

Relationships between childhood trauma and perceived stress in the general population: a network perspective.

Linda T. Betz¹, Nora Penzel^{1,2}, Marlene Rosen¹, Joseph Kambeitz¹

¹ University of Cologne, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Cologne, Germany

² Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Ludwig-Maximilian-University, Munich, Germany

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Linda T. Betz, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Hospital Cologne, Kerpener Str. 62, 50937 Cologne, Germany. E-mail: linda.betz@uk-koeln.de. Phone: + 49 221 478 7175.

Word count: 4358

Financial support: The MIDUS study has been funded by the following: John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation Research Network, National Institute on Aging (P01-AG020166), National Institute on Aging (U19-AG051426). MIDUS Biomarker data collection was further supported by the National Institutes of Health National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS) Clinical and Translational Science Award (CTSA) program as follows: UL1TR001409 (Georgetown), UL1TR001881 (UCLA), 1UL1RR025011 (UW).

Conflicts of interest: none.

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to thank all the participants and research staff of the MIDUS Study. The authors are also grateful for the opportunity to use these publicly available data.

Abstract

Background: Experiences of childhood trauma (CT) are associated with an increased psychological vulnerability. Past research suggests that CT might alter stress processing with subsequent negative impact on mental health. However, it is currently unclear how different domains of CT exert effects on specific subjective experiences of stress during adulthood.

Methods: In the present study, we used network analysis to explore the complex interplay between distinct domains of CT and perceived stress in a large, general-population sample of middle-aged adults ($N = 1252$). We used a data-driven community-detection algorithm to identify strongly connected subgroups of items within the network. To assess the replicability of the findings, we repeated the analyses in a second sample ($N = 862$). Combining data from both samples, we evaluated network differences between men ($n = 955$) and women ($n = 1159$).

Results: Results indicate specific associations between distinct domains of CT and perceived stress. CT domains reflecting a dimension of deprivation, i.e. experiences of neglect, were associated exclusively to a stress network community representing low perceived self-efficacy. By contrast, CT associated with threat, i.e. experiences of abuse, was specifically related to a stress community reflecting perceived helplessness. Our results replicated with high accordance in the second sample. We found no difference in network structure between men and women, but overall a more stronger connected network in women.

Conclusions: Our findings emphasize the unique role of distinct domains of CT in psychological stress processes in adulthood, implying opportunities for targeted interventions following distinct domains of CT.

Introduction

A history of childhood trauma (CT), including experiences of neglect and abuse and other traumatic events during childhood, has been linked to a greater risk of developing mental illness and health-risk behaviors later in life (Afifi et al., 2011; Dube et al., 2002; Grilo & Masheb, 2002; Kessler et al., 1997; Khoury et al., 2010; Lindert et al., 2014; Lotzin et al., 2019; Varese et al., 2012). About half of the adult population report some form of CT (Afifi et al., 2011; Kessler et al., 1997), underscoring the importance of these experiences in shaping population-level mental health.

One way by which CT may increase the liability to mental illness is increased sensitization to stress (Dienes et al., 2006; Hammen, 2005; Hammen et al., 2000; Heim & Nemeroff, 2001; McLaughlin et al., 2017; Myin-Germeys & van Os, 2007; Read et al., 2001; Reininghaus et al., 2016). As a severe early-life stressor, CT has been shown to induce enduring alterations at the neurobiological level changing the stress response, including elevated subjective experience of stress and enhanced threat anticipation (Carpenter et al., 2009; Dannlowski et al., 2012; LoPilato et al., 2019; McLaughlin et al., 2010; Teicher & Samson, 2016). Heightened awareness of and reactivity to stressors may be conceptualized as lasting functional adaptations to childhood adversity as a chronically stressful environment (Wadsworth, 2015). Simultaneously, these adaptations of stress perception constitute one potential mechanism by which CT predisposes a broad range of psychopathological phenotypes emerging later in life, including mood and anxiety disorders, but also psychotic phenomena (Hammen, 2005; Heim & Nemeroff, 2001; Isvoranu et al., 2017; McLaughlin et al., 2010, 2017; Myin-Germeys & van Os, 2007; Reininghaus et al., 2016; Rössler et al., 2016; van Nierop et al., 2018).

Given that different domains of CT represent vastly different social experiences, it is advisable to differentiate between different types of adversity to delineate the effects of CT on

subjective experience of stress (McLaughlin et al., 2014; Sheridan & McLaughlin, 2014; Wadsworth, 2015). In line with this idea, a recent study showed a specific pathway from childhood abuse to a generalized measure of stress perception in the female subgroup of a cohort at-risk for psychosis (LoPilato et al., 2019). Similarly, previously undifferentiated domains of CT have been shown to exert distinct effects on neurobiological, socio-emotional and cognitive development (Busso et al., 2017; Cecil et al., 2016, 2017; Hildyard & Wolfe, 2002; Kuhlman et al., 2015; LoPilato et al., 2019; McLaughlin et al., 2014; Sheridan & McLaughlin, 2014; Teicher & Samson, 2016). Differential links have also been found between distinct domains of childhood adversity and symptoms in psychiatric populations (Cecil et al., 2017; Isvoranu et al., 2017). Overall, it seems likely that different domains of CT pose varying functional demands on the stress system, with ultimately different consequences for behavior (LoPilato et al., 2019; McLaughlin et al., 2019; Soffer et al., 2008; Wadsworth, 2015).

However, until now little is known about the putative psychological stress-processes underlying distinct types of trauma, as prior research has assessed the impact of CT on perceived stress in adulthood in a way that restricted specificity on one side of the association: The analyses either confined to a selected, rather than comprehensive group of experiences of CT (Soffer et al., 2008), or used a generalized, rather than specific measure of stress perception (LoPilato et al., 2019; Rössler et al., 2016). Characterizing how distinct domains of CT influence specific aspects of perceived stress may allow to design interventions that target these mechanisms directly to reduce the intensity of psychopathological burden and, ultimately, foster resilience to mental illness (Reininghaus et al., 2016). Given the high prevalence of CT and its strong implication in mental, but also physical health (Goodwin & Stein, 2004), such targeted interventions come with the potential to reduce the negative personal and socioeconomic impact associated with CT.

