## MATH 104-06 MIDTERM 2 SOLUTION

| 1. $(10 \text{ points})$ Determine whether the following statements are true of false, no justification is required.  |
|---|
| (1) For a sequence of real numbers $(a_n)$ , if $\limsup_{n\to\infty}  a_n  = 0$ , then $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$ .   |
| True  |
| (2) If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2$ converges.   |
| False   |
| (3) If a continuous function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, then $f$ is uniformly continuous on $\mathbb{R}$ .  |
| False   |
| (4) For a power series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ , if the radius of convergence equals 1, and the power series converges at $x = 1$ , then it also converges at $x = -1$ .                                      |
| False   |
| (5) Let $(f_n)$ be a sequence of continuous functions, with the domain of each $f_n$ being $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that $(f_n)$ uniformly converges to $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , then $f$ is also continuous. |
| True  |

2. (30 points) Let s be a real number and  $(s_n)$  be a sequence of real numbers. If for any subsequence  $(s_{n_k})$  of  $(s_n)$ ,  $(s_{n_k})$  has a subsequence  $(s_{n_{k_l}})$  satisfying  $\lim_{l\to\infty} s_{n_{k_l}} = s$ , show that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = s$  holds.

*Proof.* Method 1: For the sequence  $(s_n)$ , there is a subsequence  $(s_{n_k})$  such that  $\lim_{k\to\infty} s_{n_k} = \limsup_{n\to\infty} s_n$ . Since the limit of any subsequence of  $(s_{n_k})$  equals  $\lim_{k\to\infty} s_{n_k}$ , which also equals s by the assumption. So we have  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} s_n = s$ .

The same argument shows that  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} s_n = s$ . So  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} s_n = \liminf_{n\to\infty} s_n = s$ , which implies  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = s$ .

Method 2: Suppose that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n \neq s$ , then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$ , such that for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists n > N such that  $|s_n - s| \geq \epsilon$ .

First take an arbitrary  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|s_{n_1} - s| \geq \epsilon$ . For  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $n_2 > n_1$  such that  $|s_{n_2} - s| \geq \epsilon$ . Then for  $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $n_3 > n_2$  such that  $|s_{n_3} - s| \geq \epsilon$ . By doing this process inductively, we get a subsequence  $(s_{n_k})$  of  $(s_n)$  such that  $|s_{n_k} - s| \geq \epsilon$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

So for any subsequence  $(s_{n_{k_l}})$  of  $(s_{n_k})$ , we have  $|s_{n_{k_l}} - s| \ge \epsilon$  for any  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $\lim_{l\to\infty} s_{n_{k_l}} \ne s$ , and we get a contradiction here.

3. (30 points) Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function defined by the following rule. If x is an irrational number, then we define f(x) = 0. If x is a rational number, then x is uniquely expressed as  $x = \frac{p}{q}$  with  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  and p,q are relative prime integers (they do not have common factors). In this case we define  $f(x) = \frac{1}{a}$  (for example  $f(0) = f(\frac{0}{1}) = \frac{1}{1} = 1$ ,  $f(1) = f(\frac{1}{1}) = \frac{1}{1} = f(\frac{-1}{1}) = f(-1)$  and  $f(\frac{1}{2}) = f(-\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Show that f is continuous at all irrational numbers and discontinuous at all ra-

tional numbers.

*Proof.* For any rational point  $x_0 = \frac{p}{q}$ , we have  $f(x_0) = f(\frac{p}{q}) = \frac{1}{q}$ . We can choose a sequence of irrational numbers  $(x_n)^q$  with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x_0^q$  (by taking an arbitrary irrational number  $x_n \in (x_0 - \frac{1}{n}, x_0 + \frac{1}{n})$ ). Then since all the  $x_n$  are irrational,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} 0 = 0 \neq \frac{1}{q} = f(x_0)$ . So f is not continuous at  $x_0$ , and f is discontinuous at all rational numbers.

For any irrational number  $x_0$ , we will show that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$ , such that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|x - x_0| < \delta$ , we have  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon$ . Take a natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$ , and consider all the rational numbers with denominator smaller than n.

