# Giuseppe Peano's

Classic Mathematical Text

# Arithmetices principia, nova methodo exposita <sub>OR</sub>

# "The Principles of Arithmetic, Presented by a New Method"

presented

in the original Latin

in parallel English Translation

Original Translation By:

Vincent Verheyen

Contributions By:

Michael Nahas

This document was compiled February 28, 2018.

# About the Translation

Below is Giuseppe Peano's Arithmetices principia as first published<sup>1</sup>, i.e. as "Arithmetices principia, nova methodo exposita"<sup>2</sup>, which appeared translated to English<sup>3</sup> in 1967 as "The principles of arithmetic, presented by a new method"<sup>4</sup>, as well as in 1973<sup>5</sup>. This present document<sup>6</sup> is the only (to my knowledge) side-by-side Latin-English translation of the Latin original. The mathematical notation (in the English, right, column) got updated to currently canonically-used or easy-to-decrypt symbols in the international and/or English mathematical community; which is also a feature currently unseen in any reprint.

#### Red text is mathematical commentary.

Gray text is irrelavant for modern mathematical notation.

Dashed lines ( ) indicate pages in the original treatise.

I would like to thank Mauro Allegranzo and acknowledge his support of this work and his various comments during its creation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>H. Kennedy, Peano. Life and Works of Giuseppe Peano, San Francisco: Peremptory Publications, 2002, p. 41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>G. Peano, Arithmetices principia, nova methodo exposita, Bocca, Torino, 1889.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>These English translations listed are the only ones (to my knowledge) and all the English translations listed in:

I. Grattan-Guiness (ed.), Landmark Writings in Western Mathematics 1640-1940, Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2005, p. 614.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>G. Peano, (1889), "The principles of arithmetic presented by a new method" in: J. van Heijenoort (ed.), From Frege to Gödel. A source book in mathematical logic. 1879-1931, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1967, p. 83-97.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>G. Peano, Selected works of Giuseppe Peano, H. Kennedy (ed.), London: George Allen & Unwin, 1973, p. 101-134.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Written by Vincent Verheyen. Last updated on 17/8/2015. I encourage you to use your reason for good. If you want my support, please contact me via <a href="http://vincentverheyen.com/contact">http://vincentverheyen.com/contact</a>. It is possible to contribute to the flourishing of knowledge, even when you have an intelligence like mine. Thank you and good luck studying.

		I				
Arithmetice	s principia	The principles of arithmetic				
Nova method	do exposita	Pres	Presented by a new method			
a			by			
Ioseph I	Peano		Giuseppe Peano			
in R. Academia m	ilitari professore	professor at the Royal Military Academy				
Analysin infinitorum in R. Ta	aurinensi Athenæo docente.	teaching Analysis of th	teaching Analysis of the infinite at the Royal Turin Athenaeum.			
Labor et	honor		Work and honor			
Augustae Ta	aurinorum		At Turin			
Ediderunt Fr	atres Bocca	Publ	Published by Libreria Bocca			
Regis bibliopolae						
Romae	Florentiae	At Rome	At Florence			
Via del Corse, 216-217.	Via Oerretani, 8.	Via del Corso, 216-217.	Via Oerretani, 8.			
188	39	1889				
		II				
Iuribus s	ervatis		Respecting rights			
Augustae Taurinorum - Typis Vincentii Bona.		At Turir	n, printed by Vincent Bona			
		III				
Praef	atio		Preface			
Quaestiones, quae ad mathematicae fund	amenta pertinent, etsi hisce temporibus	Questions pertaining to the fo	oundations of mathematics, although treated by			
a multis tractatae, satisfacienti solutione	et adhuc carent. Hic difficultas maxime	many these days, still lack a satisfactory solution. The difficulty arises princi				
ex sermonis ambiguitate oritur.		pally from the ambiguity of ord	pally from the ambiguity of ordinary language.			

For this reason it is of the greatest concern to consider attentively the words we

Quare summi interest verba ipsa, quibus utimur attente perpendere. Hoc examen  $\,$ 

mihi proposui, atque mei studii resultatus, et arithmeticae applicationes in hoc scripto expono.

Ideas omnes quae in arithmeticae principiis occurrunt, signis indicavi, ita ut quaelibet propositio his tantum signis enuncietur.

Signa aut ad logicam pertinent, aut proprie ad arithmeticam. Logicae signa quae hic occurrunt, sunt numero ad decem, quamvis non omnia necessaria. Horum signorum usus et proprietas nonnullae in priore parte communi sermone explicantur. Ipsorum theoriam fusius hic exponere nolui. Arithmeticae signa, ubi occurrunt, explicantur.

His notationibus quaelibet propositio formam assumit atque praecisionem, qua in algebra aequationes gaudent, et a propositionibus ita scriptis aliea deducuntur, idque processis qui aequationum resolutioni assimilantur. Hoc caput totius scripti.

Sique, confectis signis quibus arithmeticae propositiones scribere possim, in earum tractatione usus sum methodo, quam quia et in aliis studiis sequenda foret, breviter exponam.

Ex arithmeticae signis quae caeteris, una cum logicae signis exprimere licet, ideas significant quas definire possumus. Ita omnia definivi signa, si quatuor excipias, quae in explicationibus §1 continentur. Si, ut puto, haec ulterius reduci nequeunt, ideas ipsis expressas, ideis quae prius notae supponuntur, definire non licet.

.....

Propositiones, quae logicae operationibus a caeteris deducuntur, sunt *theoremata*; quae vero non, *axiomata* vocavi. Axiomata hic sunt novem (§1), et signorum, quae definitione carent, proprietates fundamentales exprimunt.

In §1-6 numerorum proprietates communes demonstravi; brevitatis causa, demonstrationes praecedentibus similes omisi; demonstrationum communem formam

use. I resolved to do this, and am presenting in this paper the results of my study with applications to arithmetic.

I have indicated by signs all the idea which occur in the fundamentals of arithmetic, so that every proposition is stated with just these signs.

The signs pertain either to logic or to arithmetic. The signs of logic that occur here are about ten in number, although not all are necessary. The use of these signs and several of their properties are explained in ordinary language in the first part. I did not wish to present their theory more fully here. The signs of arithmetic are explained as they occur.

With this notation every proposition assumes the form and precision equations enjoy in algebra, and from propositions so written others may be deduced, by a process which resembles the solution of algebraic equations. That is the chief reason for writing this paper.

Having made up the signs with which I can write arithmetical propositions, in treating them I have used a method which, because it is to be followed in later studies, I shall present briefly.

Those arithmetical signs which may be expressed by using others along with signs of logic represent the ideas we can define. Thus I have defined every sign, if you except the four which are contained in the explanations of §1. If, as I believe, these cannot be reduced further, then the ideas expressed by them may not be defined by ideas already supposed to be known.

IV

Propositions which are deduced from others by the operations of logic are theorems; those for which this is not true I have called axioms. There are nine axioms here (§1), and they express fundamental properties of the undefined signs.

In \$1-6 I have proved the ordinary properties of numbers; for the sake of brevity, I have omitted proofs which are similar to preceding ones. The ordinary form of

immutare oportet ut logicae signis exprimantur; haec transformatio interdum difficilior est, tamen inde demonstrationis natura clarissime patet.

In sequentibus § varia tractavi, ut huius methodi potentia magis videatur.

In §7 nonnulla theoremata, quae ad numerorum theoriam pertinent, continentur.

In §8 et 9 rationalium et irrationalium definitiones inveniuntur.

Denique, in §10, theoremata exposui nonnulla, quae nova esse puto, ad entium theoriam pertinentia, quae cl. mus Cantor *Punktmenge (ensemble de points)* vocavit.

In hoc scripto aliorum studiis usus sum. Logicae notationes et propositiones quae in num. II, III et IV continentur, si nonnullas excipias, ad multorum opera, inter quae Boole praecipue, referenda sunt.<sup>1</sup>

Signum  $\epsilon$ , quod cum signo  $\mathcal D$  confundere non licet, inversionis in logica applicationes, et paucas alias institui conventiones, ut ad exprimendam quamlibet propositionem pervenirem.

In arithmeticae demonstrationibus usus sum libro: H. Grassmann, *Lehrbuch der Arithmetik*, Berlin 1861.

Utilius quoque mihi fuit recens scriptum: R. Dedekind, Was sind und was sollen die Zahlen; Braunschweig, 1888, in quo quaestiones, quae ad numerorum fundamenta pertinent, acute examinantur.

Hic meus libellus ut novae methodi specimen habendus est. Hisce notationibus innumeras alias propositiones, ut quae ad rationales et irrationales pertinent, enunciare et demonstrare possumus. Sed, ut aliae theoriae tractentur, nova signa, quae nova indicant entia, instituere necesse est. Puto vero his tantum logi-

proofs has had to be altered in order that they may be expressed with the signs of logic. This transformation is sometimes rather difficult but the nature of the proof then becomes quite clear. In the following sections I have treated various things so that the power of the method is better seen.

In the following sections I have treated various things so that the power of the method is better seen.

In §7 are several theorems pertaining to the theory of numbers. In §8 and 9 are found the definitions of rationals and irrationals.

Finally, in §10 I have given several theorems, which I believe to be new, pertaining to the theory of those entities which Professor Cantor has called *Punktmenge* (ensemble de points).

In this paper I have used the research of others. The notations and propositions of logic which are contained in numbers II, III, and IV, with some exceptions, represent the work of many, among them Boole especially.<sup>1</sup>

V

.....

The sign  $\in$ , which must not be confused with the sign  $\subset$ , applications of the inverse in logic, and a few other conventions, I have adopted so that I could express any proposition whatever.

In the proofs of arithmetic I used the book H. Grassmann, *Lehrbuch der Arithmetik* (Berlin 1861).

Also quite useful to me was the recent work by R. Dedekind, Was sind und was sollen die Zahlen (Braunschweig, 1888), in which questions pertaining to the foundations of numbers are acutely examined.

My booklet should be taken as a sample of this new method. With these notations we can state and prove innumerable other propositions, such as those which pertain to rationals and irrationals. But in order to treat other theories, it is necessary to adopt new signs to indicate new entities. I believe, however, that with

cae signis propositiones cuiuslibet scientiae exprimi posse, dummodo adiungantur signa quae entia huius scientiae representant.

.....

only these signs of logic the propositions of any science can be expressed, so long as the signs which represent the entities of the science are added.

.....

## Signorum tabula

# Table of signs

Signum	Significatio	Pag.	Sign	Meaning	Pag.	
Logicam signa			Signs of logic			
P	propositio	VII	proposition	proposition	VII	
K	classis	X	CLASS	class	X	
Λ	et	VII, X	٨	and	VII, X	
U	vel	VIII, X, XI	V	or	VIII, X, XI	
_	non	VIII, X	٦	not	VIII, X	
Λ	absurdum $aut$ nihil	VIII, XI	$\perp$	${\rm false}\ or$	VIII, XI	
			Ø	nothing		
С	${\tt deducitur} aut  {\tt continetur}$	VIII, XI	$\rightarrow$	one deduces $or$	VIII, XI	
			C	is contained in		
=	est aequalis	VIII	=	equals	VIII	
$\epsilon$	est	X	E	is (an element of) $or$	X	
			is a	is (a)		
[]	inversionis signum	XI	$\{x \ldots\}$	sign of the inverse	XI	
Э	qui $vel\left[\epsilon ight]$	XII	$\rightarrow$	such that $or$	XII	
			isn't	isn't		
Th	Theorema	XVI	theorem	Theorem	XVI	
Нр	Hypothesis		hypothesis	Hypothesis		
Ts	Thesis		thesis	Thesis		
L	Logica		logic	Logic		

VI

#### Arithmeticae signa

Signa 1, 2, ..., =, >, <, +, -, × vulgarem habent significationem. Divisionis signum

est /.

N	numerus integer positivus	1
R	num. rationalis positivus	12
Q	quantitas, $sive$ numerus realis positivus	16
Np	numerus primus	9
M	maximus	6
М	minimus	6
T	terminus, $vel$ limes summus	15
D	dividit	9
D	est multiplex	9
$\pi$	est primus cum	6

#### Signa composita

-< non est minor

est aequalis aut maior  $= \cup >$ 

эDdivisor

M  $\ni D$ maximus divisor

Logicae notationes.

I. De punctuatione.

Litteris a, b, ... x, y, ... x', y', ... entia indicamus indeterminata quaecumque. Entia vero determinata signis, sive litteris P, K, N, ... indicamus.

#### Signs of arithmetic

The signs 1, 2, ..., =, >, <, +, -, \* have their usual meaning. The sign of division is

1 12

16

9

6 6

15

9

9

6

/.

 $\mathbb{N}$ 

 $\mathbb{R}$ 

0

positive integers

quantity or postive real numbers

postive rational numbers

P prime number  $\mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{aximum}}$ 

minimum  $m_{inimum}$ 

Greatest bound terminus or greatest bound divides

is divisible

divides

maximum

divisible

is Prime is prime with

with

#### Composite signs

\* is not less than

is equal to or greater than  $\geq$ 

is a is a divisor

divisor

is the is the greatest divisor greatest

divisor

VII

Notations of logic.

I. Punctuation.

By the letters a, b, ... x, y, ... x', y', ... we indicate any indeterminate entities. Determinate entities are, however, indicated by the signs, or rather by the letters,

Signa plerumque in eadem linea scribemus. Ut ordo pateat quo ea coniungere oporteat, *parenthesibus* ut in algebra, sive *punctis* . : . : : etc. utimur.

Ut formula punctis divisa, intelligatur, primum signa quae nullo puncto seperantur colligenda sunt, postea quae uno puncto, deinde quae duobus punctis, etc.

Ex. g. sint a,b,c,... signa quaecumque. Tunc ab . cd significat (ab)(cd); et ab . cd:ef:gh:k significat (((ab)(cd))((ef)(gh)))k.

Punctuationis signa omittere licet si formulae quae diversa punctuatione existerent eundem habeant sensum; vel si una tantum formula, et ipsa quam scribere volumus, sensum habeat.

Ut ambiguitatis periculum absit, aritmeticae operationum signis . : nunquam utimur.

Parenthesum figura una est (); si in eadem formula, parentheses et puncta occurant, primum quae parenthesibus continentur, colligantur.

#### II. De propositionibus.

Signo P significatur propositio.

Signum  $\cap$  legitur et. Sint a,b propositiones; tunc  $a \cap b$  est simultanea affirmatio propositionum a,b. Brevitatis causa, loco  $a \cap b$  vulgo scribemus  $a \ b$ .

.....

Signum – legitur non. Sit a quaedam P; tunc -a est negatio propositionis a. Signo  $\cup$  legitur vel. Sint a,b propositiones; tunc  $a\cup b$  idem est ac -:-a. -b.

[Signo V significatur verum, sive identitas; sed hoc signo numquam utimur]. Signum  $\Lambda$  significat falsum, sive absurdum.

#### P,K,N,...

Generally we write signs on the same line. So that it will be clear how they are to be joined, we use *parentheses*, as in algebra, or rather *points* . : . : : etc.

So that a formula divided by points may be understood, first the signs which are not separated by points are taken together, then those separated by one point, then those by two points, etc.

For example, let a, b, c, ... be any signs. Then (ab)(cd) means (ab)(cd); and  $\{[(ab)(cd)][(ef)(gh)]\}k$ .

The signs of punctuation may be omitted if formulas having different punctuation have the same meaning, or if just one formula, that being the one we wish to write, has meaning.

To avoid the danger of ambiguity, we never use .: as signs of arithmetical operations.

The figure of parentheses is one (); if parentheses and points occur in the same formula, whatever is contained in parentheses is to be gathered first.

