

2024/1/25 MATH3301 Tutorial 11

1(a) Solution: Assume to the contrary that $\deg g(t) < \deg f(t)$

As both $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ are nonzero polynomials

in $K[t]$, where K is a field, we can apply
the Division Algorithm to find:

(1) The monic greatest common divisor $h(t) \neq 0$
of $f(t), g(t)$ in $K[t]$;

(2) At least a pair of polynomials $\lambda(t), \mu(t)$ in $K[t]$,
such that $\lambda(t)f(t) + \mu(t)g(t) = h(t)$

We wish to show that $h(t)$ is not a constant. If so, then $f(t)$ has a nonconstant proper factor $h(t)(\alpha \deg f(t) < \deg f(t))$, in $K[t]$, one may reduce Contradicting to $f(t)$ is irreducible in $K[t]$. $f(t)$ to $g(t)g(t) + h(t)$, $\deg h(t) < \deg f(t)$, and solve the easier one:

To see this, regard the following equation in $K[t]$ as an equation in $E[t]$ ask $t \in E[t]$:

$$\lambda(t)f(t) + \mu(t)g(t) = h(t)$$

$$\lambda(t)f(t) + \mu(t)g(t) = h(t).$$

Evaluate both sides at $t = \alpha$, and we get $h_E(\alpha) = \lambda_E(\alpha)0 + \mu_E(\alpha)0 = 0$.

Hence, the monic polynomial $h(t)$ is not a constant, and we're done.



(b) Proof: We may divide our proof into two parts.

" \subseteq " inclusion: For all $h(t) \in K[t]$:

$$h(t) \in \langle f(t) \rangle \Rightarrow \exists A(t) \in K[t], h(t) = A(t)f(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow h_E(\alpha) = A(\alpha)0 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow h(t) \in \{g(t) \in K[t] : g_E(\alpha) = 0\}$$

" \supseteq " inclusion: Assume to the contrary that some $h(t)$

$$\in \{g(t) \in K[t] : g_E(\alpha) = 0\} \text{ is not in } \langle f(t) \rangle.$$

As $f(t), h(t)$ are polynomials in $K[t]$ with $f(t) \neq 0$,

where K is a field, we can apply the Division Algorithm

to find a unique pair of polynomials $q(t), r(t) \in K[t]$,

such that $h(t) = q(t)f(t) + r(t)$, $\deg r(t) < \deg f(t)$.

Evaluate both sides at $t = \alpha \in E$, we get $r_E(\alpha) = 0 - q(\alpha)0 = 0$

Hence, $r(t) \in \{g(t) \in K[t] : g_E(\alpha) = 0\}$ and $\deg r(t) < \deg f(t)$.

Contradicting to (a).

To conclude, we have proven that $\langle f(t) \rangle = \{g(t) \in K[t] : g_E(\alpha) = 0\}$.



2.(a) Solution: Assume that P is a nonzero prime ideal of R .

For all $y \in P^c$, we wish to prove that $\langle y \rangle + P = R$.

As the prime ideal P contains $0 = y^{2015} + y = y(y^{2014} + 1)$,

P contains at least one of $y, y^{2014} + 1$, so $y \notin P$ implies $y^{2014} \notin P$

Assume that $x = y^{2014} + 1 \in P$, notice that:

(1) $(-y^{2013}) \in R$ and $y \in \langle y \rangle$, so $(-y^{2013})y \in \langle y \rangle$;

(2) $x \in P \subseteq P + \langle y \rangle$ and $(-y^{2013})y \in \langle y \rangle \subseteq P + \langle y \rangle$,

so $1 = x + (-y^{2013})y \in P + \langle y \rangle$,

and we've shown that $P + \langle y \rangle = R$, i.e., P is maximal.

ii. Solution: $R[t]/\langle t^2 + t + 1 \rangle$ is not a field.

As $|R| = 49 = 7^2$, where 7 is prime, it follows from

Theorem 12.3.3 that $\text{Char}(R) = 7$, so for all integers

$n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $[n = m \text{ in } R] \Leftrightarrow [n \equiv m \pmod{7} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}]$.

As $\deg(t^2 + t + 1) = 2 > \deg(t - 2) = 1 > -\infty$,

$t^2 + t + 1$ doesn't divide $t - 2$, $t - 2 \notin \langle t^2 + t + 1 \rangle$, ($t - 2$ is not a unit).

