Data Science 210 Final Project

Thomas Kwashnak

Fall 2021

.

Contents

1   Pramble

The full repository of this project can be found at `https://github.com/LittleTealeaf/Data-Science-210-Final`

2   Background

2.1   Goals

The main goal of this project is to create an algorithm that will predict whether or not a given player is considered winning in any given state of connect-4. To do this, I created a neural network that takes in a connect-4 board state (6 wide x 7 high), and outputs a single number between $[0, 1]$ that represents the predicted confidence that player 1 is winning. To train the network, I will use a stochastic gradient descent algorithm, as well as a back propagation algorithm, in order to update the weights.

2.2   Mathematic Background

The process of modifying the weights is what drives the neural network to learn. Training it is an iterative process; Each iteration, we give the network a random sample of data to train off of. We then take the average "gradient" and modify the weights accordingly.

To describe it best, lets start from the ground up. First, we are given a neural network. A neural network is a "network" that partially mimics a neuron map. The network is divided into layers. For this project, we will use a neural network with 1 hidden layer. If you are confused about how a neural network actually functions, I suggest watching this video (`https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aircAruvnKk`) by 3blue1brown on Youtube. He does a great job with providing a clean animation to describe what is actually happening.

So we have a neural network, which basically is just a great big equation with a bunch of variables. Those variables, apart from the input variables, are all of our "weights". We store these weights into matrices. Each matrix represents the flow of values from one layer to the next

Now, we need to create a function we want to reduce, or a "loss" function. What this means is that the loss function should be lower if our network results in an answer closer to the expected answer for that input. In short, the higher the loss value, the worse the network. In order to improve the network, we need to modify the weights in such a way that it reduces the loss function.

This is where derivatives come in. Given a partial derivative of a function with respect to a variable, that derivative indicates the direction of greatest ascent in the function. If we inverse it, however, we get the direction of greatest *descent*. Using this, we can calculate the partial derivative of the loss function with respect to each weight, and use that to modify the weights in such a way that improves the accuracy of the network. Each iteration, we reduce the "scale" at which we modify these variables by. Think of this as playing golf on a huge space. You want to get "within a ballpark" on your first shot, then fine tune further shots until you make it in the hole.

Now, while modifying the values each iteration from a single input/output would work, it makes the network favor earlier passes much more than later passes. To eliviate this, we keep the same network for a set of batch. We calculate the weight gradients for each input/output in the batch, and instead of applying each one to the network, we apply the average of the gradients to the network.

To summarize, we first iterate a number of times. Each iteration, we create a batch of randomly selected inputs and expected outputs. We feed those in, and use the loss function to find the partial derivatives for each of the weights. Next, we average out those derivatives for each weight, multiply it by some step factor that decreases over time, and apply those changes to the network. By repeating this, we start to get a network that can potentially properly evaluate our connect-4 state.

2.3   Dataset

In order to train the network to evaluate a state of a connect-4 game, I used a dataset of connect-4 games, which provided a list of connect-4 game states, and the resulting value of the game.

> John Tromp. (1995). Connect-4 `https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Connect-4`. Irvine, CA: University of California, School of Information and Computer Science.

The dataset contains 67,557 entries, each one representing a state in a game of Connect-4. As decribed on the database page:

> This database contains all legal 8-ply positions in the game of connect-4 in which neither player has won yet, and in which the next move is not forced.
> x is the first player; o the second.
> The outcome class is the game theoretical value for the first player.

The data is stored in a .csv file. Each row of the dataset represents a legal position. Each row is composed of 43 comma separated variables. The first 42 variables represent the board state, and the last one represents the expected value of whether player 1 wins, loses or draws.

For the board position, there are three possible states. 'x' means that the first player has placed a piece in that square. 'o' indicates that the second player has placed their piece in that square. 'b' indicates that the square is vacant.

There are three possible "results" of the board state. "win" means that player 1 is expected to win from this game state. "lose" means that player 2 is expected to win from this game state. "draw" means that neither player is expected to win, or that the board will be completely filled before any player can get 4 in a row.

