## A Model documentation

Variable name	Туре	Units	Initial value	Equation	Source	Important assumptions	Submodel
chickens arriving from hatcheries	Flow	Chicken/Week	па	population*(consumption rate per person/meat per chicken)	Model conceptualization	Supply = Demand	Chicken
chickens arriving from natcheries	TIOW	Chicken/ week	Ha	chickens arriving from hatcheries-chicken infections with CPY-	Moder conceptualization	Зирру – Бенгани	Chicken
chicken on farms	Stock	Chicken	Initial Chickens on Farn	ns "chicken non-infections with CPY"	Model conceptualization		Chicken
initial chickens on farms	Constant	Chicken	na		) Model conceptualization	Arbitrary number	Chicken
CPY-positive chickens	Stock	Chicken		chicken infections with CPY-"CPY-positive chickens 0 slaughtered"	Model conceptualization		Chicken
chicken infections with CPY	Flow	Chicken/Week	па	chickens on farms*rate of chicken infection from environment	Model conceptualization		Chicken
chicken non-infections with CPY	Flow	Chicken/Week	na	chickens on farms*(1-rate of chicken infection from environment)	Model conceptualization		Chicken
chicken non-infections with CF1	FIOW	Chicken/ week	112	,	Model conceptualization		Cincken
CPY-negative chickens	Stock	Chicken		chicken non-infections with CPY-"slaughtering with cross- 0 contamination"-"slaughtering without cross-contamination"	Model conceptualization	This is the final contamination before slaughtering	Chicken
slaughtering without cross-contamination	Flow	Chicken/Week	na	CPY-negative chickens * (1-"rate of cross-contamination")	Model conceptualization		Chicken
slaughtering with cross-contamination	Flow	Chicken/Week	na	CPY-negative chickens * "rate of cross-contamination"	Model conceptualization		Chicken
contaminated meat	Stock	kg		("CPY-positive chickens slaughtered"+"slaughtering with cross- contamination")*meat per chicken-contaminated meat 0 consumption	Model conceptualization	This stock includes a modifier to change from chickens to chicken meat (changes units from chicken to kg). This is outside of convention, but was a necessary modifier to make the stock-flow structure work.	Chicken
CPY-positive chickens slaughtered	Flow	Chicken/Week	na	CPY-positive chickens*slaughter rate	Model conceptualization	All infected chicken become contaminated meat	Chicken
	Variable	1/Week		IF THEN ELSE( safe slaughtering policy = 1, ((ZIDZ("CPY-positive chickens", "("CPY-negative chickens" + "CPY-positive chickens")) «CPY reproduction in chickens") «B. (ZIDZ("CPY-positive chickens", "("CPY-negative chickens" + "CPY-positive			Chicken
rate of cross-contamination	Variable	1/Week	na	chickens")))*CPY reproductive number in chickens )	Model conceptualization	Depends on the proportion of infected chicken	Chicken
meat per chicken	Constant	Kg/Chicken	na		5 Denton & Miller, 1988; National Chicken Council 2021		Chicken
contaminated meat consumption	Flow	Kg/Week	na	MIN(proportion of contaminated meat * consumption rate per person * population, (contaminated meat/week))	Model conceptualization	Cannot consume more than there is available	Chicken
total chickens slaughtered	Variable	Chicken/Week	na	CPY-positive chickens slaughtered+"slaughtering with cross- contamination"+"slaughtering without cross-contamination" CPY-positive chickens slaughtered+"slaughtering with cross-	Model conceptualization		Chicken
contaminated slaughtered chickens	Variable	Chicken/Week	na	contamination"	Model conceptualization		Chicken
Proportion of contaminated meat	Variable	Dmnl	na	ZIDZ(contaminated slaughtered chickens,total chickens slaughtered)	Model conceptualization		Chicken
alaurahtan sata	Constant	1/Week	na	0.3	3 Calibration	30% of all chickens present on the farms are slaughtered each week	Chicken
slaughter rate	Constant	1/ Week	112	ZIDZ( "CPY-positive chickens", "CPY-positive	Canbration	each week	Chicken
proportion of CPY-positive chickens	Variable	Dmnl	na	chickens"+"CPY-negative chickens")	Model conceptualization		Chicken
