Proof of Quantized Federated learning

February 19, 2022

1 Assumption and Theorem

In FedAvg, the distributed optimization model across N devices is given by $\min_{\theta} F(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k F_k(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_k[F_k(\theta)]$, where p_k is the weight of the k-th device. Suppose device k holds n_k training samples, $p_k = n_k / \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i$. The objective function of device k can be given by $F_k(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{x_k \sim D_k} F_k(\theta; x_k)$, where x_k is sampled from the data distribution D_k at device k. Since we are considering the non-IID data distribution across devices, we have $D_i \neq D_j$ when $i \neq j$. We apply the same stochastic quantizer Q_s for weight and gradient under different resolution Δ , where $Q_s(x, \Delta)$ is defined as

$$Q_s(x,\Delta) = \Delta \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x}{\Delta} \end{bmatrix} + 1, \quad p \ge \frac{x}{\Delta} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x}{\Delta} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x}{\Delta} \end{bmatrix}, \quad p < \frac{x}{\Delta} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x}{\Delta} \end{bmatrix}. \right\}$$
 (1)

Then the k-th device performs $E(\geq 1)$ quantized local updates before aggregation, which can be given by

$$\theta_{t+i+1}^k = \theta_{t+i}^k - \alpha_t Q_s(\nabla F_k(\theta_{t+i,q}^k, x_k), \Delta_g^t), i = 0, 1, \dots, E - 1,$$
(2)

where α_t is the learning rate, $\Delta_g^t = 2^{-\delta_g^t}$ is the gradient quantization resolution, and δ_g^t is the gradient precision(bit width) in the t step. Before GEMM, the weight θ_{t+i}^k will be quantized into quantized weight $\theta_{t+i,q}^k = Q_s(\theta_{t+i}^k, \Delta_\theta^t)$ with quantization resolution $\Delta_\theta^t = 2^{-\delta_\theta^t}$, where δ_t^t is the weight precision(bit width) in the t step. The server then aggregates the quantized local models $\theta_{t+E}^1, \dots, \theta_{t+E}^N$ to generate a new global model θ_{t+E} . Assume all devices participate in the global update, and thus we have $\theta_{t+E} := \sum_{k=1}^N p_k \theta_{t+E}^k$. To prove the convergence of the proposed neural quantization strategy, we make the following assumptions.

- Assumption 1. (L-Smooth) F_1, \ldots, F_N are all L-smooth, that is for all \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} , $F_k(\mathbf{v}) \leq F_k(\mathbf{w}) + (\mathbf{v} \mathbf{w})^T \nabla F_k(\mathbf{w}) + \frac{L}{2} ||\mathbf{v} \mathbf{w}||_2^2$.
- Assumption 2. (μ -strongly convex) F_1, \ldots, F_N are all L-smooth, that is for all \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} , $F_k(\mathbf{v}) \geq F_k(\mathbf{w}) + (\mathbf{v} \mathbf{w})^T \mu F_k(\mathbf{w}) + \frac{L}{2} ||\mathbf{v} \mathbf{w}||_2^2$.
- Assumption 3. (bounded local gradient variance) $\mathbf{E}||\nabla F_k(\theta_t^k, x_k^t) \nabla F_k(\theta_t^k)||^2 \leq \sigma_k^2$, for $k = 1, \ldots, N$, where x_k^t is be sampled from the k-th device's local data uniformly.

• Assumption 4. (bounded local gradient) The expected squared norm of stochastic gradients is bounded, $\mathbf{E}||\nabla F_k(\theta_t^k, x_k^t)||^2 \leq G^2$, for k = 1, ..., N.

