

# GÖDEL'S SECOND INCOMPLETE-NESS THEOREM

**Abbreviation.** We use  $\mu x[\varphi(x)]$  to abbreviate

$$\varphi(x) \wedge (\forall x' < x) \neg \varphi(x').$$

**Proposition (That Has Been Proven).** *The following are derivable from  $\Phi_{\text{PA}}$ :*

- (a)  $\neg \mathbf{m} \equiv \mathbf{n}$ , for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \neq n$ .
- (b)  $\forall x(0 + x \equiv x)$ .
- (c)  $\forall x \forall y((x + 1) + y \equiv x + (y + 1))$ .
- (d)  $\forall x \forall y(x + y \equiv x \rightarrow y \equiv 0)$ .
- (e)  $\forall x(x < \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \neg x \equiv \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1})$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (f)  $(\forall x < \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}) \bigvee_{i=0}^n x \equiv \mathbf{i}$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (g)  $\forall x(\neg x \equiv 0 \rightarrow \exists y x \equiv y + 1)$ .
- (h)  $\forall x(1 + x \equiv x + 1)$ .
- (i)  $\forall x(x \equiv 0 \vee 0 < x)$ .
- (j)  $\forall x \forall y(\neg x \equiv y \rightarrow (x < y \vee y < x))$ .
- (k)  $\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n} \equiv (\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n})$ , for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (l)  $\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n} \equiv (\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n})$ , for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (m)  $\forall x(\neg x \equiv \mathbf{n} \rightarrow (x < \mathbf{n} \vee \mathbf{n} < x))$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (n)  $\forall x \forall y(x < y \rightarrow \neg(x \equiv y \vee y < x))$ .
- (o)  $\forall x \forall y \forall z(x + z \equiv y + z \rightarrow x \equiv y)$ .

**Propositions (That Has Not Yet Been Proven).** *The following are derivable from  $\Phi_{\text{PA}}$ :*

- (1)  $0 \leq x$
- (2)  $(x < y \wedge y < z) \rightarrow x < z$
- (3)  $\neg x < x$
- (4)  $(x \leq y \wedge y \leq x) \rightarrow x \equiv y$
- (5)  $x < y \rightarrow x + 1 < y + 1$
- (6)  $x < y \leftrightarrow x + 1 \leq y$
- (7)  $x < y \vee x \equiv y \vee y < x$
- (8)  $x + y \equiv y + x$
- (9)  $x + (y + z) \equiv (x + y) + z$
- (10)  $x \cdot y \equiv y \cdot x$
- (11)  $x \cdot (y \cdot z) \equiv (x \cdot y) \cdot z$
- (12)  $x \cdot (y + z) \equiv (x \cdot y) + (x \cdot z)$
- (13)  $x < y \rightarrow x + z < y + z$
- (14)  $x + z < y + z \rightarrow x < y$
- (15)  $0 < z \rightarrow x + 1 \leq x + z$
- (16)  $(0 < z \wedge x < y) \rightarrow x \cdot z < y \cdot z$
- (17)  $(0 < z \wedge x \cdot z \equiv y \cdot z) \rightarrow x \equiv y$
- (18)  $(x < y \wedge z < w) \rightarrow x + z < y + w$
- (19)  $(x < y \wedge z < w) \rightarrow x \cdot z < y \cdot w$

**Proposition.**

- (1)  $\Phi_{\text{PA}} \vdash \underline{m} + \underline{n} \equiv \underline{m + n}$
- (2)  $\Phi_{\text{PA}} \vdash \underline{m \cdot n} \equiv \underline{m} \cdot \underline{n}$

*Proof.* (INCOMPLETE) We use induction on  $n$  in both cases.

For (1), the base case  $n = 0$  is trivial since  $\forall x \ x + 0 \equiv x \in \Phi_{\text{PA}}$ . For the inductive case  $n = k + 1$ , the terms  $\underline{m} + \underline{k + 1}$ ,  $(\underline{m} + \underline{k}) + 1$ ,  $(\underline{m} + \underline{k}) + 1 = \underline{(m + k) + 1} = \underline{m + (k + 1)}$  are provably equivalent in  $\Phi_{\text{PA}}$ , using (9) in the above proposition and induction hypothesis.