In using the dataset, we need to convert the letters to numbers. First, for the board position, we will make 'x' = 1, 'o' = −1, and 'b' = 0. Secondly, because we want our network to predict whether or not player 1 will win, we will make 'lose' = 'draw' = 0 and 'win' = 1

4

3 Design

During this section, a variety of variables and variable syntax will be used. The following is a list that explains each variable. Remember that for a given "layer", the output is considered the 0th layer, the hidden layer is considered the 1st layer, and the input is considered the 2nd layer.

y^n The output vector of the nodes in the nth hidden layer.

$y_{i,0}^n$ The output value of node i in the nth hidden layer.

y By default, if y is left alone, it represents the output value, known as $y_{0,0}^0$

$\hat{y}$ The expected final output as a scalar value

W^n The weight matrix for a given layer n, as it applies to the values Y^{n+1} (the outputs of the previous layer)

$w_{i,j}^n$ The weight value for the value passed from node j of layer $n+1$ as it is passed to node i of layer n

η The step size of the gradient descent as it nudges the weights.

I've sectioned off the design of the algorithms into the independant algorithms, ordering them to try and explain the progression of deriving the algorithms. This will take some experimentation, but the first idea is to

3.1 Sigmoid Activation Function

In neural networks, an activation function is typically used to to condense the output of a node down to a [0-1] scale. In this lab, I used a sigmoid function. Below is the sigmoid function and it's derivative.

$$\sigma(n) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-n}}$$

$$\sigma'(n) = \sigma(n) \cdot (1 - \sigma(n))$$

I used the following algorithm so that if I passed in a matrix, it would just apply the sigmoid function to each value in the matrix.

Algorithm 1: $\sigma(n)$ function for both constants and matrices

    Input: A - which can either be a constant, or an array, with the first dimension being length n
    Output: $\sigma(A)$ - The result of putting A through the $\sigma()$ function

1 **if** *A is a Matrix (or array)* **then**
2 $B \leftarrow$ new Matrix of 0s in the same shape as A
3   **for** $i = 0, 1, 2...n$ **do**
        // This works recursively until it reaches scalar values
4 $B[i] \leftarrow \sigma(A[i])$
5   **end**
6   **return** B
7 **else**
8   **return** $1/(1+e^{-A})$
9 **end**

3.2 Feed-Forward Algorithm

The Feed-Forward algorithm is the algorithm used to "run" a neural network. This is one of the fundamental steps in how a neural network works. This step is used whenever you want to find the output that a neural network will calculate from a given set of inputs. Since the neural network is siplit into 3 layers, the transition of the values from one layer to the next can be described as:

$$\vec{y^n} = \sigma(W_n \cdot \vec{y^{n+1}})$$

If we substitute in all of our layers, we can get the following equation as the result of the entire network.

$$y = y_{0,0}^0 = \sigma(W_0 \cdot \sigma(W_1 \cdot \vec{y^2}))_{0,0}$$

The algorithm that performs the calculations as the input vectors move from one layer to the next is as follows:

Algorithm 2: Feed Forward one step

Input: Y - The previous layer values, as a matrix of size h x 1. This value can also be regarded as $Y^n - 1$.

  W - The weight matrix, as a matrix of size k x h, where k is the number of nodes in the target layer. This value could also be regarded as W^n

Output: Y^n - The resulting values of the nodes at the target layer.

1 **return** $\sigma(W \cdot Y)$

3.3 Loss Function

In order to use gradient descent, we will need to create a function that measures the correctness of our network. This is known as the "Loss Function". The premise of the loss function is that the higher it is, the worse the network is. Therefore, in order to make our network more accurate, we need to minimize this value.

$$L = (y_{0,0}^0 - \hat{y})^2 = (]\hat{y} - y)^2$$

3.4 0th Layer Weight Derivative

In back propagation, we need to find the derivative of each of the weights in terms of the loss function. First, we need to find the derivative of the loss function with respect to the weights that connect the hidden layer with the output layer.