In the present study, we aim to further explore how distinct domains of CT shape different aspects of subjective experiences of stress in adult life. One methodological difficulty in assessing the impact of distinct domains of CT is that they are typically highly interrelated and frequently co-occur (Baker & Festinger, 2011; Cecil et al., 2017; Dong et al., 2004). Considering one or a selected group of childhood adversities in isolation may likely result in an overestimation of their effects on adult experiences of stress, just as generalizing different domains of CT into one broad category may obscure important insights into mechanisms linking CT with developmental outcomes (Cecil et al., 2017; McLaughlin et al., 2014). To account for these difficulties, we use network analysis, a statistical framework that allows to quantify the unique associations among many variables simultaneously (Epskamp, Borsboom, et al., 2018; Epskamp, Waldorp, et al., 2018; Koller & Friedman, 2009). This property makes network analysis an ideal choice for assessing the specific impact of co-occurring, strongly interrelated domains of CT. Typically, researchers apply network analysis as a tool to model psychopathology from a network perspective (Isvoranu et al., 2017; Rhemtulla et al., 2016). The components of such a network are conceptualized as active components of a dynamic system of symptoms or psychobiological factors that can mutually influence and maintain each other (Borsboom, 2017; Borsboom & Cramer, 2013). In the present analysis, we model the interrelations of five domains of CT and items measuring perceived stress in a large, general-population sample in middle adulthood. Following a growing call for replicability investigations (Borsboom et al., 2018; Fried et al., 2018), we assessed the replicability of the findings in a second sample. Given recent indications for sex effects in the association between CT and subjective experience of stress (LoPilato et al., 2019), we also assessed if networks of associations between CT and perceived stress differed between men and women. With the suggested network analysis, we aim to provide novel insights into the pathways by which CT

impacts experiences of stress, thus paving ways to ameliorate the negative health consequences of CT.

Method

Participants

We used data from the Biomarker Project of the Midlife Development in the United States (MIDUS) Survey, a nationally representative longitudinal panel study of health and aging in the noninstitutionalized civilian population of the 48 contiguous United States (Ryff et al., 2017, 2019). The original MIDUS sample comprised English-speaking adults aged 25–74 years whose household included at least one telephone (recruited by random digit dialing), with oversampling of 5 metropolitan areas, twin pairs, and siblings (Brim et al., 2019). MIDUS I ($N = 7108$) was conducted from 1995 to 1996, and a follow-up study (MIDUS II) was conducted 10 years after the baseline assessment in $N = 4963$ MIDUS I respondents (70% response rate) between 2004 and 2005. Of those who participated in MIDUS II, 1255 (23% of MIDUS II) were able and willing to participate in the more comprehensive Biomarker Project Substudy, which was used for the main analysis reported in this paper (original sample). Details on the study protocol and scientific aims are available elsewhere (Dienberg Love et al., 2010).

As a replication sample, we used the Refresher Biomarker Project (Weinstein et al., 2019) that emulates the original MIDUS Biomarker Project, employing the same assessments in an additional $N = 863$ participants between 2012 and 2016. The Refresher Biomarker Study was designed to parallel the five decadal age groups contained within the original MIDUS I baseline cohort. The Institutional Review Boards at the University of Wisconsin–Madison, the University of California–Los Angeles, and Georgetown University approved both Biomarker Projects. Research participants were admitted to or studied on the University of Wisconsin–Clinical and Translational Research Core (UW-CTRC).

Assessments

Childhood Trauma

CT (up to age 18) was assessed with the English version of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire-Short Form (CTQ-SF, Bernstein et al., 2003). The CTQ-SF is a self-report measure of CT, including five items within each of five different domains of CT: (1) physical neglect (failure of caretaker to provide basic necessities for a child such as food, clothing, shelter); (2) physical abuse (bodily assault on a child posing a risk of or resulting in injury); (3) emotional neglect (failure of caretaker basic emotional and psychological needs for a child, such as love and nurturance); (4) emotional abuse (verbal assaults on a child, such as humiliation); and (5) sexual abuse (unwanted sexual contact or conduct between a child and an adult). Responses for each item are recorded on a 5-point-Likert scale, ranging from 1 (never true) to 5 (very often true). We computed total scores for each domain that were used in the construction of the networks. Possible total scores of each domain ranged from 5 to 25.

Perceived Stress

In MIDUS, perceived stress was measured using the 10-item version of the Perceived Stress Scale (Cohen et al., 1983). Participants are asked to rate the occurrence of stress-related thoughts and feelings over the past month on a 5-point-Likert-scale, ranging from 1 (never) to 5 (very often). To facilitate interpretation in the present analysis, we reverse-coded the positive items so that higher values are indicative of more perceived stress.

Data Analytic Strategy

We ran all analyses in the R language for statistical computing, version 3.6.1 (R Core Team, 2019). Participants with completely missing data in the network variables of interest were excluded from the analysis. We calculated descriptive statistics for the original, replication and combined sample. To evaluate differences between the original and replication sample, we used permutation tests implemented in the R package ‘coin’, version 1.3 (Hothorn et al., 2008).

Throughout, we considered a significance level of $\alpha = .05$. Code to reproduce the analysis is available online (https://github.com/LindaBetz/Network_CT_Stress).

Network Analysis

Network Estimation. We fitted networks in the form of a partial correlation network, also known as Gaussian graphical model (GGM), to the data (Epskamp, Waldorp, et al., 2018; Koller & Friedman, 2009). In this undirected network, the five CTQ-domains and the 10 stress-experience items from the PSS questionnaire are represented as nodes. A connection (called “edge” in the network literature) between two nodes indicates a partial correlation between the two variables, i.e., the association between these two variables that remains after controlling for all other variables under consideration (Koller & Friedman, 2009). In other words, edges can be interpreted as predictive effects, representing the share of the pairwise association that cannot be explained by any other variables in the model (Epskamp, Waldorp, et al., 2018; Isvoranu et al., 2017). The stronger the partial correlation between two nodes, the thicker the edge drawn in the network. Whenever the partial correlation between two variables is exactly zero, these variables are independent after controlling for all other variables in the model, and no edge is drawn between the two corresponding nodes in the network (Epskamp, Waldorp, et al., 2018; Koller & Friedman, 2009).

