



Chapter 6 Reed-Solomon Codes

- 6.1 Finite Field Algebra
- 6.2 Reed-Solomon Codes
- 6.3 Syndrome Based Decoding
- 6.4 Curve-Fitting Based Decoding



§ 6.1 Finite Field Algebra

- Nonbinary codes: message and codeword symbols are represented in a finite field of size q , and $q > 2$.
- Advantage of presenting a code in a nonbinary image.

A binary codeword sequence in $\{0, 1\}$

b_0 $\boxed{b_1}$ $\boxed{b_2}$ $\boxed{b_3}$ $\boxed{b_4}$ $\boxed{b_5}$ b_6 b_7 b_8 b_9 b_{10} b_{11} $\boxed{b_{12}}$ $\boxed{b_{13}}$ $\boxed{b_{14}}$ b_{15} b_{16} b_{17}
 b_{18} b_{19} b_{20}

A nonbinary codeword sequence in $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$

$\boxed{c_0}$ $\boxed{c_1}$ c_2 c_3 c_4 $\boxed{c_5}$ c_6 c_7

$\boxed{}$: where the channel error occurs

8 bit errors are treated as 3 symbol errors in a nonbinary image



§ 6.1 Finite Field Algebra

- Finite field (Galois field) \mathbf{F}_q : a set of q elements that perform “+” “-” “ \times ” “/” without leaving the set.
- Let p denote a prime, e.g., 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \dots , it is required $q = p$ or $q = p^\theta$ (θ is a positive integer greater than 1). If $q = p^\theta$, \mathbf{F}_q is an extension field of \mathbf{F}_p .
- **Example 6.1:** “+” and “ \times ” in \mathbf{F}_q .

$$\mathbf{F}_2 = \{ 0, 1 \}$$

+	0	1
0	0	1
1	1	0

\times	0	1
0	0	0
1	0	1

all in
modulo-2

$$\mathbf{F}_5 = \{ 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \}$$

+	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	1	2	3	4
1	1	2	3	4	0
2	2	3	4	0	1
3	3	4	0	1	2
4	4	0	1	2	3

\times	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3	4
2	0	2	4	1	3
3	0	3	1	4	2
4	0	4	3	2	1

all in
modulo-5



§ 6.1 Finite Field Algebra

- “ - ” and “ / ” can be performed as “ + ” and “ \times ” with additive inverse and multiplicative inverse, respectively.

Additive inverse of a a' : $a' + a = 0$ and $a' = -a$

Multiplicative inverse of a a' : $a' \cdot a = 1$ and $a' = 1 / a$

- “ - ” operation:

Let $h, a \in \mathbf{F}_q$.

$$h - a = h + (-a) = h + a'.$$

E.g., in \mathbf{F}_5 , $1 - 3 = 1 + (-3) = 1 + 2 = 3$;

- “ / ” operation:

Let $h, a \in \mathbf{F}_q$.

$$h / a = h \times a'.$$

E.g., in \mathbf{F}_5 , $2 / 3 = 2 \times (1 / 3) = 2 \times 2 = 4$.



§ 6.1 Finite Field Algebra

- Nonzero elements of \mathbf{F}_q can be represented using a primitive element σ such that $\mathbf{F}_q = \{ 0, 1, \sigma, \sigma^2, \dots, \sigma^{q-2} \}$.

- Primitive element σ of \mathbf{F}_q : $\sigma \in \mathbf{F}_q$ and unity can be produced by at least

$$\underbrace{\sigma \cdot \sigma \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma}_{q-1} = 1, \text{ or } \sigma^{q-1} = 1. \quad \boxed{\text{all in modulo-}q}$$

E.g., in \mathbf{F}_5 , $2^4 = 1$ and $3^4 = 1$. Here, 2 and 3 are the primitive elements of \mathbf{F}_5 .