As $(t - 2)(t - 4) = t^2 - 6t + 8 = t^2 + (7 - 6)t + (7 + 1) = t^2 + t + 1$

in $R[t]$, $t - 2$ divides $t^2 + t + 1$, $\langle t^2 + t + 1 \rangle \subsetneq \langle t - 2 \rangle \subsetneq R[t]$.

As for some ideal $\langle t - 2 \rangle$ of $R[t]$, $\langle t^2 + t + 1 \rangle \subsetneq \langle t - 2 \rangle \subsetneq R[t]$ and

$\langle t^2 + t + 1 \rangle \neq R[t]$, $\langle t^2 + t + 1 \rangle$ is not maximal in $R[t]$,

so it follows from Proposition 10.2.2. that $R[t]/\langle t^2 + t + 1 \rangle$ is not a field.



(b) Solution: Theorem 12.3.3. suggests that the finite field $\mathbb{F}(2015 < |F| < 2200)$ has order p^n , where $p \geq 2$ is a prime number, and $n \geq 1$ is an integer.

This p is the characteristic of F , i.e., the smallest $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $k = 0$ in F . As some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ satisfies $r < 20$ and $r = 0$ in R , it must be true that:

$$p \leq r \leq 19, \quad p \in \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19\}$$

Case 1: $p=2$. Now $2=0$ in R , contradicting to:

$$0 = 5 \cdot 0 = 5 \cdot 2 = 10 \neq 0 \text{ in } R.$$

Case 2: $p=3$. Now $3=0$ in R , and the following sequence implies that m must be 7:

$$3^1 = 3 < 3^2 = 9 < 3^3 = 27 < 3^4 = 81 < 3^5 = 243$$

$$< 3^6 = 729 < 2015 < 3^7 = 2187 < 2200 < 3^8 = 6561$$

\leq every 3^n with $n \geq 8$.

In this case, $10 = 3 \cdot 3 + 1 = 3 \cdot 0 + 1 = 0 + 1 = 1 \neq 0$ in R , and $12 = 4 \cdot 3 = 4 \cdot 0 = 0$ in R for some $12 < 20$ in \mathbb{Z} .

Case 3: $p=5$. Now $5=0$ in R , contradicting to:

$$0 = 2 \cdot 0 = 2 \cdot 5 = 10 \neq 0 \text{ in } R.$$

Case 4: $p=7$. Now $7=0$ in R , and the following sequence implies that $M \in \emptyset$, so this case is impossible:

$$\begin{aligned} 7^1 &= 7 < 7^2 = 49 < 7^3 = 343 < 2015 < 2200 < 7^4 = 2401 \\ &\leq \text{every } 7^n \text{ with } n \geq 4. \end{aligned}$$



Case 5: $p=11$. Now $11=0$ in R , and the following sequence

implies that $m \in \emptyset$, so this case is impossible:

$$11^1 = 11 < 11^2 = 121 < 11^3 = 1331 < 2015 < 2200 < 11^4 = 14641 \\ \leq \text{every } 11^n \text{ with } n \geq 4.$$

Case 6: $p=13$. Now $13=0$ in R , and the following sequence

implies that m must be 3:

$$13^1 = 13 < 13^2 = 169 < 2015 < 13^3 = 2197 < 2200 < 13^4 = 28561 \\ \leq \text{every } 13^n \text{ with } n \geq 4.$$

In this case $10 < 13$, so $10 \neq 0$ in R , and $13=0$ in R for some $13 < 20$ in \mathbb{Z} .

Case 7: $p=17$. Now $17=0$ in R , and the following sequence

implies that $m \in \emptyset$, so this case is impossible:

$$17^1 = 17 < 17^2 = 289 < 2015 < 2020 < 17^3 = 4913 \\ \leq \text{every } 17^n \text{ with } n \geq 3.$$

Case 8: $p=19$. Now $19=0$ in R , and the following sequence

implies that $m \in \emptyset$, so this case is impossible:

$$19^1 = 19 < 19^2 = 361 < 2015 < 2020 < 19^3 = 6859 \\ \leq \text{every } 19^n \text{ with } n \geq 3.$$

To conclude, $(\text{Char}(F), 1_F) = (3, 3^7 = 2187)$ or $(13, 13^3 = 2197)$

If $\text{Char}(F)=3$, then, $t^2 - 3 = t^2 = t \cdot t$ for some nonconstant polynomials $t, t \in F[t]$
This implies $t^2 - 3$ is reducible in $F[t]$.