#### II. Propositions.

The sign P means proposition.

The sign  $\wedge$  is read and. Let a,b, be propositions; then  $a \wedge b$  is the simultaneous affirmation of the propositions a,b. For the sake of brevity, instead of  $a \wedge b$ , we ordinarily write a,b.

VIII

The sign  $\neg$  is read *not*. Let a be a P; then  $\neg a$  is the negation of the proposition a. The sign  $\lor$  is read or. Let a,b be propositions; then  $a \lor b$  is the same as  $\neg[(\neg a) \land (\neg b)]$ .

The sign  $\top$  means *true*, or *identity*, but we never use this sign.

The sign  $\perp$  means *false*, or *absurd*.

[Signum C significat est consequentia; ita b C a legitur b est consequentia propositionis a. Sed hoc signo nunquam utimur].

Signum O significat deducitur; ita  $a \circ b$  significat quod  $b \circ c$  a. Si propositiones a, b entia indeterminata continent x, y,..., scilicet sunt inter ipsa entia conditiones, tunc  $a \circ O_{x,y,...} b$  significat: quaecumque sunt x, y,..., a propositione a deducitur b. Si vero ambiguitatis periculum absit, loco  $O_{x,y,...}$ , scribemus solum O.

Signum = significat *est aequalis*. Sint a, b propositiones; tunc a = b idem significat quod  $a \supset b$ .  $b \supset a$ ; propositio  $a =_{x,y,...} b$  idem significat quod  $a \supset_{x,y,...} b$ .  $b \supset_{x,y,...} a$ .

#### III. Logicae propositiones.

Sint a, b, c, ... propositiones. Tunc erit:

- 1. a O a
- 2.  $a \supset b \cdot b \supset c : \supset : a \supset c$
- 3.  $a = b = a \supset b \cdot b \supset a$ .
- 4. a = a
- 5. a = b = . b = a
- 6.  $a = b \cdot b \circ c : \circ \cdot a \circ c$
- 7.  $a \supset b \cdot b = c : \supset a \supset c$
- 8.  $a = b \cdot b = c : 0 \cdot a = c$
- 9.  $a = b \cdot O \cdot a \cdot O b$
- 10. a = b . O . b O a
- 11.  $ab \supset a$
- 12. ab = ba
- 13. a(bc) = (ab)c = abc

......

The sign  $\leftarrow$  means is a consequence of. Thus  $b \leftarrow a$  is read b is a consequence of the proposition a. But we never use this sign.

The sign  $\rightarrow$  means *one deduces*; thus  $a \rightarrow b$  means the same as  $b \leftarrow a$ . If the propositions a,b contain the indeterminate quantities x,y,..., that is, express conditions on these objects, then  $a \xrightarrow[x,y,...]{} b$  means: whatever the x,y,..., from propositions a one deduces b. If indeed there is no danger of ambiguity, instead of  $\xrightarrow[x,y,...]{}$ , we write only  $\rightarrow$ .

The sign = means *equals*. Let a,b be propositions; then a=b means the same as  $(a \to b) \land (b \to a)$ ; proposition a = b means the same as  $(a \xrightarrow[x,y,...]{} b) \land (b \xrightarrow[x,y,...]{} a)$ .

#### III. Propositions of logic.

Let a, b, c, ... be propositions. We have:

- 1.  $a \rightarrow a$
- 2.  $[(a \rightarrow b) \land (b \rightarrow c)] \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c)$
- 3.  $(a = b) = [(a \rightarrow b) \land (b \rightarrow a)]$
- 4. a = a
- 5. (a = b) = (b = a)
- 6.  $[(a = b) \land (b \rightarrow c)] \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c)$
- 7.  $[(a \to b) \land (b = c)] \to (a \to c)$
- 8.  $[(a = b) \land (b = c)] \rightarrow (a = c)$
- 9.  $(a = b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b)$
- 10.  $(a = b) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow a)$
- 11.  $(a \land b) \rightarrow a$
- 12.  $(a \wedge b) = (b \wedge a)$
- 13.  $(a \land (b \land c)) = ((a \land b) \land c) = (a \land b \land c)$

- 14. aa = a
- 15.  $a = b \cdot 0 \cdot ac = bc$
- 16.  $a \supset b . \supset . ac \supset bc$
- 17.  $a \supset b \cdot c \supset d : \supset \cdot ac \supset bd$
- 18.  $a \supset b \cdot a \supset c := a \supset bc$
- 19.  $a = b \cdot c = d : 0 \cdot ac = bd$
- 20. -(-a) = a
- 21.  $a = b \cdot = -a = -b$ .
- 22.  $a \supset b = -b \supset -a =$
- 23.  $a \cup b = : -: -a b$
- 24.  $-(ab) = (-a) \cup (-b)$
- 25.  $-(a \cup b) = (-a)(-b)$
- 26.  $a \supset a \cup b$
- 27.  $a \cup b = b \cup a$
- 28.  $a \cup (b \cup c) = (a \cup b) \cup c = a \cup b \cup c$
- 29.  $a \cup a = a$
- 30.  $a(b \cup c) = ab \cup ac$
- 31.  $a = b \cdot 0 \cdot a \cup c = b \cup c$
- 32.  $a \supset b . \supset . a \cup c \supset b \cup c$
- 33.  $a \supset b \cdot c \supset d : \supset : a \cup c \cdot \supset : b \cup d$
- 34.  $b \supset a \cdot c \supset a := b \cup c \supset a$
- 35.  $a-a=\Lambda$
- 36.  $a \Lambda = \Lambda$
- 37.  $a \cup \Lambda = a$
- 38.  $a \supset \Lambda = a = \Lambda$

- 14.  $(a \wedge a) = a$
- 15.  $(a = b) \rightarrow [(a \land c) = (b \land c)]$
- 16.  $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow [(a \land c) \rightarrow (b \land c)]$
- 17.  $[(a \to b) \land (c \to d)] \to [(a \land c) \to (b \land d)]$
- 18.  $[(a \rightarrow b) \land (a \rightarrow c)] = [(a \rightarrow (b \land c)]$
- 19.  $[(a = b) \land (c = d)] \rightarrow [(a \land c) = (b \land d)]$
- 20.  $\neg(\neg a) = a$
- 21.  $(a = b) = [(\neg a) = (\neg b)]$
- 22.  $(a \rightarrow b) = [(\neg b) \rightarrow (\neg a)]$
- 23.  $(a \lor b) = \{ [(\neg [(\neg a) \land (\neg b)]) \}$
- 24.  $[\neg(a \land b)] = [(\neg a) \lor (\neg b)]$
- 25.  $[\neg(a \lor b)] = [(\neg a) \lor (\neg b)]$
- 26.  $a \rightarrow (a \lor b)$
- $27. (a \lor b) = (b \lor a)$
- 28.  $[a \lor (b \lor c)] = [(a \lor b) \lor c] = (a \lor b \lor c)$
- 29.  $(a \lor a) = a$
- 30.  $[a \land (b \lor c)] = [(a \land b) \lor (a \land c)]$
- 31.  $(a = b) \rightarrow [(a \lor c) = (b \lor c)]$
- 32.  $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow [(a \lor c) \rightarrow (b \lor c)]$
- 33.  $[(a \rightarrow b) \land (c \rightarrow d)] \rightarrow [(a \lor c) \rightarrow (b \lor d)]$
- 34.  $[(b \to a) \land (c \to a)] = [(b \lor c) \to a]$
- 35.  $[a \wedge (\neg a)] = \bot$
- 36.  $(a \land \bot) = \bot$
- 37.  $(a \lor \bot) = a$
- 38.  $(a \to \bot) = (a = \bot)$

39. 
$$a \supset b = a - b = \Lambda$$

40.  $\Lambda \supset a$ 

41. 
$$a \cup b = \Lambda \cdot = : a = \Lambda \cdot b = \Lambda$$

42. 
$$a \supset b \supset c := : ab \supset c$$

43. 
$$a \supset b = c : = ab = ac$$

Sit  $\alpha$  quoddam relationis signum (ex. gr. =, O), ita ut a  $\alpha$  b sit quaedam propositio. Tunc loco – . a  $\alpha$  b scribemus a –  $\alpha$  b; scilicet:

$$a -= b . = : - . a = b$$

$$a - \Im b . = : - . a \Im b$$

Ita signum – = significat *non est aequalis*. Si propositio a indeterminatum continet x,  $a - =_x \Lambda$  significat: sunt x quae conditioni a satisfaciunt. Signum –  $\Omega$  significat *non deducitur*.

Similter, si  $\alpha$  et  $\beta$  sunt relationis signa, loco a  $\alpha$  b, et a  $\alpha$  b .  $\cup$  . a  $\beta$  b scribere possumus a .  $\alpha$   $\beta$  . b et a .  $\alpha$   $\cup$   $\beta$  . b. Ita, si  $\alpha$  et b sunt propositiones, formula a . a b dicit: ab a deducitur b, sed non vice versa.

$$a \cdot O - = b : = a \cdot O \cdot b \cdot b - O \cdot a$$

Formulae:

$$a \supset b$$
,  $b \supset c$ ,  $a - \supset c := \Lambda$ 

$$a = b$$
,  $b = c$ ,  $a - = c := \Lambda$ 

$$a \circlearrowleft b . b \circlearrowleft -= c : \circlearrowleft . a \circlearrowleft -= c$$

$$a \circlearrowleft -= b \cdot b \circlearrowleft c : \circlearrowleft \cdot a \circlearrowleft -= c$$

Sed his notationibus raro utimur.

IV. De classibus.

39. 
$$(a \rightarrow b) = [(a \land \neg b) = \bot]$$

$$40.$$
  $\perp \rightarrow a$ 

X

41. 
$$[(a \lor b) = \bot] = [(a = \bot) \land (b = \bot)]$$

42. 
$$[a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c)] = [(a \land b) \rightarrow c]$$

43. 
$$[a \to (b = c)] = [(a \land b) = (a \land c)]$$

Let  $\alpha$  be the sign of some relation (eg., =,  $\rightarrow$ ) so that  $a \alpha b$  is a proposition. Then instead of  $\neg (a \alpha b)$ , we write  $a \not \alpha b$ . Thus:

$$(a \neq b) = \neg(a = b)$$

$$(a \nrightarrow b) = \neg (a \rightarrow b)$$

Thus the sign  $\neq$  means is not equal to. If the proposition a contains the indeterminate  $x, a \neq \bot$  means: there is an x which satisfies condition a. The sign  $\not\rightarrow$  means one does not deduce.

Similarly, if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are signs of relations, instead of  $(a \ \alpha \ b) \land (a \ \beta \ b)$ , and  $(a \ \alpha \ b) \lor (a \ \beta \ b)$  we may write  $a \ (\alpha \land \beta) \ b$  and  $a \ (\alpha \lor \beta) \ b$ . Thus, if a and b are propositions, the formula  $a \ (\rightarrow \land \ne) \ b$  says: from a one deduces b, but not vice versa.

$$[a (\rightarrow \land \neq) b] = [(a \rightarrow b) \land (b \not\rightarrow a)]$$

Formulas:

$$[(a \rightarrow b) \land (b \rightarrow c) \land (a \not\rightarrow c)] = \bot$$

$$[(a = b) \land (b = c) \land (a \neq c)] = \bot$$

$$\{(a \rightarrow b) \land [b (\rightarrow \land \neq) c]\} \rightarrow [a (\rightarrow \land \neq) c]$$

$$[a (\rightarrow \land \neq) b] \land (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow [a (\rightarrow \land \neq) c]$$

But we shall rarely use these notations.

IV. Classes.

NOTE: Peano's "Class" is similar to modern "sets".

Signo K significatur *classis*, sive entium aggregatio.

Signum  $\epsilon$  significat est. Ita  $a \epsilon b$  legitur a est quoddam b;  $a \epsilon$  K significat a est quaedam classis;  $a \epsilon$  P significat a est quaedam propositio.

Loco  $-(a \in b)$  scribemus  $a - \in b$ ; signum  $- \in$  significat *non est*; scilicet:

44. 
$$a - \epsilon b = : - . a \epsilon b$$

Signum  $a, b, c \in m$  significat: a, b et c sunt m; scilicet:

45. 
$$a, b, c \in m = : a \in m : b \in m : c \in m$$

Sit a classis; tunc -a significatur classis indiviuis constituta quae non sunt a.

46. 
$$a \in K : O : x \in -a : = x - \in a$$

Sint a, b classes;  $a \cap b$ , sive a b, est classis individuis constituta

quae eodem tempore sunt a et b;  $a \cup b$  est classis individuis constituta qui sunt a vel b.

47. 
$$a, b \in K : O :: a x \in a b := : x \in a : x \in b$$

48. 
$$a, b \in K \cdot J : a \cup x \in a \cup b : = : x \in a \cdot \cup x \in b$$

Signum  $\Lambda$  indicat classem quae nullum continet individuum. Ita:

49. 
$$a \in K \cdot O : a = \Lambda : = : x \in a \cdot = x \Lambda$$

[Signo V, quod classem ex omnibus individuis constitutam, de quibus quaestio est, indieat, non utimur].

Signum 'S significat continetur. Ita a 'S b significat classis a continetur in classis b.

50. 
$$a, b \in K \cdot O :: a \circ O b := : x \in a \cdot O_x \cdot x \in b$$

[Formula  $b \in a$  significare potest classis b continet classem a; at signo c non utiumur].

Hic signa  $\Lambda$  et  $\Omega$  significationem habent quae paullo a praecedenti differt; sed nulla orietur ambiguitas. Nam si de propositionibus agatur, haec signa legantur absurdum et deducitur; si vero de classibus, nihil et continetur.

The sign class means *class*, or aggregate of entities.

The sign  $\in$  means is an element of. Thus  $a \in b$  is read a is an element of b; A is a CLASS means A is a class; a is a proposition means a is a proposition.

Instead of  $\neg(a \in b)$  we shall write  $a \notin b$ . The sign  $\notin$  means *is not*; thus:

44. 
$$(a \not\in b) = [\neg (a \in b)]$$

XI

The sign  $(a,b,c) \in m$  means: a,b, and c are in m; thus:

45. 
$$[(a,b,c) \in m] = [(a \in m) \land (b \in m) \land (c \in m)]$$

Let A be a class. Then  $\overline{A}$  means that class made up of individuals that are not in A.

46. A is a CLASS 
$$\rightarrow [(x \in \overline{A}) = (x \notin A)]$$

Let A, B be classes. Then  $A \cap B$ , or AB, is the class composed of individuals

.....

which are at the same time in A and B;  $A \cup B$  is the class composed of individuals which are in A or B.

47. 
$$A,B \text{ are classes} \rightarrow \{[(x \in (A \cap B))] = [(x \in A) \land (x \in B)]\}$$

48. 
$$A,B \text{ are CLASSES} \rightarrow \{[(x \in (A \cup B))] = [(x \in A) \lor (x \in B)]\}$$

The sign  $\varnothing$  indicates the class which contains no individuals. Thus:

49. A is a CLASS 
$$\rightarrow \{(A = \emptyset) = [(x \in A) = \bot]\}$$

[We shall not use the sign true, which indicates the class composed of all individuals being considered].

The sign  $\subset$  means is contained. Thus  $a \subset b$  means the class a is contained in the class b.

50. 
$$A,B \text{ are CLASSES} \rightarrow \{(A \subset B) = [(x \in A) \xrightarrow[\forall x]{} (x \in B)]\}$$

[The formula  $B \supset A$  could mean the class B contains the class A, but we shall not use the sign  $\supset$ ].