- **Example 6.2:** In \mathbf{F}_5 ,

If 2 is chosen as the primitive element, then

$$\mathbf{F}_5 = \{ 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \} = \{ 0, 2^4, 2^1, 2^3, 2^2 \} = \{ 0, 1, 2^1, 2^3, 2^2 \}$$

If 3 is chosen as the primitive element, then

$$\mathbf{F}_5 = \{ 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \} = \{ 0, 3^4, 3^3, 3^1, 3^2 \} = \{ 0, 1, 3^3, 3^1, 3^2 \}$$



§ 6.1 Finite Field Algebra

- If \mathbf{F}_q is an extension field of \mathbf{F}_p such as $q = p^\theta$, elements of \mathbf{F}_q can also be represented by θ -dimensional vectors in \mathbf{F}_p .
- Primitive polynomial $p(x)$ of \mathbf{F}_q ($q = p^\theta$): an irreducible polynomial of degree θ that divides $x^{p^\theta-1} - 1$ but not other polynomials $x^\Phi - 1$ with $\Phi < p^\theta - 1$.
E.g., in \mathbf{F}_8 , the primitive polynomial $p(x) = x^3 + x + 1$ divides x^7-1 , but not x^6-1 , x^5-1 , x^4-1 , x^3-1 .
- If a primitive element σ is a root of $p(x)$ such that $p(\sigma) = 0$, elements of \mathbf{F}_q can be represented in the form of

$$w_{\theta-1}\sigma^{\theta-1} + w_{\theta-2}\sigma^{\theta-2} + \dots + w_1\sigma^1 + w_0\sigma^0$$

where $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{\theta-2}, w_{\theta-1} \in \mathbf{F}_p$, or alternatively in

$$(w_{\theta-1}, w_{\theta-2}, \dots, w_1, w_0)$$



§ 6.1 Finite Field Algebra

- **Example 6.3:** If $p(x) = x^3 + x + 1$ is the primitive polynomial of \mathbf{F}_8 , and its primitive element σ satisfies $\sigma^3 + \sigma + 1 = 0$, then

\mathbf{F}_8	$w_2\sigma^2 + w_1\sigma^1 + w_0\sigma^0$	w_2	w_1	w_0
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	1
σ	σ	0	1	0
σ^2	σ^2	1	0	0
σ^3	$\sigma + 1$	0	1	1
σ^4	$\sigma^2 + \sigma$	1	1	0
σ^5	$\sigma^2 + \sigma + 1$	1	1	1
σ^6	$\sigma^2 + 1$	1	0	1



§ 6.1 Finite Field Algebra

– Representing $\mathbf{F}_q = \{ 0, 1, \sigma, \dots, \sigma^{q-2} \}$, “ \times ” “ $/$ ” “ $+$ ” “ $-$ ” operations become

“ \times ”: $\sigma^i \times \sigma^j = \sigma^{(i+j) \% (q-1)}$

E.g., in \mathbf{F}_8 , $\sigma^4 \times \sigma^5 = \sigma^{(4+5) \% 7} = \sigma^2$

“ $/$ ”: $\sigma^i / \sigma^j = \sigma^{(i-j) \% (q-1)}$

E.g., in \mathbf{F}_8 , $\sigma^4 / \sigma^5 = \sigma^{(4-5) \% 7} = \sigma^6$

“ $+$ ”: if $\sigma^i = w_{\theta-1}\sigma^{\theta-1} + w_{\theta-2}\sigma^{\theta-2} + \dots + w_0\sigma^0$

(& “ $-$ ”) $\sigma^j = w'_{\theta-1}\sigma^{\theta-1} + w'_{\theta-2}\sigma^{\theta-2} + \dots + w'_0\sigma^0$

$$\sigma^i + \sigma^j = (w_{\theta-1} + w'_{\theta-1})\sigma^{\theta-1} + (w_{\theta-2} + w'_{\theta-2})\sigma^{\theta-2} + \dots + (w_0 + w'_0)\sigma^0$$

E.g., in \mathbf{F}_8 , $\sigma^4 + \sigma^5 = \sigma^2 + \sigma + \sigma^2 + \sigma + 1 = 1$



§ 6.2 Reed-Solomon Codes

- An RS code^[1] defined over \mathbf{F}_q is characterized by its codeword length $n = q - 1$, dimension $k < n$ and the minimum Hamming distance d . It is often denoted as an (n, k) (or (n, k, d)) RS code.
- It is a maximum distance separable (MDS) code such that
$$d = n - k + 1$$
- It is a linear block code and also cyclic.
- The widely used RS codes include the $(255, 239)$ and the $(255, 223)$ codes both of which are defined in \mathbf{F}_{256} .

[1] I. Reed and G. Solomon, “Polynomial codes over certain finite fields,” *J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math*, vol. 8, pp. 300-304, 1960.