If $\text{Char}(F)=13$, then $t^2 - 3 = t^2 - 16 = (t+4)(t-4)$ for some monconstant polynomials
 $t+4, t-4 \in F[t]$. This implies $t^2 - 3$ is reducible in $F[t]$.



3. (a) Solution: $t^2 + t + 1 = (t + \frac{1}{2})^2 + \frac{3}{4}$ is a second order polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[t]$.

t has no root in $\mathbb{R}[t]$, so it is irreducible in $\mathbb{R}[t]$.

It follows from Theorem 11.2.16. that $\mathbb{R}[t]/\langle t^2 + t + 1 \rangle$ is a field.

$t^2 + t + 1$ is a second order polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{13}[t]$.

As $\text{Deg}(t^2 + t + 1) = 2 > \text{Deg}(t - 3) = 1 > -\infty$,

$t^2 + t + 1$ doesn't divide $t - 3$, $t - 3 \notin \langle t^2 + t + 1 \rangle$, $t - 3$ is not a unit.

As $(t - 3)(t - 9) = t^2 - 12t + 27 = t^2 + (13 - 12)t + (2 \cdot 13 + 1) = t^2 + t + 1$

in $\mathbb{F}_{13}[t]$, $t - 3$ divides $t^2 + t + 1$, $\langle t^2 + t + 1 \rangle \subseteq \langle t - 3 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{13}[t]$ and

$\langle t^2 + t + 1 \rangle \neq \mathbb{F}_{13}[t]$, $\langle t^2 + t + 1 \rangle$ is not maximal in $\mathbb{F}_{13}[t]$, so it follows

from Proposition 10.2.2. that $\mathbb{F}_{13}[t]/\langle t^2 + t + 1 \rangle$ is not a field.

(b) Solution: $(\bar{t}^2 + 1)^1 = (-\bar{t})^1 = \bar{t} + 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2[t]/\langle t^2 + t + 1 \rangle$.

$(\bar{t}^2 + 1)^2 = (-\bar{t})^2 = \bar{t}^2 = -\bar{t} - 1 = \bar{t} + 1 \neq 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2[t]/\langle t^2 + t + 1 \rangle$.

$(\bar{t}^2 + 1)^3 = (-\bar{t})^3 = \bar{t}^2 \bar{t} = (\bar{t} + 1)\bar{t} = \bar{t}^2 + \bar{t} = \bar{t} + 1 + \bar{t} = 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2[t]/\langle t^2 + t + 1 \rangle$

Hence, $\text{Ord}(\bar{t}^2 + 1) = 3$.

As $|(\mathbb{Z}_2[t]/\langle t^2 + t + 1 \rangle)^\times| = 3$, $\bar{t}^2 + 1$ is actually a generator.

4. (a) Solution: The following table shows that the second order polynomial

$f(t) = t^2 + 2t + 3$ has no root in $\mathbb{Z}_5[t]$, thus irreducible.

The congruence class of t to $\mathbb{Z}_5[t]$	The evaluation of $t^2 + 2t + 3$
0	3
1	$6 \equiv 1$
2	$11 \equiv 1$
3	$18 \equiv 3$
4	$27 \equiv 2$

It follows from Theorem 11.2.16. that $\mathbb{Z}_5[t]/\langle t^2 + 2t + 3 \rangle$ is a field.



扫描全能王 创建

$$(4\bar{t}+1)^1 = 4\bar{t}+1 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_5[t]/\langle t^2+2t+3 \rangle$$

$$(4\bar{t}+1)^2 = 16\bar{t}^2 + 8\bar{t} + 1 = -32\bar{t} - 48 + 8\bar{t} + 1$$

$$= -24\bar{t} - 47 = \bar{t} + 3 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_5[t]/\langle t^2+2t+3 \rangle$$

$$(4\bar{t}+1)^3 = (\bar{t}+3)(4\bar{t}+1) = 4\bar{t}^2 + 13\bar{t} + 3$$

$$= -8\bar{t} - 12 + 13\bar{t} + 3 = 5\bar{t} - 9 = 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_5[t]/\langle t^2+2t+3 \rangle$$

Hence, $\text{Ord}(4\bar{t}+1) = 3$.

(b) Solution: This is impossible.