To account for the ordinal distribution of the items and missing data, we estimated the partial correlation matrix based on Spearman correlations using pairwise complete observations (Epskamp, Borsboom, et al., 2018). We determined the optimal network model by using stepwise, unregularized model selection. This approach returns the best-fitting model by minimizing the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) of unregularized GGM models. In the final network model, no edge can be added or removed to improve fit. For plotting the network, we generated a force-directed layout using the Fruchterman-Reingold algorithm (Fruchterman & Reingold, 1991). To facilitate comparison, the same network layout (generated based on the

original data set) was used for visualizing networks throughout the paper. We constructed and visualized the networks using the package ‘qgraph’, version 1.6.3 (Epskamp et al., 2012).

Weighted adjacency matrices containing the set of partial correlation coefficients corresponding to the depicted networks are available in the supplement and in the linked github repository.

For methodological details, we refer the interested reader to available tutorial and overview articles (Epskamp, Borsboom, et al., 2018; Epskamp, Waldorp, et al., 2018).

Community detection. For a data-driven identification of highly connected subgroups (termed “communities”) in the generated network of CT and perceived stress, we used the walktrap algorithm (Pons & Latapy, 2005) as implemented in the R package ‘igraph’, version 1.2.4.1 (Csardi & Nepusz, 2006). The walktrap algorithm detects communities within a network by using random walks. Results were compared to an alternative community detection approach, the spinglass algorithm (Reichardt & Bornholdt, 2006), as implemented in the R package ‘igraph’.

Robustness Analyses

We performed several follow-up analyses on the calculated networks to assess their robustness using the R package ‘bootnet’, version 1.2.4 (Epskamp, Borsboom, et al., 2018; Epskamp & Fried, 2015). These analyses (a) show how accurately the edges in the network are estimated by constructing a 95% bootstrapped confidence interval (CI) around them, and (b) indicate how stable centrality is estimated via the centrality-stability (CS) coefficient (Costenbader & Valente, 2003). This coefficient indicates the maximum proportion of observations that can be dropped while confidently (95%) retaining results that correlate highly ($r > .7$) with the results obtained in the original sample. A CS coefficient of .25 or above indicates adequate stability and a coefficient of .50 or above indicates high stability (Epskamp, Borsboom, et al., 2018). For all robustness analyses, we used 1000 bootstrap samples.

Replicability Analyses

Using the same workflow as described above, we estimated the network of CT and perceived stress in the replication sample. Following procedures described by Fried et al. (2018), we aimed to quantify the replicability of the network. First, we correlated the individual edge weights contained within the partial correlation matrices of the two networks, which provides a coefficient of similarity (Borsboom et al., 2018; Rhemtulla et al., 2016). Second, we used permutation tests (1000 permutations) to formally assess whether the networks differed from each other in their network structures and global strength (i.e., the sum of all absolute edge values) using the R package ‘NetworkComparisonTest’, version 2.2.1 (van Borkulo et al., 2017). Third, based on the results from the permutation test, we examined post-hoc how many of the 105 edges differed across the networks. This was done using false discovery rate (FDR) corrected *p*-values (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995). Finally, we estimated and visualized the network based on the combined data set. Detailed results for this analysis are available in the supplement.

Comparison of networks in men and women

To investigate the moderating role of sex on associations between CT and perceived stress, we compared the networks of men and women using the same permutation-based approach (1000 permutations) detailed above (van Borkulo et al., 2017). When comparing individual edges of the network of men and women, we considered FDR-corrected *p*-values (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995). To retain a similar level of power for detection of edges in the subgroup networks, we merged data from the Biomarker Study (original sample) and the Biomarker Refresher Study (replication sample) for both analyses.

Results

Sample Characteristics

Of the 1255 participants in the original sample, three had completely missing data in the variables of interest and could not be included the analysis. Hence, the final sample comprised 1252 individuals (43.3% male), with a mean age of 57.3 (SD = 11.5) years. On average, 0.2% of

the network variables of interest were missing. Table 1 summarizes the demographic and clinical sample characteristics. The replication sample (one participant excluded due to completely missing data, $n = 862$) was overall similar in relevant clinical characteristics, but had more males, was younger, and better educated. Average amount of missing values was 0.1%. For the subgroup analysis, the combined original and replication sample ($N = 2114$) was split into male ($n = 955$) and female ($n = 1159$) participants. Table 2 presents the demographic and clinical sample characteristics of the combined sample as well as the subgroups. Women were on average younger, less White, showed more depressive symptoms and global perceived stress, and scored higher on CT domains of emotional and sexual abuse.

Network

The network depicted in figure 1 illustrates the relationships between the different domains of CT and perceived stress (for the partial correlation coefficients, supplementary table 1; centrality plot in supplementary figure S1). Of 105 possible edges, 35 were retained after the unregularized model selection procedure. All except one edge were positive. Sensitivity analyses suggested that the network model was very stable (all CS-coefficients $r = 0.75$, supplementary figures S2-S3) and network parameters were estimated with good accuracy (supplementary figure S4).

Within the network, all CT domains as well as items reflecting perceived stress are highly interconnected, suggesting that the associations within each construct are larger than between the two constructs. Evidently, CT domains are differentially associated to perceived stress. Emotional neglect is positively associated with “did not feel on top of things”. Physical neglect connects to “not confident to handle personal problems”. Emotional abuse has an edge to “felt nervous and stressed”. A second, smaller edge connects emotional abuse to feeling “unable to control important things”. Physical abuse makes feeling “upset by something unexpected” more likely. Additionally, there is a small edge from sexual abuse to “could not cope with all

things to do”. Finally, there is a small, negative edge between physical abuse and not feeling “on top of things”. Due to its unexpected, negative sign, this edge is likely indicative of conditioning on a collider (Epskamp, Waldorp, et al., 2018; Koller & Friedman, 2009): in an undirected network like the one present, a negative edge is falsely introduced between two variables that have positive, directed effects on a common third variable (here, likely node 10: “difficulties piling up can’t overcome”).

