Table 4: Average ROC-AUC (over 10 random seeds) of 5 different graph embedding methods and 2 different outlier detectors over 5 "X&Non-X" type datasets. Each dataset has 2 down-sampled variants, 'DC' stands for downsampled class, which is also outlier class. Cell colored with Red. Green, Yellow represent: performance of both variants are worse than random, both variants are better than random, and performance flip scenario, respectively. Performance flip is widely observed. Among all cases, 67.3% have performance gap > 0.2, 52.7% cases have performance gap > 0.3, 30.9% have performance gap > 0.4.

Methods	DD		PROTEINS		NCI1		Mutagenicity		AIDS	
	DC=0	DC=1	DC=0	DC=1	DC=0	DC=1	DC=0	DC=1	DC=0	DC=1
	"X"	"Non-X"	"X"	"Non-X"	"Non-X"	"X"	"X"	"Non-X"	"X"	"Non-X"
WL-LOF	0.186	0.815	0.276	0.664	0.730	0.349	0.460	0.629	0.193	0.950
PK-LOF	0.194	0.824	0.389	0.557	0.678	0.366	0.480	0.613	0.387	0.896
WL-OCSVM	0.179	0.820	0.189	0.794	0.653	0.341	0.500	0.540	0.048	0.972
PK-OCSVM	0.222	0.809	0.244	0.751	0.593	0.429	0.517	0.541	0.175	0.880
OCGIN-5	0.327	0.720	0.370	0.681	0.643	0.467	0.503	0.650	0.200	0.922
Graph2Vec-LOF	0.680	0.362	0.644	0.414	0.594	0.588	0.495	0.616	0.919	0.424
FGSD-LOF	0.628	0.425	0.468	0.422	0.712	0.417	0.458	0.634	0.934	0.290
Graph2Vec-OCSVM	0.631	0.336	0.569	0.466	0.332	0.634	0.492	0.491	0.903	0.035
FGSD-OCSVM	0.384	0.781	0.385	0.711	0.545	0.550	0.366	0.664	0.979	0.743
Graph2Vec-IF	0.656	0.335	0.552	0.449	0.341	0.636	0.446	0.586	0.904	0.035
FGSD-IF	0.745	0.400	0.773	0.272	0.384	0.637	0.476	0.569	0.984	0.018
e 5: Same configuration : B dataset across all methng all cases, 30.6% have	ods. OCGI	N has perform	nance abov	e random acı	oss all datase	ts. Perfori	nance flip i	s still widely	observed for	s not observe or other met
ethods	IMDB-BIN	IARY EN	ZYMES(c0&c1) E	NZYMES(c2	2&c3) 1	REDDIT-	5K(c0&c1)	REDDI	T-5K(c2&c
-	DC=0 I			/		,	OC=0	DC=1	DC=2	DC=3

0.758

0.755

0.607

0.456

0.587

0.398

0.449

0.492

0.523

0.410

0.300

0.399

0.633

0.462

0.642

0.636

0.532

0.608

0.649

0.613

0.604

0.708

0.261

0.485

0.268

0.388

0.662

0.376

0.465

0.505

0.734

0.579

0.739

0.696

0.622

0.563

0.528

0.545

0.189

0.361

0.180

0.207

0.573

0.678

0.679

0.695

0.810

0.640

0.821

0.802

0.608

0.323

0.329

0.326

FGSD-IF	0.745	0.400	0.773	0.272	0.38	0.63	$7 \mid 0.47$	6 0.569	0.984	0.018	
Table 5: Same configuration IMDB dataset across all met Among all cases, 30.6% have	hods. OCGI	N has perform	nance abov	e random a	cross all d	atasets. Per	formance f	ip is still widely	observed fe		
	IMDB-BIN DC=0 D		ZYMES(c	/	ENZYMI DC=2	$\frac{\mathrm{ES}(\mathrm{c}2\&\mathrm{c}3)}{\mathrm{DC}=3}$	REDDI DC=0	TT-5K(c0&c1) DC=1	REDDI DC=2	T-5K(c2&c3 DC=3	3)

0.519

0.550

0.385

0.402

0.517

0.354

0.412

0.419

0.503

0.346

0.456

WL-LOF

PK-LOF

WL-OCSVM

PK-OCSVM

Graph2Vec-LOF

FGSD-OCSVM

Graph2Vec-IF

FGSD-IF

Graph2Vec-OCSVM

OCGIN-5

FGSD-LOF

0.603

0.624

0.524

0.538

0.643

0.534

0.606

0.526

0.516

0.516

0.522

0.651

0.581

0.571

0.548

0.508

0.558

0.505

0.551

0.586

0.562

0.617

0.518

0.553

0.598

0.590

0.615

0.551

0.644

0.565

0.531

0.572

0.537

Graph2Vec-OCSVA	0.631	0.336	0.569	0.466	0.332	0.634	0.492	0.491	0.903	0.035	
FGSD-OCSVM	0.384	0.781	0.385	0.711	0.545	0.550	0.366	0.664	0.979	0.743	
Graph2Vec-IF	0.656	0.335	0.552	0.449	0.341	0.636	0.446	0.586	0.904	0.035	
FGSD-IF	0.745	0.400	0.773	0.272	0.384	0.637	0.476	0.569	0.984	0.018	
Table 5: Same configuration as Table 1 but over 5 "X&Y" type datasets. FGSD cannot run over REDDIT datasets. Performance flip is not observed for IMDB dataset across all methods. OCGIN has performance above random across all datasets. Performance flip is still widely observed for other methods. Among all cases, 30.6% have performance gap≥ 0.2, 22.4% cases have performance gap ≥ 0.3, 12.2% have performance gap≥ 0.4.											
Methods	IMDB-BIN	ADV EN	NZYMES(0(ro1) E	NZYMES(c	009\ T	DEDDITE I	5K(c0&c1)	DEDDI	Γ-5K(c2&c3	\