```
function TABLE-DRIVEN-AGENT(percept) returns an action persistent: percepts, a sequence, initially empty table, a table of actions, indexed by percept sequences, initially fully specified append percept to the end of percepts action \leftarrow Lookup(percepts, table) return action
```

Figure 2.7 The TABLE-DRIVEN-AGENT program is invoked for each new percept and returns an action each time. It retains the complete percept sequence in memory.

```
function Reflex-Vacuum-Agent([location,status]) returns an action if status = Dirty then return Suck else if location = A then return Right else if location = B then return Left
```

Figure 2.8 The agent program for a simple reflex agent in the two-state vacuum environment. This program implements the agent function tabulated in Figure 2.3.

```
function SIMPLE-REFLEX-AGENT(percept) returns an action persistent: rules, a set of condition—action rules state \leftarrow \text{INTERPRET-INPUT}(percept) \\ rule \leftarrow \text{RULE-MATCH}(state, rules) \\ action \leftarrow rule. \text{ACTION} \\ \text{return } action
```

Figure 2.10 A simple reflex agent. It acts according to a rule whose condition matches the current state, as defined by the percept.

```
 \begin{aligned} \textbf{function } & \textbf{MODEL-BASED-REFLEX-AGENT}(\textit{percept}) \textbf{ returns} \text{ an action} \\ & \textbf{persistent} \text{: } \textit{state}, \text{ the agent's current conception of the world state} \\ & \textit{model}, \text{ a description of how the next state depends on current state and action} \\ & \textit{rules}, \text{ a set of condition-action rules} \\ & \textit{action}, \text{ the most recent action, initially none} \\ & \textit{state} \leftarrow \textbf{UPDATE-STATE}(\textit{state, action, percept, model}) \\ & \textit{rule} \leftarrow \textbf{RULE-MATCH}(\textit{state, rules}) \\ & \textit{action} \leftarrow \textit{rule}. \textbf{ACTION} \\ & \textbf{return } \textit{action} \end{aligned}
```

Figure 2.12 A model-based reflex agent. It keeps track of the current state of the world, using an internal model. It then chooses an action in the same way as the reflex agent.

```
function SIMPLE-PROBLEM-SOLVING-AGENT( percept) returns an action persistent: seq, an action sequence, initially empty state, some description of the current world state goal, a goal, initially null problem, a problem formulation state \leftarrow \text{UPDATE-STATE}(state, percept) if seq is empty then goal \leftarrow \text{Formulate-Goal}(state) problem \leftarrow \text{Formulate-Problem}(state, goal) seq \leftarrow \text{Search}(problem) if seq = failure then return a null action action \leftarrow \text{First}(seq) seq \leftarrow \text{Rest}(seq) return\ action
```

Figure 3.1 A simple problem-solving agent. It first formulates a goal and a problem, searches for a sequence of actions that would solve the problem, and then executes the actions one at a time. When this is complete, it formulates another goal and starts over.

```
function TREE-SEARCH(problem) returns a solution, or failure initialize the frontier using the initial state of problem loop do

if the frontier is empty then return failure choose a leaf node and remove it from the frontier if the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solution expand the chosen node, adding the resulting nodes to the frontier
```

function GRAPH-SEARCH(problem) returns a solution, or failure initialize the frontier using the initial state of problem initialize the explored set to be empty loop do

if the frontier is empty then return failure choose a leaf node and remove it from the frontier if the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solution add the node to the explored set expand the chosen node, adding the resulting nodes to the frontier only if not in the frontier or explored set

Figure 3.7 An informal description of the general tree-search and graph-search algorithms. The parts of GRAPH-SEARCH marked in bold italic are the additions needed to handle repeated states.

```
function CHILD-NODE(problem, parent, action) returns a node
return a node with
STATE = problem.RESULT(parent.STATE, action),
PARENT = parent, ACTION = action,
PATH-COST = parent.PATH-COST + problem.STEP-COST(parent.STATE, action)
```

```
function Breadth-First-Search(problem) returns a solution, or failure

node ← a node with State = problem.Initial-State, Path-Cost = 0

if problem.Goal-Test(node.State) then return Solution(node)

frontier ← a FIFO queue with node as the only element

explored ← an empty set

loop do

if Empty?(frontier) then return failure

node ← Pop(frontier) /* chooses the shallowest node in frontier */

add node.State to explored

for each action in problem.Actions(node.State) do

child ← Child-Node(problem, node, action)

if child.State is not in explored or frontier then

if problem.Goal-Test(child.State) then return Solution(child)

frontier ← Insert(child, frontier)
```