The signs  $(\bot/\varnothing)$  and  $(\to/\subset)$  have meanings here which are slightly different from the preceding, but no ambiguity will arise, for if propositions are being considered, the signs are read *absurd*  $(\bot)$  and *one deduces*  $(\to)$ , but if classes are being

Formula a = b, si a et b sint classes, significat  $a \supset b$ .  $b \supset a$ . Itaque

51. 
$$a, b \in K : O : a = b : = : x \in a : = x : x \in b$$

Propositiones 1...41 quoque subsistunt, si a, b... classes indicant; praeterea est:

- 52.  $a \in b \cdot O \cdot b \in K$
- 53.  $a \in b \cdot O \cdot b = \Lambda$
- 54.  $a \in b$ . b = c:  $O \cdot a \in c$
- 55.  $a \in b \cdot b \supset c : \supset a \in c$

Sit s classis, et k classis quae in s contineatur; tunc dicimus k esse individuum classis s, si k ex uno tantum constat individuo. Itaque:

56. 
$$s \in K \cdot k \supset s : \supset :: k \in s \cdot = :: k - = \Lambda : x, y \in k \cdot \supset x, y \cdot x = y$$

V. De inversione.

Inversionis signum est [ ], eiusque usum in sequenti numero explicabimus. Hic tantum casus particulares exponimus.

- 1. Sit a propositio, indeterminatum continens x; tunc scriptura  $[x] \in a$ , quae legitur  $ea \ x \ quibus \ a$ , sive solutiones, vel radices conditionis a, classem significat individuis constitutam, quae conditioni a satisfaciunt. Itaque:
- 57.  $a \in P \cdot O : [x \in ]a \cdot \in K$
- 58.  $a \in K \cdot D : [x \in] \cdot x \in a := a$
- 59.  $a \in P : O : x \in [x \in] a := a$

Sint  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  propositiones indeterminatum continentes x; erit:

60. 
$$[x \in ](\alpha \beta) = ([x \in ]\alpha)([x \in ]\beta)$$

considered, they are read *empty* ( $\varnothing$ ) and *is contained* ( $\subset$ ).

The formula A = B, if A and B are classes, means  $(A \subseteq B) \land (B \subseteq A)$ . Thus

51. 
$$A, B \text{ are CLASSES} \rightarrow \{(A = B) = [(x \in A) = (x \in B)]\}$$

Propositions 1-41 also hold if a, b, ... indicate classes. In addition, we have:

- 52.  $(a \in B) \rightarrow B$  is a CLASS
- 53.  $(a \in B) \rightarrow (B \neq \emptyset)$
- 54.  $[(a \in B) \land (B = C)] \rightarrow (a \in C)$
- 55.  $[(a \in B) \land (B \subset C)] \rightarrow (a \in C)$

Let C be a class, and  $C_{subset}$  be a class which is contained in C; then we say that  $C_{subset}$  is an individual of the class C, if  $C_{subset}$  consists of only one individual. That is:

56. [C is a class  $\wedge$ 

$$(\mathbf{C}_{subset} \subset \mathbf{C})] \to \left\{ (\mathbf{C}_{subset} \in \mathbf{C}) = \left\{ (\mathbf{C}_{subset} \neq \varnothing) \land \{ [(x,y) \in \mathbf{C}_{subset}] \xrightarrow{\forall x,y} (x=y) \} \right\} \right\}$$

V. The inverse.

NOTE: By inversion, Peano means going backwards from a proposition to a class. It is not a mathematical inverse: it is "set-builder notation".

The sign of the inverse is  $\{x|...\}$ , and we shall explain its use in the following section. Here we give some particular examples.

- 1. Let a be a proposition containing the indeterminate x; then the expression  $\{x|a\}$ , which is read *those* x *such that* a, or *solutions*, or *roots* of the condition a, indicates the class consisting of individuals which satisfy the condition a. That is:
- 57. a is a proposition  $\rightarrow \{x|a\}$  is a CLASS
- 58. *A* is a CLASS  $\rightarrow \{x | x \in A\} = A$
- 59. a is a proposition  $\rightarrow (x \in \{x | a\}) = a$

Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be propositions containing the indeterminate x. We will have:

60. 
$$\{x \mid \alpha \land \beta\} = \{x \mid \alpha\} \cap \{x \mid \beta\}$$

61. 
$$[x \in ] - \alpha = - [x \in ] \alpha$$

62. 
$$[x \in ] (\alpha \cup \beta) = [x \in ] \alpha \cup [x \in ] \beta$$

63. 
$$\alpha \supset_x \beta = [x \in ] \alpha \supset [x \in ] \beta$$

64. 
$$\alpha = x \beta . = . [x \in] \alpha = [x \in] \beta$$

2. Sint x,y entia quacumque; system ex ente x et ex ente y compositum ut novum ens consideramus, et signo (x,y) indicamus; similiterque si entium numerus maior fit. Sit  $\alpha$  propositio indeterminata continens x,y; tunc  $[(x,y)\in]$   $\alpha$  significat classem entibus (x,y) constitutam, quae conditioni  $\alpha$  satisfaciunt. Erit:

65. 
$$\alpha \supset_{x,y} \beta = [(x,y)\in] \alpha \supset [(x,y)\in] \beta$$

66. 
$$[(x,y)\in] \alpha - = \Lambda . = : [x\in] . [y\in] \alpha - = \Lambda : - = \Lambda$$

- 3. Sit  $x \alpha y$  relatio inter indeterminata x et y (ex. g. in logica relationes x = y, x = y,  $x \supset y$ ; in arithmetica x < y, x > y, etc). Tunc signo  $[\epsilon \alpha] y$  ea x indicamus, quae relationi  $x \alpha y$  satisfaciunt. Commoditatis causa, loco  $[\epsilon]$ , signo  $\ni$  utimur. Ita  $\ni \alpha y . = : [x \epsilon] . x \alpha y$ , et signum  $\ni$  legitur qui, vel quae. Ex. gr. sit y numerus; tunc  $\ni < y$  classem indicat numeris x compositam qui conditioni x < y satisfaciunt, scilicet, qui sunt minores y, vel simpliciter minores y. Similiter, quum signum D significet dividit, vel est divisor, formula  $\ni$ D significat qui dividunt vel divisores. Deducitur  $x \in \ni \alpha y = x \alpha y$ .
- 4. Sit  $\alpha$  formula indeterminate continens x. Tunc scriptura  $x'[x]\alpha$ , quae legitur x' loco x in  $\alpha$  substituto, formulam indicat quae obtinetur si in  $\alpha$ , loco x, x' legimus. Deducitur  $x[x]\alpha = \alpha$ .
  - 5. Sit  $\alpha$  formula, quae indeterminata x, y, ... continet. Tunc

$$(x', y', ...) [x, y, ...] \alpha$$
,

quae legitur x'y',... loco x,y,... in  $\alpha$  substitutis, formulam indicat quae obtinetur

61. 
$$\{x \mid \neg \alpha\} = \overline{\{x \mid \alpha\}}$$

62. 
$$\{x \mid \alpha \vee \beta\} = \{x \mid \alpha\} \cup \{x \mid \beta\}$$

63. 
$$\alpha \xrightarrow{\forall x} \beta = \{x \mid \alpha\} \subset \{x \mid \beta\}$$

64. 
$$(\alpha = \beta) = (\{x \mid \alpha\} = \{x \mid \beta\})$$

2. Let x, y be any entities. We shall consider the system composed of the entity x and the entity y as a new entity, and indicate it by the sign (x, y); and similarly if the number of entities becomes larger. Let  $\alpha$  be a proposition containing the indeterminate x, y; then  $\{(x, y) | \alpha\}$  indicates the class of entities (x, y) which satisfy the condition  $\alpha$ . We have:

65. 
$$\alpha \xrightarrow{\forall x, y} \beta = \{(x, y) | \alpha\} \subset \{(x, y) | \beta\}$$

66. 
$$(\{(x,y)|\alpha\} \neq \varnothing) = (\{x|\{y|\alpha\} \neq \varnothing\}\} \neq \varnothing)$$

- 3. Let  $x \alpha y$  be a relation between the indeterminates x and y (eg. in logic, the relations x = y,  $x \neq y$ ,  $x \to y$ ; in arithmetic, x < y, x > y, ...). Then the sign  $[\varepsilon]$   $\alpha$  y denotes the x that satisfy the relation  $x \alpha y$ . For the sake of convenience, we use the sign  $\ni$  instead of the sign  $[\varepsilon]$ . Thus,  $\ni \alpha y = \{x | x \alpha y\}$ , and the sign  $\ni$  is read the objects that. For example, let y be a number; then  $\ni < y$  denotes the class formed by the numbers x that satisfy the condition x < y, that is, the objects that are smaller than y, or simply the objects smaller than y. Similarly, if the sign y means divides or is a divisor of, the formula y means the objects that divide or the divisors. It follows that  $x \in (\ni \alpha y) = x \alpha y$ .
- 4. Let  $\alpha$  be a formula containing the indeterminate x. Then the expression  $\alpha[x:=x']$ , which is read x' being substituted for x in  $\alpha$ , denotes the formula obtained if, in  $\alpha$ , we read x' for x. It follows that  $\alpha[x:=x]=\alpha$ .
  - 5. Let  $\alpha$  be a formula that contains the indeterminates x, y, ... Then

$$\alpha[x:=x',y:=y',\ldots],$$

XIII

which is read  $x', y', \dots$  being substituted for  $x, y, \dots$  in  $\alpha$ , denotes the formula ob-

si in  $\alpha$  loco x, y, ..., litterae x'y', ... scribantur. Deducitur (x, y) [x, y]  $\alpha = \alpha$ .

VI. De functionibus.

Logicae notationes quae praecedunt exprimendae cuilibet arithmeticae propositioni sufficiunt, iisdemque tantum utimur. Hic notationes alias nonnullas breviter explicamus, quae utiles fieri possunt.

Sit s quaedam classis; supponimus aequalitatem inter entia systematis s definitam, quae conditionibus satisfaciat:

$$a = a$$
  
 $a = b \cdot = b = a$   
 $a = b \cdot b = c : O \cdot a = c$ 

Sit  $\varphi$  signum, sive signorum aggregatus, ita ut si x est ens classis s, scriptura  $\varphi$  x novum indicet ens; supponimus quoque aequalitatem inter entia  $\varphi$  x definitam; et si x et y sunt entia classis s, et est x=y, supponimus deduci posse  $\varphi$   $x=\varphi$  y. Tunc signum  $\varphi$  dicitur esse functionis praesignum in classi s, et scribemus  $\varphi \ni F'$  s.

$$s \in K \cdot \mathcal{O} :: \varphi F' s \cdot = \therefore x, y \in s \cdot x = y : \mathcal{O}_{x,y} \cdot \varphi x = \varphi y$$

Verum si, cum sit x quodlibet ens classis s, scriptura  $x\phi$  novum indicet ens, et, ex, x = y deducitur  $x\phi = y\phi$ , tunc dicimus  $\phi$  esse functionis postsignum in classi s et scribemus  $\phi \in s'F$ .

$$s \in K . O :: \varphi s'F . = \therefore x, y \in s . x = y : O_{x,y} . x\varphi = y\varphi$$

*Exempla*. Sit a numerus; tunc a + est functionis praesignum in numerorum classe, et + a est functionis postsignum; quicumque enim est numerus x, for-

tained if, in  $\alpha$ , the letters x', y', ... are written for x, y, ... It follows that  $\alpha[x := x, y := y] = \alpha$ .

VI. Functions.

NOTE: Peano has a very structural or syntactical version of a function. There is no parameter. The function is text like "2 + " or "+ 3" where if you append a number to the start or end, you have a valid expression.

The symbols of logic introduced above suffice to express any proposition of arithmetic, and we shall only these. We explain here briefly some other symbols that may be useful.

Let S be a class; we assume that equality is defined between the objects of the system s so as to satisfy the conditions:

$$a = a$$
.  
 $(a = b) = (b = a)$   
 $[(a = b) \land (b = c)] \rightarrow a = c$ 

Let  $\varphi$  be a sign or an aggregate of signs such that, if x is an object of the class S, the expression  $\varphi$  x denotes a new object; we assume also that equality is defined between the objects  $\varphi$  x; further, if x and y are objects of the class S and if x = y, we assume it is possible to deduce  $\varphi$   $x = \varphi$  y. Then the sign  $\varphi$  is said to be a function presign in the class S, and we write  $\varphi \ni F'$  s.

If, x being any object of the class S, the expression  $x\phi$  denotes a new object and  $x\phi = y\phi$  follows from x = y, then we say that  $\phi$  is a *function postsign in the class* S, and we write  $\phi \in s'F$ .

*Examples*. Let a be a number; then a+ is a function presign in the class of numbers, and +a is a function postsign; for any number x, formulas a+x and x+a

mulae a+x et x+a novos indicant numeros, et ex x=y deducitur a+x=a+y, et x+a=y+a. Itaque

$$a \in \mathbb{N} . \Im : a + . \in . F' \mathbb{N}$$

$$a \in \mathbb{N} . \ \Im : + a . \in . \ N'F$$

Sit  $\varphi$  functionis praesignum in classe s. Tunc  $[\varphi]y$  classem significat iis x constitutam, quae conditioni  $\varphi x = y$  satisfaciunt; scilicet:

*Def.* 
$$s \in K$$
.  $\varphi \in F' s : O : [\varphi]y . = . [x \in ] (\varphi x = y)$ 

Classis  $[\varphi]_{\gamma}$  vel unum vel plura, vel etiam nullum individuum continere potest.

Erit:

$$s \in K$$
.  $\varphi \in F'$   $s : \Omega : \gamma = \varphi x . = .x \in [\varphi] \gamma$ 

Si vero  $\varphi y$  uno tantum constat individuo, erit  $y=\varphi x$  . = .  $x=[\varphi]y$ 

Sit  $\varphi$  functions postsignum; similiter ponimus:

$$s \in K$$
.  $\varphi \in s'F : \mathcal{O} : y |\varphi| = |x \in |(x \varphi = y).$ 

Signum [ ] dicitur *inversionis signum*, eiusque usus nonullos in logica iam exposuimus. Nam si  $\alpha$  est propositio indeterminatum continens x, atque A est classis individuis x composita quae conditioni  $\alpha$  satisfaciunt, erit  $x \in \alpha$ . =  $\alpha$ , tunc  $\alpha$  = [ $x \in$ ]  $\alpha$ , ut in V, i.

Sit  $\alpha$  formula indeterminate continens x, sitque  $\varphi$  functionis praesignum, quod litterae x praepositum, formulam  $\alpha$  gignat; scilicet sit  $\alpha = \varphi x$ ; tunc erit  $\varphi = \alpha[x]$ , et si x' est novum ens, erit  $\varphi x' = \alpha[x]x'$ , scilicet, si  $\alpha$  est formula indeterminatum continens x, tunc  $\alpha[x]x'$  significat id quod obtinetur si in  $\alpha$ , loco x,x' ponatur.

Similiter, sit  $\alpha$  formula indeterminate continens x, sitque  $\varphi$  functionis postsignum, ut  $x\varphi = \alpha$ ; deducitur  $\varphi = [x]\alpha$ ; tunc, si x' est novum ens, erit  $x'\varphi = x'[x]\alpha$ , scilicet  $x'[x]\alpha$  rursum indicat id quod obtinetur si in  $\alpha$ , loco x, x' legatur, ut in V, 4.

denote new numbers; a + x = a + y and x + a = y + a follow from x = y. Thus

...

Let  $\varphi$  be a function presign in the class S. Then  $[\varphi]y$  denotes the class composed of x that satisfy the condition  $\varphi x = y$ ; that is,

Def.

XIV

The class  $[\varphi]y$  may contain one or several individuals, or none at all. We have:

...