§ 6.2 Reed-Solomon Codes

– Notations

$\mathbf{F}_q[x]$, a univariate polynomial ring over \mathbf{F}_q , i.e., $f(x) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} f_i x^i$ and $f_i \in \mathbf{F}_q$.

$\mathbf{F}_q[x, y]$, a bivariate polynomial ring over \mathbf{F}_q , i.e., $f(x, y) = \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}} f_{ij} x^i y^j$ and $f_{ij} \in \mathbf{F}_q$.

\mathbf{F}_q^\bullet , \bullet - dimensional vector over \mathbf{F}_q .

– Encoding of an (n, k) RS code.

Message vector $\bar{u} = (u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k-1}) \in \mathbf{F}_q^k$

Message polynomial

$$u(x) = u_0 + u_1 x + u_2 x^2 + \dots + u_{k-1} x^{k-1} \in \mathbf{F}_q[x]$$

Codeword

$$\bar{c} = (u(1), u(\sigma), u(\sigma^2), \dots, u(\sigma^{n-1})) \in \mathbf{F}_q^n$$

$1, \sigma, \sigma^2, \dots, \sigma^{n-1}$ are the $q - 1$ nonzero elements of \mathbf{F}_q . They are often called code locators.



§ 6.2 Reed-Solomon Codes

- Encoding of an (n, k) RS code in a linear block code fashion

$$\bar{c} = \bar{u} \cdot \mathbf{G}$$

$$= (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}) \begin{bmatrix} (\sigma^0)^0 & (\sigma^1)^0 & \dots & (\sigma^{n-1})^0 \\ (\sigma^0)^1 & (\sigma^1)^1 & \dots & (\sigma^{n-1})^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (\sigma^0)^{k-1} & (\sigma^1)^{k-1} & \dots & (\sigma^{n-1})^{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- **Example 6.4:** For a $(7, 3)$ RS code that is defined in \mathbf{F}_8 , if the message is $\bar{u} = (u_0, u_1, u_2) = (\sigma^4, 1, \sigma^5)$, the message polynomial will be $u(x) = \sigma^4 + x + \sigma^5 x^2$, and the codeword can be generated by

- $\bar{c} = (u(1), u(\sigma), u(\sigma^2), u(\sigma^3), u(\sigma^4), u(\sigma^5), u(\sigma^6)) = (0, \sigma^6, \sigma^4, \sigma^3, \sigma^6, \sigma^3, 0)$

- $\bar{c} = \bar{u} \cdot \mathbf{G} = (\sigma^4, 1, \sigma^5) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \sigma^1 & \sigma^2 & \sigma^3 & \sigma^4 & \sigma^5 & \sigma^6 \\ 1 & \sigma^2 & \sigma^4 & \sigma^6 & \sigma^1 & \sigma^3 & \sigma^5 \end{bmatrix} = (0, \sigma^6, \sigma^4, \sigma^3, \sigma^6, \sigma^3, 0)$



§ 6.2 Reed-Solomon Codes

- MDS property of RS codes $d = n - k + 1$
 - Singleton bound for an (n, k) linear block code, $d \leq n - k + 1$
 - $u(x)$ has at most $k - 1$ roots. Here, \bar{c} has at most $k - 1$ zeros and
$$d_{\text{Ham}} = (\bar{c}, \bar{0}) \geq n - k + 1$$

- Parity-check matrix of an (n, k) RS code

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} (\sigma^0)^1 & (\sigma^1)^1 & \cdots & (\sigma^{n-1})^1 \\ (\sigma^0)^2 & (\sigma^1)^2 & \cdots & (\sigma^{n-1})^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (\sigma^0)^{n-k} & (\sigma^1)^{n-k} & \cdots & (\sigma^{n-1})^{n-k} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{c} \cdot \mathbf{H}^T = \bar{u} \cdot \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{H}^T = \bar{0} \quad \leftarrow \text{an } n - k \text{ all zero vector}$$



§ 6.2 Reed-Solomon Codes

– Insight of $\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{H}^T$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\sigma^0)^0 & (\sigma^1)^0 & \cdots & (\sigma^{n-1})^0 \\ (\sigma^0)^1 & (\sigma^1)^1 & \cdots & (\sigma^{n-1})^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (\sigma^0)^{k-1} & (\sigma^1)^{k-1} & \cdots & (\sigma^{n-1})^{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} (\sigma^0)^1 & (\sigma^0)^2 & \cdots & (\sigma^0)^{n-k} \\ (\sigma^1)^1 & (\sigma^1)^2 & \cdots & (\sigma^1)^{n-k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (\sigma^{n-1})^1 & (\sigma^{n-1})^2 & \cdots & (\sigma^{n-1})^{n-k} \end{bmatrix}$$

– Let $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, $v = 1, 2, \dots, n-k$.

