

Europ. J. Combinatorics (1997) **18**, 601–606

Roots of Polynomials Modulo Prime Powers

BRUCE DEARDEN AND JERRY METZGER

In general, not every set of values modulo n will be the set of roots modulo n of some polynomial. In this note, some characteristics of those sets which are root sets modulo a prime power are developed, and these characteristics are used to determine the number of different sets of integers which are root sets of polynomials modulo some prime powers.

© 1997 Academic Press Limited

1. INTRODUCTION

To say that R is a root set modulo n means that R is a subset of \mathbf{Z}_n , the ring of integers modulo n , and there is a polynomial the roots of which modulo n are exactly the elements of R . Note that \emptyset and \mathbf{Z}_n are always root sets modulo n .

It seems that only two papers have appeared which mention the nature of root sets modulo n , and then only at a very basic level: Sierpiński [3] and Chojnacka-Pniewska [1] noted that not every subset of \mathbf{Z}_6 is a root set modulo 6. Of course, for a prime p , every subset of \mathbf{Z}_p is a root set modulo p , but, in general, it appears that the property of being a root set modulo n is rare. The theorems of the next section provide tools that permit the efficient computation of the number of root sets modulo a prime power.

Throughout this note, p is a prime and k is a positive integer.

For an integer j and an integer $m \geq 1$, j^m , read j to the m falling, is defined by

$$j^m = j(j-1)(j-2) \cdots (j-m+1).$$

Also j^0 is defined to be 1.

For an integer $n \geq 1$, and a prime p , $\varepsilon_p(n)$ will denote the highest power of p that divides n . It is well known (see Graham, Knuth and Patashnik [2], for example), that for an integer $n \geq 1$, $\varepsilon_p(n!) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^i} \right\rfloor$. Finally, $\varepsilon_p(0)$ is taken to be $+\infty$.

LEMMA 1. For integers $j, m \geq 0$, $\varepsilon_p(j^m) \geq \varepsilon_p(m!)$.

PROOF. For $0 \leq j < m$, $j^m = 0$, and the inequality is clear.

For $j \geq m$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_p(j^m) &= \varepsilon_p\left(\frac{j!}{(j-m)!}\right) \\ &= \varepsilon_p(j!) - \varepsilon_p((j-m)!) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{j}{p^i} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{j-m}{p^i} \right\rfloor \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{i \geq 1} \left\lfloor \frac{m}{p^i} \right\rfloor \quad (\text{since } \lfloor a+b \rfloor \geq \lfloor a \rfloor + \lfloor b \rfloor) \\ &= \varepsilon_p(m!). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

LEMMA 2. If $j(m!) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$, then, for every $t \in \mathbf{Z}_{p^k}$, $j(t^m) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$.

601

PROOF. For $0 \leq t < m$, $j(t^m) = 0$, and so certainly $j(t^m) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$ in that case. On the other hand, if $t \geq m$, then, by Lemma 1, $\varepsilon_p(j(t^m)) \geq \varepsilon_p(j(m!))$. By hypothesis, the last quantity is at least k , and so $j(t^m) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$. \square

2. THE MAIN RESULTS

THEOREM 1. *Let R be a root set modulo p^k . For each $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1$, there is a polynomial f_j the root set modulo p^k of which is exactly $R_j = \{r \in R \mid r \equiv j \pmod{p}\}$.*

PROOF. For each $0 \leq j \leq p-1$, form two polynomials by splitting the factors, $(x-t)$, of x^{p^k} into two groups: $K_j(x)$ is the product of those factor for which $t \equiv j \pmod{p}$, and $L_j(x)$ is the product of those factors for which $t \not\equiv j \pmod{p}$. Note that for $r \equiv j \pmod{p}$, $K_j(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$ and $L_j(r)$ is not a zero divisor modulo p^k , while if $r \not\equiv j \pmod{p}$, then $K_j(r)$ is not a zero divisor modulo p^k and $L_j(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$.

Now, let f be any polynomial with root set R modulo p^k , and define $f_j(x) = L_j(x)f(x) + K_j(x)$. For $r \not\equiv j \pmod{p}$, we have $f_j(r) \equiv K_j(r) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$. And for $r \equiv j \pmod{p}$, we have $f_j(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$ iff $L_j(r)f(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$. Since $L_j(r)$ is not a zero divisor modulo p^k , we see that the root set of f_j is exactly R_j . \square

Theorem 1 says when a root set modulo p^k is decomposed into p segments, each of a fixed value modulo p , then each segment is itself a root set modulo p^k . The next theorem shows that such segments can always be reassembled into a root set modulo p^k .