But if  $\varphi y$  consists of just one individual, we have  $y = \varphi x \cdot = \cdot x = [\varphi]y$ Let  $\varphi$  be a function postsign; we write similarly:

...

The sign  $\{x | ...\}$  is called *inversion sign*, and we have already presented some of its uses in logic. If  $\alpha$  is a proposition containing the indeterminate x and A is a class composed of the individuals x that satisfy the condition  $\alpha$ , we have  $x \in A = \alpha$ , and then  $A = \{x | \alpha\}$ , as in V, i.

Let  $\alpha$  be a formula containing the indeterminate x and let  $\varphi$  be a function presign that yields the formula  $\alpha$  when written before the letter x; that is, let  $\alpha = \varphi x$ ; then we have  $\varphi = \alpha[x :=?]$ , and if x' is a new object, we have  $\varphi x' = \alpha[x := x']$ , that is, if  $\alpha$  is a formula containing the indeterminate x, then  $\alpha[x := x']$  means what is obtained when, in  $\alpha$ , we put x' for x.

Similarly, let  $\alpha$  be a formula containing the indeterminate x and let  $\varphi$  be a function postsign, such that  $x\varphi=\alpha$ ; it follows that  $\varphi=\alpha[x:=?]$ . Then, if x' is a new object, have  $x'\varphi=\alpha[x:=x']$ ; that is,  $x'[x]\alpha$  again denotes what is obtained, when, in  $\alpha$ , read x' for x, as in Y, 4..

Alios quoque usus in logica signum [] habere potest, quos breviter esponimus, quum ipsis non utamur. Sint a et b duae classes; tunc  $[a \cap ]b$  sive  $b[\cap a]$  classes indicat x, quae conditioni  $b = a \cap x$ , sive  $b = x \cap a$  satisfaciunt. Si b in a non continetur, nulla classis huic conditioni satifacit; si b in a continetur, signum  $b[\cap a]$  omnes indicat classes quae b continent atque in  $b \cup -a$  continentur.

In Arithmetica, sint a,b numeri; tunc [b+a] sive [a+]b numerum indicat x, qui conditioni b=x+a, sive b=a+x satisfacit, nempe b-a. Similiter erit  $b[\times a]=[a\times]b=b/a$ . Et in analysi hoc signum usuvenire potest; itaque

$$y = \sin x . = . x \in [\sin] y$$

$$(loco x = arc sin y).$$

$$dF(x) = f(x)dx . = . F(x) \in [d]$$
 (1)

$$(\operatorname{loco} F(x) = \int f(x) dx).$$

f(x)dx

Sit rursum  $\varphi$  functionis praesignum in classi s, sitque k classis

in s contenta; tunc  $\varphi k$  classem indicat omnibus  $\varphi x$  compositam, ubi x sunt entia classis k; scilicet

Def. 
$$s \in K \cdot k \in K \cdot k \supset s \cdot \varphi \in F' s : \supset \varphi = [y \in g] (k \cdot [\varphi]y : - = \Lambda)$$

Sive 
$$s \in K$$
.  $k \in K$ .  $k \supset s$ .  $\varphi \in F'$   $s : O$ .  $\varphi$   $k = [y \in ]$  ( $[x \in ]: x \in k$ .  $[\varphi]x = y : - = \Lambda$ )

Def. 
$$s \in K$$
.  $k \in K$ .  $k \supset s$ .  $\varphi \in s'F : O$ .  $k \varphi = [y \in ] (k \cdot y [\varphi] : - = \Lambda)$ 

Itaque, si  $\varphi \in F's$ , tunc  $\varphi s$  classem indicat omnibus  $\varphi x$  constitutam, ubi x sint entia classis s. Erit:

$$s \in K$$
.  $\varphi \in F's$ .  $y \in \varphi s : O : \varphi[\varphi]y = y$   
 $s \in K$ .  $a, b \in K$ .  $a \cap S$ .  $b \cap S$ .  $\varphi \in F's : O$ .  $\varphi(a \cup b) = (\varphi a) \cup (\varphi b)$   
 $s \in K$ .  $\varphi \in F's : O$ .  $\varphi \Lambda = \Lambda$ 

$$S \in \mathbf{K}$$
.  $\varphi \in \mathbf{F}$   $S : \mathcal{O}$ .  $\varphi \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}$ 

$$s \in K$$
 .  $\alpha, b \in K$  .  $b \circlearrowleft s$  .  $\alpha \circlearrowleft b$  .  $\varphi \in F's : \circlearrowleft$  .  $\varphi \alpha \circlearrowleft \varphi b$ 

$$s \in K$$
 .  $\alpha, b \in K$  .  $\alpha \circlearrowleft s$  .  $b \circlearrowleft s$  .  $\varphi \in F's : \circlearrowleft$  .  $\varphi(\alpha b) \circlearrowleft (\varphi \alpha)(\varphi b)$ 

Sit a quaedam classis; tunc  $a \cap K$ , sive  $K \cap a$ , sive Ka, classes omnes indicat formae  $a \cap x$ , sive  $x \cap a$ , xa, ubi x est classis quacumque; scilicet Ka indicat classes quae in

The sign [] can have other uses in logic, which we present only briefly, since we shall not use it in these ways. Let A and B be two classes; then  $[A \cap ]B$  or  $B[\cap A]$  denotes the classes X that satisfy the condition  $B = A \cap X$ , or  $B = X \cap A$ . If B is not contained in A, no class satisfies this condition; if B is contained in A, the sign  $B[\cap A]$  denotes all classes that contain B and are contained in  $B \cup \overline{A}$ .

In arithmetic, let a and b be numbers; then [b+a] or [a+]b denotes the number x that satisfies the condition b=x+a, or b=a+x, that is b-a. Similarly we have  $b[\times a] = [a\times]b = b/a$ . This sign can even find a use in analysis; thus

Let  $\varphi$  again be a function presign in a class S and let C be a class

contained in S; then  $\varphi C$  denotes the class consisting of all  $\varphi x$ , where the x are the objects of class C; that is

Def.

XV

Or

Def.

Thus, if  $\varphi \in F'S$ , then  $\varphi s$  denotes the class composed of all  $\varphi x$ , where the x are objects of the class S. We have:

...

...

Let a be a class; then  $a \cap K$ , or  $K \cap a$ , or Ka, denotes all classes of the form  $a \cap x$ , or  $x \cap a$ , xa, where x is any class; that is Ka denotes the classes that are contained

a continentur. Formula  $x \in Ka$  idem significat quod  $x \in K$ .  $x \supset a$ . Hac conventione quandoque utimur; ita KN isgnificat numerorum classem.

Similiter, si a est classis,  $K \cup a$  indicat classes quae a continent. Sit a numerus; tunc a + N, sive N + a, numeros indicat numero a maiores;  $a \times N$ , sive  $N \times a$ , sive Na indicat multiplices numeri a;  $a^N$  indicat potestas numeri a;  $N^2$ ,  $N^3$ , ... indicat numeros quadratos, vel numeros cubos....

Functional signorum aequalitatem, productum, potestas, ita definire licet:

Def. 
$$s \in K$$
.  $\varphi, \psi \in F's : O$ .  $\varphi = \psi : = : x \in s$ .  $O$ .  $\varphi x = \psi x$ 

Def. 
$$s \in K$$
 .  $\varphi \in F's$  .  $\psi \in F'\varphi s$  .  $x \in s$  :  $\Im$  .  $\psi \varphi x = \psi(\varphi x)$ 

Itaque, in definitionis hypothesi, erit  $\psi \varphi$  novum functionis praesignum; idque productum signorum  $\psi$  et  $\varphi$  vocatur.

Similiterque, si  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$  sunt functionis postsigna.

Haec valet propositio:

$$s \in K$$
.  $\varphi \in F's$ .  $\varphi s \supset s : \supset : \varphi \varphi s \supset s$ .  $\varphi \varphi \varphi s \supset s$ . etc.

Functiones  $\varphi\varphi, \varphi\varphi\varphi, \dots$  iteraiae vocantur, et communiter signis  $\varphi^2, \varphi^3, \dots$  indicantur, ut operationis  $\varphi$  potestates.

Si vero  $\varphi$  est functionis postsignum, ha faciliori notatione, absque ambiguitate, uti licet:

*Def.* 
$$s \in K$$
 .  $\varphi \in s'F$  .  $s\varphi \supset s : \supset : \varphi 1 = \varphi$  .  $\varphi 2 = \varphi \varphi$  .  $\varphi 3 = \varphi \varphi \varphi$ . etc.

In definition hypothesi, si  $m, n \in N$ , erit  $\varphi(m+n) = (\varphi m)(\varphi n)$ ;  $(\varphi m)n = \varphi(mn)$ 

Si hac definitione in Arithmetica utimur, haec invenimus. Numerum qui sequitur numerum a signo faciliori a+ indicare possumus; tunc a+1,a+2,... et, si b est numerus, a+b, sensum habent a+,a++,... quod a definitione in §1 patet. Propositionem 6 in §1 scribere possumus N+  $\supset N$ . Si a,b,c sunt numeri, tunc a:+b.c significat a+bc, et  $a:\times b.c$  significat  $ab^c$ .

in a. The formula  $x \in Ka$  means the same as  $x \in K$ .  $x \supset a$ . We shall sometimes use this convention; thus KN means a class of numbers.

Similarly, if A is a class,  $K \cup A$  indicates the classes that contain A. Let a be a number; then a + N, or N + a, denotes the numbers greater than the number a;  $a \times N$ , or  $N \times a$ , or Na denotes the multiples of the number a;  $a^N$  denotes the powers of the number a;  $N^2$ ,  $N^3$ , ... denote the squares, the cubes,...

Equality, product and powers can be defined thus for function signs:

Def.

Def.

Thus, if we assume this definition, we have the new function presign  $\psi \varphi$ ; it is called the *product of the signs*  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$ .

Similarly if  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$  are function postsigns.

The following proposition holds:

...

The functions  $\varphi\varphi, \varphi\varphi\varphi, ...$  are said to be *iterated* and are generally denoted by the signs  $\varphi^2, \varphi^3, ...$  as powers of the operation  $\varphi$ .

XVI

But if  $\varphi$  is a function postsign, we can use the following more convenient notation without ambiguity:

Def.

Assuming this definition, if  $m, n \in N$ , we have  $\varphi(m+n) = (\varphi m)(\varphi n)$ ;  $(\varphi m)n = \varphi(mn)$ 

If we use this definition in arithmetice, we obtain the following. We can denote the number that follows the number a by the more convenient sign a+; then a+1, a+2,..., and, if b is a number, a+b, have the meaning of a+, a++,..., which is clear from the definition in §1 below. Proposition 6 in §1 can be written N+ O N. If a,b,c are numbers, then a:+b . c means a+bc, and  $a:\times b$  . c means  $ab^c$ .

Multi aliis proprietatibus gaudent functionem signa, praesertim si conditioni satisfaciunt:  $\varphi x = \varphi y$ . O . x = y. Functionis signum quod huic conditioni satisfacit vocatur a clarrissimo Dedekind *simile* (ähnliche Abbildung).

Sed his exponendis locus deest.

Declarationes.

Defenitio, vel breviter Def. est propositio formam habens x=a, sive  $\alpha \circlearrowleft x=a$ , ubi  $\alpha$  est signorum aggregatus sensum habens notum; x est signum, vel signorum aggregatus significatione adhuc carnes;  $\alpha$  vero est conditio sub qua definitio datur.

Theorema, (Theor. vel Th) est propositio quae demonstratur. Si theorema formam habet  $\alpha \supset \beta$ , ubi  $\alpha$  et  $\beta$  sunt propositiones, tunc  $\alpha$  dicitur *Hypothesis* (Hyp. vel breviter Hp.),  $\beta$  vero *Thesis* (Thes. vel Ts.). Hyp. ac Ts. a Theorematis forma pendent; nam si loco  $\alpha \supset \beta$  scribemus  $-\beta \supset -\alpha$ , erit  $-\beta$  Hp, et  $-\alpha$  Ts.; si vero scribemus  $\alpha - \beta = \Lambda$ , Hp. ac Ts. absunt.

In quolibet § signum P quod quidam numerus sequatur, propositionem indicat eiusdem § hoc numero signatam. Logicae propositiones indicantur signo L et propositiones numero.

Formulae quae in una linea non continentur, in altera linea, nullo interposito signo, sequuntur.

Arithmetices Principia.

§1. De numeris et de additione.

Function signs possess many other properties, especially if they satisfy the condition:  $\varphi x = \varphi y$ . O. x = y. A function sign that satisfies this condition is called  $equivalent^2$  by Dedekind (ähnliche Abbildung).

But we lack the space to present these properties.

Remarks.

A *Definition*, or *Def.* for short, is a proposition of the form x = a or  $\alpha \supset x = a$ , where a is an aggregate of signs having a known meaning, x is a sign or an aggregate of signs, hitherto without meaning, and  $\alpha$  is the condition under which the definition is given.

A theorem (Theor. or Th.) is aproposition that is *proved*. If a theorem has the form  $\alpha \supset \beta$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are propositions, then  $\alpha$  is called the *hypothesis* (Hyp., or even shorter, Hp.) and  $\beta$  the thesis (Thes. or Ts.). Hyp. and Ts. depend on the form of the theorem; in fact, if we write  $\alpha \supset \beta$  instead of  $-\beta \supset -\alpha$ , then  $-\beta$  is the Hp, abd  $-\alpha$  the Ts.; if we write  $\alpha - \beta = \Lambda$ , Hp. and Ts. do not exist.

In any § below, the sign P followed by a number denotes the proposition indicated by that number in the same §. Propositions of logic are indicated by the sign L and the number of the proposition.

Formulas that do not fit on one line are continued on the next line without any intervening sign.

1

The Principles of Arithmetic.

§1. Numbers and addition.

#### Explicationes.

## Explanations.

NOTE: Peano starts his natural numbers at 1; most modern versions start at 0. Also, Peano calls it "successor" ("sequens") but does not use the modern convention of using "S" for it.

Signo	N	significatur	numerus (integer	The sign	N	means	number (positive integer).
			positivus).				
<b>»</b>	1	»	unit as.	22	1	22	unity.
<b>»</b>	a+1	<b>»</b>	sequens $a$ , sive $a$ plus $1$ .	"	a+1	"	the successor of $a$ , or $a$
							plus 1.
<b>»</b>	=	<b>»</b>	est aequalis. Hoc ut	22	=	"	is equal to. This must be
			novum signum				considered as a new sign,
			considerandum est, etsi				although it has the
			logicae signi figuram				appearance of a sign of
			habeat.				logic.

Axiomata.

Axioms.

1. 
$$1 \in N$$

2. 
$$a \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . a = a$$

3. 
$$a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$$
 .  $\exists a = b = a$ 

4. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{N} : \exists : a = b : b = c : \exists : a = c$$

5. 
$$a = b \cdot b \in \mathbb{N} : 0 \cdot a \in \mathbb{N}$$

6. 
$$a \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . a + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$$

7. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{N} . 0 : a = b . = .a + 1 = b + 1$$

8. 
$$a \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . a + 1 = 1$$

9. 
$$k \in K : 1 \in k : x \in \mathbb{N} . x \in k : \mathfrak{I}_x . x + 1 \in k :: \mathfrak{I} . \mathbb{N} \mathfrak{I}_k$$

Definitiones.

Definitions.

2 = 1 + 1; 3 = 2 + 1; 4 = 3 + 1; etc. 10.

2

• • • •

Theoremata.

Theorems.

 $2 \in N$ . 11.