Given the following equations:

$$y_{i,0}^1 = \sigma(\sum_h w_{i,h}^1 \cdot y_{h,0}^2)$$

$$y_{0,0}^0 = \sigma(\sum_h w_{0,h}^0 \cdot y_{h,0}^1)$$

We can calculate the derivative as follows:

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta w_{0,i}^0} = \frac{\delta L}{\delta y_{0,0}^0} \cdot \frac{\delta y_{0,0}^0}{\delta \sum_h w_{0,h}^0 \cdot y_{h,0}^1} \cdot \frac{\delta \sum_h w_{0,h}^0 \cdot y_{h,0}^1}{\delta w_{0,i}^0}$$

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta w_{0,i}^0} = 2 \cdot (y_{0,0}^0 - \hat{y}) \cdot \sigma'(\sum_h w_{0,h}^0 \cdot y_{h,0}^1) \cdot y_{i,0}^1$$

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta w_{0,i}^0} = 2 \cdot (y_{0,0}^0 - \hat{y}) \cdot \sigma(\sum_h w_{0,h}^0 \cdot y_{h,0}^1) \cdot (1 - \sigma(\sum_h w_{0,h}^0 \cdot y_{h,0}^1)) \cdot y_{i,0}^1$$

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta w_{0,i}^0} = 2 \cdot (y_{0,0}^0 - \hat{y}) \cdot y_{0,0}^0 \cdot (1 - y_{0,0}^0) \cdot y_{i,0}^1$$

3.5 1st Layer Weight Derivative

Next, we need to find the derivative of each of the weights that connect the input layer to the hidden layer. Given the following equations:

$$y_{i,0}^1 = \sigma(\sum_h w_{i,h}^1 \cdot y_{h,0}^2)$$

$$y_{0,0}^0 = \sigma(\sum_h w_{0,h}^0 \cdot y_{h,0}^1)$$

We can calculate the derivative as the following:

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta w_{i,j}^1} = \frac{\delta L}{\delta y_{0,0}^0} \cdot \frac{\delta y_{0,0}^0}{\delta \sum_h w_{0,h}^0 \cdot y_{h,0}^1} \cdot \frac{\delta \sum_h w_{0,h}^0 \cdot y_{h,0}^1}{\delta y_{i,0}^1} \cdot \frac{\delta y_{i,0}^1}{\delta \sum_h w_{i,h}^1 \cdot y_h^2} \cdot \frac{\delta \sum_h w_{i,h}^1 \cdot y_{h,0}^2}{\delta w_{i,j}^1}$$

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta w_{i,j}^1} = 2 \cdot (y_{0,0}^0 - \hat{y}) \cdot \sigma'(\sum_h w_{0,h}^0 \cdot y_{h,0}^1) \cdot w_{0,i}^0 \cdot \sigma'(\sum_h w_{i,h}^1 \cdot y_{h,0}^2) \cdot y_{j,0}^2$$

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta w_{i,j}^1} = 2 \cdot (y_{0,0}^0 - \hat{y}) \cdot \sigma(\sum_h w_{0,h}^0 \cdot y_{h,0}^1) \cdot (1 - \sigma(\sum_h w_{0,h}^0 \cdot y_{h,0}^1)) \cdot w_{0,i}^0 \cdot \sigma(\sum_h w_{i,h}^1 \cdot y_{h,0}^2) \cdot (1 - \sigma(\sum_h w_{i,h}^1 \cdot y_{h,0}^2)) \cdot y_{j,0}^2$$

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta w_{i,j}^1} = 2 \cdot (y_{0,0}^0 - \hat{y}) \cdot y_{0,0}^0 \cdot (1 - y_{0,0}^0) \cdot w_{0,i}^0 \cdot y_{i,0}^1 \cdot (1 - y_{i,0}^1) \cdot y_{j,0}^2$$

We can then reorder it as..

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta w_{i,j}^1} = 2 \cdot (y_{0,0}^0 - \hat{y}) \cdot y_{0,0}^0 \cdot (1 - y_{0,0}^0) \cdot y_{i,0}^1 \cdot w_{0,i}^0 \cdot (1 - y_{i,0}^1) \cdot y_{j,0}^2$$

And substitute in the 0th layer derivative!

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta w_{i,j}^1} = \frac{\delta L}{\delta w_{0,i}^0} \cdot w_{0,i}^0 \cdot (1 - y_{i,0}^1) \cdot y_{j,0}^2$$

3.6 Backwards Propagation

Backwards propagation is calculating the "gradient" of weights for a given input. I say "gradient" because in reality, the outputs of this algorithm will be two matricies, which each contain the derivative for each variable. We will be able to use these nudges in order to reduce the overall loss function. I also included an error calculations, such that we can also keep track of how accurate the network is at each batch of inputs.