consumption rate per person	Constant	kg/(Week*Persor	n) na	0.203+meat consumption behaviour	https://files.wakkerdier.nl/app/uploads/2020/10/201514 22/2020-078-Vleesconsumptic-2019-WUR- Dagevos_def.pdf?_ga=2.115483654.1629359199.16154618 09-1770319697.1615461809		Chicken
				population by 2020 + RAMP((projected population by 2050-			
population	Variable	Person	na	population by 2020)/(weeks per year*30),0,weeks per year*30)	Model conceptualization		Chicken
week	Constant	Week	na				Chicken
CPY reproduction in chickens	Constant	1/Week	na		(Campylobactor) in a Dairy herd. In 19th International		Chicken
Infections per kg of meat consumed	Variable	Cases/kg	na	IF THEN ELSE(food safety policy=1, 0.8*5e-05, 5e-05) human CPY infections-asymptomatic infections-symptomatic	Calibration		Cost of Illness
CPY Cases	Stock	Cases		numan CP1 infections-asymptomatic infections-symptomatic 0 infections	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness
human CPY infections	Flow	Cases/Week	na	(contaminated meat consumption*infections per kg of meat consumed)+rate of human infection from environment	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness
Acute GE Cases	Stock	Cases		symptomatic infections-Death by CPY-GBS development-GE 0 Recovery-IBD development-ReA development	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness

				(CPY Cases*rate of symptomatic cases)*(PULSE TRAIN(weeks per year, TIME STEP, weeks per year, FINAL TIME) / TIME				
symptomatic infections	Flow	Cases/Week	na	STEP	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness	
base rate of symptomatic cases	Constant	Dmnl	na		),88 Medema et al.		Cost of Illness	
buse rate of symptomatic cuses	Constant	2311111	****	base rate of symptomatic cases*rate of symptomatic cases	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Cost of Inness	
rate of symptomatic cases	Variable	Dmnl	na	modifier	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				(CPY Cases*(1-rate of symptomatic cases))*(PULSE				
				TRAIN(weeks per year, TIME STEP, weeks per year, FINAI	L			
asymptomatic infections	Flow	Cases/Week	na	TIME)) / TIME STEP	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness	
GE Recovery	Flow	Cases/Week	na	recovery rate*Acute GE Cases	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness	
recovery rate	Constant	1/Week	na		125 Mangen et al.		Cost of Illness	
ReA Cases	Stock	Cases		0 ReA development	Model conceptualization	Chronic disease, does not empty	Cost of Illness	
GBS Cases	Stock	Cases		0 GBS development	Model conceptualization	Chronic disease, does not empty	Cost of Illness	
IBD Cases	Stock	Cases		0 IBD development	Model conceptualization	Chronic disease, does not empty	Cost of Illness	
Death by CPY	Flow	Cases/Week		Acute GE Cases*death rate	Model	Disease burden/cost of illness associated with deaths accounted for within DALY metric. This flow is only used	Cost of Illness	
Death by CP1	FIOW	Cases/ Week	na	Acute GE Cases*death rate	Model conceptualization	to empty the cases stock	Cost of Illness	
ReA development	Flow	Cases/Week	na	Acute GE Cases*ReA rate	Model conceptualization	Development of chronic disease assumed to all occur subsequent to acute cases. In reality, some campylobacter infections do connect directly to incidence of chronic disease.	Cost of Illness	
GBS development	Flow	Cases/Week	na	Acute GE Cases*GBS rate	Model conceptualization	uisease.	Cost of Illness	
IBD development	Flow	Cases/Week	na	Acute GE Cases*GD5 rate  Acute GE Cases*IBD rate	Mangen et al.		Cost of Illness	
ReA rate	Constant	1/Week	na		175 Mangen et al.		Cost of Illness	
GBS rate	Constant	1/Week	na		075 Mangen et al.		Cost of Illness	
IBD rate	Constant	1/Week	na	0,0003	125 Mangen et al.	Rate doubled to account for increase in diagnosis of IBD over past 2 decades: https://www.cdc.gov/ibd/data-statistics.htm#:~:text=Inflammatory%20Bowel%20Disease%20Prevalence%20(IBD,%25%20or%202%20million%20adults).	Cost of Illness	
death rate	Constant	1/Week	na	0.000	375 Mangen et al.	Assumed that death only caused by acute symptoms, death from chronic cases largely contained within DALYs.	Cost of Illness	
