Q_k(t) + r_k(t) - \bar{r}_k)^2 - Q_k^2(t) \middle| \mathbf{Q}(t)\right\} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K Q_k(t) \mathbb{E}\{r_k(t) | \mathbf{Q}(t)\} - \sum_{k=1}^K Q_k(t) \mathbb{E}\{\bar{r}_k(t) | \mathbf{Q}(t)\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{E}\left\{(r_k(t) - \bar{r}_k)^2 \middle| \mathbf{Q}(t)\right\} \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \sum_{k=1}^K Q_k(t) \mathbb{E}\{r_k(t) | \mathbf{Q}(t)\} + D, \end{aligned}$$

where in inequality (a), the fact $(\max\{X, 0\})^2 \leq X^2$ is exploited. In inequality (b), the term $\sum_{k=1}^K Q_k(t) \mathbb{E}\{\bar{r}_k(t) | \mathbf{Q}(t)\}$ is removed because it is positive, and due to the first constraint in \mathcal{P}_1 , the term $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{E}\{(r_k(t) - \bar{r}_k)^2 | \mathbf{Q}(t)\}$ has an upper bound which is denoted as D . Adding the term $M \cdot \mathbb{E}\{h(C(t) + \mathbf{a}(t)^\top \mathbf{r}(t)) | \mathbf{Q}(t)\}$ to both sides, the above lemma can be obtained. \square

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 61771017). Corresponding author: Liquan Fu.

Property 1. The K types of resources are allocated sequentially, i.e., $r_{k'}(t) = B_{k'}, \forall k' < k$ is a necessary condition for $r_k(t) > 0$.

Proof. The KKT conditions are given as:

$$Ma_k(t)h'(C(t) + \mathbf{a}(t)^\top \mathbf{r}(t)) + Q_k(t) + \tau_k - v_k = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$v_k r_k(t) = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$\tau_k[B_k - r_k(t)] = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$v_k \geq 0, \quad (5)$$

$$\tau_k \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\forall k = 1, \dots, K, \quad (7)$$

Assume that the optimal resource allocation policy $\mathbf{r}(t)$ satisfies

$$-\frac{1}{p_{k+1}(t)} < M \cdot h'(C(t) + \mathbf{a}(t)^\top \mathbf{r}(t)) \leq -\frac{1}{p_k(t)} \quad (8)$$

then for $k' = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, $\tau_{k'}$ has to be positive to satisfy Eqs. [(2),(5),(6),(8)], then $r_{k'}$ must equal to $B_{k'}$ given Eq. (4). Meanwhile, for $k' = k+1, k+2, \dots, K$, $v_{k'}$ has to be positive to satisfy Eqs. [(2),(5),(6),(8)], then $r_{k'}$ must equal to zero given Eq. (3). \square

Property 2. If $M \cdot h'\left(C(t) + \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} a_{k'}(t)r_{k'}(t)\right) < -\frac{1}{p_k(t)}$ and $B_k > 0$, the k -th resource will be allocated, i.e. $r_k(t) > 0$. Moreover, if $0 < Q_k(t) \leq \frac{Ma_k(t)}{4}$, then $r_k(t)$ satisfies

$$r_k(t) = \min \left\{ \frac{\gamma(t) - C(t) - \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} a_{k'}(t)B_{k'}}{a_k(t)}, B_k \right\}, \quad (9)$$

where $\gamma(t) = \ln(-1 + \frac{Ma_k(t) + \sqrt{M^2 a_k(t)^2 - 4Ma_k(t)Q_k(t)}}{2Q_k(t)})$; if $Q_k(t) = 0$, we have $r_k(t) = B_k$.

Proof. If $M \cdot h'\left(C(t) + \sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} a_{k'}(t)r_{k'}(t)\right) < -\frac{1}{p_k(t)}$ and $B_k > 0$, then $r_k(t)$ has to be positive given Eqs. [(2),(3),(4),(5),(6)], otherwise τ_k will be zero and Eq. (2) is not satisfied.

Moreover, according to Eqs. [(3),(4),(5),(6)], when $0 < r_k(t) < B_k$, i.e., $\tau_k = v_k = 0$, r_k satisfies

$$Q_k(t) + Ma_k(t)h'(C(t) + \mathbf{a}(t)^\top \mathbf{r}(t)) = 0. \quad (10)$$

By calculating, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h' \left(C(t) + \mathbf{a}(t)^\top \mathbf{r}(t) \right) \\ & - \exp(C(t) + \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} a_{k'}(t) B_{k'} + a_k(t) r_k(t)) \\ & = \frac{-\exp(C(t) + \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} a_{k'}(t) B_{k'} + a_k(t) r_k(t))}{\left(1 + \exp \left(C(t) + \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} a_{k'}(t) B_{k'} + a_k(t) r_k(t) \right) \right)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let S denote $\exp \left(C(t) + \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} a_{k'}(t) B_{k'} + a_k(t) r_k(t) \right)$. Then Eq. (10) becomes:

$$Q_k(t) s^2 + (2Q_k(t) - M a_k(t)) s + Q_k(t) = 0. \quad (11)$$

As a further step, we define the left side of the above equation as function $\beta(s)$. If $Q_k(t) > \frac{M a_k(t)}{4}$, i.e., there is no solution to Eq. (11) and $Q_k(t) + M a_k(t) h' \left(C(t) + \mathbf{a}(t)^\top \mathbf{r}(t) \right) \geq 0$. Then the minimum of $Q_k(t) r_k(t) + M \cdot h \left(C(t) + \mathbf{a}(t)^\top \mathbf{r}(t) \right)$ appears at $r_k(t) = 0$. If $Q_k(t) \leq \frac{M a_k(t)}{4}$, i.e., Eq. (11) has two solutions $s_1, s_2 = -1 + \frac{M a_k(t)}{2Q_k(t)} \pm \frac{\sqrt{M^2 a_k(t)^2 - 4 M a_k(t) Q_k(t)}}{2Q_k(t)}$ (assuming $s_1 < s_2$). From s_1, s_2 , it can be easily get two $r_k(t)$ -s, which are denoted by $r_k^1(t)$ and $r_k^2(t)$ respectively. By calculating the gradient of $\beta(s)$, It can be observed that $r_k^1(t)$ is local maxima and $r_k^2(t)$ is local minima. Therefore, $r_k^2(t)$ is the optimal solution which ensures that the objective function $Q_k(t) r_k(t) + M \cdot h \left(C(t) + \mathbf{a}(t)^\top \mathbf{r}(t) \right)$ is the smallest when $Q_k(t) \leq \frac{M a_k(t)}{4}$. When $Q_k(t) = 0$ and $r_k(t) > 0$, we have $v_k = 0$ given Eq. (3), and Eq. (2) can be simplified to $M a_k(t) h' \left(C(t) + \mathbf{a}(t)^\top \mathbf{r}(t) \right) + \tau_k = 0$, then $\tau_k = -M a_k(t) h' \left(C(t) + \mathbf{a}(t)^\top \mathbf{r}(t) \right) \neq 0$. Therefore, according to Eq. (4), we have $r_k(t) = B_k$ when $Q_k(t) = 0$. \square