Entries of \mathbf{G} can be denoted as $[\mathbf{G}]_{i,j} = (\sigma^j)^i$

Entries of \mathbf{H}^T can be denoted as $[\mathbf{H}^T]_{j,v-1} = (\sigma^j)^v$

Entries of $\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{H}^T$ is

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{H}^T]_{i,v-1} &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\sigma^j)^i \cdot (\sigma^j)^v \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\sigma^j)^{i+v} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1: $v = 0$ is illegitimate since $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\sigma^j)^0 \neq 0$



§ 6.2 Reed-Solomon Codes

- Perceiving \mathbf{H}^T as in

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\sigma^1)^0 & (\sigma^2)^0 & \cdots & (\sigma^{n-k})^0 \\ (\sigma^1)^1 & (\sigma^2)^1 & \cdots & (\sigma^{n-k})^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (\sigma^1)^{n-1} & (\sigma^2)^{n-1} & \cdots & (\sigma^{n-k})^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Perceiving codeword $\bar{c} = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})$ as in

$$c(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_{n-1}x^{n-1}$$

- $\bar{c} \cdot \mathbf{H}^T = \bar{0}$ implies

$$c(\sigma^1) = c(\sigma^2) = \cdots = c(\sigma^{n-k}) = 0$$

$\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \dots, \sigma^{n-k}$ are roots of RS codeword polynomial $c(x)$.



§ 6.2 Reed-Solomon Codes

- An alternatively encoding

- Message polynomial $u(x) = u_0 + u_1x + \cdots + u_{k-1}x^{k-1}$

- Codeword polynomial $c(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_{n-1}x^{n-1}$

- $c(x) = u(x) \cdot g(x)$ and $\deg(g(x)) = n - k$

- Since $\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \dots, \sigma^{n-k}$ are roots of $c(x)$

$$g(x) = (x - \sigma^1)(x - \sigma^2) \cdots (x - \sigma^{n-k})$$

↑ The generator polynomial of an (n, k) RS code

- Systematic encoding

$$c(x) = x^{n-k}u(x) + (x^{n-k}u(x)) \bmod g(x)$$

- **Example 6.5:** For a $(7, 3)$ RS code, its generator polynomial is

$$g(x) = (x - \sigma^1)(x - \sigma^2)(x - \sigma^3)(x - \sigma^4) = x^4 + \sigma^3x^3 + x^2 + \sigma x + \sigma^3$$

Given message vector $\bar{u} = (u_0, u_1, u_2) = (\sigma^4, 1, \sigma^5)$,

the codeword can be generated by $c(x) = u(x) \cdot g(x) = (1, \sigma^2, \sigma^4, \sigma^6, \sigma, \sigma^3, \sigma^5)$

For systematic encoding, $(x^{n-k}u(x)) \bmod g(x) = (x^4 \cdot u(x)) \bmod g(x) = x^3 + \sigma^4x + \sigma^5$,

and the codeword is $\bar{c} = (\sigma^5, \sigma^4, 0, 1, \sigma^4, 1, \sigma^5)$



§ 6.3 Syndrome Based Decoding

- The channel: $r(x) = c(x) + e(x)$

$$c(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_{n-1}x^{n-1} \quad \text{– codeword polynomial}$$

$$e(x) = e_0 + e_1x + \cdots + e_{n-1}x^{n-1} \quad \text{– error polynomial}$$

$$r(x) = r_0 + r_1x + \cdots + r_{n-1}x^{n-1} \quad \text{– received word polynomial}$$

- Let $n - k = 2t$, $\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \dots, \sigma^{2t}$ are roots of $c(x)$

- $2t$ syndromes can be determined as

$$S_1 = r(\sigma^1), S_2 = r(\sigma^2), \dots, S_{2t} = r(\sigma^{2t})$$

If $S_1 = S_2 = \cdots = S_{2t} = 0$, $e(x) = 0$ and $r(x) = c(x)$, $r(x)$ is a valid codeword. Otherwise, $e(x) \neq 0$, error-correction is needed.