Assume to the contrary that a ring homomorphism $\delta: \mathbb{Z}_5[t]/\langle t^2+2t+3 \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{25}$ exists. Now $\delta(\text{The unity 1 of } \mathbb{Z}_5[t]/\langle t^2+2t+3 \rangle) = \text{The unity 1 of } \mathbb{Z}_{25}$. This implies:

$$\text{The zero in } \mathbb{Z}_{25} = \delta(\text{The zero in } \mathbb{Z}_5[t]/\langle t^2+2t+3 \rangle)$$

$$= \delta(\text{The five in } \mathbb{Z}_5[t]/\langle t^2+2t+3 \rangle) \quad (\text{Char}(\mathbb{Z}_5[t]/\langle t^2+2t+3 \rangle) = 5)$$

$$= \text{The five in } \mathbb{Z}_{25}$$

This is a contradiction because $\text{Char}(\mathbb{Z}_{25}) = 25 > 5$.

Hence, no such ring homomorphism exists.

5.(a) Solution:

$$\bar{t}^1 = \bar{t} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_5[t]/\langle t^2+4t+1 \rangle$$

$$\bar{t}^2 = -4\bar{t} - 1 = \bar{t} + 4 \text{ in } (\mathbb{Z}_5[t]/\langle t^2+4t+1 \rangle)^\times$$

$$\bar{t}^3 = \bar{t}^2 \cdot 4\bar{t} = -1 \text{ in } (\mathbb{Z}_5[t]/\langle t^2+4t+1 \rangle)^\times$$

It follows that $\text{Ord}(\bar{t}) = 6 \text{ in } (\mathbb{Z}_5[t]/\langle t^2+4t+1 \rangle)^\times$

If we multiply \bar{t} by $\bar{t}+2$, where $\text{Ord}(\bar{t}+2) = 8$

then we get a generator $\bar{t}^2 + 2\bar{t} = 3\bar{t} + 4$ of $(\mathbb{Z}_5[t]/\langle t^2+4t+1 \rangle)^\times$.

because $\text{l.c.m}(6, 8) = 24$



(b) Solution: As it is possible to complete square in $\mathbb{F}_p[t]$:

$$at^2+bt+c(c \neq 0) = a(t+\frac{b}{2a})^2 + (c - \frac{b^2}{4a})$$

It suffices to prove that every $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$ has at least one square root in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} .

Case 1: If a already has a square root r in \mathbb{F}_p , then we are done because \mathbb{F}_p can be embedded in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} by natural projection SPS.

Case 2: If a has no square root in \mathbb{F}_p ,

then choose $b \in \mathbb{F}_p$, such that b^2-a has no square root in \mathbb{F}_p .

This $b \in \mathbb{F}_p$ always exists, let's prove by contradiction.

Assume to the contrary that such b fails to exist.

That is, for all $b \in \mathbb{F}_p$, b^2-a has a square root $b_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p$

Now $b^2-2a = b_1^2-a$ has a square root $b_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p$,

$b^2-3a = b_1^2-2a = b_2^2-a$ has a square root $b_3 \in \mathbb{F}_p$,

$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$
 $b^2-ka = \dots = b_{k-1}^2-a$ has a square root $b_k \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

As $a \neq 0$ has no square root, it follows that $a = b^2 - (\frac{b^2-a}{a})a$ has a square root, contradiction!

Now define an imaginary unit $w = \sqrt{b^2-a}$, and extend the field \mathbb{F}_p to a larger field $\mathbb{F}_p[w]$.

It suffices to notice that $(b+w)^{\frac{p+1}{2}}$ is a square root of a in $\mathbb{F}_p[w]$.

$$\left[(b+w)^{\frac{p+1}{2}}\right]^2 = (b+w)(b+w)^p = (b+w) \sum_{k=0}^p \binom{p}{k} b^{p-k} w^k$$

$$= (b+w)(b^p + w^p) = (b+w)(b-w) = b^2 - w^2 = a.$$

Here, $b^p = b$ follows from Fermat's Little Theorem in \mathbb{F}_p .

and $w^p = w \in \mathbb{F}_p[w]$ as $|\mathbb{F}_p[w]|^p = p^2 - 1$. As p -examines more than $p^2 - 1$, $w^p = w$.



6. Proof: We would like to show that R^X has an element of order 8.

Assume to the contrary that R^X has no element of order 8. According to the classification theorem for finite Abelian groups, $R^X \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ or $R^X \cong (\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times \mathbb{Z}_3$. Now every element γ in [2-part] satisfies $\gamma^4 = 1$, contradicting to $X^4 - 1$ has at most 4 roots (as assumed).

Hence, $R^X \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{24}$ as cyclic.

MOMENT WITH WORLD



扫描全能王 创建