Theorem 1 When Assumption 1-4 hold, under static weight precision and resolution $\delta_{\theta}^{t} = \delta_{\theta}$, $\Delta_{\theta}^{t} = \Delta_{\theta} = 2^{-\delta_{\theta}}$, static gradient precision and resolution $\delta_{g}^{t} = \delta_{g}$, $\Delta_{g}^{t} = \Delta_{g} = 2^{-\delta_{g}}$ and learning rate $\alpha_{t} = \frac{2}{\mu(t+\gamma)}$, if θ^{*} is optimal, $\mathbf{E}[F(\theta_{t})] - F(\theta^{*})$ is bounded by

$$\mathbf{E}[F(\theta_t)] - F(\theta^*) \le \frac{A_1}{\gamma + t} \Delta_{\theta}^2 + \frac{A_2}{\gamma + t} \Delta_g + \frac{B}{\gamma + t},\tag{3}$$

where d is the dimensions of the θ ; $A_1 = \frac{4L^3d}{\mu^2}$ and $A_2 = \frac{2(1+16(E-1)^2)\sqrt{d}LG}{\mu^2}$; $B = \frac{2L}{\mu^2}(C + \frac{\mu^2(\gamma+1)}{4}\mathbf{E}||\theta_1 - \theta^*||^2)$; $C = \sum_{k=1}^N p_k^2 \sigma_k^2 + 8(E-1)^2 G^2 + 6L\Omega$ is the federated learning term and $\Omega = F^* - \sum_{k=1}^N p_k F_k^*$ is difference of aggregated local minimized loss F_k^* and global minimized loss F^* ; $\gamma = \max\{\frac{8L}{\mu}, E\}$, and $t, L, E, G, \mu, \Delta_\theta, \Delta_g, \sigma_k$ are as defined above.

Theorem 2 When Assumptions 1-4 hold, under learning rate $\alpha_t = \frac{4}{\mu(t+\gamma)}$, dynamic weight precision and resolution, $\delta_{\theta}^t = 1 - \lfloor \log_2(\mu \alpha_t) \rfloor$, $\Delta_{\theta}^t = 2^{-\delta_{\theta}^t}$ and dynamic gradient precision and resolution, $\delta_g^t = 2 - 2\lfloor \log_2(\mu \alpha_t) \rfloor$, $\Delta_g^t = 2^{-\delta_g^t}$, if θ^* is optimal, $\mathbf{E}[F(\theta_t)] - F(\theta^*)$ is bounded by

$$\mathbf{E}[F(\theta_t)] - F(\theta^*) \le \frac{B}{\gamma + t} + \frac{16(A_1 + A_2)}{(\gamma + t)^3},\tag{4}$$

where $\gamma = \max\{16\frac{L}{\mu}, E\}$ and the remaining variables are as defined in Theorem 1.

2 Proof of Theorem

2.1 Additional Notation

Set θ_t^k as the model parameter in the k-th device at the t-step and $\theta_{t,q}^k$ is its quantized version from Equation ??. We rewrite Equation ?? as:

$$\theta_{t,q}^k = \theta_t^k - r_{k,\theta}^t \tag{5}$$

where $r_{k,\theta}^t = \theta_t^k - Q_s(\theta_t^k, \Delta_{\theta}^t)$ donates the weight quantization error on the t-th iteration under weight quantization resolution Δ_{θ}^t . We also rewrite the update of FedAvg (Equation 2) with full devices active as:

$$v_{t+1}^k = \theta_t^k - \alpha_t \nabla F_k(\theta_{t,q}^k, x_k) + \alpha_t r_{k,g}^t$$

$$\tag{6}$$

$$\theta_{t+1}^k = \begin{cases} v_{t+1}^k, & t+1 \neq nE, n = 1, 2, \dots \\ \sum_{k=1}^N p_k v_{t+1}^k, & t+1 = nE, n = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$
 (7)

where $r_{k,g}^t = \nabla F_k(\theta_{t,q}^k, x_k) - Q_s(\nabla F_k(\theta_{t,q}^k, x_k), \Delta_g^t)$ donates the gradient quantization error on the t-th iteration under gradient quantization resolution Δ_g^t . we use v_{t+1}^k as the direct result of SGD from θ_t^k . And we define $\bar{v}_t = \sum_{k=1}^N p_k v_t^k, \bar{\theta}_t = \sum_{k=1}^N p_k \theta_t^k, \bar{g}_t = \sum_{k=1}^N p_k \nabla F_k(\theta_{t,q}^k)$ and $g_t = \sum_{k=1}^N p_k \nabla F_k(\theta_{t,q}^k, x_k)$. Therefore $\bar{v}_{t+1} = \bar{\theta}_t - \alpha_t g_t + \alpha_t \sum_{k=1}^N p_k r_{k,g}^t, \bar{v}_{t+1} = \bar{\theta}_{t+1}$ and $\mathbf{E}[g_t] = \bar{g}_t$.