§ 6.3 Syndrome Based Decoding

- If $e(x) \neq 0$, we assume there are ω errors with $e_{j_1} \neq 0, e_{j_2} \neq 0, \dots, e_{j_\omega} \neq 0$.
- Let $v = 1, 2, \dots, 2t$

$$S_v = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_j \sigma^{jv} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e_j \sigma^{jv} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e_j \sigma^{jv} = \sum_{\tau=1}^{\omega} e_{j_\tau} (\sigma^{j_\tau})^v$$

- For simplicity, let $X_\tau = \sigma^{j_\tau}$, we can list the $2t$ syndromes by

$$S_1 = e_{j_1} X_1^1 + e_{j_2} X_2^1 + \dots + e_{j_\omega} X_\omega^1$$

$$S_2 = e_{j_1} X_1^2 + e_{j_2} X_2^2 + \dots + e_{j_\omega} X_\omega^2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$S_{2t} = e_{j_1} X_1^{2t} + e_{j_2} X_2^{2t} + \dots + e_{j_\omega} X_\omega^{2t}$$

- In the above non-linear equation group, there are 2ω unknowns $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_\omega, e_{j_1}, e_{j_2}, \dots, e_{j_\omega}$. It will be solvable if $2\omega \leq 2t$. The number of correctable errors is $\omega \leq \frac{n-k}{2}$.

- Since $X_{j_\tau}, e_{j_\tau} \in \mathbf{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, an exhaustive search solution will have a complexity of $O(n^{2\omega})$.



§ 6.3 Syndrome Based Decoding

- How to determine $\Lambda_\omega, \Lambda_{\omega-1}, \dots$, and Λ_1 ?

Since $\Lambda(X_\tau^{-1}) = \Lambda_\omega X_\tau^{-\omega} + \Lambda_{\omega-1} X_\tau^{1-\omega} + \dots + \Lambda_1 X_\tau^{-1} + \Lambda_0 = 0$

$$\sum_{\tau=1}^{\omega} e_{j_\tau} X_\tau^v \Lambda(X_\tau^{-1}) = 0, \text{ for } v = 1, 2, \dots, 2t$$



$$\begin{aligned} &= e_{j_1} \Lambda_\omega X_1^{v-\omega} + e_{j_1} \Lambda_{\omega-1} X_1^{v-\omega+1} + \dots + e_{j_1} \Lambda_1 X_1^{v-1} + e_{j_1} \Lambda_0 X_1^v \\ &+ e_{j_2} \Lambda_\omega X_2^{v-\omega} + e_{j_2} \Lambda_{\omega-1} X_2^{v-\omega+1} + \dots + e_{j_2} \Lambda_1 X_2^{v-1} + e_{j_2} \Lambda_0 X_2^v \\ &\vdots \\ &+ e_{j_\omega} \Lambda_\omega X_\omega^{v-\omega} + e_{j_\omega} \Lambda_{\omega-1} X_\omega^{v-\omega+1} + \dots + e_{j_\omega} \Lambda_1 X_\omega^{v-1} + e_{j_\omega} \Lambda_0 X_\omega^v \\ &= \Lambda_\omega S_{v-\omega} + \Lambda_{\omega-1} S_{v-\omega+1} + \dots + \Lambda_1 S_{v-1} + \Lambda_0 S_v \end{aligned}$$

$$\Lambda_\omega S_{v-\omega} + \Lambda_{\omega-1} S_{v-\omega+1} + \dots + \Lambda_1 S_{v-1} + \Lambda_0 S_v = 0$$

- Error locator polynomial can be determined using the syndromes.



