

Disjoint Sets Summary Chart

By Linda Deng

Implementation	Runtime			Key Characteristics	Pros	Cons
	constructor	connect()	isConnected()			
List of Sets	$\theta(N)$	$O(N)$	$O(N)$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Connected item in same basket 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Focused on connected component 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Worst case has slow operations
Quick Find	$\theta(N)$	$\theta(N)$	$\theta(1)$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> stores setID 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> quick isConnected() 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> slow connect() (iterate through whole array)
Quick Union	$\theta(N)$	$O(N)$	$O(N)$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> stores parent item root item: negative value 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> quick connect() 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> expensive for tall trees
Weighted Quick Union	$\theta(N)$	$O(\log N)$	$O(\log N)$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> connects smaller set to larger set 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> worst case height $\log N$ 	
Weighted Quick Union with Path Compression	$\theta(N)$	$O(\lg^* N)$	$O(\lg^* N)$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> tying all nodes to the root if seen 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> tighter bounds than WQU 	

Key Takeaway for Disjoint Sets

1. Care about WHAT are connected not HOW elements are connected
2. Choice of underlying implementation impacts runtime & code complexity
3. Algorithm development is an iterative process
 - a. Tweaking existing models to greatly improve performance