Figure 3.11 Breadth-first search on a graph.

```
function UNIFORM-COST-SEARCH(problem) returns a solution, or failure

node ← a node with STATE = problem.INITIAL-STATE, PATH-COST = 0

frontier ← a priority queue ordered by PATH-COST, with node as the only element
explored ← an empty set

loop do

if EMPTY?(frontier) then return failure

node ← POP(frontier) /* chooses the lowest-cost node in frontier */
if problem.GOAL-TEST(node.STATE) then return SOLUTION(node)
add node.STATE to explored

for each action in problem.ACTIONS(node.STATE) do

child ← CHILD-NODE(problem, node, action)
if child.STATE is not in explored or frontier then

frontier ← INSERT(child, frontier)
else if child.STATE is in frontier with higher PATH-COST then
replace that frontier node with child
```

Figure 3.14 Uniform-cost search on a graph. The algorithm is identical to the general graph search algorithm in Figure 3.7, except for the use of a priority queue and the addition of an extra check in case a shorter path to a frontier state is discovered. The data structure for *frontier* needs to support efficient membership testing, so it should combine the capabilities of a priority queue and a hash table.

```
function DEPTH-LIMITED-SEARCH(problem, limit) returns a solution, or failure/cutoff return RECURSIVE-DLS(MAKE-NODE(problem.INITIAL-STATE), problem, limit)

function RECURSIVE-DLS(node, problem, limit) returns a solution, or failure/cutoff if problem.GOAL-TEST(node.STATE) then return SOLUTION(node) else if limit = 0 then return cutoff else

cutoff_occurred? ← false

for each action in problem.ACTIONS(node.STATE) do

child ← CHILD-NODE(problem, node, action)

result ← RECURSIVE-DLS(child, problem, limit − 1)

if result = cutoff then cutoff_occurred? ← true

else if result ≠ failure then return result

if cutoff_occurred? then return cutoff else return failure
```

Figure 3.17 A recursive implementation of depth-limited tree search.

```
function ITERATIVE-DEEPENING-SEARCH(problem) returns a solution, or failure for depth = 0 to \infty do result \leftarrow DEPTH-LIMITED-SEARCH(problem, depth) if result \neq cutoff then return result
```

Figure 3.18 The iterative deepening search algorithm, which repeatedly applies depth-limited search with increasing limits. It terminates when a solution is found or if the depth-limited search returns *failure*, meaning that no solution exists.

```
function RECURSIVE-BEST-FIRST-SEARCH(problem) returns a solution, or failure
   return RBFS(problem, MAKE-NODE(problem.INITIAL-STATE), \infty)
function RBFS(problem, node, f\_limit) returns a solution, or failure and a new f-cost limit
  if problem.GOAL-TEST(node.STATE) then return SOLUTION(node)
  successors \leftarrow [\ ]
  for each action in problem.ACTIONS(node.STATE) do
      add CHILD-NODE(problem, node, action) into successors
  if successors is empty then return failure, \infty
  for each s in successors do /* update f with value from previous search, if any */
      s.f \leftarrow \max(s.g + s.h, node.f)
  loop do
      best \leftarrow \text{the lowest } f\text{-value node in } successors
      if best.f > f\_limit then return failure, best.f
      alternative \leftarrow the second-lowest f-value among successors
      result, best.f \leftarrow RBFS(problem, best, min(f\_limit, alternative))
      if result \neq failure then return result
```

Figure 3.26 The algorithm for recursive best-first search.

```
function HILL-CLIMBING(problem) returns a state that is a local maximum current \leftarrow \text{MAKE-NODE}(problem.\text{INITIAL-STATE})
loop do
neighbor \leftarrow a highest-valued successor of current
if neighbor.VALUE ≤ current.VALUE then return current.STATE
current \leftarrow neighbor
```

Figure 4.2 The hill-climbing search algorithm, which is the most basic local search technique. At each step the current node is replaced by the best neighbor; in this version, that means the neighbor with the highest VALUE, but if a heuristic cost estimate h is used, we would find the neighbor with the lowest h.