THEOREM 2. *Let $R_0, R_1, R_2, \dots, R_{p-1}$ be a collection of root sets modulo p^k such that for $0 \leq j \leq p-1$, the elements of R_j are all congruent to j modulo p . Then $R_0 \cup R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \dots \cup R_{p-1}$ is a root set modulo p^k .*

PROOF. For each $j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$, let f_j be a polynomial with root set R_j modulo p^k . Using the polynomials $L_j(x)$ defined in the proof of Theorem 1, let

$$f(x) = \sum_{0 \leq j < p} L_j(x)f_j(x).$$

Note that if $r \in \mathbf{Z}_{p^k}$ and $r \equiv t \pmod{p}$, then

$$f(r) \equiv \sum_{0 \leq j < p} L_j(r)f_j(r) \equiv L_t(r)f_t(r) \pmod{p^k},$$

since $L_j(r) = 0$ if $j \not\equiv t \pmod{p}$. It follows that if r is a root of $f(x)$ modulo p^k , then $f_t(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$, since $L_t(r)$ is not a zero divisor modulo p^k . Thus every root of f modulo p^k appears among the roots of the f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{p-1} modulo p^k . Conversely, if r is a root of some f_j , then it is also a root of f . \square

For $S \subseteq \mathbf{Z}_n$ and $j \in \mathbf{Z}_n$, the notation $j + S$ will mean $\{j + s \mid s \in S\}$. If $S = \emptyset$, then $j + S = \emptyset$. Since r is a root modulo n of $f(x)$ iff $r + j$ is a root of $f(x - j)$ modulo n , the following theorem is evident.

THEOREM 3. *If R is a root set modulo n , then, for every $j \in \mathbf{Z}_n$, $j + R$ is also a root set modulo n .* \square

COROLLARY. *R is a root set modulo p^k iff R can be written in the form $R = (0 + S_0) \cup (1 + S_1) \cup (2 + S_2) \cup \dots \cup ((p-1) + S_{p-1})$, where each S_j is a root set modulo p^k .*

containing only integers congruent to 0 modulo p . (Note that some of the S_j 's might be empty.)

PROOF. Suppose that R is a root set modulo p^k . By Theorem 1, $R = R_0 \cup R_1 \cup \cdots \cup R_{p-1}$, where the elements of each R_j are congruent to j modulo p . Let $S_j = (-j) + R_j$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$, so that $R_j = j + S_j$. Then, by Theorem 3, S_j is a root set modulo p^k for each $j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$, and, moreover, every element of S_j is congruent to 0 modulo p . Conversely, if, for each $j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$, S_j is a root set modulo p^k containing only integers congruent to 0 modulo p , then, by Theorems 2 and 3, $R = (0 + S_0) \cup (1 + S_1) \cup \cdots \cup ((p-1) + S_{p-1})$ is a root set modulo p^k . \square

The following is an immediate consequence of the previous corollary.

COROLLARY. Let N_{p^k} be the number of root sets modulo p^k which contain only multiples of p . Then the total number of different root sets modulo p^k is $N_{p^k}^p$, a perfect p^{th} power.

To count the number of distinct root sets modulo p^k , we need only count the number of root sets modulo p^k containing only multiples of p . The following theorems make feasible a computer search for such root sets, and hence the determination of specific values of N_{p^k} . Let d_{p^k} be the smallest positive integer d such that p^k divides $d!$. Note that d_{p^k} will always be a multiple of p .

THEOREM 4. If R is a root set modulo p^k , then there is a polynomial with degree less than d_{p^k} with root set exactly R .

