## Chapter 5. Bayesian statistics

5.1.

$$p(\theta|\mathcal{D}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)p(\theta)}{p(\mathcal{D})} = \frac{\sum_{k} p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)p(z=k)p(\theta|z=k)}{p(\mathcal{D})}$$

Since  $p(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = p(\mathcal{D}|\theta, z = k)$ ,

$$p(\theta|\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{k} \frac{p(z=k)}{p(\mathcal{D})} p(\theta|z=k) p(\mathcal{D}|\theta, z=k)$$

But meanwhile, we have

$$\begin{split} p(\theta|\mathcal{D},z=k) &= \frac{p(\mathcal{D}|\theta,z=k)p(\theta|z=k)}{p(\theta|z=k)} \\ \Rightarrow p(\theta|\mathcal{D}) &= \sum_{k} \frac{p(z=k)p(\mathcal{D}|z=k)}{p(\mathcal{D})} p(\theta|\mathcal{D},z=k) \\ &= \sum_{k} p(z=k|\mathcal{D})p(\theta|\mathcal{D},z=k) \end{split}$$

(1)

5.2.

a. 
$$\rho(a|x) = p_0L(0, a) + p_1L(1, a) = p_0L(0, \hat{y}) + p_1L(1, \hat{y})$$

$$= p_0 \lambda_{10} \hat{y} + p_1 \lambda_{01} (1 - \hat{y})$$

If 
$$\hat{y} = 0$$
,  $\rho(a|x) = p_1 \lambda_{01}$ , and if  $\hat{y} = 1$ ,  $\rho(a|x) = p_0 \lambda_{10}$ .

Therefore, if  $p_1\lambda_{01} > p_0\lambda_{10} = (1-p_1)\lambda_{10}$ , we have to take  $\hat{y} = 1$ . Otherwise,  $\hat{y} = 0$ .

The condition is equivalent to  $p_1 > \theta$  where

$$\theta = \frac{\lambda_{10}}{\lambda_{01} + \lambda_{10}}.$$

b.

$$0.1 = \frac{\lambda_{10}}{\lambda_{01} + \lambda_{10}} \Rightarrow \lambda_{01} = 9\lambda_{10}.$$

5.3.

a.

$$\rho(a|x) = \sum_{k} p(y = k|x)L(y = k, a)$$

If we choose action  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, C\}$ ,

$$\rho(a|x) = \sum_{k \neq i} p(y = k|x)\lambda_s = (1 - p(y = i|x))\lambda_s$$

If we choose action i = C + 1,

$$\rho(a|x) = \sum_{k} p(y=k|x)\lambda_r = \lambda_r$$

If we choose Y = j, then

$$(1 - p(y = j|x)) = \min_{k} (1 - p(y = k|x)) \Rightarrow p(y = j|x) = \max_{k} p(y = k|x)$$

$$1 - p(y = j|x) \le \frac{\lambda_r}{\lambda_s} \Rightarrow p(y = j|x) \ge 1 - \frac{\lambda_r}{\lambda_s}$$

otherwise rejecting option (selecting i = C + 1) is optimal.

b. If the relative cost of rejection increases, then the base threshold for p(y=j|x) would decrease.

5.4.

a. 
$$p(y = 0|x) = 0.8$$
,  $p(y = 1|x) = 0.2$ .

 $\Rightarrow$  Selecting  $\hat{y} = 0$  minimizes expected loss.

b. 
$$p(y = 0|x) = 0.6$$
,  $p(y = 1|x) = 0.4$ .

 $\Rightarrow$  Rejecting option minimizes expected loss.

c. By predicting  $0, p_1 < 1 - p_1$  and  $1 - p_1 > 1 - 3/10$ 

$$\Rightarrow p_1 < \frac{3}{10}.$$

By predicting 1,  $p_1 > 1 - p_1$  and  $p_1 > 1 - 3/10$ 

$$\Rightarrow p_1 > \frac{7}{10}.$$

By rejection options,  $p_1 < 1 - 3/10$  and  $1 - p_1 < 1 - 3/10$ 

$$\Rightarrow \frac{3}{10} < p_1 < \frac{7}{10}.$$

5.5.

