

Hyper-Entities Part II

From Identification to Action

Linda Petrini, Beatrice Erkers & Martin Karlsson — Foresight Institute

Background

[Part I](#) identified 39 hyper-entities — future systems that don't yet exist but already reorganize coordination, investment, and narrative. Each was scored (hyper-entity qualification, technology impact, d/acc values alignment) and enriched with state-of-the-art research and next-steps analysis.

Part I asked: *What futures are already pulling the present toward them?*

Part II asks: *What should we do about it — who should do it, and how do we fund it?*

Proposal

We build an **open, community-driven interface** for turning hyper-entity research into concrete action: identifying viable next steps, surfacing the right people and skills, and distributing funding to the work that matters most.

The system combines [coordination.network](#) (open-source collaborative research platform with shareable “Skills” and agent integration), [owockibot’s](#) capital allocation mechanisms (quadratic funding, bounty boards, and futarchy for AI agents), and [Drips](#) for programmatic funding streams.

How It Works

Phase	What Happens
Seed	Each hyper-entity becomes a problem node on coordination.network, pre-loaded with Part I research (SOTA, next steps, scores, source transcripts).
Deliberate	The Foresight community votes on proposed next steps per entity — surfacing what's most promising, most tractable, and where the community has expertise. Same cross-review methodology as Part I, applied to <i>actions</i> .
Decompose	Winning next steps become scoped bounties — research tasks, prototype builds, policy drafts — claimable by humans or AI agents via owockibot’s bounty board .
Fund	Funders stream capital to problem areas via Drips; rewards auto-distribute to solvers. No grant committees, no quarterly reports.
Iterate	Completed bounties feed back into the knowledge base, updating SOTA and unlocking new steps. The system becomes a living research engine.

What Makes This Different

- **Agent-native bounties.** AI agents can already [autonomously sign up for services, navigate invitations, and complete multi-step workflows](#) via chat alone. We scope bounties so agents handle research-heavy lifting (literature reviews, data analysis, landscape scans) while human experts validate and steer — using the 55+ onchain capital allocation mechanisms [owockibot](#) is building.
- **From static report to living system.** Part I produced a curated list; Part II turns it into an evolving coordination tool where the research updates itself as work gets done.
- **Community intelligence on actions, not just ideas.** The voting mechanism focuses on tractability and skill-matching, not just importance.
- **Programmatic, transparent funding.** The funding graph is composable and public — anyone can see where capital flows and what it produced.

Deliverables

1. Coordination.network deployment with 39 problem nodes seeded from Part I

2. Community voting interface for next-step prioritization per entity
3. Bounty templates for common research tasks (lit review, tech scan, policy brief, expert synthesis)
4. Agent Skills for automating research subtasks on coordination.network
5. Drips integration for streaming micro-grants to bounty solvers
6. Live dashboard extending the Part I highlights page with progress tracking