Experiences of Pulmonary Rehabilitation in People Living with Chronic **Obstructive Pulmonary Disease and Frailty**

A Qualitative Interview Study

Lisa Jane Brighton¹, Katherine Bristowe¹, Joanne Bayly¹, Margaret Ogden², Morag Farquhar³, Catherine J. Evans^{1,4}, William D. C. Man^{5,6}, and Matthew Maddocks¹

institutions and Publishers

1 Cicely Saunders Institute of Palliative Care, Policy and Rehabilitation, and ²Cicely Saunders Institute Patient and Public Involvement Group, King's College London, London, United Kingdom; ³Schoo<mark>l</mark> of Health Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, United Kingdom; ⁴Sussex Community NHS Foundation Trust, Brighton General Hospital, Brighton, United Kingdom; ⁵National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College, London, United Kingdom; and ⁶Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, Harefield Pulmonary Rehabilitation and Muscle Research Laboratory, London, United Kingdom

institutions
ORCID IDs: 0000-0003-0516-0102 (L.J.B.); 0000-0003-1809-217X (K.B.); 0000-0001-9478-8932 (J.B.); 0000-0001-7991-7679 (M.F.); 0000-0003-0034-7402 (C.J.E.); 0000-0002-3782-659X-(W.D.C.M.); 0000-0002-0189-0952 (M.M.).

heading Abstract

Rationale: People living with both chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and frailty have high potential to benefit from pulmonary rehabilitation but face challenges completing programs. However, research to understand ways to optimize participation in this group is lacking.

Objectives: To explore the experiences, needs, and preferences of people with COPD and frailty referred for outpatient pulmonary rehabilitation.

Methods: Semistructured interviews with people with COPD and physical frailty, purposively sampled by age, living status, level of frailty, and completion of pulmonary rehabilitation. Thematic analysis with a critical realist perspective was used, involving relevant stakeholders with clinical, academic, and lived experience for interpretive rigor.

Results: Nineteen people with COPD and frailty were interviewed, with a median age of 78 years (range, 58–88). Nine did not complete their pulmonary rehabilitation program. Four themes were identified: striving to adapt to multidimensional loss, tensions of balancing support with independence, pulmonary rehabilitation as a challenge worth facing, and overcoming unpredictable disruptions to participation. Participants described constantly adapting to their changing health and resulting multidimensional losses

(e.g., functional abilities, relationships, confidence). This involved traversing between independence and seeking support, set against a mismatch between their needs and what support is available. People with COPD and frailty can be highly motivated to participate in pulmonary rehabilitation, despite the physical and mental demands it entails, and report a range of benefits. Yet in the context of changeable health, they must often overcome multiple unpredictable disruptions to completing rehabilitation programs. Participant determination and flexibility of services can facilitate ongoing attendance, but for some, these unpredictable disruptions erode their motivation to attend.

Conclusions: People with COPD and frailty experience accumulating, multidimensional loss. This group are motivated to complete pulmonary rehabilitation but often require additional support and flexibility owing to fluctuating and unpredictable health. Person-centered approaches should be considered to minimize disruptive health events and support pulmonary rehabilitation participation and completion. Service adaptations could allow more flexibility to meet the changing needs of this group and enable communication around how pulmonary rehabilitation might align with their priorities.

keywords

Keywords: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; frailty; rehabilitation; exercise; qualitative research

footnote

(Received in original form October 29, 2019; accepted in final form April 26, 2020)

This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/).

Supported by a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Career Development Fellowship (CDF-2017-10-009) held by M.M. This research was also supported by the NIHR Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care South London, now recommissioned as NIHR Applied Research Collaboration South London. C.J.E. is funded by a Health Education England/NIHR Senior Clinical Lectureship (ICA-SCL-2015-01-001). J.B. is funded by an NIHR Clinical Doctoral Research Award (ICA-CDRF-2015-01-008). This publication presents independent research funded by the NINR. The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the National Health Service, NIHR, or the Department of Health and Social Care

This article has an online supplement, which is accessible from this issue's table of contents at www.atsjournals.org

Ann Am Thorac Soc Vol 17, No 10, pp 1213-1221, Oct 2020

Copyright © 2020 by the American Thoracic Society

DOI: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.201910-800OC

Internet address: www.atsjournals.org

<u>headerOrFooter</u>

pagenum

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) affects multiple body systems and has been described as reflecting an "accelerated aging" (1). COPD frequently occurs in the context of multimorbidity: more than 60% of people with COPD live with two or more additional health conditions (2). Related to this, people with COPD have twice the odds of living with frailty than people of a similar age without COPD (3).