Algorithm 3: Back Propagation for a 2-layer neural network

Input: Y^2 - The input vector (matrix), dimensions m x 1.

W^1 - The weight matrix, dimensions h x m, that represents the weights used as values go from $Y^2 \to Y^1$

W^0 - The weight matrix, dimensions 1 x h, that represents the weights used as values go from $Y^1 \to Y^0$

$\hat{y}$ - The expected result from Forward-Feeding

Output: δW^1 - A matrix of the derivatives of the weights in W^1, as a h x m matrix.

δW^0 - A matrix of the derivatives of the weights in W^0, as a 1 x h matrix.

Err - The absolute error of the network for this given input

1 $\delta W^1 \leftarrow$ new matrix of dimensions n x m
2 $\delta W^0 \leftarrow$ new matrix of dimensions 1 x h
3 $Y^1 \leftarrow$ Feed Forward(Y^2, W^1)
4 $Y^0 \leftarrow$ Feed Forward(Y^1, W^0)
5 Err $\leftarrow |y^0_{0,0} - \hat{y}|$
6 for $i \leftarrow (0, 1, \ldots, h-1)$ **do**
7 $\quad \delta W^0_{0,i} \leftarrow 2 \cdot (y^0_{0,0} - \hat{y}) \cdot Y^0_{0,0} \cdot (1 - Y^0_{0,0}) \cdot Y^1_{i,0}$
8 $\quad$ **for** $j \leftarrow (0, 1, \ldots, m-1)$ **do**
9 $\quad\quad \delta W^1_{i,j} \leftarrow \delta W^0_{0,i} \cdot W^0_{0,i} \cdot (1 - Y^1_{i,0}) \cdot Y^2_{j,0}$
10 $\quad$ **end**
11 end
12 return $\delta W^1, \delta W^0$, *Err*

3.7 Stochastic Gradient Descent

For a good portion while working on this project, this was the part I was the most confused on. Stochastic steepest descent, at least my interpretation of it, is taking the accumulation of all of the weight changes taken from the back propagation algorithm, and averaging them before applying them to the network. The general formula is described as follows:

$$W^i = W^i - \frac{\eta}{n} \cdot \sum_{i}^{n} \text{Back-Propagation}(\ldots)_{W^i}$$

To update each of the weights, we take the average changes in the back propagation, and multiply it by a scalar coefficient η, which represents the step size of the learning. The smaller η is, the less the network will learn. For a single cycle in gradient descent, we will use the following algorithm to update the weights accordingly:

Algorithm 4: Stochastic Gradient Descent Iteration

Input: input - Length-n list of input vectors, as matrices. Each input vector is of size m x 1

output - Length-n list of all expected values, as scalars. Each output corresponds to the input at that same index

W^1 - The weight matrix, dimensions h x m, that represents the weights used as values go from $Y^2 \to Y^1$

W^0 - The weight matrix, dimensions 1 x h, that represents the weights used as values go from $Y^1 \to Y^0$

η - The step coefficient to nudge the weights by.

Output: W^1 - The updated weight matrix, dimensions h x m, where values have been modified by their average derivative across all inputs/outputs, scaled by η

W^0 - The updated weight matrix, dimensions 1 x h, where values have been modified by their average derivative across all inputs/outputs, scaled by η

Err - The average absolute error of the network on each input.

1 Err Total $\leftarrow 0$
2 $\Delta W^1 \leftarrow$ matrix of zeros with dimensions h x m
3 $\Delta W^0 \leftarrow$ matrix of zeros with dimensions 1 x h
4 **for** $i \leftarrow 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ **do**
5 $\quad$ $\delta W^1, \delta W^0, \delta$Err $\leftarrow$ Back Propagation on input$[i]$ and output$[i]$
6 $\quad$ $\Delta W^1 \leftarrow \Delta W^1 + \delta W^1$
7 $\quad$ $\Delta W^0 \leftarrow \Delta W^0 + \delta W^0$
8 $\quad$ Err Total $\leftarrow$ Err Total $+$ Err
9 **end**
10 Err Total $\leftarrow$ Err Total$/n$
11 $W^1 \leftarrow W^1 - \eta/n \cdot \Delta W^1$
12 $W^0 \leftarrow W^0 - \eta/n \cdot \Delta W^0$
13 **return** $W^1, W^0, Err\ Total$