Figure 4.5 The simulated annealing algorithm, a version of stochastic hill climbing where some downhill moves are allowed. Downhill moves are accepted readily early in the annealing schedule and then less often as time goes on. The schedule input determines the value of the temperature T as a function of time.

```
function GENETIC-ALGORITHM(population, FITNESS-FN) returns an individual
  inputs: population, a set of individuals
           FITNESS-FN, a function that measures the fitness of an individual
  repeat
      new\_population \leftarrow empty set
      for i = 1 to Size(population) do
          x \leftarrow \text{RANDOM-SELECTION}(population, Fitness-Fn)
          y \leftarrow \text{RANDOM-SELECTION}(population, FITNESS-FN)
          child \leftarrow REPRODUCE(x, y)
          if (small random probability) then child \leftarrow MUTATE(child)
          add child to new_population
      population \leftarrow new\_population
  until some individual is fit enough, or enough time has elapsed
  return the best individual in population, according to FITNESS-FN
function Reproduce(x, y) returns an individual
  inputs: x, y, parent individuals
  n \leftarrow \text{LENGTH}(x); c \leftarrow \text{random number from } 1 \text{ to } n
  return Append(Substring(x, 1, c), Substring(y, c + 1, n))
```

Figure 4.8 A genetic algorithm. The algorithm is the same as the one diagrammed in Figure 4.6, with one variation: in this more popular version, each mating of two parents produces only one offspring, not two.

```
function AND-OR-GRAPH-SEARCH(problem) returns a conditional plan, or failure OR-SEARCH(problem.Initial-State, problem, [])

function OR-SEARCH(state, problem, path) returns a conditional plan, or failure if problem.GOAL-TEST(state) then return the empty plan if state is on path then return failure for each action in problem.ACTIONS(state) do plan \leftarrow \text{AND-SEARCH}(\text{RESULTS}(state, action), problem, [state \mid path])  if plan \neq failure then return [action | plan] return failure

function AND-SEARCH(states, problem, path) returns a conditional plan, or failure for each s_i in states do plan_i \leftarrow \text{OR-SEARCH}(s_i, problem, path) if plan_i = failure then return failure return [if s_1 then plan_1 else if s_2 then plan_2 else . . . if s_{n-1} then plan_{n-1} else plan_n]
```

Figure 4.11 An algorithm for searching AND—OR graphs generated by nondeterministic environments. It returns a conditional plan that reaches a goal state in all circumstances. (The notation $[x \mid l]$ refers to the list formed by adding object x to the front of list l.)

```
function ONLINE-DFS-AGENT(s') returns an action
  inputs: s', a percept that identifies the current state
  persistent: result, a table indexed by state and action, initially empty
               untried, a table that lists, for each state, the actions not yet tried
               unbacktracked, a table that lists, for each state, the backtracks not yet tried
               s, a, the previous state and action, initially null
  if GOAL-TEST(s') then return stop
  if s' is a new state (not in untried) then untried [s'] \leftarrow ACTIONS(s')
  if s is not null then
       result[s, a] \leftarrow s'
       add s to the front of unbacktracked[s']
  if untried[s'] is empty then
      if unbacktracked[s'] is empty then return stop
      else a \leftarrow an action b such that result[s', b] = POP(unbacktracked[s'])
  else a \leftarrow POP(untried[s'])
  s \leftarrow s'
  return a
```

Figure 4.21 An online search agent that uses depth-first exploration. The agent is applicable only in state spaces in which every action can be "undone" by some other action.

```
function LRTA*-AGENT(s') returns an action
   inputs: s', a percept that identifies the current state
   persistent: result, a table, indexed by state and action, initially empty
                H, a table of cost estimates indexed by state, initially empty
                s, a, the previous state and action, initially null
  if GOAL-TEST(s') then return stop
  if s' is a new state (not in H) then H[s'] \leftarrow h(s')
  if s is not null
       result[s, a] \leftarrow s'
      H[s] \leftarrow \min_{b \in ACTIONS(s)} LRTA*-Cost(s, b, result[s, b], H)
   a \leftarrow an action b in ACTIONS(s') that minimizes LRTA*-Cost(s', b, result[s', b], H)
   s \leftarrow s'
   return a
function LRTA*-Cost(s, a, s', H) returns a cost estimate
  if s' is undefined then return h(s)
  else return c(s, a, s') + H[s']
```