Demonstratio:

P1.5:  $1 \in N$ 

(1)

••• **Proof:** 

1[a](P6).5:

 $1 \in N. 0.1 + 1 \in N$ 

(2)

(2)

(1)

**(4)** 

(1)(2).5:

 $1+1 \in N$ 

(3)

(3)

P10.5:

2 = 1 + 1

(4)

vel

(4).(3).(2,1+1)[a,b](P5): 3:

 $2 \in N$ 

(Theor.)

Nota. - Huius facillimae demonstrationis gradus omnes ecplicite scripsimus. Brevitatis causa ipsam ita scribemus:

 $P1.1[a](P6): 0: 1+1 \in \mathbb{N}.P10.(2,1+1)[a,b](P5): 0: Th$ 

 $P1.P6:0:1+1 \in N.P10.P5:0:Th$ 

12.  $3, 4, ... \in N$ 

 $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N} . a = b . b = c . c = d : 0 : a = d$ 13.

 $Hyp.P4:0:a,c,d \in N.a = c.c = d.P4:0:Thes.$ Dem.

14.  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} . a = b . b = c . a = c := \Lambda$ 

P4.L39:0.Theor.Dem.

15.  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} . a = b . b - = c : 0 . a - = c$ 

16.  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . a + 1 = b + 1 : 0 . a = b$  Note. - We have explicitly written every step of this very easy proof. For the sake of brevity, we shall write it as follows:

17.  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . 0 : a - b = a + 1 - b + 1$ 

Dem. P7.L21:0.Theor

Definitio.

Definition.

18.  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . a + (b+1) = (a+b) + 1$ 

*Nota.* - Hanc definitionem ita legere oportet: si a et b sunt numeri, et (a+b)+1 sensum habet (scilicet si a+b est numerus), sed a+(b+1) nondum definitus est, tunc a+(b+1) significat numerum qui a+b sequitur.

Ab hac definitione, et a praecedentibus deducitur:

$$a \in \mathbb{N} : 0 : a + 2 = a + (1 + 1) = (a + 1) + 1$$

$$a \in \mathbb{N}$$
.  $3 : a + 3 = a + (2 + 1) = (a + 2) + 1$ , etc.

*Note.* - This definition should be read: if a and b are numbers, and (a+b)+1 has meaning (that is, if a+b is a number), but a+(b+1) has not yet been defined, then a+(b+1) indicates the number that follows a+b.

From this definition, and the preceding, we deduce that:

•••

3

(1)

(3)

(Th.)

Theoremata.

Theorems.

19.  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . a + b \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Dem.  $a \in \mathbb{N} \cdot P6 : \mathfrak{I} : a + 1 \in \mathbb{N} : \mathfrak{I} : 1 \in [b \in] \text{ Ts.}$ 

 $a \in N . 0 :: b \in N . b \in [b \in] Ts : 0 : a + b \in N . P6$  (2)

 $:0:(a+b)+1\in N.$  P18

a+b+c=(a+b)+c

 $: \Im : a + (b+1) \in \mathbb{N} : \Im : (b+1) \in [b \in] \text{ Ts.}$ 

 $a \in N.(1).(2).0 :: 1 \in [b \in] Ts :: b \in N.b \in [b \in] Ts$ 

:0: $b+1 \in [b \in ]$  Ts ::([ $b \in ]$  Ts )[k] P9

 $:: \mathfrak{I}: \mathbb{N} \mathfrak{I}[b \in] \mathbb{T}s . (L50) :: \mathfrak{I}: b \in \mathbb{N} . C \mathbb{T}s.$ 

(3). (L42):  $C: a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  . ). Thesis

•

20. Def.

21.  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . a + b + c \in \mathbb{N}$ 

... ... ...

...

...

... ...

... ...

... ... ...

22.	$a,b,c \in \mathbb{N}$ . $\exists: a=b . = .a+c=b+c$				
Dem.	$a,b\in \mathbf{N}$ . P7 : 0.1 $\in$ [ $c\in$ ] Ts.	(1)			
	$a,b \in \mathbf{N}$ . $\Im :: c \in \mathbf{N}$ . $c \in [c \in]$ Ts	(2)			
	$\therefore \circlearrowleft : a = b : = .a + c = b + c : a + c, b + c \in \mathbf{N} :$				
	$a + c = b + c$ . = $.a + c + 1 = b + c + 1$ . $\therefore a = b$ . =				
	$.a+(c+1)=b+(c+1):. \supset :.(c+1)\in [C\in ] \text{ Ts.}$				
	$a,b\in \mathbf{N}$ .(1).(2):	(3)	•••		
	. ) . $(c+1) \in [c \in]$ Ts :: ) :: $c \in \mathbb{N}$ . ) . Ts.				
23.	$a,b,c \in \mathbb{N}$ . D. $a+(b+c)=a+b+c$				
Dem.	$a,b \in \mathbf{N}$ . P18 . P20 : ). 1 $\in$ [ $c \in$ ] Ts.	(1)			
	$a,b \in \mathbf{N}$ .3 : $c \in \mathbf{N}$ . $c \in [c \in]$ Ts	(2)			
	$: 0 : a + (b + c) = a + b + c \cdot P7$				
	: 0: $a + (b + c) + 1 = a + b + c + 1$ . P18				
	$: \Im : a + (b + (c + 1)) = a + b + (c + 1) : \Im . c + 1 \in [c \in]$				
	Ts.				
	(1)(2) (P9) . J. Theor.				
24.	$a \in \mathbb{N}$ . O $.1 + a = a + 1$		•••		•••
Dem.	P2 . $0.1 \in [a \in] \text{ Ts.}$	(1)			
	$a \in \mathbf{N} \cdot a \in [a \in] $ Ts: $0:1+1=a+1:0:$	(2)			
	$1 + (a + 1) = (a + 1) + 1 : 0 : (a + 1) \in [a \in] \text{ Ts.}$				
	(1)(2).o. Theor.				
24'.	$a,b \in \mathbf{N}$ . D. $1+a+b=a+1+b$				
Dem.	Hyp. $P24:001+a=a+1$ . $P22:0$ . Thesis.		•••	<b></b>	
			4		
25.	$a,b$ ă $\in \mathbf{N}$ . O . $a+b=b+a$ .			<b></b>	
Dem.	$a \in \mathbb{N}$ . P24 : $0 : 1 \in [b \in]$ Ts.	(1)			
	$a \in \mathbb{N} . \supset b \in \mathbb{N} . b \in [b \in] \text{Ts} : \supset : a + b = b + a . \text{P7} :$	(2)	•••		

```
0:(a+b)+1=(b+a)+1.(a+b)+1=(a+(b+1).(b+a)+1)
                      a)+1=1+(b+a).1+(b+a)=(1+b)+a.(1+b)+a=
                      (b+1)+a:0:a+(b+1)=(b+1)+a:0:(b+1)\in[b\in]
                      Ts.
                      (1)(2).5. Theor.
                      a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}. \exists : a = b . = .c + a = c + b.
26.
                                                                                                                                 • • •
27.
                      a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}. 0: a + b + c = a + c + b.
28.
                      a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N} . a = b . c = d : 0 . a + c = b + d.
                                   §2. De substractione.
                                                                                                                                                 §2. Substraction.
                                       Explicationes.
                                                                                                                                                  Explanations.
  Signo
                                                 legitur
                                                                                                           The sign
                                                                       minus.
                                                                                                                                                            is read
                                                                                                                                                                                  minus.
                                                                                                                                                                                  is less than.
                                                                       est minor.
                                                                                                                                        <
                            <
                                                                                                                                                                                  is greater than.
                                                                       est maior.
                                                                                                                                        >
                            >
                                        Definitiones.
                                                                                                                                                    Definitions.
                      a, b \in \mathbb{N} . 0 : b - a = \mathbb{N}[x \in ](x + a = b).
                      a, b \in \mathbb{N} . \Im : a < b . = .b - a = \Lambda.
                      a, b \in \mathbb{N} . 0 : b > a . = .a < b.
                      a+b-c = (a+b)-c; a-b+c =
                      (a-b)+c; a-b-c = (a-b)-c.
                                        Theoremata.
                                                                                                                                                    Theorems.
                      a, b, a', b' \in \mathbb{N} a = a' \cdot b = b' : 0 : b - a = b' - a'.
```

1.

2. 3.

4.

Dem.

Hyp. 3: x + a = b = x + a' = b' : 3. Thesis.

5. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{N} . \Im : a < b . = .b - a \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Dem. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{N} : 0 : x, y \in b - a : 0_{x,y} : x, y \in \mathbb{N} . x + a =$$
 (1)

$$b \cdot y + a = b \cdot \S1 \text{ P22} : 0 : x = y.$$

$$a, b \in \mathbb{N} . a < b . P2 .(1) : 0 : b - a = \Lambda : x, y \in$$
 (2)

$$b-a.9.x = y:(N,b-a)[s,k]$$
 (L56)

$$\therefore$$
  $0 : b - a \in N$ .

$$a, b \in \mathbb{N} . b - a \in \mathbb{N} . (L56)$$

5

(3)

$$: 0 : b - a - = \Lambda : 0 : a < b.$$

$$(2)(3).5$$
. Theor.

6. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{N} . a < b : 0 . b - a + a = b$$
.

$$Dem. \hspace{1.5cm} {\rm Hyp. P5. P1}$$

: 
$$0: b - a \in \mathbb{N} (b - a) \in [x \in ](x + a = b): 0: \text{ Thes.}$$

7. 
$$a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} . 0 : c = b - a . = .c + a = b$$
.

$$: 0 : c = b - a : = .c + a = b - a + a : = .c + a = b.$$

8. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . a + b - a = b$$
.

*Dem.* 
$$(a+b,b)[b,c]$$
 P7 .3. Theor.

9. 
$$a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} . a < b : 0 : c + (b - a) = c + b - a$$
.

$$: 0 : (b-a) + a = b : 0 : c + (b-a) + a = c + b$$
. P7

:O: Thesis.

10. 
$$a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} . a > b + c : 0 . a - (b + c) = a - b - c$$
.

11. 
$$a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} . b > c . a > b - c : 0 . a - (b - c) = a + c - b$$
.

12. 
$$a, b, a', b' \in \mathbb{N} . a = a' . b = b' : 0 : a < b . = .a' < b'.$$

Dem. Hyp

.0.
$$b - a = b' - a'$$
.0. $b - a \in N = b' - a' \in N$ .0.

Thes.

13.  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . 0 . a < a + b$ .

Dem. Hyp.  $P8:0:a+b-a=b:0.a+b-a \in N$ . P5

: O: Thesis.

14.  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} . a < b . b < c : 0 . a < c$ .

Dem. Hyp.  $0: b-a \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $c-b \in :0: (b-a)+(c-b) \in \mathbb{N}$ :

 $\mathfrak{I}: c-a \in \mathbb{N} : \mathfrak{I}$ . Thesis.

15.  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} . 0 : a < b . = .a + c < b + c.$ 

*Dem.* Hyp.  $3: a < b = b - a \in \mathbb{N} = (b + c) - (a + c) \in$ 

N = a + c < b + c

16.  $a, b, a', b' \in \mathbb{N} . a < b . a' < b' : \Im . a + a' < b + b'$ .

*Dem.* Hyp. 0: a + a' < b + a' . b + a' < b + b' : 0. Thesis.

17.  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} . a < b < c : 0 . c - a > c - b$ .

Dem. Hyp

 $b - a \in N \cdot c - b \in N \cdot (c - b) + (b - a) = c - a : 0$ .

Thesis.

18.  $a \in \mathbb{N} . 0 : a = 1 . \cup . a > 1$ .

*Dem.*  $1 \in [a \in]$  Thesis.

 $a \in \mathbb{N}$ . P13:0:a+1 > 1:0: $a+1 \in [a \in]$  Thesis.

(1)(2).5. Theor.

.....

19.  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . 0 . a + b = b . \check{a}$ 

Dem.  $a \in \mathbb{N} \cdot \S1 \text{ P8} : \Im : a + 1 - 1 : \Im : 1 \in [b \in] \text{ Thesis.}$  (1)

 $a \in N . b \in N . b \in [b \in] Ts : 0 : a + b = b . §1 P17$  (2)

6

 $: 0 : a + (b+1) - = b + 1 : 0 : b + 1 \in [b \in] \text{ Ts.}$ 

(1)(2).5. Theor.

20.  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . a < b . a = b := \Lambda$ .

*Dem.* Hyp:  $0:b-a \in \mathbb{N} . (b-a) + a = a . P19: 0: \Lambda$ .

21.  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . a > b . a = b := \Lambda$ .

- 22.  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . a > b . a < b := \Lambda$ .
- 23.  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} : 0 : a < b : \cup .a = b : \cup .a > b$ .
- $Dem a \in \mathbb{N} . P18 : \mathfrak{I} : \mathbb{S} . 1 \in [b \in] Ts. (1)$ 
  - $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . a < b : 0 . a < b + 1.$  (2)
  - $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . a = b : 0 . a < b + 1.$  (3)
  - $a, b \in N . a > b : 0 : a b \in N . P18$  (4)
  - $: 0: a-b = 1. \cup .a-b > 1.$
  - $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . a b = 1 : 0 . a = b + 1.$  (5)
  - $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . a b > 1 : 0 . a > b + 1.$  (6)
  - $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . a > b . (4)(5)(6) : 0 : a = b + 1 . \cup . a > b + 1.$  (7)
  - $a, b \in \mathbb{N} : a > b \cup a = b \cup a > b :$  (8)
  - (2)(3)(7): 0: a < b + 1. 0: a = b + 1. 0: a > b + 1.
  - $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . b \in [b \in] \text{ Ts } .(8) : 0 : b + 1 \in [b \in] \text{ Ts.}$  (9)
  - (1)(9).5. Theor.
    - §3. De maximis et minimis.

Explicationes.

Sit  $a \in KN$ , hoc est sit a quaedam numerorum classis; tunc Ma legatur maximus  $inter\ a,\ \text{et}\ \mathbb{W} a\ \text{legatur}\ minimus\ inter\ a.$ 

Definitiones.

- 1.  $a \in K \setminus N : 0 : Ma = [x \in ](x \in a : a : \epsilon > x := \Lambda.\check{a})$
- 2.  $a \in K \text{ N} . \Im : \mathbb{W} a = [x \in ](x \in a : a . \in < x := \Lambda.\check{a})$

.....

§3. Maxima and minima.

Explanations.

Let  $a \in K$  N, that is, let a be a class of numbers; then M a is read greatest among a, and W a is read least among a.

Definitions.

7

Theoremata.

Theorems.

 $\Im.Ma \in N.$ ă

 $(1) \supset : 1 \in [n \in] \text{ (Hp } \supset \text{Ts)}.$  (2)

(1)

 $n \in \mathbb{N} . a \in K \mathbb{N} . a \ni n + 1 = \Lambda . n + 1 \in a : 0$ : (3)

 $n + 1 = Ma : 0 : Ma \in N$ .

 $n \in \mathbb{N} . a \in K \mathbb{N} . a \ni n + 1 = \Lambda . n + 1 = a : 0$ : (4)

 $a \ni > n = \Lambda$ .

 $n \in [n \in] (\operatorname{Hp} \supset \operatorname{Ts})$  (5)

 $a \in K \setminus N$   $a \ni n + 1 = \Lambda$   $n + 1 = \alpha$   $n \in A$ 

 $n \in [n \in] \text{ (Hp } \supset \text{Ts) } .(6) : \supset .(n+1) \in [n \in] \text{ (Hp } \supset (7)]$ 

Ts).

(2)(7). §1 P9:  $0: n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $0: n \in \mathbb{N}$ . (Th.)

4.  $a \in K \setminus N \cdot a = \Lambda : \Im \cdot M \cdot a \in N$ .