		·		(recovered GE*DALYs per GE Case) + (GBS Cases*DALYs per GBS Case) + (IBD Cases*DALYs per IBD Case) + (ReA	S	,		
DALY	Variable	DALY	na	Cases*DALYs per ReA Case)  ((recovered GE*COI per GE Case) + (GBS Cases*COI per GBS Case) + (IBD Cases*COI per IBD Case) + (ReA Cases*COI per ReA Case	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness	
Cost of Illness	Variable	Euro	na	))*COI modifier	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness	
COI modifier	Constant	Dmnl	na		1 Model conceptualization	Used only to test sensitivity	Cost of Illness	
DALYs per GE Case	Constant	DALY/Cases	na	0,0	008 Mangen et al.	All undiscounted DALYs	Cost of Illness	
DALYs per ReA Case	Constant	DALY/Cases	na	0	),09 Mangen et al.	All undiscounted DALYs	Cost of Illness	
DALYs per GBS Case	Constant	DALY/Cases	na		5 Mangen et al.	All undiscounted DALYs	Cost of Illness	
DALYs per IBD Case	Constant	DALY/Cases	na		1,6 Mangen et al.	All undiscounted DALYs	Cost of Illness	
COI per GE Case	Constant	Euro/Cases	na		190 Mangen et al.		Cost of Illness	
COI per ReA Case	Constant	Euro/Cases	na		20 Mangen et al.		Cost of Illness	
COI per GBS Case	Constant	Euro/Cases	na		000 Mangen et al.		Cost of Illness	
COI per IBD Case	Constant	Euro/Cases	na		000 Mangen et al.		Cost of Illness	
Recovered GE	Stock	Cases		0 GE Recovery	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness	
weeks per year	Constant	Week	na	TO STATE OF A STATE OF	52 This is how time works.		Cost of Illness	
consumer food consumption behaviour lever	Variable	Dmnl	na	IF THEN ELSE( (known CPY cases/population) > consume food consumption behaviour threshold, 1, 0)	Model conceptualization	0 - Normal consumption 1 - Reduced consumption due to too many cases	Cost of Illness	
consumer food consumption behaviour threshold	Constant	Cases/Person	na	0.00	038 Own interpretation		Cost of Illness	
time to know about CPY cases	Constant	Week	na	0,01	1 Own interpretation		Cost of Illness	
time to know about CF1 cases	Constant	WCCK	Hal	SMOOTH N/CDV C t t k tkt- CDV	<u>_</u>		COSE OF TIMIESS	
known CPY cases	Variable	Cases	na	SMOOTH N(CPY Cases,time to know about CPY cases, CP' Cases, 3)	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness	
				IF THEN ELSE(((consumer food consumption behaviour lev	ver	Natural consumer behavior and government intervention		
meat consumption behaviour	Variable	kg/(Week*Perso	on) na	+ consumption behaviour policy) = 0), 0 , -0.05)	Model conceptualization	to modify behavior do not compound	Cost of Illness	

				WITH LOOKUP (scenario switch): ([(0,0)-			
rate of symptomatic cases modifier	Variable	Dmnl	na	(12,2)],(0,1),(9,1),(10,0.9),(11,1.1),(12,1.1))	Mangen et al.	Ranges from 0.9 to 1.1 across scenarios	Cost of Illness
fly population	Stock	MFly	initial fly population	fly development-fly deaths	Model conceptualization		Environmental
		•	· · ·	DELAY1I(fly development, fly lifetime, fly population/fly	•		
fly deaths	Flow	MFly/Week	na	lifetime)	Model conceptualization		Environmental
fly development	Flow	MFly/Week	na	fly development rate	Model conceptualization		Environmental
Initial fly population	Constant	MFly	na	0,	1 Model conceptualization		Environmental
fly lifetime	Constant	Week	na		4 https://www.orkin.com/flies/how-long-do-flies-live		Environmental
fly population growth per degree	Constant	MFly/(degree*We	па	0,002	Blanckenhom, W. U. (1997). Effects of temperature on growth, development and diapause in the yellow dung fly- against all the rules? Oecologia, 111(3), 318–324. 4 doi:10.1007/s004420050241		Environmental
base fly population development rate	Constant	MFly/Week	па	-0,009	Blanckenhorn, W. U. (1997). Effects of temperature on growth, development and diapause in the yellow dung fly- against all the rules? Oecologia, 111(3), 318–324. 1 doi:10.1007/s004420050241		Environmental
non-diapause development rate	Variable	MFly/Week	na	base fly population development rate + fly population growth per degree* temperature	Blanckenhorn, W. U. (1997). Effects of temperature on growth, development and diapause in the yellow dung fly- against all the rules? Oecologia, 111(3), 318–324. doi:10.1007/s004420050241		Environmental
