Variable name	Туре	Units	Initial value	Equation	Source	Important assumptions	Submodel
chickens arriving from hatcheries	Flow	Chicken/Week	na	population*(consumption rate per person/meat per chicken)	Model conceptualization	Supply = Demand	Chicken
checkis arrying from natcheres	1 10W	GHICKEH/ WEEK	11a	chickens arriving from hatcheries-chicken infections with CPY-	Model Conceptualization	Supply – Demaild	CHICKEH
chicken on farms	Stock	Chicken		ns "chicken non-infections with CPY"	Model conceptualization		Chicken
initial chickens on farms	Constant	Chicken	na		) Model conceptualization	Arbitrary number	Chicken
CPY-positive chickens	Stock	Chicken		chicken infections with CPY-"CPY-positive chickens 0 slaughtered"	Model conceptualization		Chicken
chicken infections with CPY	Flow	Chicken/Week	na	chickens on farms*rate of chicken infection from environment chickens on farms*(1-rate of chicken infection from	Model conceptualization		Chicken
chicken non-infections with CPY	Flow	Chicken/Week	na	environment)	Model conceptualization		Chicken
CPY-negative chickens	Stock	Chicken		chicken non-infections with CPY-"slaughtering with cross- 0 contamination"-"slaughtering without cross-contamination"	Model conceptualization	This is the final contamination before slaughtering	Chicken
slaughtering without cross-contamination	ı Flow	Chicken/Week	na	CPY-negative chickens * (1-"rate of cross-contamination")	Model conceptualization		Chicken
slaughtering with cross-contamination	Flow	Chicken/Week	na	CPY-negative chickens * "rate of cross-contamination"	Model conceptualization		Chicken
contaminated meat	Stock	kg		("CPY-positive chickens slaughtered"+"slaughtering with cross- contamination")"meat per chicken-contaminated meat 0 consumption	Model conceptualization	This stock includes a modifier to change from chickens to chicken meat (changes units from chicken to kg). This is outside of convention, but was a necessary modifier to make the stock-flow structure work.	Chicken
CPY-positive chickens slaughtered	Flow	Chicken/Week	na	CPY-positive chickens*slaughter rate	Model conceptualization	All infected chicken become contaminated meat	Chicken
rate of cross-contamination	Variable	1/Week	па	IF THEN ELSE( safe slaughtering policy = 1, ((ZIDZ("CPY-positive chickens","("CPY-negative chickens"+"("CPYpositive chickens")))*CPY reproduction in chickens)*0.8, (ZIDZ("CPY-positive chickens","("CPY-negative chickens"+"("CPY-positive chickens")))*CPY reproductive number in chickens)	Model conceptualization	Depends on the proportion of infected chicken	Chicken
meat per chicken	Constant	Kg/Chicken	na	1.5	5 Denton & Miller, 1988; National Chicken Council 2021		Chicken
meat per chicken	Constant	Kg/ Chicken	1124	MIN(proportion of contaminated meat * consumption rate per	Denitori & Winier, 1966, National Chicken Council 2021		Chicken
contaminated meat consumption	Flow	Kg/Week	na	person * population, (contaminated meat/week))	Model conceptualization	Cannot consume more than there is available	Chicken
total chickens slaughtered	Variable	Chicken/Week	na	CPY-positive chickens slaughtered+"slaughtering with cross- contamination"+"slaughtering without cross-contamination" CPY-positive chickens slaughtered+"slaughtering with cross-	Model conceptualization		Chicken
contaminated slaughtered chickens	Variable	Chicken/Week	na	contamination"	Model conceptualization		Chicken
Proportion of contaminated meat	Variable	Dmnl	na	ZIDZ(contaminated slaughtered chickens,total chickens slaughtered)	Model conceptualization		Chicken
slaughter rate	Constant	1/Week	па	0.3	3 Calibration	30% of all chickens present on the farms are slaughtered each week	Chicken
proportion of CPY-positive chickens	Variable	Dmnl	na	ZIDZ( "CPY-positive chickens", "CPY-positive chickens"+"CPY-negative chickens")	Model conceptualization		Chicken
consumption rate per person	Constant	kg/(Week*Persor	n) na	0.203+meat consumption behaviour	https://files.wakkerdier.nl/app/uploads/2020/10/201514 22/2020-078-Vleesconsumptie-2019-WUR- Dagevos_def.pdf?_ga=2.115483654.1629359199.16154618 09-1770319697.1615461809		Chicken
