

The Global Inequality in Computing Irrational Numbers: A Barrier to Scientific Democracy

Authors: Lino Casu, Akira(AI)

Abstract

The computational challenges of irrational numbers like π , e , and $\sqrt{2}$ extend beyond technical limitations and highlight systemic inequalities in global scientific research. This paper explores how resource-intensive calculations favor wealthier nations, leaving smaller and economically disadvantaged countries reliant on technological powers like the United States, China, India, and others. It argues that the lack of accessible infrastructure for high-precision computation perpetuates global scientific inequity, while addressing this gap could democratize knowledge and empower underrepresented nations in scientific discourse.

1. Introduction

Irrational numbers, fundamental constants of mathematics, underpin critical advancements in science, engineering, and technology. While theoretically universal, their practical computation requires substantial computational resources and expertise, often concentrated in technologically advanced nations.

Key Issues:

- Resource Dependence:** High-precision calculations rely on specialized hardware (e.g., supercomputers, GPUs) and software, inaccessible to many nations.
 - Scientific Gatekeeping:** Countries with computational dominance effectively control progress in fields like cryptography, numerical analysis, and quantum mechanics.
 - Economic Asymmetry:** Smaller nations face prohibitive costs to develop independent computational infrastructures.
-

2. Computational Challenges with Irrational Numbers

2.1 The Scale of Computation

- Resource Intensiveness:**
 - Calculating to trillions of digits requires terabytes of RAM, high-performance GPUs, and petabytes of storage.

- For example, the 2022 world record used **y-cruncher** on a system with 512 cores and 1.2 PB of storage.
- **Algorithmic Barriers:**
 - Efficient algorithms like Chudnovsky and BBP demand advanced implementation expertise.
 - Parallelization introduces further complexity, limiting small-scale adoption.

2.2 Broader Implications for Other Irrational Numbers

- **Cryptography:**
 - Constants such as e and π are critical to encryption algorithms.
 - Limited computational access weakens a country's capability to innovate in cybersecurity.
 - **Scientific Simulations:**
 - Numbers like e and π appear in physical modeling, where precision dictates accuracy.
-

3. Inequities in Computational Resources

3.1 Concentration of Power

- **Dominant Nations:**
 - The U.S., China, and India lead in supercomputing, with systems like Frontier (U.S.) and Fugaku (Japan).
 - These nations dictate computational research priorities.
- **Barriers for Smaller Nations:**
 - Lack of access to affordable GPUs and supercomputers.
 - Dependency on proprietary tools from dominant nations (e.g., CUDA, Intel MKL).

3.2 Economic Dependencies

- **Technological Imperialism:**
 - Wealthy nations control the production of critical hardware (e.g., NVIDIA GPUs).

- Smaller nations must import expertise and infrastructure, often at inflated costs.
 - **Impact on Education:**
 - Limited resources restrict training in advanced computational techniques, perpetuating dependence.
-

4. Addressing the Inequity

4.1 Democratizing Computational Infrastructure

- **Open-Source Tools:**
 - Develop open-source alternatives to proprietary software (e.g., CUDA).
 - Examples: OpenCL, ROCm for AMD GPUs.
- **Cloud Computing:**
 - Provide affordable cloud-based access to high-performance systems.
 - Create international partnerships for shared infrastructure.

4.2 Policy and Investment

- **Global Collaboration:**
 - Establish frameworks for shared supercomputing facilities.
 - Promote South-South collaborations between smaller nations.
- **National Investments:**
 - Fund local research into computational methods and hardware.
 - Prioritize STEM education with a focus on numerical computation.

4.3 Rethinking Scientific Sovereignty

- **Decentralizing Knowledge:**
 - Encourage publication of methods, not just results, to democratize research.
 - Share computational breakthroughs openly to reduce barriers to entry.
-

5. Conclusion

The inability of smaller nations to independently compute irrational numbers symbolizes a broader inequity in global science. By fostering open collaboration and prioritizing

access to computational resources, the international community can dismantle the barriers that entrench scientific gatekeeping. Empowering underrepresented nations is not just an ethical imperative but a catalyst for global progress.

Future Directions

- Development of distributed computing networks tailored for small nations.
- International agreements to ensure equitable access to computational tools and infrastructure.

References

1. Bailey, D. H., Borwein, P., & Plouffe, S. (1997). On the rapid computation of various polylogarithmic constants.
2. NVIDIA CUDA Toolkit Documentation.
3. OpenCL and ROCm: Democratizing GPU Computing.
4. Global Supercomputing Rankings: TOP500 List.