For (2), the base case  $n = 0$  is trivial since  $\forall x \ x \cdot 0 \equiv 0 \in \Phi_{\text{PA}}$ . For the inductive case  $n = k + 1$ , the terms  $\underline{m} \cdot \underline{k + 1}$ ,  $(\underline{m} \cdot \underline{k}) + \underline{m}$ ,  $\underline{m \cdot k} + \underline{m}$ ,  $\underline{(m \cdot k) + m} = \underline{m \cdot (k + 1)}$  are provably equivalent in  $\Phi_{\text{PA}}$ , using the fact that  $\forall x \forall y \ x \cdot (y + 1) \equiv x \cdot y + x \in \Phi_{\text{PA}}$ , induction hypothesis and the result obtained in (1).  $\square$

**Proposition.** *Let  $t$  be a variable-free  $S_{\text{ar}}$ -term, i.e. an  $S_{\text{ar}}$ -term in which no variable occurs; we write  $t^{\mathfrak{N}}$  for the evaluation of  $t$  in  $\mathfrak{N}$  (e.g.  $(1 + 1)^{\mathfrak{N}} = 2$ ). Then*

$$\Phi_{\text{PA}} \vdash t \equiv \underline{t^{\mathfrak{N}}}.$$

*Proof.* The claim is proven by induction on  $t$ : It is clear for  $t = 0$  or  $t = 1$ ; for  $t = t_1 + t_2$  or  $t = t_1 \cdot t_2$ , the claim follows by induction hypothesis and the above proposition.  $\square$

**Lemma on Primitive Recursive Functions.** *Every primitive recursive function is  $\Sigma_1$ -definable. Moreover, if  $f$  is defined by primitive recursion on  $g$  and  $h$ , then the recursion equations are provable.*

**Lemma on Course-of-Values Induction.** *For any formula  $\varphi$ , the following is derivable in  $\Phi_{\text{PA}}$ :*

$$\forall x ((\forall y < x) \varphi \frac{y}{x} \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow \forall x \varphi.$$

**Lemma on the Least Principle.** *For any formula  $\varphi$ , the following is derivable in  $\Phi_{\text{PA}}$ :*

$$\exists x \varphi \rightarrow \exists x (\varphi \wedge (\forall y < x) \neg \varphi \frac{y}{x}).$$

*Proof.* (INCOMPLETE) Immediately follows from the above lemma.  $\square$

**Proposition.**

$$\Phi_{\text{PA}} \vdash \exists z (x \equiv y + z \vee x < y).$$

Thus, it is valid to introduce the following function:

**Definition.** The binary function  $\text{sub}(m, n)$  returns the value  $m - n$  if  $m \geq n$  and 0 otherwise; it is the least  $d$  such that  $(m = n + d \text{ or } m < n)$ .

It is represented by  $\text{sub}(x, y) \equiv z$ :

$$\mu z [x \equiv y + z \vee x < y].$$

**Proposition.** *The following are derivable from  $\Phi_{\text{PA}}$ :*

- (a)  $x < y \rightarrow \text{sub}(x, y) \equiv 0$
- (b)  $x \geq y \rightarrow x \equiv y + \text{sub}(x, y)$
- (c)  $z \cdot \text{sub}(x, y) \equiv \text{sub}(z \cdot x, z \cdot y)$

**Proposition.**

$$\Phi_{\text{PA}} \vdash \exists u \exists z \ x \equiv y \cdot z + u.$$

This proposition triggers the following definition:

**Definition.** The binary function  $\text{rem}(m, n)$  returns the remainder of  $m$  divided by  $n$  if  $n > 0$  and  $m$  otherwise; it is the least  $r$  such that there is a  $q$  with  $m = n \cdot q + r$ .

It is represented by  $\text{rem}(x, y) \equiv z$ :

$$\mu z [\exists u \ x \equiv y \cdot u + z].$$

**Definition.** The binary function  $\text{div}(m, n)$  returns the quotient of  $m$  divided by  $n$  if  $n > 0$  and 0 otherwise; it is the least  $q$  such that  $m = n \cdot q + \text{rem}(m, n)$ .

It is represented by  $\text{div}(x, y) \equiv z$ :

$$\mu z [x \equiv y \cdot z + \text{rem}(x, y)].$$

**Abbreviation.** The formula  $\varphi_{div}(x, y)$  states that  $x$  divides  $y$ :

$$rem(x, y) \equiv 0.$$

**Proposition.**

$$\Phi_{PA} \vdash \varphi_{div}(y, cut(x, rem(x, y))).$$

**Proposition.**

$$\Phi_{PA} \vdash \varphi_{div}(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z \ x \cdot z \equiv x.$$

**Proposition.**

$$\Phi_{PA} \vdash (0 < x \wedge \varphi_{div}(y, x)) \rightarrow y \leq x.$$

**Definition.** The unary function  $exp(m, n)$  returns the  $n$ th power  $m^n$  of  $m$  (where  $m^0 := 1$  for any  $m$ ).