				population by 2020 + RAMP((projected population by 2050-			
population week	Variable Constant	Person Week	па	population by 2020)/(weeks per year*30),0,weeks per year*30)	Model conceptualization		Chicken Chicken
CPY reproduction in chickens	Constant	1/Week	na	0.5	(Campylobactor) in a Dairy herd. In 19th International		Chicken
Infections per kg of meat consumed	Variable	Cases/kg	па	IF THEN ELSE(food safety policy=1, 0.8*5e-05, 5e-05)	Calibration		Cost of Illness
CPY Cases	Stock	Cases		human CPY infections-asymptomatic infections-symptomatic $\boldsymbol{0}$ infections	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness
human CPY infections	Flow	Cases/Week	na	(contaminated meat consumption*infections per kg of meat consumed)+rate of human infection from environment	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness
Acute GE Cases	Stock	Cases		symptomatic infections-Death by CPY-GBS development-GE 0 Recovery-IBD development-ReA development	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness

				(CPY Cases*rate of symptomatic cases)*(PULSE TRAIN(w			
symptomatic infections	Flow	Cases/Week		per year, TIME STEP, weeks per year , FINAL TIME)) / T	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness
	Constant	Dmnl	na	-	0,88 Medema et al.		Cost of Illness
pase rate of symptomatic cases	Constant	Dillill	na		0,00 Medema et al.		Cost of filliess
ate of symptomatic cases	Variable	Dmnl	na	base rate of symptomatic cases*rate of symptomatic cases modifier	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness
ac or symptomatic cases	variable	Dillill	TIA .		Model conceptualization		Cost of Inness
				(CPY Cases*(1-rate of symptomatic cases))*(PULSE TRAIN(weeks per year, TIME STEP, weeks per year, FINA	A.T		
asymptomatic infections	Flow	Cases/Week	na	TIME)) / TIME STEP	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness
GE Recovery	Flow	Cases/Week	na	recovery rate*Acute GE Cases	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness
ecovery rate	Constant	1/Week	na		8125 Mangen et al.		Cost of Illness
ReA Cases	Stock	Cases	11a	0 ReA development	Model conceptualization	Chronic disease, does not empty	Cost of Illness
GBS Cases	Stock	Cases		0 GBS development	Model conceptualization	Chronic disease, does not empty	Cost of Illness
IBD Cases	Stock	Cases		0 IBD development	Model conceptualization	Chronic disease, does not empty	Cost of Illness
DD Guideo	otoca	Giroco		V 1915 development	moter conceptualisation	Disease burden/cost of illness associated with deaths	GOST OF TIMESS
						accounted for within DALY metric. This flow is only used	1
Death by CPY	Flow	Cases/Week	na	Acute GE Cases*death rate	Model conceptualization	to empty the cases stock	Cost of Illness
		Silvery II veri		3,000 000 0000 0000		Development of chronic disease assumed to all occur	3000 01 111100
						subsequent to acute cases. In reality, some campylobacter	
						infections do connect directly to incidence of chronic	
ReA development	Flow	Cases/Week	na	Acute GE Cases*ReA rate	Model conceptualization	disease.	Cost of Illness
GBS development	Flow	Cases/Week	na	Acute GE Cases*GBS rate	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness
IBD development	Flow	Cases/Week	na	Acute GE Cases*IBD rate	Mangen et al.		Cost of Illness
ReA rate	Constant	1/Week	na		0175 Mangen et al.		Cost of Illness
GBS rate	Constant	1/Week	na		0075 Mangen et al.		Cost of Illness
obo inc	Constant	1/ 1/ CCA	****		oors mangemee an		Gost of Inness
IBD rate	Constant	1/Week	па	0.00	0125 Mangen et al.	over past 2 decades: https://www.cdc.gov/ibd/data- statistics.htm#:~rtext=Inflammatory%20Bowel%20Disea se%20Prevalence%20(IBD,%25%20or%202%20million% 20adults).	Cost of Illness
				·		,	
death rate	Constant	1/Week	na	0.00	0375 Mangen et al.	Assumed that death only caused by acute symptoms, death from chronic cases largely contained within DALYs.	Cost of Illness