diapause development rate	Constant	MFly/Week	па	0,000	Blanckenhorn, W. U. (1997). Effects of temperature on growth, development and diapause in the yellow dung flyagainst all the rules? Oecologia, 111(3), 318–324. 5 doi:10.1007/s004420050241		Environmental
fly development rate	Variable	MFly/Week	na	IF THEN ELSE(temperature > 4, "non-diapause development rate", diapause development rate)	Blanckenhorn, W. U. (1997). Effects of temperature on growth, development and diapause in the yellow dung fly- against all the rules? Oecologia, 111(3), 318–324. doi:10.1007/s004420050241	Below 4 degrees fly development enters diapause	Environmental
- при	Variable	m y week		((-1)*(SIN(2*pi*(Time+start of year offset)/weeks per year))*((maximum average weekly temperature-minimum average weekly temperature+minimum average weekly temperature+minimum average weekly temperature-minimum average weekly	W. 10.1007 J. 1007 J.	More than four inputs to the variable - this presents issues for readability, but all variables were necessary for	
temperature	Variable	degree	na	temperature)/2))+temperature increase	Model conceptualization	formulation.	Environmental
minimum average weekly temperature	Variable	degree	na		4 KNMI		Environmental
maximum average weekly temperature	Variable	degree	na		3 KNMI		Environmental
pi	Constant	Dmnl	na	ARCCOS(-1)	Archimedes of Syracuse		Environmental
				base infectious flies + "chance of chicken-to-fly transmission"*			
proportion of infectious flies	Variable	Dmnl	na	"proportion of CPY-positive chickens"	Model conceptualization		Environmental
chance of chicken-to-fly transmission	Constant	Dmnl	na		5 Calibration		Environmental
base infectious flies	Constant	Dmnl	na		5 Calibration		Environmental
infectious flies	Variable	MFly	na	fly population*proportion of infectious flies * IF THEN ELSE fly population control policy = 1,0.8,1)	( Model conceptualization		Environmental
rate of chicken infection from	X7 : 11	1 /307 1		base chicken exposure rate+(infectious flies*rate of chicken	M. I.I E Z		P 1 1
environment	Variable	1/Week	na	exposure to infectious flies)	Model conceptualization		Environmental
human infection from environment	Variable	Cases/Week	па	infectious flies*rate of human exposure to infectious flies*population + (infection risk from birds * population)	Model conceptualization		Environmental
base chicken exposure rate	Constant	1/Week	na na		1 Calibration		Environmental
chicken exposure to infectious flies	Variable	1/(MFly*Week)	па		2 Calibration		Environmental
emenen exposure to iniccuous ines	ranaoic	Cases/(MFly*Pers		base human exposure rate * SMOOTH( IF THEN ELSE(exposure control policy = 1, 0.8, 1), number of weeks	2 Canonicon		- Art a Commentar
rate of human exposure to infectious flies	Nariable Variable	on*Week)	na	needed to adopt policy)	Model conceptualization		Environmental

		Cases/(MFly*Wee					
base human exposure rate	Constant	k*Person)	na	0.001	Calibration		Environmental
base naman exposure rate	Constant	it Telson)	1111	RAMP(temperature increase by 2050/(weeks per	Cambridge		1.11/11/Jimenui
average temperature increase	Variable	degree	na	year*30),0,weeks per year*30)	Model conceptualization		Environmental
,				,		0 - No change	
				WITH LOOKUP (scenario switch):([(0,0)-		1 - Linear change	
temperature switch	Variable	Dmnl	na	(12,2)],(0,0),(7,0),(8,2),(9,1),(10,0),(11,0),(12,2))	Model conceptualization	2 - Faster summer warming than winter warming	Environmental
				IF THEN ELSE(temperature switch = 0,0,(IF THEN	·		
				ELSE(temperature switch = 2,(-1)*(SIN(2*pi*(Time+start of			
				year offset)/weeks per year)*0.8*average temperature			
				increase)+average temperature increase,average temperature			
temperature increase	Variable	degree	na	increase)))	Bresser et al, 2006		Environmental
				WITH LOOKUP (scenario switch): ([(0,0)-			
				(12,2)],(0,1.5),(3,1.5),(4,1),(5,1.5),(6,1.5),(7,1.5),(8,2),(9,1.5),(11,1)			