2.2 Key Lemmas

Lemma 1 Assume Assumption 4, the gradient quantization error $r_{k,g}^t$ for k-th device on t-th iteration can be bounded in expectation as following:

$$\mathbf{E}||\sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k r_{k,g}^t||^2 \le \sqrt{d}G\Delta_g^t \tag{8}$$

where d donates the dimension of θ_t

Lemma 2 Assume Assumption 1 and 2. If $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{4L}$, we have:

$$\mathbf{E}||\bar{v}_{t+1} - \theta^*||^2 \le (1 - \alpha_t \mu) \mathbf{E}||\bar{\theta}_t - \theta^*||^2 + 6L\alpha_t^2 \Omega + 2\mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^N p_k ||\bar{\theta}_t - \theta_t^k||^2\right] + \alpha_t^2 ||\bar{g}_t - g_t||^2 + 2L^2 \alpha_t^2 d(\Delta_\theta^t)^2 + \alpha_t^2 \mathbf{E}||\sum_{k=1}^N p_k r_{k,g}^t||^2$$
(9)

where $\Omega = F^* - \sum_{k=1}^N p_k F_k^* \ge 0$

Lemma 3 Assume Assumption 3 holds. It follows that

$$\mathbf{E}||g_t - \bar{g}_t||^2 \le \sum_{k=1}^N p_k^2 \sigma_k^2 \tag{10}$$

Lemma 4 Assume Assumption 4 holds, and α_t is non-increasing and $\alpha_t \leq 2\alpha_{t+E}$. It follows that

$$\mathbf{E} \sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k ||\bar{\theta}_t - \theta_t^k||^2 \le 4\alpha_t^2 (E - 1)^2 (G^2 + 2\mathbf{E} ||\sum_{k=1}^{N} p_k r_{k,g}^t||^2)$$
(11)

The proof of Theorem 1

Let $D_t = \mathbf{E}||\bar{\theta}_t - \theta^*||$. Set static weight resolution $\Delta_{\theta}^t = \Delta_{\theta}$ and static gradient resolution $\Delta_g^t = \Delta_g$.From Lemma 1, Lemma 2, Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, it follows that

$$D_{t+1} \le (1 - \alpha_t \mu) D_t + \alpha_t^2 A \tag{12}$$

where $A = C + 2L^2d\Delta_{\theta}^2 + (1 + 16(E - 1)^2)\sqrt{d}G\Delta_g$ and $C = \sum_{k=1}^N p_k^2\sigma_k^2 + 8(E - 1)^2G^2 + 6L\Omega$ We set $\alpha_t = \frac{\beta}{t+\gamma}$ for $\beta > \frac{1}{\mu}$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that $\alpha_t \leq 2\alpha_{t+E}$ and $\alpha_1 \leq \frac{1}{4L}$. We Want to prove $D_t \leq \frac{v}{\gamma+t}$, where $v = \max\{\frac{\beta^2 A}{\beta\mu-1}, (\gamma+1)D_1\}$. We Prove it by induction. Firstly, v ensures that it holds for t=1. Assume the conclusion holds for

some t, it follows that:

$$D_{t+1} \leq (1 - \alpha_t \mu) D_t + \alpha_t^2 A$$

$$\leq \left(1 - \frac{\beta \mu}{t + \gamma}\right) \frac{v}{t + \gamma} + \frac{\beta^2 A}{(t + \gamma)^2}$$

$$\leq \frac{t + \gamma - 1}{(t + \gamma)^2} v + \frac{\beta^2 A}{(t + \gamma)^2} - \frac{\beta \mu - 1}{(t + \gamma)^2} v$$

$$\leq \frac{v}{t + \gamma + 1} \tag{13}$$

Then by the Assumption 1,

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\bar{\theta}_t)] - F^* \le \frac{L}{2} D_t \le \frac{L}{2} \frac{v}{\gamma + t} \tag{14}$$