		,		(recovered GE*DALYs per GE Case) + (GBS Cases*DALY			
				per GBS Case) + (IBD Cases*DALYs per IBD Case) + (Re	A		
DALY	Variable	DALY	na	Cases*DALYs per ReA Case)	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness
				((recovered GE*COI per GE Case) + (GBS Cases*COI per GBS Case) + (IBD Cases*COI per IBD Case) + (ReA Cases*COI per ReA Case			
Cost of Illness	Variable	Euro	na	))*COI modifier	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness
COI modifier	Constant	Dmnl	na		1 Model conceptualization	Used only to test sensitivity	Cost of Illness
DALYs per GE Case	Constant	DALY/Cases	na	(	),008 Mangen et al.	All undiscounted DALYs	Cost of Illness
DALYs per ReA Case	Constant	DALY/Cases	na		0,09 Mangen et al.	All undiscounted DALYs	Cost of Illness
DALYs per GBS Case	Constant	DALY/Cases	na		5 Mangen et al.	All undiscounted DALYs	Cost of Illness
DALYs per IBD Case	Constant	DALY/Cases	na		11,6 Mangen et al.	All undiscounted DALYs	Cost of Illness
COI per GE Case	Constant	Euro/Cases	na		190 Mangen et al.		Cost of Illness
COI per ReA Case	Constant	Euro/Cases	na		20 Mangen et al.		Cost of Illness
COI per GBS Case	Constant	Euro/Cases	na	8	5000 Mangen et al.		Cost of Illness
COI per IBD Case	Constant	Euro/Cases	na		3000 Mangen et al.		Cost of Illness
Recovered GE	Stock	Cases		0 GE Recovery	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness
weeks per year	Constant	Week	na	·	52 This is how time works.		Cost of Illness
consumer food consumption behaviour				IF THEN ELSE( (known CPY cases/population) > consun	ner	0 - Normal consumption	
ever	Variable	Dmnl	na	food consumption behaviour threshold , 1 , 0 )	Model conceptualization	1 - Reduced consumption due to too many cases	Cost of Illness
consumer food consumption behaviour					·		
threshold	Constant	Cases/Person	na	0,	0038 Own interpretation		Cost of Illness
time to know about CPY cases	Constant	Week	na		1 Own interpretation		Cost of Illness
				SMOOTH N(CPY Cases, time to know about CPY cases, C	•		
known CPY cases	Variable	Cases	na	Cases, 3)	Model conceptualization		Cost of Illness
				, ,			
meat consumption behaviour	Variable	kg/(Week*Pers		IF THEN ELSE(((consumer food consumption behaviour l + consumption behaviour policy) = 0), 0, -0.05)	ever Model conceptualization	Natural consumer behavior and government intervention to modify behavior do not compound	Cost of Illness

				WITH LOOKUP (scenario switch): ([(0,0)-			
rate of symptomatic cases modifier	Variable	Dmnl	na	(12,2)],(0,1),(9,1),(10,0.9),(11,1.1),(12,1.1))	Mangen et al.	Ranges from 0.9 to 1.1 across scenarios	Cost of Illness
fly population	Stock	MFly	initial fly population	fly development-fly deaths	Model conceptualization		Environmental
				DELAY1I(fly development, fly lifetime, fly population/fly			
fly deaths	Flow	MFly/Week	na	lifetime)	Model conceptualization		Environmental
fly development	Flow	MFly/Week	na	fly development rate	Model conceptualization		Environmental
Initial fly population	Constant	MFly	na	0,	1 Model conceptualization		Environmental
fly lifetime	Constant	Week	па		4 https://www.orkin.com/flies/how-long-do-flies-live_		Environmental
ny meune	Constant	WCCK	iia		Blanckenhorn, W. U. (1997). Effects of temperature on		Livironnenai
					growth, development and diapause in the yellow dung fly		
		MFly/(degree*We			against all the rules? Oecologia, 111(3), 318–324.		
fly population growth per degree	Constant	ek)	na	0,002	4 doi:10.1007/s004420050241		Environmental
					Blanckenhorn, W. U. (1997). Effects of temperature on		
					growth, development and diapause in the yellow dung fly -		
					against all the rules? Oecologia, 111(3), 318-324.		
base fly population development rate	Constant	MFly/Week	na	-0,009	1 doi:10.1007/s004420050241		Environmental
					Blanckenhorn, W. U. (1997). Effects of temperature on		
					growth, development and diapause in the yellow dung fly -		
				base fly population development rate + fly population growth	against all the rules? Oecologia, 111(3), 318–324.		