Community detection

The walktrap algorithm detected three communities (figure 1). The five domains of CT form the first, strongly interconnected community. The second and third community detected within the items of the PSS fully align with a two factor-solution identified in several healthy and clinical samples using factor analysis (Leung et al., 2010; Reis et al., 2010; Roberti et al., 2006). These two factors have been labelled “perceived self-efficacy” and “perceived helplessness” (Roberti et al., 2006), which is the labeling we will also use here. These two identified stress communities show differential associations to CT: perceived self-efficacy primarily relates to CT dimensions of neglect, and perceived helplessness exclusively relates to CT dimensions of abuse. Communities obtained with the spinglass algorithm were identical to results from the walktrap algorithm.

Replicability

Paralleling results for the original network, sensitivity analyses suggested that the network model based on the replication data was very stable (all CS-coefficients $r = 0.75$, supplementary figures S6-S7) and network parameters were estimated with good accuracy (supplementary figure S8). Pearson’s correlation of the edge weights of the original and replication network was 0.92, suggesting a strong similarity between the two networks (Borsboom et al., 2018; Fried et al., 2018). Pearson’s correlation between strength centrality of individual nodes was similarly high ($r = 0.96$; for centrality plots supplementary figures S1 and

S5). In line with this, permutation-based comparison suggested no significant differences in structure (Test statistic $M = 0.15$, $p = .418$) nor global strength (Test statistic $S = 0.24$, $p = .165$) between the original and the replication network. Post-hoc comparisons of individual edges showed that none of the 105 edges differed significantly between the two networks. In sum, we conclude that both networks are highly similar and results from the analysis reported in this paper are replicable. The replication network estimated based on the Biomarker Refresher data as well as the cross-sample network are available in supplementary figure S9, and the corresponding weighted adjacency matrix in supplementary tables 2-3.

Comparison of networks in men and women

Figure 2 depicts the networks estimated for men and women separately (for weighted adjacency matrices, supplementary tables 4-5). Permutation-based comparison of the networks revealed a significantly stronger connected network in women than in men (Test statistic $S = 0.35$, $p = .037$). Conversely, no differences emerged in overall network structure (Test statistic $M = 0.14$, $p = .595$) nor individual connections between any of the network variables when applying FDR correction for multiple comparisons (all $ps > .705$).

Discussion

Understanding potential mechanistic cognitive pathways by which CT impacts mental health is central to ameliorate its manifold negative consequences. To achieve this goal, it has been argued to distinguish between different types of trauma (McLaughlin et al., 2019, 2014). In this study, we estimated a network of five domains of CT and items measuring experiences of perceived stress in a large general-population sample. We found that distinct domains of CT are specifically related to two identified network communities reflecting different aspects of perceived stress. Our results support the notion that different domains of CT represent different functional demands for the developing stress system, with differential consequences for perception of stress in adulthood (Wadsworth, 2015): In the identified network, domains of child

neglect exclusively connect to experiences of stress reflecting lowered perceived self-efficacy, i.e. reduced belief in one's competence to successfully accomplish a desired objective (Lawrance & McLeroy, 1986). All domains of child abuse, on the other hand, mainly connect to items from a community representing increased perceived helplessness. These findings add to an accumulating body of research documenting associations between CT and perceived stress in adulthood (LoPilato et al., 2019; McLaughlin et al., 2010; Rössler et al., 2016; Soffer et al., 2008), but extend the literature by delineating specific effects of core dimensions of CT on different aspects of perceived stress in a network approach. Notably, the two network communities of perceived stress fully align with factor solutions obtained in previous studies (Leung et al., 2010; Reis et al., 2010; Roberti et al., 2006). As expected based on previous work, network analysis identified one strongly connected community of CT domains suggesting high interrelatedness and co-occurrence of the different domains of CT (Baker & Festinger, 2011; Cecil et al., 2016, 2017). Overall, our findings could be replicated in an independent, large-scale population sample which suggests that our results are robust despite several differences in demographic variables. Subgroup analysis comparing networks of men and women showed no differences in network structure, but a significantly stronger connected network of CT and perceived stress in women.

The grouping of CT into neglect and abuse by differential network associations with perceived stress resonates well with a proposed distinction of early traumatic experiences into core dimensions of deprivation and threat (McLaughlin et al., 2014; Sheridan & McLaughlin, 2014). Based on evidence and mechanisms derived from basic neuroscience and animal research, primarily sensory deprivation and fear learning, it has been suggested that childhood adversity dimensions of deprivation and threat have a distinct impact on neurodevelopment and ultimately behavior (McLaughlin et al., 2014). In the context of CT, the dimension of deprivation involves neglect of any form, e.g. emotional or physical neglect, experienced during

childhood. Experiences of threat include events that involve verbal assaults, any harm to one's physical integrity, and sexual violation (Sheridan & McLaughlin, 2014). Our data suggest that CT domains of deprivation, represented by emotional and physical neglect, specifically connect to stress-experiences representing reduced perceived self-efficacy. CT domains of threat, represented by emotional, physical, and sexual abuse, by contrast, are primarily associated with experiences of stress reflecting perceived helplessness. This pattern of results is consistent with a previous structural equation modeling study in an Israeli college sample (Soffer et al., 2008). The study reported specific associations between emotional neglect and a measure of self-efficacy on the one hand, and emotional abuse and depressive vulnerability on the other hand. The specificity of the connections between CT and adult stress experience identified in the present study underscores the call for assessing different domains of CT simultaneously rather than in isolation or lumped under a single big category (Cecil et al., 2017; Hildyard & Wolfe, 2002; LoPilato et al., 2019; McLaughlin et al., 2014; Teicher & Samson, 2016).

Children experiencing severe deprivation have been shown to hold only very restricted positive beliefs about themselves (Hildyard & Wolfe, 2002; Toth et al., 1997). Restricted positive self-representations are likely aligning with a domestic environment giving little attention to basic emotional and physical needs, offering only very few opportunities to experience the self as positive (Toth et al., 1997). Results from the present network analysis suggest that such restricted positive views following experiences of child neglect may carry over into adulthood, presenting themselves as beliefs of being less competent to successfully master demanding situations.