Figure 4.24 LRTA*-AGENT selects an action according to the values of neighboring states, which are updated as the agent moves about the state space.

```
\begin{aligned} & \textbf{function } \textbf{MINIMAX-DECISION}(state) \textbf{ returns } an \ action \\ & \textbf{return } \arg\max_{a} \in \textbf{ACTIONS}(s) \ \textbf{MIN-VALUE}(\textbf{RESULT}(state,a)) \end{aligned} \\ & \textbf{function } \textbf{MAX-VALUE}(state) \textbf{ returns } a \ utility \ value \\ & \textbf{if } \textbf{TERMINAL-TEST}(state) \textbf{ then } \textbf{ return } \textbf{ UTILITY}(state) \\ & v \leftarrow -\infty \\ & \textbf{for } \textbf{ each } a \textbf{ in } \textbf{ ACTIONS}(state) \textbf{ do} \\ & v \leftarrow \textbf{MAX}(v, \textbf{MIN-VALUE}(\textbf{RESULT}(s,a))) \\ & \textbf{return } v \end{aligned} \\ & \textbf{function } \textbf{MIN-VALUE}(state) \textbf{ returns } a \ utility \ value \\ & \textbf{ if } \textbf{ TERMINAL-TEST}(state) \textbf{ then } \textbf{ return } \textbf{ UTILITY}(state) \\ & v \leftarrow \infty \\ & \textbf{ for } \textbf{ each } a \textbf{ in } \textbf{ ACTIONS}(state) \textbf{ do} \\ & v \leftarrow \textbf{MIN}(v, \textbf{MAX-VALUE}(\textbf{RESULT}(s,a))) \\ & \textbf{ return } v \end{aligned}
```

Figure 5.3 An algorithm for calculating minimax decisions. It returns the action corresponding to the best possible move, that is, the move that leads to the outcome with the best utility, under the assumption that the opponent plays to minimize utility. The functions MAX-VALUE and MIN-VALUE go through the whole game tree, all the way to the leaves, to determine the backed-up value of a state. The notation $\underset{a \in S}{\operatorname{Max}} f(a)$ computes the element a of set S that has the maximum value of f(a).

```
function ALPHA-BETA-SEARCH(state) returns an action
   v \leftarrow \text{MAX-VALUE}(state, -\infty, +\infty)
   return the action in ACTIONS(state) with value v
function MAX-VALUE(state, \alpha, \beta) returns a utility value
   if TERMINAL-TEST(state) then return UTILITY(state)
   v \leftarrow -\infty
  for each a in ACTIONS(state) do
      v \leftarrow \text{MAX}(v, \text{MIN-VALUE}(\text{RESULT}(s, a), \alpha, \beta))
      if v \geq \beta then return v
      \alpha \leftarrow \text{MAX}(\alpha, v)
   return v
function MIN-VALUE(state, \alpha, \beta) returns a utility value
  if TERMINAL-TEST(state) then return UTILITY(state)
   v \leftarrow +\infty
   for each a in ACTIONS(state) do
      v \leftarrow \text{MIN}(v, \text{MAX-VALUE}(\text{RESULT}(s, a), \alpha, \beta))
      if v \leq \alpha then return v
      \beta \leftarrow MIN(\beta, v)
   return v
```

Figure 5.7 The alpha–beta search algorithm. Notice that these routines are the same as the MINIMAX functions in Figure 5.3, except for the two lines in each of MIN-VALUE and MAX-VALUE that maintain α and β (and the bookkeeping to pass these parameters along).

```
function AC-3(csp) returns false if an inconsistency is found and true otherwise
  inputs: csp, a binary CSP with components (X, D, C)
  local variables: queue, a queue of arcs, initially all the arcs in csp
  while queue is not empty do
     (X_i, X_j) \leftarrow \text{REMOVE-FIRST}(queue)
     if REVISE(csp, X_i, X_j) then
       if size of D_i = 0 then return false
       for each X_k in X_i.NEIGHBORS - \{X_i\} do
          add (X_k, X_i) to queue
  return true
function REVISE(csp, X_i, X_j) returns true iff we revise the domain of X_i
  revised \leftarrow false
  for each x in D_i do
     if no value y in D_j allows (x,y) to satisfy the constraint between X_i and X_j then
       delete x from D_i
       revised \leftarrow true
  return revised
```