non-diapause development rate	Variable	MFly/Week	na	per degree* temperature	doi:10.1007/s004420050241		Environmental
					Blanckenhorn, W. U. (1997). Effects of temperature on		
					growth, development and diapause in the yellow dung fly -		
diapause development rate	Constant	MFly/Week		0.000	against all the rules? Oecologia, 111(3), 318–324. 15 doi:10.1007/s004420050241		Environmental
diapause development rate	Constant	Mriy/week	na	0,000			Environmental
					Blanckenhorn, W. U. (1997). Effects of temperature on		
				IETHEN ELSE (	growth, development and diapause in the yellow dung fly- against all the rules? Oecologia, 111(3), 318–324.		
fly development rate	Variable	MFly/Week	na	IF THEN ELSE(temperature > 4, "non-diapause development rate", diapause development rate)	against all the fules? Oecologia, 111(3), 318–324. doi:10.1007/s004420050241	Below 4 degrees fly development enters diapause	Environmental
ny development rate	variable	Mi iy/ week	IIa		doi.10.1007/3004420030241	below 4 degrees my development enters diapause	Livironnenai
				((-1)*(SIN(2*pi*(Time+start of year offset)/weeks per			
				year))*((maximum average weekly temperature-minimum average weekly temperature)/2)+((maximum average weekly		More than four inputs to the variable - this presents issues	
				temperature+minimum average weekly		for readability, but all variables were necessary for	
temperature	Variable	degree	na	temperature)/2))+temperature increase	Model conceptualization	formulation.	Environmental
minimum average weekly temperature	Variable	degree	na		4 KNMI		Environmental
maximum average weekly temperature	Variable	degree	na		3 KNMI		Environmental
pi	Constant	Dmnl	na	ARCCOS(-1)	Archimedes of Syracuse		Environmental
	**			base infectious flies + "chance of chicken-to-fly transmission"*			
proportion of infectious flies	Variable	Dmnl	na	"proportion of CPY-positive chickens"	Model conceptualization		Environmental
chance of chicken-to-fly transmission	Constant	Dmnl Dmnl	na		5 Calibration 5 Calibration		Environmental
base infectious flies	Constant	Dilli	na	0,3 fly population*proportion of infectious flies * IF THEN ELSE			Environmental
infectious flies	Variable	MFly	na	fly population control policy = 1,0.8,1)	Model conceptualization		Environmental
rate of chicken infection from	ranabic	1y	****	base chicken exposure rate+(infectious flies*rate of chicken	пода сопсершиманон		zarrazoninentai
environment	Variable	1/Week	na	exposure to infectious flies)	Model conceptualization		Environmental
		,		The state of the s			
				infectious flies*rate of human exposure to infectious			
human infection from environment	Variable	Cases/Week	na	flies*population + (infection risk from birds * population)	Model conceptualization		Environmental
base chicken exposure rate	Constant	1/Week	na		1 Calibration		Environmental
chicken exposure to infectious flies	Variable	1/(MFly*Week)	na		2 Calibration		Environmental
				base human exposure rate * SMOOTH( IF THEN			
		Cases/(MFly*Pers		ELSE(exposure control policy = 1, 0.8, 1), number of weeks			
rate of human exposure to infectious flies	Variable	on*Week)	na	needed to adopt policy)	Model conceptualization		Environmental
		Cases/(MFly*Wee					
base human exposure rate	Constant	k*Person)	na	0.001	Calibration		Environmental
				RAMP(temperature increase by 2050/(weeks per			
average temperature increase	Variable	degree	na	year*30),0,weeks per year*30)	Model conceptualization		Environmental

						0 - No change	
				WITH LOOKUP (scenario switch):([(0,0)-		1 - Linear change	
temperature switch	Variable	Dmnl	na	(12,2)],(0,0),(7,0),(8,2),(9,1),(10,0),(11,0),(12,2))	Model conceptualization	2 - Faster summer warming than winter warming	Environmental
				IF THEN ELSE(temperature switch = 0,0,(IF THEN			
				ELSE(temperature switch = 2,(-1)*(SIN(2*pi*(Time+start of			
				year offset)/weeks per year)*0.8*average temperature			
	**			increase)+average temperature increase,average temperature			
temperature increase	Variable	degree	na	increase)))	Bresser et al, 2006		Environmental
				WITH LOOKUP (scenario switch): ([(0,0)-(12,2)],(0,1.5),(3,1.5),(4,1),(5,1.5),(6,1.5),(7,1.5),(8,2),(9,1.5),(11,1)			
temperature increase by 2050	Constant	degree	na	5),(12,2))	KNMI 14' klimaatscenario's voor Nederland	Ranges from 1 to 2 across the different scenarios	Environmental
temperature mercuse by 2000	Consum	Cases/(Week*Pers	****	V))(1=;=/)	Tet this is a minimum over the contract	ranges from 1 to 2 across the different sections	Ziivii (iiii Cittai
Infection risk from birds	Constant	on)	na	2,5E-0,5	Calibration		Environmental
						Needed to make the sinusoidal curve for the temperature	
start of year offset	Variable	Week	na		8 KNMI	to match the appropriate time of year.	Environmental
population by 2020	Constant	Person	na	1,73E+	07 CBS		Environmental
				WITH LOOKUP (scenario switch): ([(0,0)-			
				(12,3e+07)],(0,1.94e+07),(1,1.94e+07),(2,2.16e+07),(3,1.71e+0			
projected population by 2050	Variable	Person	na	),(4,1.94e+07),(11,1.94e+07),(12,2.16e+07))	population-growth-unabated-in-the-next-50-years)	Ranges from 1.71e+07 to 2.16e+07 across scenarios	Environmental
				IF THEN ELSE(exposure control policy switch = 1, IF THEN	N .		
