$d_1 = 0.1722$ $\beta_1 = 0.96983$ 4.148503 $d_2 = 0.3543$ $\beta_2 = 0.93783$ 4.19940 **Problem Set 8** 0.3392047 + 0.94057x 4.1 = 4.1955 $d_3 = 0.52216$ $\beta_3 = 0.90835$ Due Wednesday March 19, 4pm

Data Exercises

- (1) The FRED label for the US unemployment rate is UNRATE. The newest observation (Feb 2025) is released on March 7. Based on the AR(1) model with an intercept, derive one-, two-, and three-step-ahead point forecasts for the unemployment rate by 3 different methods we considered: plug-in, iterated, direct. Explain your calculations and discuss the results. (For each method you should report forecasts for 3 periods.)
- (2) Take the AR(1) model

$$y_t = \alpha + \beta y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

where the errors ε_t are i.i.d. white noise $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$.

- (a) Set $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0.25$.
 - (i) Calculate the mean $\mu = E(y_t)$. (For this part you do not need Matlab.)
 - (ii) Simulate a series of length T=240. Set the initial value $y_1=\mu$ to equal the unconditional mean (from part (a)). (This is similar to problem 4 from the last problem set). Create a time-series plot of your series.
 - (iii) Estimate an AR(1) model. Are your coefficient estimates close to the true values?
- (b) Repeat with $\alpha = 10$, $\beta = 0.9$.
- (c) Repeat with $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = -0.5$.

Theoretical Questions

- (3) Use the iteration rule to forecast the AR(1) process $y_t = \beta y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$. Assume that all parameters are known.
 - (a) Show that the optimal forecasts are

$$y_{T+1|T} = \beta y_T$$

$$y_{T+2|T} = \beta^2 y_T$$

$$\dots$$

$$y_{T+h|T} = \beta^h y_T$$

(b) Show that the corresponding forecast errors are

$$u_{T+1|T} = y_{T+1} - y_{T+1|T} = \varepsilon_{T+1}$$

$$u_{T+2|T} = y_{T+2} - y_{T+2|T} = \varepsilon_{T+2} + \beta \varepsilon_{T+1}$$

$$\dots$$

$$u_{T+h|T} = y_{T+h} - y_{T+h|T} = \varepsilon_{T+h} + \beta \varepsilon_{T+h-1} + \dots + \beta^{h-1} \varepsilon_{T+1}$$

(c) Show that the forecast error variances are

$$\sigma_1^2 = \sigma^2$$

$$\sigma_2^2 = \sigma^2 (1 + \beta^2)$$

$$\cdots$$

$$\sigma_h^2 = \sigma^2 (1 + \beta^2 + \dots + \beta^{2h-2}) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \beta^{2i}$$

(d) Show that the limiting forecast error variance is

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} \sigma_h^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \beta^2}$$

the unconditional variance of the AR(1) process.

(4) Take the AR(1) model

$$y_t = \alpha + \beta y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \quad \varepsilon_t \sim i.i.d.(0, \sigma^2).$$

Explain why the variance of the forecast error from a two-step-ahead forecast is larger than the variance of the forecast error from the one-step-ahead forecast.