3.8   Training the Network

Now, in order to train the network, I need to send in batches of data for it to train on, and each batch of data I need to reduce the step function so the network can fine-tune its training. I plan to do this by using a random sample approach. I'll have a set of modifyable parameters, namely the batch size and the iteration count. These will allow me to change how many data points are put in to the network for each iteration, and how many iterations I will have. For step size, I will pass in a function, such that I can experiment with different step size functions. During implementation, I may also allow the ability to allow for keyboard interruption instead, so I can leave it running for however long I want, and come back to a trained network. The algorithm to perform this training is described as follows:

Algorithm 5: Neural Network Training Algorithm

Input: input - Length-n list of input vectors, as matrices. Each input vector is of size m x 1

          output - Length-n list of all expected values, as scalars. Each output corresponds to the input at that same index

          W^1 - The weight matrix, dimensions h x m, that represents the weights used as values go from $Y^2 \rightarrow Y^0$

          W^0 - The weight matrix, dimensions h x m, that represents the weights used as values go from $Y^2 \rightarrow Y^0$

          $f(i, I)$ - Step size function, which when passed in a current iteration i, and total iteration count I, returns the step size for that iteration

          k - The total number of iterations to run

          c - The total number of inputs to feed into each iteration

          seed - the random seed to use in the random selection of inputs

Output: W^1 - The updated weight matrix, dimensions h x m, trained on the given inputs and outputs W^0 - The updated weight matrix, dimensions 1 x h, trained on the given inputs and outputs

  `// Set the random seed to seed here`

1 **for** $i \leftarrow 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$ **do**

2 $\eta \leftarrow f(i, I)$

3   indexes $\leftarrow$ list of uniform-random indexes within the range $[0, n-1]$ using the seed *seed*

4   input-iteration $\leftarrow$ list of inputs at each corresponding index from indexes

5   output-iteration $\leftarrow$ list of outputs at each corresponding index from indexes

6 $W^1, W^0, Err \leftarrow$ Stochastic Gradient Descent of weights and step size for input-iteration and output-iteration

7   Log the Err of the training

8 **end**

9 **return** W^1, W^0

---

4   Implementation

Implementing the algorithms was mostly straight-forward. The only caveat came with data importing, which is described below.

4.1   Data Importing

Since the data was given in a .csv file type, with strings instead of numbers, another python script was created in order to convert the data into something the network could use. The file `data_import.py` contains the code responsbile for importing the data for the data and converting the string values into numbers for the network to perform.

4.2   Experimentation with Network configuration

With the algorithms implemented, there are a lot of knobs to turn to get a good network. Since I had no idea where to begin, I decided to code up a little "experiment" that goes through and tests every combination (within the ranges I wanted it to test). While it's not an important algorithm, per se, it was useful in figuring out what values had the best effects on a network.

The experiment tests different hidden-layer node counts, batch sizes, and total number of iterations. While the total number of iterations actually doesn't say much, I left it in there because I was already running the experiment. The experiment would then create a neural network, from the same seed for each instance, and run through the network training with the given parameters. Once it was done training, the experiment compares that trial's overall "effectiveness", or the average absolute difference across all given data entries, with that of the current best network.

Below is the list of "best" configurations found (from the first time it found a best to the current latest best):

Iterations	Batch Size	Hidden Layer Count	Average Absolute Error
1000	18	2	0.33132633102530734
1000	19	2	0.320365862903236
1000	15	3	0.3190037786988049
1000	16	3	0.31688696576565145
1000	12	5	0.31665647698911803
1000	3	6	0.3137002086893281
1000	3	8	0.31244657980713675
1000	3	9	0.3112456332209049
1000	5	11	0.31047348272774256
1000	3	12	0.30996242881889713
1000	3	13	0.30986743631613556
1000	3	14	0.3098280038746314
1000	3	15	0.3077584585210811
1000	3	18	0.3076321558781285
1000	3	19	0.30552294533373886

One of the noticable trends that we see is that a batch size of 3 is one of the most common ones. Additionally, the experiment ran up to 28 nodes, and has still not yet beaten the 19-node network's record. As a result of this, I will conclude that the best network, at least with only 1000 iterations, is with 19 nodes in the hidden layer, and 3 entries per batch. These are the values that I will be using in training my network.