Experiences of abuse can be conceptualized as early exposure to a series of highly negative, uncontrollable events (McLaughlin et al., 2014; Volpicelli et al., 1999). Our findings indicate that such repeated threat is linked to a perceived lack of control to be effective in other aversive situations, even extending into adulthood. This pattern of behavior is well-known as

“learned helplessness” (Foa et al., 1992; Overmier & Seligman, 1967; Seligman & Maier, 1967; Volpicelli et al., 1999). Individuals that have experienced uncontrollable trauma may learn that their efforts will have no effect, leaving them notably passive and helpless in future aversive situations, even if they are potentially controllable (Pryce et al., 2011; Volpicelli et al., 1999). Increased perceived helplessness following experiences of uncontrollable threat, such as emotional, physical and sexual abuse, may constitute one pathway by which CT makes depressive symptoms more likely, as implicated by prominent cognitive models of depression (Abramson et al., 1978; Kendler et al., 2003; Pryce et al., 2011; Soffer et al., 2008).

Notably, the present network analysis showed many connections between items from the two communities of stress experience, suggesting that perceived self-efficacy and perceived helplessness are not independent from each other. Some authors have suggested that low self-efficacy may be a determinant of learned helplessness (Filippello et al., 2015). Conceptually, these constructs differ in that perceived helplessness has been theorized to represent the consequences of exposure to uncontrollable events, while self-efficacy refers to one’s expectation to be able to perform actions (Lawrance & McLeroy, 1986). The differential connections of perceived helplessness and self-efficacy to CT dimensions of threat and deprivation evidenced in our network support this distinction. Importantly, this observed pattern of connections also offers a first insight on how to direct preventive or therapeutic interventions towards reducing experiences of helplessness and/or improving self-efficacy, depending on the predominant domain of CT experienced. Such targeted interventions come with the potential to attenuate the personal burden and negative impact of CT on mental and physical health by disrupting pathways via increased stress perception. Given the high prevalence of CT, such measures also have economic relevance for population-level healthcare.

Our results suggest that the overall network structure of associations between CT and perceived stress does not vary as a function of sex, contrasting previous work that reported a

positive association between CT domains of abuse and a generalized measure of perceived stress unique to women (LoPilato et al., 2019). However, the network of women in our sample showed a stronger global connectivity than the network of men. This could suggest that in women, individual domains of CT and perceived stress may more frequently co-occur, and also more easily activate and sustain each other. Sex differences in the overall strength of associations may arise due to differences in the predominant domain of CT experienced: women reported higher levels of emotional and sexual abuse in the present sample, consistent with previous work (Tolin & Foa, 2006). Exposure to more experiences of threatful abuse during childhood may enforce stronger associations to and within perceived stress during adulthood in women. Moreover, sex differences in initial physiological and psychological appraisal processes of trauma (Irish et al., 2011; Perry et al., 1995) may predispose differences in strength of impact of CT on perceived stress in adulthood. However, with the present data it cannot be excluded that the stronger network connectivity observed in women is an artifact of previously reported sex-specific reporting tendencies in CT and perceived stress (Davis et al., 1999; Tolin & Foa, 2006). Thus, the need to continue investigation on the moderating role of sex on CT-related stress experience in adulthood remains.

A limitation of the current analyses is that they are based on cross-sectional data. Hence, the identified networks do not necessarily generalize to the individual level and conclusions regarding causality of the resulting connections cannot be definite. An additional critical point is that the CTQ, as a retrospective, self-report measure of CT, may be prone to biases in memory and social desirability. Self-report questionnaires, however, also promote feelings of privacy, and are generally considered less invasive than face to face interviews (Bernstein et al., 2003).

In conclusion, the present network analysis highlights the complex and specific associations between dimensions of deprivation and threat of CT and different types of stress experience in adulthood in two large general population samples. These results may be yet

another indicator for distinct developmental impact following different domains of CT (Hildyard & Wolfe, 2002; McLaughlin et al., 2014; Sheridan & McLaughlin, 2014; Teicher & Samson, 2016; Wadsworth, 2015). Even though often overlooked, subjective experiences of stress may be essential for understanding the negative long-term impact of CT on a wide range of mental health outcomes. Future work should assess how the specific effects of distinct domains of CT on perceived stress might relate to different kinds of psychopathological expression. Further research also needs to disentangle the cognitive and biological mechanisms underlying the functional roles of distinct domains of CT in psychological stress mechanisms to pave the way for development of targeted interventions. These may be the road to lower intensity of psychopathological burden and higher resilience to mental illness.

References

- Abramson, L. Y., Seligman, M. E., & Teasdale, J. D. (1978). Learned helplessness in humans: Critique and reformulation. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 87(1), 49–74.
<https://doi.org/10.1037//0021-843x.87.1.49>
- Afifi, T. O., Mather, A., Boman, J., Fleisher, W., Enns, M. W., Macmillan, H., & Sareen, J. (2011). Childhood adversity and personality disorders: results from a nationally representative population-based study. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 45(6), 814–822.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2010.11.008>
- Baker, A. J. L., & Festinger, T. (2011). Emotional abuse and emotional neglect subscales of the CTQ: Associations with each other, other measures of psychological maltreatment, and demographic variables. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 33(11), 2297–2302.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2011.07.018>
- Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*, 57(1), 289–300. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x>

- Bernstein, D. P., Stein, J. A., Newcomb, M. D., Walker, E., Pogge, D., Ahluvalia, T., Stokes, J., Handelsman, L., Medrano, M., Desmond, D., & Zule, W. (2003). Development and validation of a brief screening version of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 27(2), 169–190. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0145-2134\(02\)00541-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0145-2134(02)00541-0)
- Borsboom, D. (2017). A network theory of mental disorders. *World Psychiatry*, 16(1), 5–13. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20375>
- Borsboom, D., & Cramer, A. O. J. (2013). Network analysis: an integrative approach to the structure of psychopathology. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 9, 91–121. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050212-185608>
- Borsboom, D., Robinaugh, D. J., Psychosystems Group, Rhemtulla, M., & Cramer, A. O. J. (2018). Robustness and replicability of psychopathology networks. *World Psychiatry*, 17(2), 143–144. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20515>
- Brim, O. G., Ryff, C. D., & Kessler, R. C. (2019). *How Healthy Are We?: A National Study of Well-Being at Midlife*. University of Chicago Press. <http://www.midus.wisc.edu/findings/pdfs/3.pdf>
- Busso, D. S., McLaughlin, K. A., & Sheridan, M. A. (2017). Dimensions of Adversity, Physiological Reactivity, and Externalizing Psychopathology in Adolescence: Deprivation and Threat. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 79(2), 162–171. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PSY.0000000000000369>
- Carpenter, L. L., Tyrka, A. R., Ross, N. S., Khoury, L., Anderson, G. M., & Price, L. H. (2009). Effect of childhood emotional abuse and age on cortisol responsivity in adulthood. *Biological Psychiatry*, 66(1), 69–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.02.030>
- Cecil, C. A. M., Smith, R. G., Walton, E., Mill, J., McCrory, E. J., & Viding, E. (2016). Epigenetic signatures of childhood abuse and neglect: Implications for psychiatric vulnerability. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 83, 184–194.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.09.010>

