

Isolation Heuristic Analysis

In this project we were tasked with creating an Adversarial Search Agent that plays the game of “Isolation”, which is a deterministic game. To create this agent minimax, Alpha-Beta Pruning and iterative deepening algorithms were implemented in the project. In this project 3 heuristic scoring functions were developed their brief description is stated below:

Custom score: This heuristic takes difference of percentages of total number of legal moves the player has and twice the number legal moves the opponent player has left, the scoring is done in this way so that the agent is more aggressive in its approach and chases after the opponent player. This heuristic was inspired from the video lectures

Custom score 2: In this heuristic total number of legal moves left for the players and opponent is scaled by a weighing factor which sums to one. This heuristic can be hyper parameter that can define the cautiousness/ aggressiveness of the agent, weights of 0.1 and 0.9 for player and opponent adds a bit of cautiousness to the game.

Custom score 3: This heuristic rewards the game playing agent if the players position is at the centre of the board, and the opponent is penalized if its position is away from the centre of the board. This centrality allows the agent to have more options to manoeuvre its position as the game progresses. The inspiration for this idea was taken from one of the video lectures.

The Snapshot below exhibits the performance of the 3 heuristics against the baseline agent “AB_Improved” taken from Tournament.py file.

Playing Matches									

Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom		AB_Custom_2		AB_Custom_3	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	39	1	39	1	40	0	40	0
2	MM_Open	29	11	36	4	36	4	38	2
3	MM_Center	37	3	40	0	39	1	40	0
4	MM_Improved	34	6	35	5	27	13	30	10
5	AB_Open	21	19	24	16	22	18	22	18
6	AB_Center	24	16	21	19	25	15	19	21
7	AB_Improved	28	12	21	19	19	21	19	21

Win Rate:		75.7%		77.1%		74.3%		74.3%	

Description of Heuristics Performance:

A total of 40 matches instead of 10 matches (default choice in tournament.py) were used to evaluate performance of custom heuristics against the the AB_Improved Agent. All three heuristics had a win rate of around 75% or more with AB_custom 1 i.e. Custom_score evaluation providing the best performance.

Recommendation:

Custom_Score evaluation function which takes difference of percentages of total number of legal moves the player has and twice the number legal moves the opponent player has left would

be best choice out of the three heuristic functions. The reasons to recommend this heuristic is that a) it had the largest win rate among the other heuristic functions; b) It enables the agent to be more aggressive in its approach by chasing after the opponent, and c) This evaluation function also enables the agent to seek moves with the most options , while getting in the way of the opponent.