PROOF. Let $K(x) = x^{d_{p^k}}$. For $j \in \mathbf{Z}_{p^k}$, Lemma 1 shows $\varepsilon_p(K(j)) = \varepsilon_p(j^{d_{p^k}}) \geq \varepsilon_p(d_{p^k}!) \geq k$, and that last quantity is at least k by the definition of d_{p^k} . Thus $K(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$ for all $x \in \mathbf{Z}_{p^k}$. Now, let f be a polynomial with root set R modulo p^k . Write f as $f(x) = q(x)K(x) + r(x)$, where either the degree of $r(x)$ is less than d_{p^k} , or $r(x)$ is identically 0. Since $K(x)$ is identically 0 modulo p^k , it follows that $f(x) \equiv r(x) \pmod{p^k}$, for all $x \in \mathbf{Z}_{p^k}$, and thus the root set of $r(x)$ is R . \square

There is a root set modulo p^k produced by a polynomial of degree $d_{p^k} - 1$, but by no polynomial of smaller degree, so when searching for root sets modulo p^k , the bound of Theorem 4 cannot be reduced.

EXAMPLE. Let $m = d_{p^k} - 1$, and consider $h(x) = x^m$. Then $h(j) = 0$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$, while $h(m) = m! \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$ by the definition of d_{p^k} . Suppose that $f(x)$ is any polynomial of degree less than m such that $f(j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$ for every $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$. By the division algorithm, we may write $f(x)$ in the form

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1x^1 + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_{m-1}x^{m-1}.$$

By successively considering $f(0), f(1), \dots, f(m-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$, while applying Lemma 2, we see that $f(x)$ is identically 0 modulo p^k . In particular, $f(m) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$. Hence, no polynomial of degree less than m has the same root set as $h(x)$ modulo p^k . \square

THEOREM 5. If R is a root set modulo p^k which contains only multiples of p , then

there is a polynomial with degree less than d_{p^k}/p the set of roots of which congruent to 0 modulo p is R .

PROOF. Let $m = d_{p^k}/p$ and let $K(x) = \prod_{0 \leq l < m} (x - pl)$. If $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $K(t)$ is not a zero divisor modulo p^k . Note that if $d = pe$, then $\varepsilon_p(d!) = \varepsilon_p(p^e(e!)) = e + \varepsilon_p(e!)$. Hence, for $j \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_p(K(pj + d_{p^k})) &= \varepsilon_p\left(p^m \frac{(j+m)!}{j!}\right) \\ &\geq m + \varepsilon_p(m!) \\ &= \varepsilon_p(d_{p^k}!) \\ &\geq k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows that $K(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$, for every $t \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Now any polynomial f can be written as $f(x) = q(x)K(x) + r(x)$, where $r(x) = 0$ or $r(x)$ has degree less than m . It follows that, for every $t \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $f(t) \equiv r(t) \pmod{p^k}$. Hence, the roots of f which are congruent to 0 modulo p coincide with the roots of $r(x)$ that are congruent to 0 modulo p . \square

THEOREM 6. If $R \neq \emptyset$ is a root set modulo p^k which contains only multiples of p , and $j \in R$, then $(-j) + R$ is a root set modulo p^k containing 0 and only multiples of p .

PROOF. Modulo p^k , if $f(x)$ has root set R , then $g(x) = f(x+j)$ has root set $S = (-j) + R$. Since the difference of multiples of p is a multiple of p , and since $(-j) + j = 0 \in S$, we are done. \square

Thus the non-empty root sets containing only multiples of p are all the possible translates by multiples of p of the root sets containing 0 and only multiples of p . The next theorem allows us to count the number of such translates.

THEOREM 7. Let R be a root set modulo p^k containing 0 and only multiples of p . Let $T = \{t \in \mathbb{Z} \mid t + R = R\}$, and let t_0 be the smallest positive integer in T . Then $t_0 = p^e$ for some $e \leq k$ and R will have p^e distinct translates.

PROOF. T is an ideal in \mathbb{Z} , and $p^k \in T$. Since every non-zero ideal in \mathbb{Z} is generated by its smallest positive member, letting the smallest positive element of T be t_0 , we have $T = (t_0)$. Since $p^k \in T$, it follows that t_0 divides p^k , and thus $t_0 = p^e$ for some $e \leq k$. Thus R is periodic with minimum period t_0 . Hence there are exactly t_0 distinct translates of R . \square

The final theorem shows the coefficients of a polynomial can be reduced in certain ways without changing the root set.