Cecil, C. A. M., Viding, E., Fearon, P., Glaser, D., & McCrory, E. J. (2017). Disentangling the mental health impact of childhood abuse and neglect. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 63, 106–119.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2016.11.024>

Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., & Mermelstein, R. (1983). A global measure of perceived stress.

Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 24(4), 385–396. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2136404>

Costenbader, E., & Valente, T. W. (2003). The stability of centrality measures when networks are sampled. *Social Networks*, 25(4), 283–307. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-8733\(03\)00012-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-8733(03)00012-1)

Csardi, G., & Nepusz, T. (2006). The igraph software package for complex network research. *InterJournal, Complex Systems*, 1695(5), 1–9.

Dannlowski, U., Stuhrmann, A., Beutelmann, V., Zwanzger, P., Lenzen, T., Grotegerd, D., Domschke, K., Hohoff, C., Ohrmann, P., Bauer, J., Lindner, C., Postert, C., Konrad, C., Arolt, V., Heindel, W., Suslow, T., & Kugel, H. (2012). Limbic scars: long-term consequences of childhood maltreatment revealed by functional and structural magnetic resonance imaging. *Biological Psychiatry*, 71(4), 286–293.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.10.021>

Davis, M. C., Matthews, K. A., & Twamley, E. W. (1999). Is life more difficult on Mars or Venus? A meta-analytic review of sex differences in major and minor life events. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 21(1), 83–97. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02895038>

Dienberg Love, G., Seeman, T. E., Weinstein, M., & Ryff, C. D. (2010). Bioindicators in the MIDUS national study: protocol, measures, sample, and comparative context. *Journal of Aging and Health*, 22(8), 1059–1080. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0898264310374355>

Dienes, K. A., Hammen, C., Henry, R. M., Cohen, A. N., & Daley, S. E. (2006). The stress sensitization hypothesis: understanding the course of bipolar disorder. *Journal of Affective*

Disorders, 95(1-3), 43–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2006.04.009>

Dong, M., Anda, R. F., Felitti, V. J., Dube, S. R., Williamson, D. F., Thompson, T. J., Loo, C.

M., & Giles, W. H. (2004). The interrelatedness of multiple forms of childhood abuse, neglect, and household dysfunction. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 28(7), 771–784.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2004.01.008>

Dube, S. R., Anda, R. F., Felitti, V. J., Edwards, V. J., & Croft, J. B. (2002). Adverse childhood experiences and personal alcohol abuse as an adult. *Addictive Behaviors*, 27(5), 713–725.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/s0306-4603\(01\)00204-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0306-4603(01)00204-0)

Epskamp, S., Borsboom, D., & Fried, E. I. (2018). Estimating psychological networks and their accuracy: A tutorial paper. *Behavior Research Methods*, 50(1), 195–212.

<https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-017-0862-1>

Epskamp, S., Cramer, A. O. J., Waldorp, L. J., Schmittmann, V. D., & Borsboom, D. (2012).

qgraph: Network visualizations of relationships in psychometric data. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 48(4), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v048.i04>

Epskamp, S., & Fried, E. I. (2015). bootnet: Bootstrap methods for various network estimation routines. *CRAN. R-Project. Org*. <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/bootnet/index.html>

Epskamp, S., Waldorp, L. J., Möttus, R., & Borsboom, D. (2018). The Gaussian Graphical

Model in Cross-Sectional and Time-Series Data. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 53(4), 453–480. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2018.1454823>

Filippello, P., Sorrenti, L., Buzzai, C., & Costa, S. (2015). Perceived Parental Psychological

Control and Learned Helplessness: The Role of School Self-efficacy. *School Mental Health*, 7(4), 298–310. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12310-015-9151-2>

Foa, E. B., Zinbarg, R., & Rothbaum, B. O. (1992). Uncontrollability and unpredictability in

post-traumatic stress disorder: an animal model. *Psychological Bulletin*, 112(2), 218–238.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.112.2.218>

- Fried, E. I., Eidhof, M. B., Palic, S., Costantini, G., Huisman-van Dijk, H. M., Bockting, C. L. H., Engelhard, I., Armour, C., Nielsen, A. B. S., & Karstoft, K.-I. (2018). Replicability and Generalizability of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) Networks: A Cross-Cultural Multisite Study of PTSD Symptoms in Four Trauma Patient Samples. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 6(3), 335–351. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2167702617745092>
- Fruchterman, T. M. J., & Reingold, E. M. (1991). Graph drawing by force-directed placement. *Software: Practice & Experience*, 21(11), 1129–1164. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/spe.4380211102>
- Goodwin, R. D., & Stein, M. B. (2004). Association between childhood trauma and physical disorders among adults in the United States. *Psychological Medicine*, 34(3), 509–520. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s003329170300134x>
- Grilo, C. M., & Masheb, R. M. (2002). Childhood maltreatment and personality disorders in adult patients with binge eating disorder. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 106(3), 183–188. <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0447.2002.02303.x>
- Hammen, C. (2005). Stress and depression. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 1, 293–319. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.1.102803.143938>
- Hammen, C., Henry, R., & Daley, S. E. (2000). Depression and sensitization to stressors among young women as a function of childhood adversity. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 68(5), 782–787. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.68.5.782>
- Heim, C., & Nemeroff, C. B. (2001). The role of childhood trauma in the neurobiology of mood and anxiety disorders: preclinical and clinical studies. *Biological Psychiatry*, 49(12), 1023–1039. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-3223\(01\)01157-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0006-3223(01)01157-x)
- Hildyard, K. L., & Wolfe, D. A. (2002). Child neglect: developmental issues and outcomes. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, 26(6), 679–695. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134\(02\)00341-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134(02)00341-1)
- Hothorn, T., Hornik, K., van de Wiel, M., & Zeileis, A. (2008). Implementing a Class of

Permutation Tests: The coin Package. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 28(8), 1–23.