The formula  $exp(x, y) \equiv z$  is represented by

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists t (\exists p < t) \\ & (\beta(t, p, 0) \equiv 1 \wedge \\ & (\forall u < y) \beta(t, p, u + 1) \equiv \beta(t, p, u) \cdot x \wedge \\ & \beta(t, p, y) \equiv z). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition.** The unary function  $fact(n)$  returns  $n$  factorial.

The formula  $fact(x) \equiv y$  is represented by

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists t (\exists p < t) \\ & (\beta(t, p, 0) \equiv 1 \wedge \\ & (\forall u < x) \beta(t, p, u + 1) \equiv \beta(t, p, u) \cdot (u + 1) \wedge \\ & \beta(t, p, x) \equiv y). \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition.**

$$\Phi_{PA} \vdash \varphi_{div}(fact(x), fact(x + y)).$$

*Proof.* First, it is clear that

$$\Phi_{\text{PA}} \vdash \varphi_{\text{div}}(\text{fact}(x), \text{fact}(x + 0))$$

since  $\Phi_{\text{PA}} \vdash x + 0 \equiv x$ .

Next, assume

$$\Phi_{\text{PA}} \vdash \varphi_{\text{div}}(\text{fact}(x), \text{fact}(x + y)).$$

Then

$$\Phi_{\text{PA}} \vdash \exists z \text{ fact}(x) \cdot z \equiv \text{fact}(x + y).$$

Since

$$\Phi_{\text{PA}} \vdash \text{fact}(x + (y + 1)) \equiv (x + (y + 1)) \cdot \text{fact}(x + y),$$

it follows that

$$\Phi_{\text{PA}} \vdash \exists u \text{ fact}(x) \cdot u \equiv \text{fact}(x + (y + 1)),$$

namely

$$\Phi_{\text{PA}} \vdash \varphi_{\text{div}}(\text{fact}(x), \text{fact}(x + (y + 1))).$$

The proposition holds by induction scheme. □

**Proposition.**

$$\Phi_{\text{PA}} \vdash 0 < x \rightarrow (\forall y \leq x)(0 < y \rightarrow \varphi_{\text{div}}(y, \text{fact}(x))).$$

**Abbreviation.** Let  $\varphi_{\text{prm}}(x)$  abbreviate

$$1 < x \wedge (\forall y \leq x)((1 < y \wedge \varphi_{\text{div}}(y, x)) \rightarrow y \equiv x).$$

**Proposition.**

$$\Phi_{\text{PA}} \vdash (\varphi_{\text{prm}}(x) \wedge \varphi_{\text{prm}}(y) \wedge \varphi_{\text{div}}(y, \text{fact}(x) + 1)) \rightarrow x < y.$$

**Proposition.**

$$\Phi_{\text{PA}} \vdash 1 < x \rightarrow (\exists y \leq x)(\varphi_{\text{prm}}(y) \wedge \varphi_{\text{div}}(y, x)).$$

**Proposition.**

$$\Phi_{\text{PA}} \vdash \varphi_{\text{prm}}(x) \rightarrow (\exists y \leq \text{fact}(x) + 1)(\varphi_{\text{prm}}(y) \wedge x < y).$$

**Definition.** Let the unary function  $\text{prime}(n)$  be defined by the following primitive recursion equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{prime}(0) &:= 2 \\ \text{prime}(n+1) &:= \mu q \leq \text{fact}(\text{prime}(n)) + 1 [\varphi_{\text{prm}}(q) \wedge \text{prime}(n) < q]. \end{aligned}$$

The formula  $\text{prime}(x) \equiv y$  is represented by

$$\begin{aligned} &\exists t(\exists p < t) \\ &(\beta(t, p, 0) \equiv 2 \wedge \\ &(\forall u < x)\beta(t, p, u+1) \equiv f(\beta(t, p, u)) \wedge \\ &\beta(t, p, x) \equiv y), \end{aligned}$$

where  $f(x) \equiv y$  is represented by

$$\mu y \leq \text{fact}(x) + 1 [\varphi_{\text{prm}}(y) \wedge x < y].$$