Specifically, if we choose $\beta = \frac{2}{\mu}$, and $\gamma = \max\{8\frac{L}{\mu}, E\}$, $\alpha_t = \frac{2}{\mu(\gamma+t)}$. i.e. $\alpha_1 = \frac{2}{\mu(\gamma+1)} \le \frac{2}{\mu\gamma} \le \frac{1}{4L}$. And $2\alpha_{t+E} = \frac{4}{\mu(\gamma+t+E)} \ge \frac{4}{\mu(2\gamma+2t)} \ge \alpha_t$. In this case, for $t \ge 1$ we have:

$$v = \max\{\frac{\beta^2 A}{\beta \mu - 1}, (\gamma + 1)D_1\} \le \frac{\beta^2 A}{\beta \mu - 1} + (\gamma + 1)D_1 \le \frac{4A}{\mu^2} + (\gamma + 1)D_1$$
 (15)

and

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\bar{\theta}_t)] - F^* \le \frac{L}{2} \frac{v}{\gamma + t} \le \frac{L}{2(\gamma + t)} \left(\frac{4A}{\mu^2} + (\gamma + 1)D_1 \right)$$

$$\tag{16}$$

Thus:

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\bar{\theta}_{t})] - F^{*} \leq \frac{2L}{\gamma + t} \left(\frac{C + 2L^{2}d\Delta_{\theta}^{2} + (1 + 16(E - 1)^{2})\sqrt{d}G\Delta_{g}}{\mu^{2}} + \frac{(\gamma + 1)D_{1}}{4} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{4L^{3}d}{\mu^{2}(\gamma + t)} \Delta_{\theta}^{2} + \frac{2(1 + 16(E - 1)^{2})\sqrt{d}LG}{\mu^{2}(\gamma + t)} \Delta_{g} + \frac{2L}{\mu^{2}(\gamma + t)} \left(C + \frac{\mu^{2}(\gamma + 1)}{4} D_{1} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{A_{1}}{\gamma + t} \Delta_{\theta}^{2} + \frac{A_{2}}{\gamma + t} \Delta_{g} + \frac{B_{1}}{\gamma + t}$$

$$(17)$$

Where $A_1 = \frac{4L^3d}{\mu^2}$ and $A_2 = \frac{2(1+16(E-1)^2)\sqrt{d}LG}{\mu^2}$; $B_1 = \frac{2L}{\mu^2}\left(C + \frac{\mu^2(\gamma+1)}{4}D_1\right)$

The proof of Theorem 2 2.4

Let $D_t = \mathbf{E}||\bar{\theta}_t - \theta^*||$. dynamic weight precision and resolution, $\delta_{\theta}^t = 1 - \lfloor \log_2 \mu \alpha_t \rfloor$, $\Delta_{\theta}^t = 2^{-\delta_{\theta}^t}$ and dynamic gradient precision and resolution, $\delta_g^t = 2 - 2\lfloor \log_2 \mu \alpha_t \rfloor$, $\Delta_g^t = 2^{-\delta_g^t}$, if θ^* is optimal, $\mathbf{E}[F(\theta_t)] - F(\theta^*)$. From Lemma 1, Lemma 2, Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, it follows that

$$D_{t+1} \le (1 - \alpha_t \mu) D_t + \alpha_t^2 H_1(\Delta_\theta^t)^2 + \alpha_t^2 H_2 \Delta_g^t + \alpha_t^2 C \tag{18}$$

where $H_1 = 2L^2d$, $H_2 = (1 + 16(E - 1)^2)\sqrt{d}G$ and $C = \sum_{k=1}^N p_k^2 \sigma_k^2 + 8(E - 1)^2 G^2 + 6L\Omega$ Because $\delta_\theta^t \ge 1 - \log_2(\mu \alpha_t)$ and $\delta_g^t \ge 2 - 2\log_2(\mu \alpha_t)$, Thus

$$\Delta_{\theta}^{t} = 2^{-\delta_{\theta}^{t}} \le \frac{\mu \alpha_{t}}{2}$$

$$\Delta_g^t = 2^{-\delta_g^t} \le \frac{(\mu \alpha_t)^2}{4}$$

Thus Equation 18 will be

$$D_{t+1} \le (1 - \alpha_t \mu) D_t + \alpha_t^4 \frac{\mu^2 (H_1 + H_2)}{4} + \alpha_t^2 C \tag{19}$$