§ 6.3 Syndrome Based Decoding

– List all $\Lambda_\omega S_{v-\omega} + \Lambda_{\omega-1} S_{v-\omega+1} + \dots + \Lambda_1 S_{v-1} + \Lambda_0 S_v = 0$

$$v = 1: \quad \Lambda_1 S_0 + \Lambda_0 S_1 = \dots$$

$$v = 2: \quad \Lambda_2 S_0 + \Lambda_1 S_1 + \Lambda_0 S_2 = \dots$$

$$v = 3: \quad \Lambda_3 S_0 + \Lambda_2 S_1 + \Lambda_1 S_2 + \Lambda_0 S_3 = \dots$$

$$\vdots$$

$$v = \omega: \quad \Lambda_\omega S_0 + \Lambda_{\omega-1} S_1 + \dots + \Lambda_1 S_{\omega-1} + \Lambda_0 S_\omega = \dots$$

$$v = \omega + 1: \quad \Lambda_\omega S_1 + \Lambda_{\omega-1} S_2 + \dots + \Lambda_1 S_\omega + \Lambda_0 S_{\omega+1} = 0$$

$$v = \omega + 2: \quad \Lambda_\omega S_2 + \Lambda_{\omega-1} S_3 + \dots + \Lambda_1 S_{\omega+1} + \Lambda_0 S_{\omega+2} = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$v = 2t: \quad \Lambda_\omega S_{2t-\omega} + \Lambda_{\omega-1} S_{2t-\omega+1} + \dots + \Lambda_1 S_{2t-1} + \Lambda_0 S_{2t} = 0$$

$$S_v = -\sum_{\tau=1}^{\omega} \Lambda_\tau S_{v-\tau}$$

Remark 2:

S_0 is not one of the $n - k$ syndromes.

$$\begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_2 & \dots & S_\omega & S_{\omega+1} \\ S_2 & S_3 & \dots & S_{\omega+1} & S_{\omega+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ S_{2t-\omega} & S_{2t-\omega+1} & \dots & S_{2t-1} & S_{2t} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_\omega \\ \Lambda_{\omega-1} \\ \vdots \\ \Lambda_1 \\ \Lambda_0 \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} S_{\omega+1} \\ S_{\omega+2} \\ \vdots \\ S_{2t} \end{bmatrix}$$



§ 6.3 Syndrome Based Decoding

- Solving the linear system in finding $\Lambda(x)$ has a complexity of $O(\omega^3)$. It can be facilitated by the Berlekamp-Massey algorithm^[2] whose complexity is $O(\omega^2)$.
- The Berlekamp-Massey algorithm can be implemented using the Linear Feedback Shift Register. Its pseudo program is the follows.

The Berlekamp-Massey Algorithm

Input: Syndromes S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{2t} ;

Output: $\Lambda(x)$;

Initialization: $r = 0, \ell = 0, z = -1, \Lambda(x) = 1, T(x) = x$;

```
1:  Determine  $\Delta = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \Lambda_i S_{r-i+1}$ 
2:  If  $\Delta = 0$ 
3:     $T(x) = xT(x)$  ;
4:     $r = r + 1$  ;
5:    If  $r < 2t$ 
6:      Go to 1;
7:    Else
8:      Terminate the algorithm;
9:  Else
10:   Update  $\Lambda^*(x) = \Lambda(x) - \Delta T(x)$ ;
11:   If  $\ell \geq r - z$ 
12:      $\Lambda(x) = \Lambda^*(x)$  ;
13:   Else
14:      $\ell^* = r - z$ ;  $z = r - \ell$  ;  $T(x) = \Lambda(x) / \Delta$  ;  $\ell = \ell^*$  ;  $\Lambda(x) = \Lambda^*(x)$ ;
15:    $T(x) = xT(x)$  ;
16:    $r = r + 1$  ;
17:   If  $r < 2t$ 
18:     Go to 1;
19:   Else
20:     Terminate the algorithm;
```

[2] J. L. Massey, "Shift register synthesis and BCH decoding," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 15(1), pp. 122-127, 1969.



§ 6.3 Syndrome Based Decoding

- **Example 6.6:** Given the (7, 3) RS codeword generated in **Example 6.5**, after the channel, the received word is

$$\bar{r} = (\sigma^5, \sigma^4, \sigma^3, \sigma^0, \sigma^4, \sigma^2, \sigma^5).$$

With the received word, we can calculate syndromes as

$$S_1 = r(\sigma) = \sigma^0, S_2 = r(\sigma^2) = \sigma^6, S_3 = r(\sigma^3) = \sigma^6, S_4 = r(\sigma^4) = \sigma^0.$$