5.  $a \in K \text{ N . O} : \mathbb{M} a = M[x \in ](a \ni \langle x = \Lambda).$ 

 $\S 4.$  De multiplicatione.

Definitiones.

1.  $a \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . a \times 1 = a.\check{a}$ 

2.  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . a \times (b+1) = a \times b + a.$ 

 $ab = a \times b$ ; ab + c = (ab) + c; abc = (ab)c.

Theoremata.

3.  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . ab \in N. \check{a}$ 

Dem.  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . P1 : \mathfrak{I} : a \times 1 \in \mathbb{N} : \mathfrak{I} . 1 \in [b \in] Ts.$ 

§4. Multiplication.

Definitions.

Theorems.

 $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . b \in [b \in] \text{ Ts} : \mathfrak{I} : a \times b \in \mathbb{N} . \$1 \text{ P19}$ (2) :0: $ab + a \in N . P1$  $: 0 : a(b+1) \in \mathbb{N} : 0 : b+1 \in [b \in] \text{ Ts.}$ (1)(2).5. Theor. 8  $a,b,c \in \mathbb{N}$ . D. $(a+b)c = ac \pm bc$ . ă 4. Haec est prop.  $5^a$  Euclidis elem. Nota.Note.libri VII.  $a,b \in \mathbb{N}$ . P1:0:1  $\in [c \in]$  Ts. Dem.(1)  $a,b,c \in \mathbb{N} . c \in [c \in] Ts$ (2) :0:(a+b)c = ac + bc.§1 P22 :  $\Im : (a+b)c + a + b = ac + bc + a + b$ . P2: 0: (a+b)(c+1) = $a(c+1) + b(c+1) : 0 : c+1 \in [c \in] \text{ Ts.}$ (1)(2).3. Theor. 5.  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ .J. $1 \times a = a$ .  $1 \in [a \in] \text{ Ts.}$ Dem.(1)  $a \in [a \in] \text{ Ts.} 0.1 \times a = a.0.1 \times a + 1 =$ (2) $a+1.\times.1\times(a+1) = a+1.3.a+1 \in [a \in]$ Ts. (1)(2).5. Theor. 6.  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . ba + a = (b+1)a.$  $a,b \in \mathbb{N}$ .o.ab = ba. 7. (Eucl. VII, 16) Dem. $a \in N . P5 . P1$ (1) :  $0.a \times 1 = a = 1 \times a : 0 : 1 \in [b \in] \text{ Ts.}$  $a,b\in \mathbb{N}$  ,  $b\in [b\in]$  Ts (2): 0: ab = ba : 0: ab + a = ba + a . P1

This is prop.  $5^a$  of Euclid's elem. book VII.

.P6:  $0: a(b+1) = (b+1)a : 0: b+1 \in$ 

 $[b \in]$  Ts.

(1)(2).3. Theor.

 $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . a(b+c) = ab + ac.$ 8.

P4 . P7 : O. Theor. Dem.

9.  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} . a = b : 0 : ac = bc.$ 

Dem. $a, b \in N . a = b :: 0 :: 1 \in [c \in] Ts$ 

 $: c \in [c \in] \text{Ts}$ 

.3: ac = bc. a = b: 3: ac + a = bc + b:

 $\mathfrak{I}: a(c+1) = b(c+1) : \mathfrak{I}: c+1 \in [c \in ]$ 

Ts ::  $0: c \in \mathbb{N}$  . 0: Ts.

 $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} : a < b : 0 \cdot (b - a)c = bc - ac$ . (Eucl. VII, 10.

Hyp.  $0: b - a \in N . (b - a) + a = b : 0:$ Dem.

(b-a)c+ac = bc : 0 : (b-a)c = bc-ac.

11.  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} . a < b : 0 : ac < bc.$ 

Hyp.  $0: b-a \in \mathbb{N}$ . P3 Dem.

:0: $(b-a)c \in N . P10$ 

 $: 0: bc - ac \in \mathbb{N} : 0$  Thesis.

 $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} . \Im : a < b . = .ac < bc : a =$ 12.

b = ac = bc : a > b = ac > bc.

 $a, b, a', b' \in \mathbb{N} . a < a' . b < b' : \Im$ : 13.

ab < a'b'.

14.  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} : 0.ab. > 0 = .a.$ 

15.  $a,b,c \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\exists .a(bc) = abc$ .

(1)

Dem. $a, b \in N . P1 : 0 : 1 \in [c \in] Ts.$ 

 $a,b,c\in\mathbb{N}$  .  $c\in[c\in]$  Ts

(2)

9

7)

$$: 0 : a(bc) = abc : 0 : a(bc) + ab =$$

$$abc + ab : 0 : a(bc + b) = ab(c + 1) : 0 :$$

$$a(b(c+1)) = ab(c+1) : 0 : c+1 \in [c \in]$$

Ts.

(1)(2).5. Theor.

#### §5. De potestatibus.

#### Definitiones.

1. 
$$a \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . a^1 = a$$
.

2. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . a^{b+1} = a^b a$$
.

# Theoremata.

3. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . a^b \in N$$
.

Dem. 
$$a \in \mathbb{N} . P1 : 0.1 \in [b \in] Ts.$$
 (1)

$$a, b \in \mathbb{N} . b \in [b \in] \operatorname{Ts}$$
 (2)

$$: 0 : a^b \in N . \S4P3 : 0 : a^b a \in N .$$

P1: 
$$0: a^{b+1} \in \mathbb{N} : 0: b+1 \in [b \in]$$
 Ts.

(1)(2).5.. Theor.

4. 
$$a \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . 1^a = 1$$
.

5. 
$$a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . a^{b+c} = a^b a^c.$$

6. 
$$a,b,c \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . (ab)^c = a^c b^c.$$

7. 
$$a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . (a^b)^c = a^{bc}.$$

8. 
$$a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} : 0 : a < b : = .a^c < b^c : a =$$

$$b = a^c = b^c : a > b = a^c > b^c$$

9. 
$$a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} . a > 1.0 : b < c. =$$

§5. Powers.

Definitions.

Theorems.

$$.a^b < a^c : b = c . = .a^b = a^c : b > c . =$$
  
 $.a^b > a^c .$ 

#### §6. De divisione.

#### Explicationes.

#### 

#### Definitiones.

1. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{N} . \Im : b/a = \mathbb{N}[x \in ](xa = b).$$

2. 
$$a,b \in \mathbb{N} . \mathfrak{I} : aDb . = .b/a - = \Lambda.$$

3. 
$$a,b \in \mathbb{N} . \mathfrak{I} : b \square a . = .aDb$$
.

4. 
$$Np = N[x \in ](\Im Dx \cdot \Im > 1 \cdot \Im < x := \Lambda).$$

5. 
$$a,b \in \mathbb{N} : \exists : a\pi b : =$$

$$\therefore \ni Da \cdot \ni Db \cdot \ni > 1 := \Lambda.$$

6. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{N} . \Im :: \Im D(a, b) :=: \Im Da . \cap . \Im Db$$
.

7. 
$$a,b \in \mathbb{N} . \mathfrak{I} : \mathfrak{I}(a,b) :=$$
:

$$\partial \mathbf{D} \varepsilon \cap . \partial \mathbf{D} \varepsilon$$

$$ab/c = (ab)/c$$
;  $a/b/c = (a/b)/c$ ;  $a/b \times c =$ 

(a/b)c.

§6. Division.

#### Explications.

The sign	/	is	divided by.
		read	
"	D	"	divides, or is a divisor of.
"	D	"	is a multiple of.
"	Np	"	prime number.
"	π	"	is prime with.

Definitions.

10

*Nota.* Haec theoremata ut in substractione demonstrantur.

Note.

These theorems are proved as for subtraction.

8. 
$$a, b, a', b' \in \mathbb{N} . a = a' . b = b' : \Im . a/b =$$

a'/b'.

9. 
$$a, b, a', b' \in \mathbb{N} . a = a' . b = b' : \mathfrak{I}$$
:

$$aDb = a'Db'$$
.

10. 
$$a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} . \Im : ac = b . = .c = b/a$$
.

11. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{N} . 0 : aDb . = .b/a \in \mathbb{N}$$
.

12. 
$$a \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . a/1 = a$$
.

13. 
$$a \in N . 0 . a/a = 1$$
.

14. 
$$a \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . 1Da$$
.

15. 
$$a \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . aDa$$
.

16. 
$$a,b \in \mathbb{N} . ab/b = a$$
.

17. 
$$a,b \in \mathbb{N} . aDb : \Im . a(b/a) = b.$$

18. 
$$a,b,c \in \mathbb{N} \cdot cDb : \Im \cdot a(b/c) = ab/c$$
.

19. 
$$a,b,c \in \mathbb{N}$$
 .  $a \cup bc : \Im : a/(bc) = a/b/c$ .

20. 
$$a,b,c \in \mathbb{N} . a \square b . b \square c : \Im . a/(b/c) =$$

 $a/b \times c$ .

21. 
$$a, m, n \in \mathbb{N} . m > n : 0 . a^m / a^n = a^{m-n}$$
.

22. 
$$a,b \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . aDab$$
.

23. 
$$a,b,c \in \mathbb{N} .aDb.bDc: \Im.aDc.$$

24. 
$$a,b,c \in \mathbb{N} . aDbDc : \Im . c/a \square c/b$$
.

25. 
$$a,b,c \in \mathbb{N} \cdot cDa \cdot cDb : \Im \cdot (a+b)/c =$$

$$a/c + b/c$$
.

26. 
$$a,b,c \in \mathbb{N} \cdot cDa \cdot cDb \cdot a > b : 0$$
:

$$(a-b)/c = a/c - b/c.$$

 $a,b,c,\in \mathbb{N}$ .  $cDa.cDb:\Im.cDa+b$ . 27. $a,b,c \in \mathbb{N} . cDa.cDb.a > b$ : 28.  $\Im .cDa-b.$ 29.  $a,b,c,m,n \in \mathbb{N} . cDa.cDb$ :  $\Im . cDma + nb$ .  $a,b,c,m,n \in \mathbb{N} \cdot cDa \cdot cDb \cdot ma > nb$ : 30.  $\Im.cDma-nb.$  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . aDb : 0 : a . < \cup = .b.$ 31. Hyp. P11. P17. §4 P14:  $0: b/a \in$ Dem. $N . a(b/a) = b . a < \cup = a(b/a) : 0.$ Thesis.  $a,b \in \mathbb{N} . aDb.bDa : \Im.a = b.$ 32.33.  $a \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . M \ni Da = a.$  $a,b \in \mathbb{N} . a > b : \mathfrak{I} . \mathfrak{I} D(a,b) =$ 34.  $\ni D(b,a-b).$ (1) Dem.Hyp. P28 : O : xDa . xDb : O : xDb . xD(a - b)Hyp. P27 : 0 : xDb . xD(a-b) : 0: (2)  $xDb.xD(b+(a-b)): \Im: xDb.xDa.$ (Th.) (1)(2)3: Hyp.  $\Im :: xDa . xDb :=: xDb . xD(a-b).$  $a,b \in \mathbb{N}$  .  $\Im: M \ni D(a,b) \in N$ . 35.  $1Da \cdot 1Db : \Im : \ni D(a,b) - = \Lambda.$ (1) Dem. $\Im D(a,b). \ \ni > a := \Lambda.$ (2) (1)(2).§3 P3:3. Th.

 $a,b \in \mathbb{N}$  .  $\Im . \Im D(a,b) = \Im DM \Im D(a,b)$ .

36.

(Eucl. VII,

11

2)(1)

Dem.  $k = N[c \in ]$  (Hp.  $a < c \cdot b < c : 0$ . Ts.).

 $a \in N . b \in N . a < 1 . b < 1 := \Lambda.$  (2)

 $(1)(2) \cdot 0 \cdot 1 \in K.$  (3)

 $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . a < c + 1 . b < c + 1 :$  (4)

 $0 : a < c . b < c : \cup : a = c . b < c : \cup :$ 

 $a < c \cdot b = c : \cup : a = c \cdot b = c$ .

 $c \in k . a, b \in N . a < c . b < c : 0 : Ts.$  (5)

 $c \in k . a, b \in \mathbb{N} . a = c . b < c : 0 : c \in$  (6)

 $k.b < c/pa - b < c. \ni D(a,b) =$ 

 $\Im D(b,a-b) : \Im : \Im D(b,a-b) =$ 

 $\Im Dm \, \Im D(b,a-b) : \Im : \Im D(a,b) =$ 

 $\ni DM \ni D(a,b) : \Im : Ts.$ 

(a,b)[b,a](6)  $\exists .c \in k.a,b \in$  (7)

 $N \cdot a < c \cdot b = c : D : Ts.$ 

 $c \in k . a, b \in N . a = c . b = c : 0$ : (8)

 $\Im D(a,b) = \Im Dc = \Im DM \, \Im Dc =$ 

 $\partial DM \partial D(a,b)$ : O: Ts.

 $(4)(5)(6)(7)(8) \cdot 0 \cdot c \in k \cdot a, b \in$  (9)

N.a < c+1.b < c+1:0: Ts.

 $(9) \circ . c \in k . \circ . (c+1) \in k. \tag{10}$ 

(1)(10).  $0 : c \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hp.  $a < c \cdot b < c : 0$ : (11)

Ts.

(a+b)[c](11).9: Hp. 9. Ts. (Th.)

37.  $a,b,m \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . M \ni D(am,bm) =$ 

 $m \times M \ni D(a,b)$ .

12

1.  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . a^2 + b^2 \square 7 : 0 : a \square 7 . b \square 7$ .

2.  $x \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . x(x+1) \square 2$ .

3.  $x \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . x(x+1)(x+2) \square 6$ .

4.  $x \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . x(x+1)(2x+1) \square 6.$ 

5.  $x \in \mathbb{N} . \Im : x . \pi . x + 1$ .

6.  $x \in \mathbb{N} . 0 : 2x - 1 . \pi . 2x + 1$ .

7.  $x \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . (2x+1)^2 - 1 \Pi 8.$ 

8.  $a \in \mathbb{N} . a > 1 : 0 : \mathbb{N}p . \beta > 1 . \beta Da : -=$  (Eucl. VII,

Λ. 31)

9.  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} : b^2 > a : \Im Da : \Im > 1 : \Im < b :=$ 

 $\Lambda :: \Im . a \in \mathrm{Np}.$ 

10.  $a, b \in \mathbb{N} . a \in \mathbb{Np} . a - Db : 0 : a\pi b$ . (Eucl. VII,

29)

12)

23)

24)

11.  $a,b,c \in \mathbb{N} .aDbc.a\pi b : \Im .aDc.$ 

12.  $a,b \in \mathbb{N} \cdot m = M \ni D(a,b) : 0$ :

 $a/m\pi . b/m$ .

13.  $a \in \text{Np.} b, c \in \text{N.} aDbc : 0$ : (Eucl. VII,

 $aDb.\cup.aDc.$  30)

14.  $a \in \text{Np} . b, n \in \text{N} : \mathfrak{I} : aDb^n . = .aDb.$  (Eucl. IX,

15.  $a,b,c \in \mathbb{N} . a\pi b . cDa : \mathfrak{I} : c\pi b$ . (Eucl. VII,

16.  $a,b,c \in \mathbb{N} . \Im :: a\pi b . a\pi c :=: a\pi b c.$  (Eucl. VII,

17.  $a,b,c \in \mathbb{N} . b\pi c. bDa. cDa: \Im. bcDa.$ 

18. 
$$a,b,c \in \mathbb{N} \ pa\pi b : \mathfrak{I}: \mathfrak{I}D(ac,b) =$$

э
$$D(c,b)$$
.

19. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . \mathbb{M} \ni \Pi(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}$$
.

20. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . \mathbb{W} \ni \Pi(a, b) =$$
 (Eucl. VII,

$$ab/M \ni D(a,b).$$
 34)

21. 
$$a,b,c \in \mathbb{N} . c \square a . c \square b : \Im$$
: (Eucl. VII,

$$c \times D(a,b)$$
. 35)

22. 
$$x \in \mathbb{N} . x < 41 : 0.41 - x + x^2 \in \mathbb{Np}$$
.

23. 
$$M \cdot Np := \Lambda.$$
 (Eucl. IX,

20)

13

23. 
$$n \in \text{Np} . a \in \text{N.} a - \text{Cl} n : \text{O} . a^{n-1} - 1 \text{Cl} n$$
. (Fermat)

### §8. Numerorum rationes.

# Explicationes.

Si  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ , tunc  $\frac{p}{q}$  legitur ratio numeri p numero q.

Signum R legitur  $duorum\ numerorum\ ratio$ , et indicat numeros rationales positivos.

.....

### Definitiones.

1. 
$$m, p, q \in \mathbb{N}$$
. O.  $m \frac{p}{q} = mp/q$ .

2. 
$$p,q,p',q' \in \mathbb{N} . \Im :: \frac{p}{q} = \frac{p'}{q'} . = ... x \in$$

$$\mathbf{N}.x\frac{p}{q},x\frac{p'}{q'} \in \mathbf{N}: \mathfrak{I}_x.x\frac{p}{q} = x\frac{p'}{q'}.$$

3. 
$$R = :: [x \in] :: p, q \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{p}{q} = x : \neg = \Lambda.$$

 $\S 8. Rational numbers.$ 

Explications.

If  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\frac{p}{q}$  is read the ratio of the number p to the number q.

The sign R is read  $ratio\ of\ two\ numbers$ , and indicates the positive rational numbers.

Definitions.

4.

$$p \in \mathbf{N}$$
.3. $\frac{p}{1} = p$ .

Theoremata.

nata. Theorems.

5.

$$p,q,p',q' \in \mathbb{N}$$
 .  $\Im :: \frac{p}{q} = \frac{p'}{q'}$  .  $= .pq' =$ 

(Eucl. VII,

p'q.

19)

Dem.

Hp. 
$$\frac{p}{q} = \frac{p'}{q'}$$
:  $0 : qq', qq'\frac{p}{q}, qq'\frac{p'}{q'} \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(1)

$$pq'.qq'\frac{p'}{q'}=p'q: \mathfrak{I}: pq'=p'q.$$

Hp. 
$$pq' = p'q : 0 : x \in \mathbb{N} . x \frac{p}{q}, x \frac{p'}{q'} \in$$

(2)

$$N : O_x : xp'q' = xp'q : O : (x\frac{p}{q})qq' =$$

$$(x\frac{p'}{q'})qq'$$
:  $\Im: x\frac{p}{q} = x\frac{p'}{q'}$ .

(1)(2).3. Th.

6.

$$m, p, q \in \mathbb{N}$$
. O.  $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{mp}{mq}$ .

(Eucl. VII,

17)

7.

$$p,q \in \mathbb{N} . m \in \mathbb{N} . mDp. mDq : 0.\frac{p}{q} =$$

 $\frac{p/m}{q/m}$ .

8.

$$p,q,p',q' \in \mathbf{N} \cdot p\pi q \cdot p'\pi q' \cdot \frac{p}{q} = \frac{p'}{q'} : \Im$$
:

$$p = p' \cdot q = q'$$
.

9.

$$p,q,p',q' \in \mathbf{N} \cdot p'\pi q' \cdot \frac{p}{q} = \frac{p'}{q'} : \Im$$
:

$$p'/p = q'/q = M \ni D(p,q).$$

10.

$$p,q,p',q' \in \mathbb{N}$$
 .  $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{p'}{q'}$  .  $p\pi q$  .  $q' < q :=$ 

(Eucl. VII,

Λ.

21)

11.

$$p,q,p',q' \in \mathbb{N} : \Im : \frac{p}{q} = \frac{p'}{q'} . = . \frac{p}{p'} =$$

(Eucl. VII,

$$\frac{q}{q'} \cdot = \cdot \frac{q}{p} = \frac{q'}{p'}.$$

13)

12.

$$p,q\in {
m N}$$
 . D ::  $[m\in ]$  :  $m\in {
m N}$  .  $mrac{p}{q}\in$ 

 $\mathbf{N} : \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{\Lambda}.$ 

12'.

 $a \in R. \supset :: [m \in] : m \in N. ma \in$ 

$$\mathbf{N} : \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{\Lambda}.$$

14

 $p, q, p', q' \in \mathbb{N} . \Im :: [(r, s, l) \in] : r, s, t \in$ 13.

$$N \cdot \frac{p}{q} = \frac{r}{t} \cdot \frac{p'}{q'} = \frac{s}{t} : \cdot - = \Lambda.$$

 $a,b \in R.\Im :: [(r,s,t) \in] : r,s,t \in N.a =$ 13'.

$$\frac{r}{t} \cdot b = \frac{s}{t} : - = \Lambda.$$

 $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} . \Im :: [(m, n, p, q) \in]:$ 14.

$$m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{N}$$
 .  $a = \frac{m}{q}$  .  $b = \frac{n}{q}$  .  $c =$ 

$$\frac{p}{q}$$
 ::  $\mathbf{-} = \Lambda$ .

 $p,q,r \in \mathbb{N} . a = \frac{p}{r} . b = \frac{q}{r} : 0 : a = b . =$ 15.

$$p = q$$
.

 $m \in \mathbb{N} . a, b \in \mathbb{R} . a = b . ma \in \mathbb{N} :$ 16.

 $0.mb \in N$ .

 $a,b,c \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\exists : a = a$ . 17.

$$0 : a = b \cdot = b = a$$
.

$$\Im :: a = b . b = c : \Im . a = c.$$

NoR. 18.

Definitiones.

 $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $0 :: a < b . = : x \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $xa, xb \in \mathbb{R}$ 19.

 $N: \supset xa < xb$ .

 $a, b \in \mathbb{R} . \Im : b > a . = .a < b.$ 20.

Theoremata.

 $p,q,r \in \mathbb{N} . a = \frac{p}{r} . b = \frac{q}{r} : 0 : a < b . =$ 21. p < q.

Definitions.

Theorems.

22. 
$$p,q,p',q' \in \mathbb{N} . \Im : \frac{p}{q} < \frac{p'}{q'} . =$$

$$.pq' < p'q.$$

23. 
$$p,q,r \in \mathbb{N} . a = \frac{r}{p} . b = \frac{r}{q} : 0 : a < b . =$$

$$p > q$$
.

24. 
$$p,q,p',q' \in \mathbf{N} \cdot \frac{p}{q} < \frac{p'}{q'} :$$

$$\Im \cdot \frac{p}{q} < \frac{p+p'}{q+q'} < \frac{p'}{q'}.$$

25. 
$$a \in \mathbb{R} : \mathfrak{I} : \mathfrak{I} > a : - = \Lambda$$
.

26. 
$$a \in \mathbb{R} . \mathfrak{I} : \mathbb{R} . \mathfrak{I} < a : \overline{-} = \Lambda$$
.

27. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{R} . a < b : \mathfrak{I} : \mathbb{R} . \mathfrak{I} > a . \mathfrak{I} < b :$$

$$-=\Lambda$$
.

28. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{R} : \mathfrak{I} : a < b \cdot a = b := \Lambda$$
.

$$0: a > b \cdot a = b := \Lambda$$
.

$$0 :: a < b . a > b := \Lambda$$
.

$$0:a - < b.a - =$$

$$b \cdot a -> b := \Lambda$$
.

29. 
$$a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} : 0 : a < 0 = b \cdot b < c : 0$$
:

a < c.

$$0 : a < b \cdot b < \cup = c : 0$$
:

a < c.

Definitiones.

 $a,b \in \mathbf{R}$ .  $\exists .a + b = [c \in ](c \in \mathbf{R} : x \in$ 30.

 $N.xa,xb,xc \in N: \Im_x.xa+xb=xc$ ).

 $a,b \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\Im :: b - a = \therefore [x \in](x \in$ 31.

 $R \cdot a + x = b$ .

 $a,b \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\exists .ab = [c \in ](c \in \mathbb{R} : x \in$ 32.

15

Definitions.

$$N.xa,(xa)b,xc \in N: O_x.(xa)b = xc$$
.

33. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{R} . \Im . b/a = [x \in ](x \in \mathbb{R} . ax = b).$$

# Theoremata.

34. 
$$p,q,r \in \mathbb{N} . \Im \frac{p}{r} + \frac{q}{r} = \frac{p+q}{r}.$$

35. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{R} . \Im . a + b \in \mathbb{R}$$
.

36. 
$$p,q,r \in \mathbb{N} . p < q : 0 . \frac{q}{r} - \frac{p}{r} = \frac{q-p}{r}.$$

37. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{R} . a < b : 0 . b - a \in \mathbb{R}$$
.

38. 
$$p,q,p',q' \in \mathbb{N} . \Im. \frac{p}{q} \frac{p'}{q'} = \frac{pp'}{qq'}.$$

39. 
$$a,b \in \mathbb{R}$$
 .  $\exists a,b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

40. 
$$p,q,p',q' \in \mathbb{N} . \Im . \frac{p}{q} / \frac{p'}{q'} = \frac{pq'}{p'q}.$$

41. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{R} . \Im . b/a \in \mathbb{R}$$
.

42. 
$$p, q \in \mathbb{N}$$
 .5.  $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{p}{q}$ .

# §9. Rationalum systemata. Irrationales.

# Explicatio.

Si  $a \in K R$ , signum T a legitur terminus summus, vel limes summus classis a.

Supra hoc novum ens relationes ac operationes tantum definimus.

### Definitiones.

1. 
$$a \in K R . x \in R : 0 :: x < T a . =$$

$$\therefore a \cdot \vartheta > x : - = \Lambda.$$

2. 
$$a \in K R . x \in R : 0 ::: x = T a . =: .:$$

$$a \cdot 3 > x := \Lambda :: u \in \mathbf{R} \cdot u < x :$$

Theorems.

§9. The system of rationals. Irrationals.

Explanation.

If  $a \in K$  R, the sign T a is read *upper boundary*, or *upper limit of the class a*. We shall define only a few relations and operations on this new entity.

Definitions.

$$\mathfrak{I}_x :: a : \mathfrak{I} > u : \mathbf{I} = \Lambda.$$

3.  $a \in K R . x \in R : 0 : x > T a . =$ :

4.

$$x - - < T a \cdot x - = T a$$
.

.....

Theorema.

 $x \in \mathbf{R} . \Im :: x = : \mathbf{T} : \mathbf{R} . \Im < x.$ 

Explicatio.

Signum Q legitur quantitas, numerosque indicat reales positivos, rationales aut irrationales, 0 et  $\infty$  exceptis.

Definitiones.

5. 
$$Q = [x \in ](a \in K R : a - = \Lambda : R \in$$

$$> T a . min = \Lambda : T a = x : - = \Lambda).$$

6. 
$$a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$$
 .  $0 :: a = b . = : \mathbb{R}$  .  $3 < a := :$ 

 $R. \vartheta < b.$ 

7.  $a, b \in Q . \Im :: a < b . =$ 

 $\therefore \mathbf{R} \cdot \boldsymbol{\vartheta} > \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\vartheta} < \boldsymbol{b} := - = \Lambda.$ 

8.  $a, b \in Q . 0 : b > a . = .a < b$ .

Theoremata.

9.  $a \in Q . \Im : R . \Im < a : - = \Lambda$ .

10.  $a \in Q . \Im : R . \Im > a : - = \Lambda$ .

11. R ɔ Q.

16

Theorem.

Explanation.

The sign Q is read *quantity*, and indicates the positive real numbers, rational or irrational, with the exception of 0 and  $\infty$ .

Definitions.

Theorems.

Subsistunt quoque propositiones quae a P17, 28, 29 in §8 obtinentur, si loco R legatur Q.

### Definitiones.

12. 
$$a,b\in Q\,.\,\Im\,.\,a+b=T[z\in]([(x,y)\in]\,:$$

$$x, y \in R . x < a . y < b . x + y = z : . = =$$

 $\Lambda$ ).

13. 
$$a, b \in Q$$
.  $\exists .ab = T[z \in ]([x, y) \in ]: x, y \in ]$ 

$$R.x < a.y < b.xy = z : - = \Lambda$$
).

Ut valeant hae definitiones,  $\mbox{demonstrandum est subsistere}$   $\mbox{propositiones 12 et 13, si } a,b \in \mathbf{R}.$ 

Substractionem et divisionem ut operationes inversas additiones et multiplicationis definire licet, illarumque proprietas demonstrare.

§10. Quantitatum systemata.

Explicationes.

Si  $a \in K Q$ , signa  $\mathbf{I} a, \mathbf{E} a, \mathbf{L} a$  leguntur: interior, exterior, limes classis a.

The propositions obtained from P17, 28, 29 in §8 also hold, by reading Q for R.

Definitions.

In order for these definitions to have meaning, it must be proved that propositions 12 and 13 hold, if  $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$ .

Subtraction and division could be defined as the inverse operations to addition and multiplication, and their properties could be proved.

§10. System of quantities.

Explanations.

If  $a \in KQ$ , the signs  $\mathbf{I}a, \mathbf{E}a, \mathbf{L}a$  are read: interior, exterior, limit of the class a.

17

1.  $a \in K Q . \Im \mathbf{I} a = Q[x \in ]([u,v) \in] ::$ 

$$u, v \in \mathbf{Q} : u < x < v : \mathfrak{I} > u \cdot \mathfrak{I} < v : \mathfrak{I}$$
:

 $a: . : - = \Lambda$ ).

2. 
$$a \in KQ.\Im.\mathbf{E} a = \mathbf{I}(-a).$$

3. 
$$a \in KQ.\Im.La = (-Ia)(-Ea).$$

Theoremata.

4.  $a \in KQ.x, u, v \in$ 

$$Q.u < x < v.(\mathfrak{I} > u.\mathfrak{I} < v:\mathfrak{I}a):\mathfrak{I}.x \in$$

 $\mathbf{I} a$ .

5.  $a \in K Q . x \in I a : 0 : [(u, v) \in ](u, v \in I)$ 

$$Q : u < x < v : \beta > u \cdot \beta < v : \beta : \alpha) =$$

 $\Lambda$ .

*Dem.* P1 = (P4)(P5).

6.  $a \in K Q . u, v \in Q . (\mathfrak{I} > u . \mathfrak{I} < v :$ 

$$\Im a)$$
:  $\Im : \Im > u \cdot \Im < v : \Im \mathbf{I} a$ .

Dem. P6 = P4.

7.  $a \in KQ.\Im.Ia\Im a$ .

8.  $a \in KQ.\Im.II a = Ia.$ 

Dem. Hp.  $(\mathbf{I} a)[a] P7 : \Im . \mathbf{II} a \Im \mathbf{I} a$  (1)

$$Hp. x, u, v \in \tag{2}$$

Q.u < x < v.( $\vartheta > u \cdot \vartheta < v : \Im \alpha$ ). P6

$$\exists u, v \in \mathbf{Q} . u < x < v . (\mathfrak{I} > u . \mathfrak{I} < v :$$

OIa

Theorems.