We set $\alpha_t = \frac{\beta}{t+\gamma}$ for $\beta > \frac{3}{\mu}$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that $\alpha_t \leq 2\alpha_{t+E}$ and $\alpha_1 \leq \frac{1}{4L}$. We Want to prove $D_t \leq \frac{v}{\gamma+t} + \frac{w}{(\gamma+t)^3}$, where $v = \max\{\frac{\beta^2 C}{\beta\mu-1}, (\gamma+1)D_1\}$. $w = \frac{\beta^4\mu^2(H_1+H_2)}{4(\beta\mu-3)}$. We Prove it by induction. Firstly, v and w ensures that it holds for t=1. Assume the conclusion

holds for some t, it follows that:

$$D_{t+1} \leq (1 - \alpha_{t}\mu)D_{t} + \alpha_{t}^{4} \frac{\mu^{2}(H_{1} + H_{2})}{4} + \alpha_{t}^{2}C$$

$$\leq \left(1 - \frac{\beta\mu}{t + \gamma}\right) \left(\frac{v}{t + \gamma} + \frac{w}{(\gamma + t)^{3}}\right) + \frac{\beta^{4}}{(t + \gamma)^{4}} \frac{\mu^{2}(H_{1} + H_{2})}{4} + \frac{\beta^{2}}{(t + \gamma)^{2}}C$$

$$\leq \frac{t + \gamma - 1}{(t + \gamma)^{2}}v + \frac{t + \gamma - 3}{(t + \gamma)^{4}}w + \left[\frac{\beta^{2}C}{(t + \gamma)^{2}} - \frac{\beta\mu - 1}{(t + \gamma)^{2}}v\right] + \left[\frac{\beta^{4}\mu^{2}(H_{1} + H_{2})}{4(t + \gamma)^{4}} - \frac{\beta\mu - 3}{(t + \gamma)^{4}}w\right]$$

$$\leq \frac{v}{t + \gamma + 1} + \frac{w}{(t + \gamma + 1)^{3}}$$
(20)

Specifically, if we choose $\beta = \frac{4}{\mu}$, and $\gamma = \max\{16\frac{L}{\mu}, E\}$, $\alpha_t = \frac{4}{\mu(\gamma+t)}$. i.e. $\alpha_1 = \frac{4}{\mu(\gamma+1)} \le \frac{4}{\mu\gamma} \le \frac{1}{4L}$. And $2\alpha_{t+E} = \frac{8}{\mu(\gamma+t+E)} \ge \frac{8}{\mu(2\gamma+2t)} \ge \alpha_t$. In this case, for $t \ge 1$ we have:

$$v = \max\{\frac{\beta^2 C}{\beta \mu - 1}, (\gamma + 1)D_1\} \le \frac{\beta^2 C}{\beta \mu - 1} + (\gamma + 1)D_1 \le \frac{4C}{\mu^2} + (\gamma + 1)D_1$$
 (21)

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\bar{\theta}_t)] - F^* \le \frac{L}{2} \left(\frac{v}{\gamma + t} + \frac{w}{(t + \gamma)^3} \right) \le \frac{1}{\gamma + t} \left(\frac{2LC}{\mu^2} + \frac{(\gamma + 1)LD_1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{(\gamma + t)^3} \frac{32(H_1 + H_2)L}{\mu^2}$$
 (22)

Thus, if we set $A_1 = \frac{2LH_1}{\mu^2} = \frac{4L^3d}{\mu^2}$ and $A_2 = \frac{2LH_2}{\mu^2} = \frac{2(1+16(E-1)^2)\sqrt{d}LG}{\mu^2}$; $B_2 = \frac{2L}{\mu^2}\left(C + \frac{\mu^2(\gamma+1)}{4}D_1\right)$, We will have:

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\bar{\theta}_t)] - F^* \le \frac{B_2}{\gamma + t} + \frac{1}{(\gamma + t)^3} \left(16(A_1 + A_2) \right) \tag{23}$$