Frailty is a multidimensional syndrome characterized by decreased reserve and diminished resistance to stressors (4). Physical dimensions of frailty are characterized by diminished strength and endurance and reduced physiological function (5). Recognition of frailty offers advantages over measures of disease severity, particularly in the context of multimorbidity, in that it incorporates a more holistic understanding of a person's health and limitations (6). Pooled prevalence estimates suggest that 19% of people with COPD are living with frailty, whereas a further 56% are prefrail (3). People with COPD and frailty are at increased risk of mortality (7, 8) and readmission after hospitalization for an exacerbation of their disease (9). In comparison with their nonfrail counterparts, people with COPD and frailty experience poorer physical function and health status (10) as well as increased anxiety and depression symptoms (11) and are less likely to receive disease-modifying interventions (12).

Participating in exercise improves outcomes for people with COPD (13, 14) or frailty (15, 16) and is recommended by clinical guidelines for each condition (17, 18). For people with both COPD and frailty, pulmonary rehabilitation is associated with improvements in frailty status (11, 19), breathlessness, exercise performance, physical activity levels, and health status (11, 20). However, people with COPD and frailty are less likely to start, and complete, pulmonary rehabilitation (11).

People with COPD report multiple challenges to participation in exercise-based interventions, including lack of perceived benefit, concurrent burden of comorbid conditions, conflicts with other priorities,

difficulties with mobility and travel, fear of worsening symptoms, low energy and motivation, and exacerbations of their COPD (21-23). Similar barriers are noted by people living with frailty, including conflicting commitments (e.g., hobbies, caring responsibilities), physical limitations (e.g., pain, fatigue), and challenges around access and travel (24, 25). Although some view exercise positively (24), others report disengaging owing to perceiving frailty as inevitable in older age, and feeling disempowered or depersonalized in their interactions with services (26).

Understanding (non-)participation and identifying optimal ways of supporting people with COPD and frailty is a priority for improving outcomes for this population (27). People with both COPD and frailty have high potential to gain from, but also a high likelihood of facing challenges to completing pulmonary rehabilitation (11). Yet, research with people with COPD and frailty to understand their specific needs and challenges is lacking, and optimal models of exercise for this group are not well understood. We aimed to explore the experiences, needs and preferences of people living with both COPD and frailty referred for pulmonary rehabilitation, to optimize service delivery for this group. Our objectives were to: 1) understand the experiences and preferences of people living with COPD and frailty; 2) identify current support and areas of unmet need; and 3) explore motivation for, and barriers to, continued participation in pulmonary rehabilitation.

Methods

-leadline

Design

We conducted a qualitative interview study within a critical realist paradigm (28). This means participants' responses were deemed to reflect a reality that can be understood through empirical means. Yet, we also acknowledge the influence of social and cultural structures in understanding this reality. We drew on theories around successful aging (29), self-regulation (30), and stress and

coping (31) to develop a comprehensive topic guide and inform data interpretation. For example, successful aging theory (29) aided exploration of how people adapt, reprioritize, and compensate in response to losses in function in older age; the common-sense model of self-regulation (30) provided a framework for understanding interactions with services and broader health behaviors, and the transactional model of emotions and coping (31) supported our understanding of how coping arises from perceptions of stressors and available resources. Although we drew on specific theories with the intention of developing a richer explanation of reality, we were cognizant that they could be challenged by new data (28).

Setting and Recruitment

We recruited participants from two London hospitals providing outpatient pulmonary rehabilitation. Clinical staff identified potential participants during their initial assessments for pulmonary rehabilitation. A researcher then periodically followed up with those interested in participating, so that they could be potentially sampled when they stopped or completed their pulmonary rehabilitation.

Participants and Sampling

People referred for pulmonary

rehabilitation with a physician diagnosis of COPD, who at initial assessment were identified as physically frail using the Short Physical Performance Battery (32) (SPPB; score of ≤9), were invited to participate. The SPPB scores performance across three tests: standing balance, habitual gait speed, and ability to stand. Total scores range from 0 (low function) to 12 (high function). Thresholds of ≤ 9 and ≤ 7 have been suggested to indicate prefrailty and frailty, respectively (33). Patients' informal caregivers also participated if patients preferred. People under the age of 18 years, unable to speak English, or without capacity to provide informed consent were excluded.

We purposively sampled participants by age (> or ≤ 80 yr), living status (alone or with others), level of physical frailty (SPPB scores of > or ≤ 7), and completion of

Author Contributions: M.M. obtained the funding for the study. L.J.B., K.B., C.J.E., W.D.C.M., and M.M. contributed to the design of the study. L.J.B. collected and analyzed the data under guidance from K.B., with input from J.B., M.O., and M.F. L.J.B. drafted the first version of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the interpretation of the data and the revising of the manuscript.