$$E_{\pi}(\theta) = (P - C)Q \int_{0}^{\infty} f(D)dD + (P - C) \int_{0}^{Q} Df(D)dD$$

$$- CQ \int_{0}^{Q} f(D)dD + C \int_{0}^{Q} Df(D)dD$$

$$= (P - C)Q(1 - F(Q)) + P \int_{0}^{Q} Df(D)dD - CQF(Q)$$

$$= (P - C)Q - PQF(Q) + P \int_{0}^{Q} Df(D)f(D).$$
(2)

$$\frac{dE_{\pi}(\theta)}{d\theta} = (P - C) - PF(Q) - PQf(Q) + PQf(Q) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow F(Q) = \frac{P - C}{P}.$$
(3)

5.6.

$$B = \frac{p(D|H_1)}{p(D|H_0)} = \frac{p(H_1|D)}{p(H_0|D)} \cdot \frac{p(H_0)}{p(H_1)} \propto \frac{p(H_1|D)}{p(H_0|D)}.$$

If  $p(H_0|D)$  is constant, two values would be same, otherwise, they would be different.

5.7.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}(L(\Delta, p^m)) &= \int L(\Delta, p^m) p(\Delta|D) d\Delta = \int -\log p(\Delta|m, D) p(\Delta|D) d\Delta \\ \mathbb{E}(L(\Delta, p^{BMA})) &= -\int (\log p(\Delta|D)) p(\Delta|D) d\Delta \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(L(\Delta, p^m)) - \mathbb{E}(L(\Delta, p^{BMA})) &= \int p(\Delta|D) \log \frac{p(\Delta|D)}{p(\Delta|m, D)} d\Delta \\ &= \mathbb{KL}(p(\Delta, D)|p(\Delta|m, D)) \geq 0. \end{split} \tag{4}$$

5.8.

a.

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} p(x, y | \theta) & y = 0 & y = 1 \\ \hline x = 0 & (1 - \theta_1)\theta_2 & (1 - \theta_1)(1 - \theta_2) \\ x = 1 & \theta_1(1 - \theta_2) & \theta_1\theta_2 \end{array}$$

b.

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \frac{4}{7}, \hat{\theta}_2 = \frac{4}{7}$$

$$p(D|\hat{\theta}, M_2) = \frac{16}{49} \cdot \frac{12}{49} \cdot \frac{12}{49} \cdot \frac{12}{49} \cdot \frac{16}{49} \cdot \frac{12}{49} \cdot \frac{9}{49} \approx 0.0000704$$

c.

$$\hat{\theta}_{0,0} = \frac{2}{7}, \hat{\theta}_{0,1} = \frac{1}{7}, \hat{\theta}_{1,0} = \frac{2}{7}, \hat{\theta}_{1,1} = \frac{2}{7}$$
$$p(D|\hat{\theta}, M_4) = \frac{2}{7} \cdot \frac{2}{7} \cdot \frac{2}{7} \cdot \frac{2}{7} \cdot \frac{2}{7} \cdot \frac{2}{7} \cdot \frac{1}{7} \approx 0.0000777$$

d. For 2-parameter models,

$$L(M_2) = \log(\frac{3}{6} \cdot \frac{3}{6} \cdot \frac{3}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{6} \cdot \frac{3}{6} \cdot \frac{3}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{6} \cdot \frac{3}{6} \cdot \frac{3}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{6}) \approx -12.5423$$
 For 4-parameter models,

$$L(M_4) = -\infty,$$

since  $\log p(x_6, y_6 | m, \hat{\theta}(D_{-6})) = \log 0$ .

e. 
$$BIC(M_2, D) \approx -9.561 - \log 7 \approx -11.507$$
,  $BIC(M_4, D) \approx -12.381$ ,

Therefore, the first model fits better.

5,9.

$$\begin{split} \rho(a|x) &= \int |y-a| p(y|x) dy = \int_{y>a} (y-a) p(y|x) dy - \int_{ya} p(y|x) dy + \int_{y$$

5.10.

$$\frac{p(y=1|x)}{p(y=0|x)} > \frac{L_{FP}}{L_{FN}} = \frac{1}{c}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{c}{c+1} > p(y=0|x).$$
(6)