

IS2020 Jinchao Li 1155133496

Q1 (DS & Algo.)

I. `int isSumTree(struct TreeNode* node) {`  
    `int ls, rs;`  
    `if (node == NULL || (node->left == NULL && node->right == NULL)) {`  
        `return 1;`  
    `}`  
    `ls = sum(node->left);` // function "sum" is written below.  
    `rs = sum(node->right);` // get sum of left & right subtrees.  
    `if ((node->value == ls + rs) && isSumTree(node->left) &&`  
        `isSumTree(node->right)) { return 1; }`  
    `return 0;`  
}

(move to top) `int sum(struct TreeNode* node) {`  
    `if (node == NULL) { return 0; }`  
    `return sum(node->left) + node->value + sum(node->right);`  
}

II. T:

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13		33	5		24	27	9	

search for 44:  $44 \% 11 = 0$ , examine '0', continue  
 $[0 + 1 \times (1 + 44 \% 5)] \% 11 = 5$ , examine '5', continue.  
 $[0 + 2 \times (1 + 4)] \% 11 = 10$ , examine '10', break.

$\therefore$  locs and keys:  $0 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 10$ .

III. we can achieve it in a recursive approach:

- 1: divide  $A_1, A_2$  into two parts respectively. denoted as  $A_{1l}, A_{1r}, A_{2l}, A_{2r}$ .
- 2:  $A_{1l}, A_{2l}$  are left part, and  $A_{1r}, A_{2r}$  are right part.  
make sure that  $\text{len}(\text{left}) = \text{len}(\text{right})$ ,  $\max(\text{left}) \leq \min(\text{right})$ .  
then we have  $\text{median} = \frac{1}{2} [\max(\text{left}) + \min(\text{right})]$ .
- 3: note that left and right part contains also two sorted subarrays,  
So we can repeat 1,2 until get median.

The complexity is  $O(\log(n_1 + n_2))$ .  $\square$



IS 2020 Jinchao Li 1155133496

Q2

I. ① Deadlock occurs conditions of: 1. Mutual exclusion, 2. Hold and wait, 3. No preemption, 4. Circular wait.

It occurs when each process holds a resource and wait for another resource held by any other process.

② Starvation occurs when high priority processes keep executing and low priority processes get blocked for indefinite time.

II. ① Virtual memory address refers to the virtual store viewed by processes.

② physical memory address refers to hardware addresses of physical memory.

③ there are independent, and virtual one 'map' to physical one.

III. (a) 3 or 8.

```
(b) bool atomic_add(&word, value) {  
    compare_and_swap(word, 1, 1);  
    word = word + value;  
    return word;  
}
```

IV. sequence: 0, 2, 3, 7, 1, 3, 2, 3, 7, 6, 5, 3, 2, 6, 5, 6.

LRU:

0	0	0	7	7	7	2	2	2	6	6	6	2	2	2	2
	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	7	7	7	3	3	3	5	5
		3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	6	6	6
			F	F		F		F	F	F	F	F	F	F	

→ page faults: 10

FIFO:

0	0	0	7	7	7	7	3	3	3	5	5	5	6	6	6
	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	7	7	7	3	3	3	5	5
		3	3	3	3	2	2	2	6	6	6	2	2	2	2
			F	F		F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	

→ page faults: 11

∴ page faults of LRU: 10

∴ of FIFO: 11. □



IS 2020 Jinchao Li 1155133496

Q3 (Database)

(a)

I.  $CID \text{ } \rho_{count-distinct(MID) \geq 100} (MATCH)$

II.  $PID \text{ } \rho_{sum(P1)+sum(P2)} (PLAYER \bowtie MATCH)$ .

(b) I.   
select CID  
from MATCH  
group by ~~distinct~~ MID  
having ~~distinct~~ count-distinct (MID)  $\geq 100$ .

II.   
select PID, sum(P1)+sum(P2)  
from PLAYER natural join MATCH  
where PLAYER.PID = MATCH.P1 or PLAYER.PID = MATCH.P2

(c) COURT:  $n_c = 1000, nb_c = 50 \therefore b_c = \frac{1000}{50} = 20$  (number of blocks)

MATCH:  $n_m = 50,000, nb_m = 40 \therefore b_m = 50,000/40 = 1250$

20 entries/node

~~if~~ COURT as outer-relation:  $h(B+) = \log_{20/2} (50,000) \approx 5$ .