Correspondence and requests for reprints should be addressed to Lisa Jane Brighton, M.Sc., B.Sc. (Hons.), King's College London, Cicely Saunders Institute of Palliative Care, Policy and Rehabilitation, Bessemer Road, London SE5 9PJ, UK. E-mail: lisa.brighton@kcl.ac.uk.

pagenum **1214**

headerOrFooter

AnnalsATS Volume 17 Number 10 October 2020

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

pulmonary rehabilitation (did or did not complete). Within the group who did not complete pulmonary rehabilitation, we attempted to sample those who were and were not admitted to hospital.

heading

Data Collection

A female researcher (L.B.) with a background in psychology and palliative care research (B.Sc., M.Sc.) conducted the interviews in participants' preferred locations, between October 2018 and April 2019. L.B. had previous training in qualitative research and experience in conducting interviews with people with serious illness and their families. L.B. was not known to participants before the interviews.

The interviews followed a semistructured interview topic guide (online supplement E1) developed with input from people with lived experience relevant to both COPD and frailty, and their informal carers (service user representatives). The topic guide explored participants' current health and priorities, support and unmet needs, and expectations and experiences of pulmonary rehabilitation. On the advice of the service user representatives, the researcher identified and used participants' own language in relation to frailty, for example, slowing down, difficulties walking, lack of strength or energy. Service user representatives also prompted the researcher to consider the participant's assets and resilience in addition to limitations. Interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim. The researcher completed detailed field notes to describe interview flow, contextual factors, participant responses, and initial reflections immediately after each interview.

Data collection continued until the data set was deemed to be approaching thematic saturation (34) (i.e., rich data with breadth and depth in relation to the study objectives, with evidence of replication across several participants [35]). To determine potential thematic saturation, we conducted a preliminary analysis of the detailed reflective field notes, considering the above definition while also reflecting on Malterud and colleagues' (36) dimensions of information power. These dimensions consider the data in relation to the breadth of the study aim, sample specificity, level of existing contributing theory, dialogue quality, and the need for cross-case analysis.

headina

We conducted a reflexive thematic analysis to identify patterns of meaning within the data (37). First, one researcher (L.B.) familiarized themselves with the data through revisiting the audio recordings, transcripts, and field notes. They generated initial codes to capture meaningful basic elements of the data in relation to the study objectives. A service user representative with qualitative analysis training (M.O.) also familiarized themselves with, and generated initial codes for, a sample of the data. Meanings were primarily considered at a semantic (explicit) level, but with consideration of latent (implicit) interpretations. L.B. inductively generated themes by reviewing and refining codes, and writing definitions accompanied by illustrative quotes. The themes and related codes were refined using three processes: revisiting the original interview data to ensure fair interpretation, comparing our findings with existing theory to assess if this may deepen our understanding, and review

by stakeholders with differing backgrounds to work toward a richer and more nuanced understanding of the data (38). The latter included review by coauthors from different disciplines (e.g., nursing, physiotherapy) and representing relevant academic, clinical, and service user experiences. Finally, we constructed a narrative of the findings, with reference to illustrative quotes. Although described as a linear process, we moved forward and backward between the stages as thinking changed and progressed.

Ethical Approval

The London Camberwell St Giles Research Ethics Committee (ref. 18/LO/1197) approved this study. We obtained written informed consent prior to interviews.

Results

Of 49 eligible people introduced to the study, 19 were interviewed (Table 1). Sixteen people who were eligible and went on to

able

Table 1. Qualitative interview participant characteristics (n = 19)

mage		
Characteristic		N/Median (Range)
figure Age, yr		figure 78 (58–88)
G@borspirometric stage* fig(mid) fa(moderate)		small small
fig@r(severe)		1/2gure
4 (very severe) Physical frailty (SPPB) score at inițial asses	sment	2 6 (1 –9)
Long-term oxygen therapy Number of comorbidities [†]		filgu <mark>re</mark> 2 (0–5)
Sex F		small
M /		1 0 nall 19
Education Left school age 15 yr or younger		small e nall
Left school age 16-19 yr Postsecondary or university qualifications	S	a Mall 3 € 1
Ethnicity Asian, Black, or Mixed		small s a nall
figWhite British or Irish		1.6
Smoking history Current smoker		small s e nall
Ex-smoker figNever smoked		^{[1} 5mall
Sampling frame characteristics Agreed over 80 yr		8
Rinysical frailty score <7		đạnall
Living alone Did not start or complete PR program [‡]		Ismall

Definition of abbreviations: GOLD = Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease PR = pulmonary rehabilitation; SPPB = short physical performance battery. datummissing from PR notes.

[†]Most commonly reported comorbidities included arthritis, asthma, atrial fibrillation, and falls. $^{\dagger}n = 4$ did not start, n = 5 did not complete.