exposure control policy	Variable	Dmnl	na	ELSE(temperature > temperature trigger for exposure control policy, 1, 0), 0)	Policy conceptualization		Policies
temperature trigger for exposure control	variable	Dillill	11a	poney, 1 , 0, 0)	Toney conceptualization		Toncies
policy	Constant	degree	na		20 Policy conceptualization		Policies
F						0 - No policy	
exposure control policy switch	Constant	Dmnl	na		0 Policy conceptualization	1 - Policy implemented	Policies
number of weeks needed to adopt policy	Constant	Week	na		2 Policy conceptualization		Policies
				IF THEN ELSE(fly population control policy switch = 1, IF			
	X7 - 1.1	Dmnl		THEN ELSE( temperature > temperature trigger for fly	D.F		Policies
fly population control policy	Variable	Dmni	na	population control policy , 1 , 0 ), 0 )	Policy conceptualization		Policies
temperature trigger for fly population control policy	Constant	degree	na	,	20 Policy conceptualization		Policies
control poncy	Consum	degree	1111		to roney conceptualization	0 - No policy	1 Oncico
fly population control policy switch	Constant	Dmnl	na		0 Policy conceptualization	1 - Policy implemented	Policies
				IF THEN ELSE(safe slaughtering policy switch = 1, IF THEN	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
				ELSE(Cost of Illness-COI accumulated a year ago > COI			
safe slaughtering policy	Variable	Dmnl	na	trigger for slaughtering policy, 1, 0), 0)	Policy conceptualization		Policies
COI trigger for slaughtering policy	Constant	Euro	na	1,50E+	7 Policy conceptualization		Policies
6.1.1		D. I			O.D.F.	0 - No policy	n r :
safe slaughtering policy switch	Constant	Dmnl	na		0 Policy conceptualization	1 - Policy implemented	Policies
				IF THEN ELSE (consumption behaviour policy switch = 0, 0			
				IF THEN ELSE ((Cost of Illness-COI accumulated a year ago) < COI trigger for consumption behaviour policy,0,			
consumption behaviour policy	Variable	Dmnl	na	(PULSE(weeks per year,1500)*1)))	Policy conceptualization		Policies
COI accumulated a year ago	Level	Euro	na	DELAY FIXED (Cost of Illness, weeks per year,0)	Policy conceptualization		Policies
COI trigger for consumption behaviour							
policy	Constant	Euro	na	2,20E+	7 Policy conceptualization		Policies
						0 - No policy	
consumption behaviour policy switch	Constant	Dmnl	na		0 Policy conceptualization	1 - Policy implemented	Policies
				IF THEN ELSE( food safety policy switch = 1, IF THEN			
food safety policy	Variable	Dmnl		ELSE(Cost of Illness-COI accumulated a year ago > COI trigger for food safety policy, 1, 0), 0)	Policy conceptualization		Policies
COI trigger for food safety policy	Constant	Euro	na na		7 Policy conceptualization		Policies
oor mager for food safety policy	Constant	LAHO	****	1,301511	, Toney conceptualization	0 - No policy	1 Oncies
food safety policy switch	Constant	Dmnl	na		0 Policy conceptualization	1 - Policy implemented	Policies
y Parry annual	J				/	/ -	