Running the above Berlekamp-Massey algorithm, we obtain

r	ℓ	z	$\Lambda(x)$	$T(x)$	Δ
0	0	-1	1	x	σ^0
1	1	0	$1-x$	x	σ^2
2	1	0	$1-\sigma^6x$	x^2	σ
3	2	1	$1-\sigma^6x-\sigma x^2$	$\sigma^6x-\sigma^5x^2$	σ^5
4			$1-\sigma^3x-x^2$	$\sigma^6x^2-\sigma^5x^3$	

Therefore, the error locator polynomial is $\Lambda(x) = 1 - \sigma^3x - x^2$. In \mathbf{F}_8 , σ^5 and σ^2 are its roots. Therefore, r_2 and r_5 are corrupted.



§ 6.3 Syndrome Based Decoding

- Determine the error magnitudes $e_{j_1}, e_{j_2}, \dots, e_{j_\omega}$, so that the erroneous symbols can be corrected by

$$c_{j_1} = r_{j_1} - e_{j_1}, c_{j_2} = r_{j_2} - e_{j_2}, \dots, c_{j_\omega} = r_{j_\omega} - e_{j_\omega}$$

- The syndromes $S_v = \sum_{\tau=1}^{\omega} e_{j_\tau} X_\tau^v$, $v = 1, 2, \dots, 2t$. Knowing $X_1 = \sigma^{j_1}, X_2 = \sigma^{j_2}, \dots, X_\omega = \sigma^{j_\omega}$ from the error location polynomial $\Lambda(x)$, the above syndrome definition implies

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_1^1 & X_2^1 & \cdots & X_\omega^1 \\ X_1^2 & X_2^2 & \cdots & X_\omega^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_1^{2t} & X_2^{2t} & \cdots & X_\omega^{2t} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_{j_1} \\ e_{j_2} \\ \vdots \\ e_{j_\omega} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \\ \vdots \\ S_{2t} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Error magnitudes can be determined from the above set of linear equations.



§ 6.3 Syndrome Based Decoding

- The linear equation set can be efficiently solved using Forney's algorithm.

- Syndrome polynomial

$$S(x) = S_1 + S_2x + \cdots + S_{2t}x^{2t-1} = \sum_{v=1}^{2t} S_v x^{v-1}$$

- Error evaluation polynomial (The key equation)

$$\Omega(x) = S(x) \cdot \Lambda(x) \bmod x^{2t}$$

- Formal derivative of $\Lambda(x) = \Lambda_\omega x^\omega + \Lambda_{\omega-1}x^{\omega-1} + \cdots + \Lambda_1x + \Lambda_0$

$$\Lambda'(x) = \omega \Lambda_\omega x^{\omega-1} + (\omega-1) \Lambda_{\omega-1} x^{\omega-2} + \cdots + \Lambda_1$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \underbrace{\Lambda_\omega + \Lambda_\omega + \cdots + \Lambda_\omega}_\omega & & \underbrace{\Lambda_{\omega-1} + \Lambda_{\omega-1} + \cdots + \Lambda_{\omega-1}}_{\omega-1} \end{array}$$

- Error magnitude e_{j_τ} can be determined by

$$e_{j_\tau} = -\frac{\Omega(X_\tau^{-1})}{\Lambda'(X_\tau^{-1})}$$



§ 6.3 Syndrome Based Decoding

– **Example 6.7:** Continue from **Example 6.6**,

The syndrome polynomial is $S(x) = S_1 + S_2x + S_3x^2 + S_4x^3 = \sigma^0 + \sigma^6x + \sigma^6x^2 + \sigma^0x^3$.

The error locator polynomial is $\Lambda(x) = 1 - \sigma^3x - x^2$.

The error evaluation polynomial is $\Omega(x) = S(x) \cdot \Lambda(x) \bmod x^4 = \sigma^4x + \sigma^0$.

Formal derivative of $\Lambda(x)$ is $\Lambda'(x) = \sigma^3$.

Error magnitudes are

$$e_2 = -\frac{\Omega(\sigma^{-2})}{\Lambda'(\sigma^{-2})} = \sigma^3,$$

$$e_5 = -\frac{\Omega(\sigma^{-5})}{\Lambda'(\sigma^{-5})} = \sigma^6.$$

As a result, $c_2 = r_2 - e_2 = 0$, $c_5 = r_5 - e_5 = \sigma^0$.

