Lingfu Zhang

February 22, 2015

6.046 Problem 3-1

Collaborators: none

(a) Based on CRLS, all changes we need to make here are redefine 'high(x)', 'low(x)' and index(x, y) as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{high}(x) = \lfloor x/2^{\lfloor (\lg u)/3 \rfloor} \rfloor \\ & \operatorname{low}(x) = x \bmod 2^{\lfloor (\lg u)/3 \rfloor} \\ & \operatorname{index}(x,y) = x \cdot 2^{\lfloor (\lg u)/3 \rfloor} + y \\ & \operatorname{and everything else is exactly the same.} \end{aligned}$$

Now let's consider the consider the costs of INSERT, DELETE and SUCCESSOR. For INSERT, when the cluster x belongs to doesn't exist, we do vEB-TREE-INSERT(V.summary, high(x)), which takes $T(2^{\lfloor (\lg u)/3 \rfloor})$ time; when the cluster x belongs to exists before, we do vEB-TREE-INSERT(V.cluster[high(x)], low(x)), which takes $T(2^{\lceil (2 \lg u)/3 \rceil})$ time. All other operations take constant time. Then we have:

$$T(u) \le T(2^{\lceil (2\lg u)/3 \rceil}) + O(1)$$

or

$$T(2^m) \le T(2^{\lceil 2m/3 \rceil}) + O(1) \le T(2^{3m/4}) + O(1)$$

for all $m \geq 3$. Let $S(m) = T(2^m)$, we can get $T(u) = T(2^m) = S(m) = O(\lg \lg m)$.

For vEB-TREE-DELETE(V, x), if the cluster containing x isn't empty after vEB-TREE-DELETE(V.cluster[high(x)], low(x)), we needn't do vEB-TREE-DELETE(V.cluster[high(x)], low(x)); otherwise, V.cluster[high(x)] only contains x before the deletion, and vEB-TREE-DELETE(V.cluster[high(x)], low(x)) takes constant time. So the costs is the same as INSERT.

For vEB-TREE-SUCCESSOR(V, x), we also either do vEB-TREE-SUCCESSOR(V.cluster[high(x)], low(x)) or vEB-TREE-SUCCESSOR(V.summary, high(x)), and some other operations with constant time. Thus the total cost is still the same as INSERT.

Although the costs is still $O(\lg \lg u)$, we may state that the costs is higher than before, as we now have $S(m) \leq S(3m/4) + O(1)$ instead of $S(m) \leq S(2m/3) + O(1)$.

(b) All the following changes are based on the pseudocode in CRLS.

For vEB-TREE-INSERT(V, x), first, check whether V is empty: if so, do vEB-EMPTY-TREE-INSERT(V, x), which keeps the same; otherwise, if x < V.min, swap x with V.min; if x > V.max, swap x with V.max. Now if V.u > 2, we insert x to lower level vEB structures. This is the same as line 5-9 in CRLS.

All changes we make here takes constant time. We now check whether x > V.max at the beginning instead of in the end, and make swap if necessary. The analysis of costs should be the same, and total costs is still $\lg \lg u$.

For vEB-TREE-DELETE(V, x), if V.min == V.max, there is only one element in V, let V.min = NIL, V.max = NIL. Else, if V.u == 2, let V.min = 1 if x == 0 and V.max = 0 if x == 1. Now consider V.u > 2. If x == V.min, we find the next smallest value in V, and let both x and V.min be that value. If x == V.max, we find the next largest value in V, and let both x and V.max be that value. Now we delete x from lower level vEB structures and delete the cluster in V.summary if it becomes empty. This is the same as line 13-15 in CLRS.

All changes made here takes constant time in every recursive call, and we deal with the situation where x = V.max differently. The analysis of costs is basicly the same as before. The total costs is still $\lg \lg u$.

For vEB-TREE-SUCCESSOR(V, x), first we deal with the condition where V.u = 2, and this is line 1-4 in CLRS. Then if V.min is not NIL and x < V.min, return V.min. Then try to find successor in V.cluster[high(x)], which is the same as line 7-10 in CLRS. If V.cluster[high(x)] is empty or low(x) is not less than the maximum value in the cluster, find the successor of high(x) in V.summary. If such a successor exists, return the minimum value of the cluster; otherwise, compare x with V.max: if x < V.max, return V.max; otherwise (including V.max is NIL), return NIL.

The only change to this is to compare x with V.max if all attemps to find a successor before fails. This takes constant costs, and the total costs of SUCCESSOR is still $\lg \lg u$.

2