Figure 6.3 The arc-consistency algorithm AC-3. After applying AC-3, either every arc is arc-consistent, or some variable has an empty domain, indicating that the CSP cannot be solved. The name "AC-3" was used by the algorithm's inventor (Mackworth, 1977) because it's the third version developed in the paper.

```
function BACKTRACKING-SEARCH(csp) returns a solution, or failure
  return BACKTRACK(\{\}, csp)
function BACKTRACK(assignment, csp) returns a solution, or failure
  if assignment is complete then return assignment
  var \leftarrow Select-Unassigned-Variable(csp)
  for each value in Order-Domain-Values(var, assignment, csp) do
      if value is consistent with assignment then
         add \{var = value\} to assignment
         inferences \leftarrow Inference(csp, var, value)
         if inferences \neq failure then
            add inferences to assignment
            result \leftarrow BACKTRACK(assignment, csp)
            if result \neq failure then
              return result
      remove \{var = value\} and inferences from assignment
  return failure
```

Figure 6.5 A simple backtracking algorithm for constraint satisfaction problems. The algorithm is modeled on the recursive depth-first search of Chapter 3. By varying the functions SELECT-UNASSIGNED-VARIABLE and ORDER-DOMAIN-VALUES, we can implement the general-purpose heuristics discussed in the text. The function INFERENCE can optionally be used to impose arc-, path-, or k-consistency, as desired. If a value choice leads to failure (noticed either by INFERENCE or by BACKTRACK), then value assignments (including those made by INFERENCE) are removed from the current assignment and a new value is tried.

```
function MIN-CONFLICTS(csp, max\_steps) returns a solution or failure inputs: csp, a constraint satisfaction problem max\_steps, the number of steps allowed before giving up current \leftarrow \text{an initial complete assignment for } csp for i=1 to max\_steps do
    if current is a solution for csp then return current var \leftarrow a randomly chosen conflicted variable from csp. VARIABLES value \leftarrow the value v for var that minimizes CONFLICTS(var, v, current, csp) set var = value in current return failure
```

Figure 6.8 The MIN-CONFLICTS algorithm for solving CSPs by local search. The initial state may be chosen randomly or by a greedy assignment process that chooses a minimal-conflict value for each variable in turn. The CONFLICTS function counts the number of constraints violated by a particular value, given the rest of the current assignment.

```
function TREE-CSP-SOLVER(csp) returns a solution, or failure inputs: csp, a CSP with components X, D, C
n \leftarrow \text{number of variables in } X
assignment \leftarrow \text{an empty assignment}
root \leftarrow \text{any variable in } X
X \leftarrow \text{TOPOLOGICALSORT}(X, root)
\text{for } j = n \text{ down to } 2 \text{ do}
\text{MAKE-ARC-CONSISTENT}(\text{PARENT}(X_j), X_j)
\text{if it cannot be made consistent then return } failure
\text{for } i = 1 \text{ to } n \text{ do}
assignment[X_i] \leftarrow \text{any consistent value from } D_i
\text{if there is no consistent value then return } failure
\text{return } assignment
```

Figure 6.11 The TREE-CSP-SOLVER algorithm for solving tree-structured CSPs. If the CSP has a solution, we will find it in linear time; if not, we will detect a contradiction.

```
function KB-AGENT(percept) returns an action persistent: KB, a knowledge base t, a counter, initially 0, indicating time  \begin{aligned} & \text{Tell}(KB, \text{Make-Percept-Sentence}(percept, t)) \\ & action \leftarrow \text{Ask}(KB, \text{Make-Action-Query}(t)) \\ & \text{Tell}(KB, \text{Make-Action-Sentence}(action, t)) \\ & t \leftarrow t + 1 \end{aligned}  return action
```

Figure 7.1 A generic knowledge-based agent. Given a percept, the agent adds the percept to its knowledge base, asks the knowledge base for the best action, and tells the knowledge base that it has in fact taken that action.