ML Homework 1

林大权

ID: 85610653

- **1 (1)**: 1) 反欺诈:一些恶意商户为了在某个电商平台或者推荐平台上,刷自己旗下的商品的交易量或访问量,这时平台的安全人员可以用机器学习算法进行数据分析,找到离群点,很快发现欺诈数据。
 - 2) 交通拥堵预测:分析以往数据预测未来道路交通情况,及时规避拥堵路段。

2 (2):

证明. Suppose a sufficiently small step αd , $\alpha > 0$.

We have $f(\boldsymbol{x} + \alpha \boldsymbol{d}) = f(\boldsymbol{x}) + \alpha \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})^T \boldsymbol{d} + o(\alpha||\boldsymbol{d}||)$, where $o(\alpha||\boldsymbol{d}||) \to 0$ as $\alpha \to 0$, since $||\boldsymbol{d}||$ is 1.

 \Rightarrow

$$\frac{f(\boldsymbol{x} + \alpha \boldsymbol{d}) - f(\boldsymbol{x})}{\alpha} = \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})^T \boldsymbol{d} + \frac{o(\alpha ||\boldsymbol{d}||)}{\alpha}$$

Since $\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{o(\alpha||\boldsymbol{d}||)}{\alpha}$,

then $\exists \bar{\alpha}$, s.t. $\forall \alpha \in (0, \bar{\alpha})$,

we have $\frac{o(\alpha||\boldsymbol{d}||)}{\alpha} < \frac{1}{2} |\alpha \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})^T \boldsymbol{d}|$

Since $\alpha \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})^T \boldsymbol{d} < 0$ by assumption, we conclude that $\forall \alpha \in (0, \bar{\alpha})$,

$$f(\boldsymbol{x} + \alpha \boldsymbol{d}) - f(\boldsymbol{x}) < \frac{1}{2}\alpha \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})^T \boldsymbol{d} < 0$$

2 (1):

证明. : the Hessian of $f: \mathbf{H}$ is PSD, and $\forall x \neq \mathbf{0}$, we have $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{x} \geq 0$

 \therefore From the key, we can get $f(x) \geq f(x') + \nabla f(x')^T (x - x')$

 $\therefore \forall x, y \in \mathbf{dom}(f)$, Suppose $z = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$

we have

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) \ge f(\boldsymbol{z}) + \nabla f(\boldsymbol{z})^T (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z})$$
 (1)

$$f(\mathbf{y}) \ge f(\mathbf{z}) + \nabla f(\mathbf{z})^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z})$$
(2)

then $(1) * \lambda + (2) * (1 - \lambda)$, we have:

$$\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) \ge f(z) + \nabla f(z)^T (\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y - z) = f(z) = f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)$$

 $\therefore f$ is convex.

2 (2):

证明. :: f is convex, be definition:

$$\lambda f(y) + (1 - \lambda)f(x) \ge f(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x), \forall \lambda \in [0, 1], x, y \in dom(f)$$

 \Rightarrow

$$f(x) + \lambda(f(y) - f(x)) \ge f(x + \lambda(y - x))$$

 \Rightarrow

$$f(\boldsymbol{y}) - f(\boldsymbol{x}) \ge \frac{f(\boldsymbol{x} + \lambda(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x})) - f(\boldsymbol{x})}{\lambda(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x})}(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x})$$

 \Rightarrow

$$f(\boldsymbol{y}) - f(\boldsymbol{x}) \ge \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x})^T (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x})$$

Suppose x^* is the global minimizer, x^* doesn't satisfy $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$, we can move x^* along direction $-\nabla f(x^*)$ with non-zero distance to y; such that $\nabla f(x^*)^T(y-x^*) < 0$.

Consider $\phi(\alpha) = f(\boldsymbol{x}^* + \alpha(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}^*))$, because f is convex, such that $\forall \alpha \in [0, 1] \ \boldsymbol{x}^* + \alpha(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}^*) \in \boldsymbol{dom}(f)$.

Observe that

$$\phi'(\alpha) = (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}^*)^T \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}^* + \alpha(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}^*))$$

 \Rightarrow

$$\phi'(0) = (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}^*)^T \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) < 0$$

This implies that

$$\exists \delta > 0, s.t. \ \phi(\alpha) < \phi(0), \forall \alpha \in (0, \delta)$$

 \Rightarrow

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}^* + \alpha(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}^*)) < f(\boldsymbol{x}^*), \forall \alpha \in (0, \delta)$$

But this contradicts the optimality of x^* .