THEOREM 8. Every root set modulo p^k containing 0 and only multiples of p is produced by a polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= a_0 + a_1x + a_2x(x-p) + a_3x(x-p)(x-2p) + \cdots \\ &\quad + a_mx(x-p)(x-2p) \cdots (x-(m-1)p), \end{aligned}$$

where $m = d_{p^k}/p - 1$, $a_0 = 0$, $a_1 = 0, 1, p, p^2, \dots, p^{k-1}$ and, for $j = 2, 3, \dots, m$, $0 \leq a_j < p^{k-e_j}$, where $e_j = \varepsilon_p((pj)!)$.

PROOF. Let R be a root set modulo p^k containing 0 and only multiples of p . By Theorem 5, there is a polynomial $f(x)$ of degree no more than m such that $r \in R$ iff $r \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $f(r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$. By the division algorithm, $f(x)$ may be expressed in the form given in the statement of the theorem. Since $0 \in R$, we have $a_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$. Next, if a_1 is written in the form $p^s s$ with p not dividing s , then s will have a multiplicative inverse, s^{-1} , modulo p^k and $s^{-1}f(x)$ has the same roots as $f(x)$ modulo p^k . It follows that only the values $0, 1, p, \dots, p^{k-1}$ need be considered for the coefficient a_1 . Finally, for each $x \in \mathbf{Z}_{p^k}$, $\varepsilon_p(x(x-p) \cdots (x-(j-1)p)) \geq \varepsilon_p((pj)(pj-p) \cdots (p)) = \varepsilon_p((pj)!) = e_j$. Hence $(a_j + p^{k-e_j}l)x(x-p) \cdots (x-(j-1)p) \equiv a_j x(x-p) \cdots (x-(j-1)p) \pmod{p^k}$. It follows that we may reduce a_j modulo p^{k-e_j} without changing the root set modulo p^k of the polynomial. \square

3. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Based on the theorems of the last section, only a small portion of all possible polynomials modulo p^k need be solved to determine the total number of root sets modulo p^k . In particular, only the number of root sets modulo p^k containing only multiples of p —that is, N_{p^k} —needs to be determined. At least for small values of p and k , these can be found by a computer search. The polynomials are generated, and the root sets consisting of 0 and multiples of p are recorded. Each such root set discovered is compared to a list of such root sets already computed and to their translates. A program to implement this search was written by Stroth [4]. In each case, the total number of root sets modulo p^k is given by $N_{p^k}^p$.

The values of N_{p^k} for some small values of p and k are presented in Table 1. Some of the entries in the table are easy to understand. For example, modulo p , $\{0\}$ is the only root set containing 0 and multiples of p . Hence $\{0\}$ and the empty root set are the only two basic root sets modulo p . Consequently, the first column in the table will be all 2's. As for the second column, recall that if t is a root of a polynomial modulo p , then t yields either no roots modulo p^2 , or a single root $t + kp$ modulo p^2 ($k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$), or else the roots $\{t + kp \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ modulo p^2 . As $\{0\}$ is the only non-empty root set modulo p made up of 0 and multiples of p , it follows that there are $p+2$ root sets modulo p^2 containing only 0 and multiples of p . Thus $N_{p^2} = p+2$, and so there are $(p+2)^p$ root sets modulo p^2 . Somewhat more complicated reasoning explains the third column.

TABLE 1. A small table of N_{p^k} values.

$p \backslash k$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
2	2	4	8	20	56	184	632	2 752	13 464	80 840	577 000
3	2	5	17	71	449	4 040	51 353				
5	2	7	42	427	8 707	336 957					
7	2	9	79	1 486	66 740	6 825 968					
11	2	13	189	8 340							
13	2	15	262	15 927							
17	2	19	444	45 341							
19	2	21	553	70 112							
23	2	25	807	148 582							
29	2	31	1 278	370 767							

REFERENCES

1. M. M. Chojnacka-Pniewska, Sur les congruences aux racines données, *Ann. Pol. Math.*, **3** (1956), 9–12.
2. R. Graham, D. Knuth and O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics*, 2nd edn, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1995.
3. W. Sierpiński, Remarques sur les racines d'une congruence, *Ann. Pol. Math.*, **1** (1954), 89–90.
4. T. R. Stroth, Root sets of polynomials (mod 2^k), Masters independent study, University of North Dakota, May 1995.

Received 2 May 1995 and accepted 15 October 1996

BRUCE DEARDEN AND JERRY METZGER
*Department of Mathematics,
University of North Dakota,
P.O. Box 8376, Grand Forks,
North Dakota 58202–8376, U.S.A.*