<https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v028.i08>

Irish, L. A., Fischer, B., Fallon, W., Spoonster, E., Sledjeski, E. M., & Delahanty, D. L. (2011).

Gender differences in PTSD symptoms: an exploration of peritraumatic mechanisms.

Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 25(2), 209–216.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2010.09.004>

Isvoranu, A.-M., van Borkulo, C. D., Boyette, L.-L., Wigman, J. T. W., Vinkers, C. H.,

Borsboom, D., & Group Investigators. (2017). A Network Approach to Psychosis:

Pathways Between Childhood Trauma and Psychotic Symptoms. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*,

43(1), 187–196. <https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbw055>

Kendler, K. S., Hettema, J. M., Butera, F., Gardner, C. O., & Prescott, C. A. (2003). Life event

dimensions of loss, humiliation, entrapment, and danger in the prediction of onsets of major depression and generalized anxiety. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 60(8), 789–796.

<https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.60.8.789>

Kessler, R. C., Davis, C. G., & Kendler, K. S. (1997). Childhood adversity and adult psychiatric

disorder in the US National Comorbidity Survey. *Psychological Medicine*, 27(5), 1101–

1119. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0033291797005588>

Khoury, L., Tang, Y. L., Bradley, B., Cubells, J. F., & Ressler, K. J. (2010). Substance use,

childhood traumatic experience, and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder in an urban civilian

population. *Depression and Anxiety*, 27(12), 1077–1086. <https://doi.org/10.1002/da.20751>

Koller, D., & Friedman, N. (2009). *Probabilistic Graphical Models: Principles and Techniques*.

MIT Press.

Kuhlman, K. R., Geiss, E. G., Vargas, I., & Lopez-Duran, N. L. (2015). Differential associations

between childhood trauma subtypes and adolescent HPA-axis functioning.

Psychoneuroendocrinology, 54, 103–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.01.020>

- Lawrance, L., & McLeroy, K. R. (1986). Self-efficacy and health education. *The Journal of School Health*, 56(8), 317–321. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1746-1561.1986.tb05761.x>
- Leung, D. Y., Lam, T.-H., & Chan, S. S. (2010). Three versions of Perceived Stress Scale: validation in a sample of Chinese cardiac patients who smoke. *BMC Public Health*, 10(1), 513. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-10-513>
- Lindert, J., von Ehrenstein, O. S., Grashow, R., Gal, G., Braehler, E., & Weisskopf, M. G. (2014). Sexual and physical abuse in childhood is associated with depression and anxiety over the life course: systematic review and meta-analysis. *International Journal of Public Health*, 59(2), 359–372. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00038-013-0519-5>
- LoPilato, A. M., Addington, J., Bearden, C. E., Cadenhead, K. S., Cannon, T. D., Cornblatt, B. A., Mathalon, D. H., McGlashan, T. H., Perkins, D. O., Tsuang, M. T., Woods, S. W., & Walker, E. F. (2019). Stress perception following childhood adversity: Unique associations with adversity type and sex. *Development and Psychopathology*, 32(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579419000130>
- Lotzin, A., Grundmann, J., Hiller, P., Pawils, S., & Schäfer, I. (2019). Profiles of Childhood Trauma in Women With Substance Use Disorders and Comorbid Posttraumatic Stress Disorders. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 10, 674. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00674>
- McLaughlin, K. A., Conron, K. J., Koenen, K. C., & Gilman, S. E. (2010). Childhood adversity, adult stressful life events, and risk of past-year psychiatric disorder: a test of the stress sensitization hypothesis in a population-based sample of adults. *Psychological Medicine*, 40(10), 1647–1658. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291709992121>
- McLaughlin, K. A., DeCross, S. N., Jovanovic, T., & Tottenham, N. (2019). Mechanisms linking childhood adversity with psychopathology: Learning as an intervention target. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 118, 101–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2019.04.008>
- McLaughlin, K. A., Koenen, K. C., Bromet, E. J., Karam, E. G., Liu, H., Petukhova, M., Ruscio,

- A. M., Sampson, N. A., Stein, D. J., Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., Alonso, J., Borges, G., Demyttenaere, K., Dinolova, R. V., Ferry, F., Florescu, S., de Girolamo, G., Gureje, O., Kawakami, N., ... Kessler, R. C. (2017). Childhood adversities and post-traumatic stress disorder: evidence for stress sensitisation in the World Mental Health Surveys. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 211(5), 280–288. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.116.197640>
- McLaughlin, K. A., Sheridan, M. A., & Lambert, H. K. (2014). Childhood adversity and neural development: deprivation and threat as distinct dimensions of early experience. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 47, 578–591. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.10.012>
- Myin-Germeys, I., & van Os, J. (2007). Stress-reactivity in psychosis: evidence for an affective pathway to psychosis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 27(4), 409–424. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2006.09.005>
- Overmier, J. B., & Seligman, M. E. (1967). Effects of inescapable shock upon subsequent escape and avoidance responding. *Journal of Comparative and Physiological Psychology*, 63(1), 28–33. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0024166>
- Perry, B. D., Pollard, R. A., Blakley, T. L., Baker, W. L., & Vigilante, D. (1995). Childhood trauma, the neurobiology of adaptation, and “use-dependent” development of the brain: How “states” become “traits.” *Infant Mental Health Journal*, 16(4), 271–291. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0355\(199524\)16:4<271::AID-IMHJ2280160404>3.0.CO;2-B](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0355(199524)16:4<271::AID-IMHJ2280160404>3.0.CO;2-B)
- Pons, P., & Latapy, M. (2005). Computing Communities in Large Networks Using Random Walks. *Computer and Information Sciences - ISCIS 2005*, 284–293. https://doi.org/10.1007/11569596_31
- Pryce, C. R., Azzinnari, D., Spinelli, S., Seifritz, E., Tegethoff, M., & Meinlschmidt, G. (2011). Helplessness: a systematic translational review of theory and evidence for its relevance to

understanding and treating depression. *Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 132(3), 242–267.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2011.06.006>

R Core Team. (2019). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org/>

Read, J., Perry, B. D., Moskowitz, A., & Connolly, J. (2001). The contribution of early traumatic events to schizophrenia in some patients: a traumagenic neurodevelopmental model.