For any natural number m < n, there are at most 2m rational numbers in  $(x_0 1, x_0 + 1$ ) with denominator equals m. Since for 2m + 1 different rational numbers with denominator equals m, the maximum minus the minimum is greater or equal to 2. So there are finitely many rational numbers (at most n(n-1) such numbers) in  $(x_0-1,x_0+1)$  with denominator smaller than n. Since  $x_0$  is an irrational number, there exists  $\delta \in (0,1)$  such that there is not a rational number with denominator smaller than n lying in  $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ .

Then for any  $|x - x_0| < \delta$ , if x is irrational, then  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| = |0 - 0| = 0 < \delta$ . If x is irrational, then  $x = \frac{p}{q}$  with  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p \ge n$ . So  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| = |\frac{1}{q} - 0| =$  $\frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{n} < \delta$ . So f is continuous at all irrational points.

4. (30 points) Suppose that  $f:[2,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  is a uniformly continuous function, show that  $g:[2,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  defined by  $g(x)=\frac{f(x)}{x}$  is a bounded function.

Then show that  $g:[2,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  is a uniformly continuous function.

(Note that f may not be differentiable on  $(2, \infty)$ .)

Bonus (5 points): Does  $\lim_{x\to+\infty} g(x)$  always exist? Prove your claim or give a counterexample.

*Proof.* Since f is uniformly continuous on  $[2, \infty)$ , for  $\epsilon = 1$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$ , such that for any  $x, y \in [2, \infty)$  with  $|x - y| < \delta$ , we have |f(x) - f(y)| < 1.

Take  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} < \delta$ . So if  $|x-2| \le \frac{1}{n}$  and  $x \in [2, \infty)$ , then |f(x) - f(2)| < 1. An induction argument shows that if  $|x-2| \le \frac{m}{n}$  and  $x \in [2, \infty)$ , then |f(x) - f(2)| < m. So for any  $x \in [2 + \frac{k-1}{n}, 2 + \frac{k}{n}]$  with  $k \ge 1$ , we have

$$\frac{|f(x)|}{x} \le \frac{|f(x) - f(2)| + |f(2)|}{x} \le \frac{k + |f(2)|}{\frac{k-1}{n} + 2} \le \frac{k + |f(2)|}{\frac{k}{n}} = n \frac{k + |f(2)|}{k} \le n(1 + |f(2)|).$$

So  $g:[2,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  is bounded by M=n(1+|f(2)|).

For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $x, y \in [2, \infty)$  with  $|x - y| < \delta$ , we have  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ . Then for any  $x, y \in [2, \infty)$  with  $|x - y| < \min \{\delta, \frac{\epsilon}{M}\}$ , we have

$$|g(x)-g(y)| = \left|\frac{f(x)}{x} - \frac{f(y)}{y}\right| = \frac{|yf(x) - xf(y)|}{xy} \le \frac{|yf(x) - xf(x)| + |xf(x) - xf(y)|}{xy}$$
$$\le \frac{|y-x|}{y} \cdot \frac{|f(x)|}{x} + \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{y} \le \frac{\epsilon}{2M} \cdot M + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

So g is uniformly continuous on  $[2, \infty)$ 

 $\lim_{x\to\infty} g(x)$  may not exist. For example, let  $f:[2,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $f(x)=x\cdot\sin(\log x)$ .

Then

$$f'(x) = \sin(\log x) + x \cdot \frac{1}{x} \cdot \cos(\log x) = \sin(\log x) + \cos(\log x),$$

and  $|f'(x)| \leq 2$  for any  $x \in [2, \infty)$ . Since f' exists and bounded in  $[2, \infty)$ , f is uniformly continuous on  $[2, \infty)$ .

However  $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{f(x)}{x} = \lim_{x\to\infty} \sin(\log x)$  does not exists. For example, take  $x_n = e^{(n+\frac{1}{2})\pi}$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \infty$ . However,  $g(x_n) = \sin((n+\frac{1}{2})\pi) = (-1)^n$  so  $\lim_{n\to\infty} g(x_n)$  does not exist.