5 Validation

Since the algorithms were made to be dynamic, and the provided dataset is so large, I will instead be using smaller matrices in order to verify that our algorithms work. I will verify the algorithms that we implemented with drawn-out steps describing the math happening on the back end.

5.1 Sigmoid Activation Function

First, I need to make sure that my sigmoid activation function works for inputs, whether they are arrays, matrices, or just scalar values.

Calculating the $\sigma(n)$ function for a scalar value, with the input 5:

$$\sigma(n) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-n}}$$

$$\sigma(5) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-5}}$$

$$\sigma(5) = \frac{1}{1 + 0.006737946999085467}$$

$$\sigma(5) = \frac{1}{1.006737946999085467}$$

$$\sigma(5) = 0.9933071490757153$$

To check that it works for an array, I am going to use a 2-length array $[5, 10]$. I found that $\sigma(10) = 0.9999546021312976$. Therefore using the same algorithm:

$$\sigma([5, 10]) = [0.9933071490757153, 0.9999546021312976]$$

The algorithms implemented in python had all of these tests correct, up to the point of precision it displayed (when doing the array, it displayed signfiicantly less digits, but they were all right). Given that the algorithm is more or less recursive, I'm not going to go through testing any dimension of matrices.

5.2 Feed-Forward Algorithm

To test our Feed-Forward algorithm we will use a very, very, very simple network. The network will consist of a 2-length input vector, a hidden layer of 2 nodes, and a single output node. Given this, the variables I will use are:

$$Y^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, W^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

To feed forward one layer, the full algorithm is as follows:

$$\text{Feed-Forward}(Y^2, W^1) = \sigma(W^1 \cdot Y^2)$$

$$\text{Feed-Forward}(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}) = \sigma(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix})$$

$$\text{Feed-Forward}(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}) = \sigma(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \cdot 1 + 0.5 \cdot -1 \\ -1 \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6224593312018546 \\ 0.2689414213699951 \end{bmatrix}$$

The code successfully returned the correct value of the feed-forward algorithm, meaning that our algorithm has been implemented successfully.

5.3   Backwards Propagation

To verify that my backwards propagation algorithm was implemented correctly, I are going to expand upon the test variables that we have before.

$$Y^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, W^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, W^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \hat{y} = 0.5$$

Now, I will run through the backwards propagation algorithm to verify that it works.

$$\delta W^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \delta W^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Y^1 = \text{Feed-Forward}(Y^2, W^1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6224593312018546 \\ 0.2689414213699951 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Y^0 = \text{Feed-Forward}(Y^1, W^0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.7003809377121779 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Error = |y^0_{0,0} - \hat{y}| = |0.7003809377121779 - 0.5| = 0.2003809377121779$$

$i = 0$ of $[0, 1]$:

$$\delta W^0_{0,0} = 2 \cdot (y^0_{0,0} - \hat{y}) \cdot Y^0_{0,0} \cdot (1 - Y^0_{0,0}) \cdot Y^1_{0,0}$$

$$\delta W^0_{0,0} = 2 \cdot (0.7003809377121779 - 0.5) \cdot 0.7003809377121779 \cdot (1 - 0.7003809377121779) \cdot 0.6224593312018546$$

$$\delta W^0_{0,0} = 0.05234812610012824$$

$$\delta W^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.05234812610012824 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$i = 0, j = 0$ of $[0, 1]$:

$$\delta W^1_{0,0} = \delta W^0_{0,0} \cdot W^0_{0,0} \cdot (1 - Y^1_{0,0}) \cdot Y^2_{0,0}$$

$$\delta W^1_{0,0} = 0.05234812610012824 \cdot 0.5 \cdot (1 - 0.6224593312018546) \cdot 1$$

$$\delta W^1_{0,0} = 0.009881773269086033$$

$$\delta W^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.009881773269086033 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$i = 0, j = 1$ of $[0, 1]$:

$$\delta W^1_{0,1} = \delta W^0_{0,0} \cdot W^0_{0,0} \cdot (1 - Y^1_{0,0} \cdot Y^2_{1,0})$$

$$\delta W^1_{0,1} = 0.05234812610012824 \cdot 0.5 \cdot (1 - 0.6224593312018546) \cdot -1$$

$$\delta W^1_{0,1} = -0.009881773269086033$$

$$\delta W^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.009881773269086033 & -0.009881773269086033 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$i = 1$ of $[0, 1]$:

$$\delta W^0_{0,1} = 2 \cdot (y^0_{0,0} - \hat{y}) \cdot Y^0_{0,0} \cdot (1 - Y^0_{0,0}) \cdot Y^1_{1,0}$$

$$\delta W^0_{0,1} = 2 \cdot (0.7003809377121779 - 0.5) \cdot 0.7003809377121779 \cdot (1 - 0.7003809377121779) \cdot 0.2689414213699951$$

$$\delta W^0_{0,1} = 0.02261766951463492$$

$$\delta W^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.05234812610012824 & 0.02261766951463492 \end{bmatrix}$$

$i = 1, j = 0$ of $[0, 1]$:

$$\delta W^1_{1,0} = \delta W^0_{0,1} \cdot W^0_{0,1} \cdot (1 - Y^1_{1,0}) \cdot Y^2_{0,0}$$

$$\delta W^1_{1,0} = 0.02261766951463492 \cdot 2 \cdot (1 - 0.2689414213699951) \cdot 1$$

13

$$\delta W^1_{1,0} = 0.0330696826545844$$

$$\delta W^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.009881773269086033 & -0.009881773269086033 \\ 0.0330696826545844 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$i = 1, j = 1$ of $[0,1]$:

$$\delta W^1_{1,1} = \delta W^0_{0,1} \cdot W^0_{0,1} \cdot (1 - Y^1_{1,0} \cdot Y^2_{1,0})$$

$$\delta W^1_{1,0} = 0.02261766951463492 \cdot 2 \cdot (1 - 0.2689414213699951) \cdot -1$$

$$\delta W^1_{1,0} = -0.0330696826545844$$

$$\delta W^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.009881773269086033 & -0.009881773269086033 \\ 0.0330696826545844 & -0.0330696826545844 \end{bmatrix}$$

These calculations resulted to being consistent with those found from calling the python function with the same variables.

6   Reflection

This project was a lot of fun. While I did stress myself out cramming it in with the last couple of days, I think I'm actually starting to understand neural networks a lot more with this hands on experience. Additionally, I think my algorithm works, it just might need a lot more time than I have to learn. With that being said, there are a few improvements that I think would greatly help this experiment

Firstly, Since we simplified the forward propagation down to matrix multiplication, I'm sure that much of this can be calculated using a GPU. Additionally, maybe not running this on a subsystem on my windows desktop was the best idea either. Using the proper hardware meant for doing these kinds of tasks would grealy improve the speed that my algorithm runs at.

Secondly, there's one thing that I know I can talk a lot about. Multithreading. I think one of the more simpler ways I could improve this algorithm is by utilising all of the logical cores on my system. My desktop, the one that has been running this experiment, has a total of 12 logical cores, but only used 1 when it ran the experiment. This kind of stuff I do have experience with, and rather recent experience at that.
A few weeks before doing this experiment, I was in a group working on a Computer Science experiment for our Algorithms class. This experiment tested the limits of multiplying big integers using different implementations of Java's BigInteger class. As a group, we managed to misread the instructions, and fell into the belief that we had to have 5000 tests *per digit count*, which went up to 500000 digits. Therefore, in order to optimize the time it took for our experiment to complete, I implemented (multiple times) a thread-manager that would divide up the calculations needed among each of the logical cores, effectively cutting the time down depending on the number of cores the system had.
A similar approach could be taken for this lab. When calculating each batch, one could simply create threads that go off on their separate ways to calculate the changes for each entry, and then bringing it together at the end to modify the weights. Additionally, with my mini-experiment to try and find effective parameters for the network, multithreading would also greatly reduce the time it took to get to some of those higher numbers.

I'm sure there are many more ways to improve this experiment. The main hinderance on the speed of the implemented algorithm is that it is not effectively using *all* of the system resources at its disposal.