

$$\ln(y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \text{time}$$

Problem Set 4

Due Wednesday February 5, 4pm

if reg $y = \beta_0 \cdot e^{\beta_1 \cdot \text{time}}$, get β_0, β_1 .

Data Exercises

$$\ln y = \underbrace{\ln(\beta_0)}_{\alpha} + \beta_1 \cdot \text{time}$$

(1) Extract data on the aggregate level of U.S. quarterly imports, seasonally adjusted, in real 2017 chained dollars, currently available for 1947Q1 through 2024Q4.¹ The FRED label is IMPGSC1. Create a time index.

(a) For the period 1947 – 2005, plot the level of imports, and its natural log, against time.

(b) By inspection, determine if the imports series is better represented using a linear or exponential trend.

(c) Estimate an exponential trend model using the estimation period 1947 – 2005.

Report results. $Y_t = T_t + \varepsilon_t$, $T_t = e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot t} \Leftrightarrow \ln(T_t) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot t$

(d) Generate point and 90% interval forecasts for the log-level of imports for 2006Q1-2024Q4. Plot the data (log-levels) up to 2005Q4 and your forecast for 2006Q1-2024Q4. Comment.
 (residual) $\varepsilon_t = y_t - \hat{T}_t$

(e) Plot your forecasts against the actual data (log-levels) for 2006Q1-2024Q4. How did the forecast perform?

(f) Generate point and 90% interval forecasts for the level of imports for 2006 – 2024Q4. Plot the data (levels) up to 2005Q4 and your forecast for 2006Q1-2024Q4. Comment.

(g) Plot your forecasts against the actual data for 2006Q1-2024Q4. How did the forecast perform?

(h) Now re-estimate using the full sample 1947 – 2024Q4. Report results. Generate point and 90% interval forecasts for the level of imports for the next 16 quarters (4 years).

(i) Do the forecasts appear reliable or unreliable?

¹In matlab you can do this via `fred(...)` and `fetch(...)` commands.

Theoretical Questions

- (2) *Although this problem can be solved on a computer, try to solve it with a pen and paper (and maybe a calculator) to get a better feel for what is behind trend estimation.* Your friend has a knitting business and she want to estimate a linear trend model without an intercept for her annual profit. She has five years of data:

| Time | Profit |
|------|--------|
| 2020 | 300 |
| 2021 | 350 |
| 2022 | 630 |
| 2023 | 780 |
| 2024 | 1020 |

- (a) Estimate a model

$$y_t = \beta \cdot t + \varepsilon_t, \quad t = 1, \dots, 5$$

by minimizing the sum of squared residuals (i.e., by OLS) based on observed data.

- (b) Make a 5-year-ahead point forecast of your friend's profit.

- (3) In the trend model

$$y_t = T_t + \varepsilon_t, \quad T_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Time}_t$$

suppose $\beta_1 > 0$.

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Time}_t + \varepsilon_t$$

- (a) Does this mean that the series y_t is expected to grow or decline in subsequent periods?
 When $\beta_1 > 0$, y_t is expected to grow in subsequent periods. Because $E[y_{t+h} | y_1, \dots, y_t] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \text{Time}_{t+h}$, Expected y_t increase as t increase.
- (b) Does this mean that the series y_t will grow with certainty in every period?
 No, Since ε_t is i.i.d, for example, it's possible that the random ε_{t+1} randomly take a negative value with large absolute value and ε_t randomly take a positive value at t , That may make $y_{t+1} < y_t$, meaning that y_t will not grow. In essence, since ε_t is i.i.d. random variable, it's possible that $y_t = T_t + \varepsilon_t > y_{t+h} = T_{t+h} + \varepsilon_{t+h}$ even though $T_{t+h} > T_t$. Thus, y_t will not grow with certainty in every point.

- (2) Although this problem can be solved on a computer, try to solve it with a pen and paper (and maybe a calculator) to get a better feel for what is behind trend estimation. Your friend has a knitting business and she want to estimate a linear trend model without an intercept for her annual profit. She has five years of data:

| Time | Profit |
|------|--------|
| 2020 | 300 |
| 2021 | 350 |
| 2022 | 630 |
| 2023 | 780 |
| 2024 | 1020 |

$$y_t = \beta_1 \cdot t + \varepsilon_t$$

- (a) Estimate a model

$$y_t = \overset{\text{Scaler}}{\beta} \cdot t + \varepsilon_t, t = 1, \dots, 5$$

by minimizing the sum of squared residuals (i.e., by OLS) based on observed data.

- (b) Make a 5-year-ahead point forecast of your friend's profit.

(a) Set time = 2020 as 1, the base year, then all following years are 2, 3, 4, 5,

For the model $y_t = \beta \cdot t + \varepsilon_t$, we use b to forecast it. Then, we have $y_t = b \cdot t + \varepsilon_t$,

$$\text{To min SSR, we want } \min_b \text{SSR}(b) = \min_b \sum_{t=1}^5 \varepsilon_t^2 = \sum_{t=1}^5 (y_t - b \cdot t)^2 =$$

$$\min_b (300 - b)^2 + (350 - 2b)^2 + (630 - 3b)^2 + (780 - 4b)^2 + (1020 - 5b)^2$$

$$\text{F.o.C.: } \frac{\partial \text{SSR}(b)}{\partial b} = -2(300 - b) - 4(350 - 2b) - 6(630 - 3b) - 8(780 - 4b) - 10(1020 - 5b) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -600 + 2b - 1400 + 8b - 3780 + 18b - 6240 + 32b - 10200 + 50b = 0$$

$$110b - 2000 - 10020 - 10200 = 0$$

$$110b = 22220 \quad \text{so } b = 202,$$

$$\text{Check S.o.C.: } \frac{\partial^2 \text{SSR}(b)}{\partial b^2} = 110 > 0, \text{ which means the optimal point is a minimizer,}$$

So the optimal b is $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\beta} = 202$

(b) To forecast y_{s+h} we have. $E[y_{s+h} | y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5] = E[\beta \cdot (s+h) + \varepsilon_{s+h} | y_1, \dots, y_5]$
 $= \beta \cdot (s+h) + E[\varepsilon_{s+h} | y_1, \dots, y_5] = \beta \cdot (s+h)$. Since we don't know β , we use $\hat{\beta}$ to estimate

The fitted value, is $\hat{y}_{t+h|h} = \hat{\beta} \cdot (s+h) = 202(s+h)$

$$\hat{y}_{T+1|T} = \hat{\beta} \cdot (T+1) = 202 \cdot 6 = 1212 \quad (\text{year } 6) \quad \hat{y}_{T+4|T} = \hat{\beta} \cdot (T+4) = 202 \cdot 9 = 1818 \quad (\text{year } 9)$$

$$\hat{y}_{T+2|T} = \hat{\beta} \cdot (T+2) = 202 \cdot 7 = 1414 \quad (\text{year } 7) \quad \hat{y}_{T+5|T} = \hat{\beta} \cdot (T+5) = 202 \cdot 10 = 2020 \quad (\text{year } 10)$$

$$\hat{y}_{T+3|T} = \hat{\beta} \cdot (T+3) = 202 \cdot 8 = 1616 \quad (\text{year } 8)$$

(3) In the trend model

$$y_t = T_t + \varepsilon_t, \quad T_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Time_t$$

suppose $\beta_1 > 0$.

- (a) Does this mean that the series y_t is expected to grow or decline in subsequent periods?
- (b) Does this mean that the series y_t will grow with certainty in every period?