Hp.  $x \in \mathbf{I} a.(2) : 0 : x \in \mathbf{II} a$ (3) Hp. (3):  $0: \mathbf{I} a \mathbf{II} a$ (4) Hp. (1).(4):0:Ts. (Theor.) 9.  $a,b \in KQ.a \supset b : \supset Ia \supset Ib$ Нр. (1) Dem. $x, u, v \in Q$ . u < x < v. (3 > u . 3 < v:  $\Im a):\Im : \Im > u \cdot \Im < v : \Im b$ Hp.  $x \in \mathbf{I} a : 0 : x \in \mathbf{I} b$ (Theor.) 10.  $a,b \in KQ : \mathfrak{I}(ab) \mathfrak{I} a$ Dem.(ab,a)[a,b] P9 . = . P10 $a,b \in K Q . \Im . \mathbf{I}(ab) \Im (\mathbf{I} a) (\mathbf{I} b)$ 11.  $P11 =: P10 . \cap .(b,a)[a,b] P10$ Dem.12.  $a,b \in K Q . \Im . \mathbf{I} a \Im \mathbf{I} (a \cup b)$ 13.  $a,b \in K Q . \Im . \mathbf{I} a \cup \mathbf{I} b \Im \mathbf{I} (a \cup b)$ 14.  $a, b \in K Q. \Im. I(ab) = (I a)(I b)$ Hp. P11:  $\Im . \mathbf{I}(ab) \Im (\mathbf{I} a) (\mathbf{I} b)$ Dem.(1) Hp.  $x \in Q . u, v \in$ (2) Q.u < x < v.(3 > u.3 < v: $(a).u',v' \in$ Q.u' < x < v'.(3>u'.3<v':  $(a)b).u'' = M(u \cup u').v'' = M(v,v'):0$ :  $u'', v'' \in$ Q.u'' < x < v''.(3 > u''.3 > v'':3:ab)Hp.  $x \in \mathbf{I} a . x \in \mathbf{I} b . (2) : \exists . x \in \mathbf{I} (ab)$ (3) Hp. (3):0:(Ia)(Ib)0I(ab) **(4)** Hp. (1)ă.(4): o. Ts.

18

15.  $a \in KQ . \Im . \mathbf{E} a \Im - a$ 

*Dem.* P15 = (-a)[a] P7

16.  $a \in KQ . \Im : Ia . Ea := \Lambda$ 

*Dem.* Hp. P7 . P15

 $: \mathfrak{I} : \mathbf{I} a \cdot \mathbf{E} a : \mathfrak{I} : a - a := \Lambda$ 

17.  $a \in K Q . \Im . \mathbf{I} \mathbf{E} a = \mathbf{E} a$ 

*Dem.* P17 = (-a)[a] P8

18.  $a, b \in KQ.b \ni a : \ni .Ea \ni Eb$ 

*Dem.* P18 = (-a, -b)[a, b] P9

19.  $a, b \in K Q.\Im : \mathbf{E} a \cup \mathbf{E} b.\Im \mathbf{E} (ab)$ 

20.  $a, b \in K Q . \Im . \mathbf{E}(a \cup b) = (\mathbf{E} a)(\mathbf{E} b)$ 

*Dem.* P20 = (-a, -b)[a, b] P14

21.  $a \in KQ . \Im . \mathbf{L}(-a) = \mathbf{L}a$ 

22.  $a \in K Q . \Im : I a . L a := \Lambda$ 

 $\mathfrak{D} :: \mathbf{E} a \cdot \mathbf{L} a := \Lambda$ 

 $\mathfrak{I} : -\mathbf{I} a \cdot -\mathbf{E} a \cdot -\mathbf{L} a := \Lambda$ 

Dem. P22 = P3

23.  $a \in KQ.\Im: a\Im. Ia \cup La$ 

24.  $a \in KQ.\Im.I(a L a) = \Lambda$ 

Dem. Hp. P14 . P7 . P22 :  $\Im : \mathbf{I}(a \mathbf{L} a) . =$ 

. I a I L a . 0 . I a L a . = .  $\Lambda$ 

25.  $a,b \in K Q.a \supset b : \supset : La. \supset . Ib \cup Lb$ 

*Dem.* Hp. P18 : 0 : **E** *b* 0 **E** *a* : 0 :

 $\mathbf{I} a \cup \mathbf{L} a$ . O.  $\mathbf{I} b \cup \mathbf{L} b$ : O. Ts.

26.  $a, b \in KQ.\Im$ :

L(ab)3.  $IaLb \cup IbLa \cup LaLb$ 

*Dem.* Hp. 0:ab0a.ab0b. P25

 $: \Im : \mathbf{L}(ab) \Im \mathbf{I} a \cup \mathbf{L} a . \mathbf{L}(ab) \Im \mathbf{I} b \cup$ 

```
\mathbf{L} b: 0: \mathbf{L}(ab) 0(\mathbf{I} a \cup \mathbf{L} a)(\mathbf{I} b \cup \mathbf{L} a)
                                  \mathbf{L}b). \mathbf{L}(ab)(\mathbf{I}a)(\mathbf{I}b) = \mathbf{L}(ab)\mathbf{I}(ab) =
                                  \Lambda: C: T_{\mathbf{S}}.
                                  a,b \in K Q.O.\mathbf{L}(ab)O\mathbf{L}a \cup \mathbf{L}b
26'
27.
                                  a,b \in KQ.\Im: \mathbf{L}(a \cup b) =
                                  \mathbf{L} a \mathbf{E} b \cup \mathbf{L} b \mathbf{E} a \cup \mathbf{L} a \mathbf{L} b
                                  P27 = (-a, -b)[a, b] P26
Dem.
27'.
                                  a, b \in KQ.\Im: \mathbf{L}(a \cup b) \Im \mathbf{L} a \cup \mathbf{L} b
28.
                                  a \in KQ.\Im.LIa\Im La
Dem.
                                  Hp. P7:0: \mathbf{I} a \supset a. P25
                                                                                                           (1)
                                  : O: \mathbf{L} \mathbf{I} a \supset \mathbf{I} a \cup \mathbf{L} a
                                  Hp. P8 . P22
                                                                                                           (2)
                                  : O. L I a I a = L I a II a = \Lambda
                                  (1)(2).3. Theor.
28'.
                                  a \in KQ.\Im LEa\Im La
29.
                                  a \in KQ. O. LL a \supset LIa \cup LEa
                                  Hp. \&C: LL a = \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{I} \ a \cup \mathbf{E} \ a). P27'
Dem.
                                  :D. Ts.
29'.
                                  a \in KQ. 0. LL a \cap La
                                  P29 . P28 . P28' : O. Theor.
Dem.
                                  a \in K Q. O. L a = I L a \cup LL a
30.
                                  Hp. P23: \Im. L a \Im I L a \cup LL a
                                                                                                           (1)
Dem.
                                  Hp. P7:0. \mathbf{I} \mathbf{L} a \supset \mathbf{L} a
                                                                                                           (2)
                                  Hp. P29': 0. LL a \cup La
                                                                                                           (3)
                                  (1)(2)(3). Theor.
31.
                                  a \in KQ.\Im.LLa\Im LLa
```

19

Dem.	$P31 = (\mathbf{L}\alpha)[\alpha] P28$		
32.	$a \in \mathbf{K} \ \mathbf{Q}$ . O. $\mathbf{I} \ \mathbf{LL} \ a = \Lambda$		
Dem.	Hp. P29'		
	: O: $\mathbf{LL} a = \mathbf{L} a \mathbf{LL} a . (\mathbf{L} a)[a]$ P24 : O		
	Ts.		
33.	$a \in KQ$ . $0: ILILa = \Lambda$		
Dem.	P31 . P32 : ɔ . P33		
34.	$a \in KQ$ . O. $\mathbf{LL} \mathbf{L} a = \mathbf{LL} a$		
Dem.	$(\mathbf{L} a)[a] \operatorname{P30}/p \operatorname{P32}:$ $\Im$ . Theor.		
35.	$a,b \in \mathrm{K} \; \mathrm{Q} \; . \supset . \; \mathrm{I} \; a \; \mathrm{L} \; b \supset \mathrm{L}(ab)$		
Dem.	Hp. P14	(1)	(1)
	: 0. $\mathbf{I} a \mathbf{L} b \mathbf{I} (ab) = \mathbf{I} a \mathbf{I} b \mathbf{L} b = \Lambda$		
	Hp. P2 . P14	(2)	(2)
	: $\bigcirc$ . <b>I</b> $a$ <b>L</b> $b$ <b>E</b> $(ab) = $ <b>I</b> $a$ <b>L</b> $b$ <b>I</b> $(\neg a \cup \neg a)$		
	$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{I}(a \mathbf{b}) \mathbf{L} b = \mathbf{I} a \mathbf{E} b \mathbf{L} b = \mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	(1)(2) Theor.		
36.	$a,b \in KQ$ . O. $\mathbf{I} a \mathbf{L} b \cup \mathbf{I} b \mathbf{L} a \supset \mathbf{L} a b$ .	(Vide P26)	(Cf. p. 26)
Dem.	P36 =: P35 .(b,a)[a,b] P35		
37.	$a,b\in$	(Vide P27)	(Cf. p. 27)
	KQ.3. $\mathbf{E} a \mathbf{L} b \cup \mathbf{E} b \mathbf{L} a \cup \mathbf{L} (a \cup b)$ .		
Dem.	P37 = (-a, -b)[a, b] P36		
38.	$a,b\in$	(Vide P13)	(Cf. p. 13)
	K Q . J . $\mathbf{I}(a \cup b)$ J $\mathbf{I}a \cup \mathbf{I}b \cup \mathbf{L}a \mathbf{L}b$		
Dem.	Hp. $\Im$ . $\mathbf{I}(a \cup b)\Im(\mathbf{I} a \cup \mathbf{L} a \cup$	(1)	(1)
	$\mathbf{E}a)(\mathbf{I}b\cup\mathbf{L}b\cup\mathbf{E}b)$		
	Hp. P20 . P16 :	(2)	(2)
	$\mathbf{I}(a \cup b) \mathbf{E}(a \cup b) = \Lambda$		

			20		
	Hp. P37: $\Im: \mathbf{I}(a \cup b)$ ă $(\mathbf{E}\ a\ \mathbf{L}\ b \cup$	(3)			(3)
	$\mathbf{E}\;b\;\mathbf{L}\;a)$ . O. $\mathbf{I}(a\cup b)\;\mathbf{L}(a\cup b)$ . = $\Lambda$				
	(1)(2)(3). O. Theor.				
38'.	$a,b\in$	(Vide P19)			(Cf. p. 19)
	K Q . O . $\mathbf{E}(ab)$ O $\mathbf{E}a \cup \mathbf{E}b \cup \mathbf{L}a \mathbf{L}b$				
39.	$a \in K Q. \Im. \mathbf{I} \mathbf{L} a \mathbf{L} \mathbf{I} a = \Lambda$				
Dem.	Hp. P36				
	$: \Im : \mathbf{I} \mathbf{L} a \mathbf{L} \mathbf{I} a \Im \mathbf{L} (\mathbf{L} a \mathbf{I} a) = \Lambda$				
40.	$a \in KQ.$ D. LI $a$ DLL $a$				
Dem.	Hp. $P28$ . $P30$ . $P39$ : $\Im$ Theor.				
40'.	$a \in K Q$ . O. L E $a \cap LL a$				
41.	$a \in K Q$ . O <b>LL</b> $a = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{I} a \cup \mathbf{L} \mathbf{E} a$				
Dem.	P29 . P40 . P40': o. Theor.				
42.	$a \in KQ$ .o. $\mathbf{ILI}a = \Lambda$				
	$\circ$ . I L E $a = \Lambda$				
	$\Im.\mathbf{LL}\mathbf{I}a=\mathbf{L}\mathbf{I}a$				
	O. LL E $\alpha = L E \alpha$				
43.	$a,b \in \mathbf{K} \ \mathbf{Q}$ . O. $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{I} \ a \cup \mathbf{I} \ b) = \mathbf{I} \ a \cup \mathbf{I} \ b$				
Dem.	Hp. P7 : $\Im$ . $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{I} a \cup \mathbf{I} b) \Im \mathbf{I} a \cup \mathbf{I} b$	(1)			(1)
	Hp. P8 . P13 : $0$ : I $a \cup$ I $b$ . =	(2)			(2)
	. II $a \cup$ II $b$ . O. I(I $a \cup$ I $b$ )				
	(1)(2) <sup>3</sup> Theor.				
44.	$a,b \in \mathrm{K} \; \mathrm{Q}$ . O. $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{LL}  a \cup \mathbf{LL}  b) = \Lambda$				
Dem.	Hp. P38 . P32 . P34 :	(1)			(1)
	$\Im.\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{LL}a\cup\mathbf{LL}b)\Im\mathbf{LL}a\mathbf{LL}b\Im\mathbf{LL}a$				
	Hp. (1). P8				
	: $\Im \cdot \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{LL} a \cup \mathbf{LL} b) \cup \mathbf{I} \mathbf{LL} a = \Lambda$				

45.  $a \in K Q . \Im . \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{I} a \cup \mathbf{E} a) = \mathbf{I} a \cup \mathbf{E} a$ 

Dem. P8 . P17 . (-a)[b] P43 : O. Theor.

45'.  $a \in KQ.\Im. \mathbf{E} \mathbf{L} a = \mathbf{I} a \cup \mathbf{E} a$ 

46.  $a \in KQ.\Im.EIa = -(Ia \cup LIa)$ 

46'.  $a \in K Q . \Im . \mathbf{EE} a = -(\mathbf{E} a \cup \mathbf{L} \mathbf{E} a)$  46'.

### **Endnotes**

#### 1 Giuseppe Peano's footnote (original):

Boole:

The mathematical analysis of logic ..., Cambridge, 1847.

The calculus of logic, Camb. and Dublin Math. Journal, 1848.

An investigation of the laws of thought ..., London, 1854.

E. Schröder:

Der Operationskreis des Logikkalculus, Leipzig, 1877.

Ipse iam nonnulla quae ad logicam pertinent tractavit in praecedenti opera.

Lehrbuch der Arithmetik und Algebra ..., Leipzig, 1873.

Boole e Schröder theorias brevissime exposui in meo libro Calcolo geometrico ..., Torino, 1888.

Vide:

C. S. Pierce, On the Algebra of logic; American Journal, III, 15; VII, 180.

Jevons, The principles of science, London, 1883.

Mc.Coll., The calculus of equivalent statements, Proceedings of the London Math. Society, 1878, Vol. IX, 9. Vol X, 16.

#### 1 Giuseppe Peano's footnote (translated):

Boole:

The mathematical analysis of logic ... (Cambridge, 1847.)

'The calculus of logic,' Camb. and Dublin Math. J., 3 (1848), 193-98.

An investigation of the laws of thought ... (London, 1854).

E. Schröder:

Der Operationskreis des Logikkalculus (Leipzig, 1877).

He had already treated several matters pertaining to logic in a preceding work.

Lehrbuch der Arithmetik und Algebra ... (Leipzig, 1873).

I gave a very brief presentation of the theories of Boole and Schröder in my book Calcolo geometric etc. (Torino, 1888).

Cf:

C. S. Pierce, 'On the Algebra of logic,' American J. Math., 3 (1880), 15-57; 7 (1885), 180-202.

Jevons, The principles of science (London, 1883).

Mc.Coll., 'The calculus of equivalent statements,' Proc. London Math. Soc., 9 (1878), 9-20; 10 (1878), 16-28.

2 The 2 other translation, mentioned at the beginning of this current document, translated "ähnlich" literally to "similar", instead of "equivalent". However, additional information can be found in a footnote of the first translation:

"Today "similar" has another meaning and instead we would say "equivalent"."

G. Peano, (1889), "The principles of arithmetic presented by a new method" in: J. van Heijenoort (ed.), From Frege to Gödel. A source book in mathematical logic. 1879-1931, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1967, p. 93.