$\therefore$  nb of block transfers:

$$b_c + n_m (h(B+) + 1) = 20 + 50,000 \times (5+1) = 6020.$$

Q4 (Data Mining)

(i) (a) original Gini-index =  $1 - (\frac{5}{8})^2 - (\frac{3}{8})^2 \approx 0.47$

if choose A:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gini-index}(A) &= \frac{3}{8} (1-1) + \frac{5}{8} [1 - (\frac{2}{5})^2 - (\frac{3}{5})^2] \\ &= 0.3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{gain}(A) = 0.47 - 0.3 = 0.17$$

if choose B:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gini-index}(B) &= \frac{4}{8} (1 - 0.5^2 - 0.5^2) + \frac{4}{8} [1 - (\frac{3}{4})^2 - (\frac{1}{4})^2] \\ &\approx 0.44 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{gain}(B) = 0.47 - 0.44 \approx 0.03 < \text{gain}(A).$$

$\Rightarrow$  choose A as first splitting criteria.

(b)  $P(+)=\frac{5}{8}, P(-)=\frac{1}{8}, P(A=1|+)=\frac{3}{5}, P(A=0|+)=\frac{2}{5}, P(A=1|-)=0, P(A=0|-)=1$ .

$P(B=1|+)=\frac{2}{5}, P(B=0|+)=\frac{3}{5}, P(B=1|-)=\frac{2}{3}, P(B=0|-)=\frac{1}{3}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore P(+|A=1, B=0) &= \frac{P(+, A=1, B=0)}{P(A=1, B=0)} = \frac{P(+, A=1, B=0|+)}{P(A=1, B=0|+)} \sim \frac{P(A=1|+) P(B=0|+) P(+)}{P(A=1|+) P(B=0|+)} \\ &= \frac{3}{5} \times \frac{3}{5} \times \frac{5}{8} = 0.225 \end{aligned}$$

$$P(-|A=1, B=0) \sim P(A=1|-) P(B=0|-) P(-) = 0$$

by smoothing,  $P(-|A=1, B=0) \sim \frac{1}{8} \times \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{8} < (P(+|A=1, B=0))$

$\therefore$  it will be '+' class.



IS 2020 Jinchao Li 1155133496

Q4

(ii) a) ①  $C_1 = 7, C_2 = 50, C_3 = 60, \therefore \begin{cases} C_1: 7, 13, 20, 25 \\ C_2: 30, 42, 50 \\ C_3: 60 \end{cases}$

② update:  $C_1 = 16.25, C_2 = \frac{1}{3}(30+42+50) = \frac{122}{3} \approx 40.7, C_3 = 60$   
new classes distribution:  $\begin{cases} C_1: 7, 13, 20, 25 \\ C_2: 30, 42, 50 \\ C_3: 60 \end{cases}$

the class distribution doesn't change, break. (k-means done.)

(b)

Q5 (Information Retrieval)

(i)

- ① A document collection
- ② A test suite of information needs, expressible as queries
- ③ A set of relevance judgements, standardly a binary assessment of relevant or nonrelevant for each query-document pair.

(ii)

precision will decrease generally, and recall will increase until 1.

- (iii) ① as recall increasing to 100% by just getting all documents, the arithmetic mean will get at least 50%, which is unsuitable.
- ② Moreover, F-measure is more closer to min (Precision, Recall).

(iv)

(a) let  $\langle t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n_d} \rangle$  be tokens in  $d$ . ~~etc~~ then

$$g = \arg \max_{c \in C} \hat{p}(c) \prod_{1 \leq k \leq n_d} \hat{p}(t_k | c) \quad (\text{or use } \log).$$

- (b)  $\hat{p}$  is estimated by training set, in 'log' version, we can see that the predict is related to the frequency of token in the document. Multinomial Model estimates  $\hat{p}$  as fraction of tokens and positions. And Bernoulli model estimates  $\hat{p}$  as fraction of documents.

(c) Multinomial Model. because Bernoulli ignores the number of occurrences, and fraction of tokens/positions, which Multinomial needs them.  $\square$