4. For AR(1), the forecase error for 1-Step and 2-Step, Similar to Q3, we have $Var(Ut+1|t+1) = Var(Y_{t+1} - Y_{t+1}|t+1) = Var(E_{t+1}) = \sigma^2$ $Var(Ut+2|t+1) = Var(Y_{t+2} - Y_{t+2}|t+1) = \beta^2 Var(E_{t+1}) + Var(E_{t+2}) + Cov(\beta E_{t+1}, E_{t+2}) = 0 \text{ Since } E_t \text{ is } wv.$ $= \beta^2 \cdot \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 = (1+\beta^2) \cdot \sigma^2$ Since $\beta^2 \ge 0$, and $\sigma^2 \ge 0$, $Var(Ut+2|t+1) \ge Var(Ut+1|t+1)$.
Thus, the varience of forecast error from 2-Step is larger than 1-Step forecast.

```
A(1): Yt = \beta Yt_{-1} + \xi t
(a). By defaule, we have quadratic loss function so the optimal forecase point is conditional expectation,
 Y_{T+1|T} = \frac{E[Y_{T+1}|\Omega_T]}{E[Y_{T+1}|\Omega_T]} = E[\beta Y_T + \epsilon_{T+1}|\Omega_T] = \beta \cdot Y_T + \delta = \beta Y_T
  Y_{T+2}|_{T} = E[Y_{T+2}|_{\Omega_{T}}] = E[BY_{T+1} + \varepsilon_{T+2}|_{\Omega_{T}}] = B \cdot E[Y_{T+1}|_{\Omega_{T}}] + 0
   = \beta \cdot \beta \gamma_T = \beta^2 \gamma_T
    · · · Inductively, we have
  \forall \tau + h \mid T = E \left[ \forall_{T+h} \mid \Omega_{T} \right] = \beta \cdot E \left[ \forall_{T+h-1} \mid \Omega_{T} \right] + 0 = \beta^{2} \cdot E \left[ \forall_{T+h-2} \mid \Omega_{T} \right] + 0 = \cdots
  =\beta^{h-1}\cdot E[Y_{T+h-h+1}]\Omega_{T}]+0=\beta^{h}\cdot E[Y_{T}]\Omega_{T}]=\beta^{h}\cdot Y_{T}.
                                                                                                                                                     \mathbb{I}
(b), by a, we can calculate forecast errors;
   W_{T+1|T} = Y_{T+1} - Y_{T+1|T} = (\beta Y_T + \mathcal{E}_{T+1}) - \beta Y_T = \mathcal{E}_{T+1}
   U_{T+2}|_{T} = Y_{T+2} - Y_{T+2}|_{T} = (\beta^2 Y_{T} + \beta \cdot \xi_{T+1} + \xi_{T+2}) - \beta^2 Y_{T} = \beta \xi_{T+1} + \xi_{T+2}
     · · · Inductively, we have
\mathsf{U}_{\mathsf{T}+h}\,\mathsf{I}_{\mathsf{T}}\,=\,\,\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{T}+h}\,-\,\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{T}+h|\mathsf{T}}\,=\,\mathsf{L}\,\,\beta\,\,,\,\,\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{T}+h-1}\,\,+\,\,\varepsilon_{\mathsf{T}+h}\,\,\mathsf{J}\,-\,\,\mathsf{P}^{h}\,,\,\,\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{T}}\,=\,\,\mathsf{L}\,\,\beta\,\,,\,\,(\,\beta\,\cdot\,\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{T}+h-2}\,+\,\,\varepsilon_{\mathsf{T}+h-1}\,)\,\,+\,\,\varepsilon_{\mathsf{T}+h}\,\,\mathsf{J}\,\,-\,\,\beta^{h}\,,\,\,\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{T}}
   = \left[ \beta^{2} (Y_{T} + h_{-3} + \varepsilon_{T+h-2}) + \beta \cdot \varepsilon_{T+h-1} + \varepsilon_{T+h} \right] - \beta^{h} \cdot Y_{\overline{1}} = \cdots
   = [βh, yt + βh-1, ετ+1 + ··· + βετ+1, + ετ+h] - βh, yt
   =\beta^{h-1}\cdot \epsilon_{T+1}+\cdots+\beta \epsilon_{T+h-1}+\epsilon_{T+h}
(c), WTS Var(u)
   \sigma_1^2 = Var(UTHIT) = Var(ETHI) = \sigma^2
   \sigma_{2}^{2} = Var(U_{7+2/7}) = Var(\beta \xi_{7+1} + \xi_{7+2}) = \beta Var(\xi_{7+1}) + Var(\xi_{7+2}) + \frac{Cov(\beta \xi_{7+1}, \xi_{7+2})}{2}
                                                                                                                                              > equal o when Ez is WN.
   =\beta^2 \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 = (1+\beta^2) \cdot \sigma^2
   \sigma_{h}^{2} = Var(U_{T+h|T}) = Var(\beta^{h-1} \cdot \epsilon_{T+1} + \cdots + \beta \epsilon_{T+h-1} + \epsilon_{T+h}) = (\beta^{h-1})^{2} \cdot Var(\epsilon_{T+1}) + \cdots + \epsilon_{T+h}
   \beta^{2} Var(\xi_{TH-1}) + Var(\xi_{T+h}) = (\beta^{h-1})^{2} \cdot \sigma^{2} + \cdots + (\beta^{i})^{2} \cdot \sigma^{2} + (\beta^{o})^{2} \sigma^{2} = \sigma^{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} (\beta^{i})^{2}
```

(d),
$$\sigma_h^2 = \sigma^2 \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \beta^{2i}$$
 by geometric series Sum formula, set $r = \beta^2$

$$\sigma_{h}^{2} = \sigma^{2} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} r^{i} = \sigma^{2} \cdot \frac{1-r^{h}}{1-r} = \sigma^{2} \cdot \frac{1-\beta^{2h}}{1-\beta^{2}}$$

As how
$$\sigma_h^2 = \sigma^2 \cdot \frac{1 - \beta^{2h}}{1 - \beta^2} \longrightarrow \sigma^2 \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \beta^2}$$
 when $|\beta| < 1$, $\beta^{2h} \rightarrow 0$ as $|\beta| < 1$

Thus,
$$\lim_{h\to\infty} \sigma_h^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\beta^2}$$
 when $|\beta|<1$.

Since
$$Var(U_{T+h|T}) = Var(\beta^{h-1}, \varepsilon_{T+1} + \cdots + \beta \varepsilon_{T+h-1} + \varepsilon_{T+h}) =$$

Var
$$(\underline{\beta^h}, \underline{y_T} + \beta^{h-1}, \underline{\epsilon_{T+1}} + \cdots + \beta \underline{\epsilon_{T+h-1}} + \underline{\epsilon_{T+h}}) = Var(\underline{y_{T+h}}|_T)$$
 Since $\underline{y_T}$ is realization (specific value) tixed.
So $\frac{\sigma^2}{1-\beta^2}$ is the unconditional varience of AR(1) model