temperature increase by 2050	Constant	degree	na	5),(12,2))	KNMI 14' klimaatscenario's voor Nederland	Ranges from 1 to 2 across the different scenarios	Environmental
		Cases/(Week*Pers			0.111		
Infection risk from birds	Constant	on)	na	2,5E-0,5	Calibration		Environmental
	** * 1.1	XV7 1			8 KNMI	Needed to make the sinusoidal curve for the temperature	n 1
start of year offset	Variable	Week	na	1,73E+(		to match the appropriate time of year.	Environmental
population by 2020	Constant	Person	na	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	U/ CBS		Environmental
				WITH LOOKUP (scenario switch): ([(0,0)-	OF CDS 4 // 1 1/ 1/ /2000/51/6		
projected population by 2050	Variable	Person	na	(12,3e+07)],(0,1.94e+07),(1,1.94e+07),(2,2.16e+07),(3,1.71e+0),(4,1.94e+07),(11,1.94e+07),(12,2.16e+07))	// CBS (https://www.cbs.nl/en-gb/news/2020/51/forecast- population-growth-unabated-in-the-next-50-years)	Ranges from 1.71e+07 to 2.16e+07 across scenarios	Environmental
projected population by 2000	variable	1 CISOH	114			Ranges from 1.71e+07 to 2.10e+07 across scenarios	Estivitotimentai
				IF THEN ELSE(exposure control policy switch = 1, IF THEN ELSE(temperature > temperature trigger for exposure control	N		
exposure control policy	Variable	Dmnl	na	policy, 1, 0), 0)	Policy conceptualization		Policies
temperature trigger for exposure control				p, 1, 1, 1, 1			
policy	Constant	degree	na		20 Policy conceptualization		Policies
F,		,				0 - No policy	
exposure control policy switch	Constant	Dmnl	na		0 Policy conceptualization	1 - Policy implemented	Policies
number of weeks needed to adopt policy	Constant	Week	na		2 Policy conceptualization		Policies
				IF THEN ELSE(fly population control policy switch = 1, IF			
				THEN ELSE( temperature > temperature trigger for fly			
fly population control policy	Variable	Dmnl	na	population control policy , 1 , 0 ), 0 )	Policy conceptualization		Policies
temperature trigger for fly population							
control policy	Constant	degree	na		20 Policy conceptualization		Policies
						0 - No policy	W 11 1
fly population control policy switch	Constant	Dmnl	na		0 Policy conceptualization	1 - Policy implemented	Policies
				IF THEN ELSE(safe slaughtering policy switch = 1, IF THEN	N		
anfo alaurahtanian malian	Variable	Donal		ELSE(Cost of Illness-COI accumulated a year ago > COI	D-E		Policies
safe slaughtering policy COI trigger for slaughtering policy	Constant	Dmnl Euro	na na	trigger for slaughtering policy , 1 , 0), 0)	Policy conceptualization 07 Policy conceptualization		Policies
COT trigger for staughtering policy	Constant	Lato	114	1,50E-1	7 Toney conceptualization	0 - No policy	1 Oncies
safe slaughtering policy switch	Constant	Dmnl	na		0 Policy conceptualization	1 - Policy implemented	Policies
and and mening policy switch	Constant			IF THEN ELSE(consumption behaviour policy switch = 0, 0	· · · · ·		- Sucres
				IF THEN ELSE (Consumption benaviour policy switch = 0, 0 IF THEN ELSE ((Cost of Illness-COI accumulated a year	,		
				ago) <coi behaviour="" consumption="" for="" policy,0,<="" td="" trigger=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></coi>			
consumption behaviour policy	Variable	Dmnl	na	(PULSE(weeks per year,1500)*1)))	Policy conceptualization		Policies
COI accumulated a year ago	Level	Euro	na	DELAY FIXED (Cost of Illness, weeks per year,0)	Policy conceptualization		Policies
COI trigger for consumption behaviour							
policy	Constant	Euro	na	2,20E+0	07 Policy conceptualization		Policies
						0 - No policy	
consumption behaviour policy switch	Constant	Dmnl	na		0 Policy conceptualization	1 - Policy implemented	Policies
				IF THEN ELSE( food safety policy switch = 1, IF THEN			
				ELSE(Cost of Illness-COI accumulated a year ago > COI			
food safety policy	Variable	Dmnl	na	trigger for food safety policy , 1 , 0 ), 0)	Policy conceptualization		Policies
COI trigger for food safety policy	Constant	Euro	na	1,50E+0	07 Policy conceptualization		Policies
						0 - No policy	
food safety policy switch	Constant	Dmnl	na		0 Policy conceptualization	1 - Policy implemented	Policies