Psychiatry, 64(4), 319–345. <https://doi.org/10.1521/psyc.64.4.319.18602>

Reichardt, J., & Bornholdt, S. (2006). Statistical mechanics of community detection. *Physical Review. E, Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics*, 74(1 Pt 2), 016110.

<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.74.016110>

Reininghaus, U., Gayer-Anderson, C., Valmaggia, L., Kempton, M. J., Calem, M., Onyejiaka, A., Hubbard, K., Dazzan, P., Beards, S., Fisher, H. L., Mills, J. G., McGuire, P., Craig, T. K. J., Garety, P., van Os, J., Murray, R. M., Wykes, T., Myin-Germeys, I., & Morgan, C. (2016). Psychological processes underlying the association between childhood trauma and psychosis in daily life: an experience sampling study. *Psychological Medicine*, 46(13), 2799–2813. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S003329171600146X>

Reis, R. S., Hino, A. A. F., & Añez, C. R. R. (2010). Perceived stress scale: reliability and validity study in Brazil. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 15(1), 107–114.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105309346343>

Rhemtulla, M., Fried, E. I., Aggen, S. H., Tuerlinckx, F., Kendler, K. S., & Borsboom, D.

(2016). Network analysis of substance abuse and dependence symptoms. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 161, 230–237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2016.02.005>

Roberti, J. W., Harrington, L. N., & Storch, E. A. (2006). Further Psychometric Support for the 10-Item Version of the Perceived Stress Scale. *Journal of College Counseling*, 9(2), 135–147. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2161-1882.2006.tb00100.x>

- Rössler, W., Ajdacic-Gross, V., Rodgers, S., Haker, H., & Müller, M. (2016). Childhood trauma as a risk factor for the onset of subclinical psychotic experiences: Exploring the mediating effect of stress sensitivity in a cross-sectional epidemiological community study. *Schizophrenia Research*, 172(1-3), 46–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2016.02.006>
- Ryff, C. D., Almeida, D. M., Ayanian, J., Carr, D. S., Cleary, P. D., Coe, C., & Williams, D. (2017). *Midlife in the United States (MIDUS 2), 2004-2006* [Data set]. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [distributor]. <https://doi.org/10.3886/ICPSR04652.v7>
- Ryff, C. D., Seeman, T., & Weinstein, M. (2019). *Midlife in the United States (MIDUS 2): Biomarker Project, 2004-2009* [Data set]. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research [distributor]. <https://doi.org/10.3886/ICPSR29282.v9>
- Seligman, M. E., & Maier, S. F. (1967). Failure to escape traumatic shock. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 74(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0024514>
- Sheridan, M. A., & McLaughlin, K. A. (2014). Dimensions of early experience and neural development: deprivation and threat. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 18(11), 580–585. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2014.09.001>
- Soffer, N., Gilboa-Schechtman, E., & Shahar, G. (2008). The Relationship of Childhood Emotional Abuse and Neglect to Depressive Vulnerability and Low Self-Efficacy. *International Journal of Cognitive Therapy*, 1(2), 151–162. <https://doi.org/10.1521/ijct.2008.1.2.151>
- Teicher, M. H., & Samson, J. A. (2016). Annual Research Review: Enduring neurobiological effects of childhood abuse and neglect. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 57(3), 241–266. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12507>
- Tolin, D. F., & Foa, E. B. (2006). Sex differences in trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder: a quantitative review of 25 years of research. *Psychological Bulletin*, 132(6), 959–992.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.132.6.959>

Toth, S. L., Cicchetti, D., Macfie, J., & Emde, R. N. (1997). Representations of self and other in the narratives of neglected, physically abused, and sexually abused preschoolers.

Development and Psychopathology, 9(4), 781–796.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/s0954579497001430>

van Borkulo, C. D., Boschloo, L., Kossakowski, J., Tio, P., Schoevers, R. A., Borsboom, D., &

Waldorp, L. J. (2017). Comparing network structures on three aspects: A permutation test.

Manuscript in Preparation. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.29455.38569>

van Nierop, M., Lecei, A., Myin-Germeys, I., Collip, D., Viechtbauer, W., Jacobs, N., Derom,

C., Thiery, E., van Os, J., & van Winkel, R. (2018). Stress reactivity links childhood trauma exposure to an admixture of depressive, anxiety, and psychosis symptoms. *Psychiatry Research*, 260, 451–457.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2017.12.012>

Varese, F., Smeets, F., Drukker, M., Lieveise, R., Lataster, T., Viechtbauer, W., Read, J., van

Os, J., & Bentall, R. P. (2012). Childhood adversities increase the risk of psychosis: a meta-analysis of patient-control, prospective- and cross-sectional cohort studies. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 38(4), 661–671.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbs050>

Volpicelli, J., Balaraman, G., Hahn, J., Wallace, H., & Bux, D. (1999). The role of

uncontrollable trauma in the development of PTSD and alcohol addiction. *Alcohol Research & Health*, 23(4), 256–262.

Research & Health, 23(4), 256–262.

Wadsworth, M. E. (2015). Development of Maladaptive Coping: A Functional Adaptation to

Chronic, Uncontrollable Stress. *Child Development Perspectives*, 9(2), 96–100.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12112>

Weinstein, M., Ryff, C. D., & Seeman, T. E. (2019). *Midlife in the United States (MIDUS*

Refresher): Biomarker Project, 2012-2016 [Data set]. Ann Arbor, MI: Inter-university

Consortium for Political and Social Research [distributor].

<https://doi.org/10.3886/ICPSR36901.v6>