# $20241008~{\rm MATH}3301~{\rm NOTE}~5[1]$

**Author:** Be  $\sqrt{-1}$  maginative, and nothing will be  $\frac{d}{dx}$  ifficult!

Email: u3612704@connect.hku.hk;

**Phone:** +852 5693 2134; +86 19921823546;

## Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Preliminaries	3
3	Set-generated Subgroup	6
4	Centralizer Subgroup	8
5	Normalizer Subgroup	8
6	Commutator Subgroup	10

#### 1 Introduction

This note introduces some constructions of subgroups, including but limited to set-generated subgroup, centralizer subgroup, normalizer subgroup and commutator subgroup.

### 2 Preliminaries

#### Definition 2.1. (Group)

Let G be a set, and  $\circ: (g_1, g_2) \mapsto g_1g_2$  be a binary operation on G. If:

- $(1) \ \forall g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G, (g_1g_2)g_3 = g_1(g_2g_3) \in G;$
- $(2) \ \exists e \in G, \forall g \in G, eg = ge = g;$
- (3)  $\forall g \in G, \exists h \in G, hg = gh = e,$

then G is a group under  $\circ$ .

Remark: It is easy to show that:

- (1) e is unique in G;
- (2)  $\forall g \in G$ , h is unique in G.

Hence, we may apply the notation  $g^{-1}$  for the inverse of g.

#### Definition 2.2. (Subgroup)

Let G be a group under  $\circ$ , and H be a subset of G. If:

- $(1) e \in H$ :
- (2)  $\forall h_1, h_2 \in G, h_1 \in H \text{ and } h_2 \in H \implies h_1 h_2 \in H;$
- (3)  $\forall h \in G, h \in H \implies h^{-1} \in H$ ,

then  $H \leq G$ , i.e., H is a subgroup of G.

#### Definition 2.3. (Coset)

Let G be a group under  $\circ$ , H be a subgroup of G, and g be an element of G.

Define  $gH = \{gh\}_{h \in H}$  as the left H-coset of g;

Define  $Hg = \{hg\}_{h \in H}$  as the right H-coset of g.

Remark: One may expand a "word" as follows:

$$uH^2vIJ = \{uh_1h_2vij \in G : h_1, h_2 \in H \text{ and } i \in I \text{ and } j \in J\}$$

#### Theorem 2.4. (Lagrange's Theorem)

Let G be a group under  $\circ$ , and H be a subgroup of G.

 $G/H = \{gH\}_{g \in G}$  partitions G.

*Proof.* We may divide our proof into three parts.

**Part 1:** For all  $gH \in G/H$ , there exists  $g = ge \in gH$ , so  $gH \neq \emptyset$ .

**Part 2:** For all  $g_1H, g_2H \in G/H$ :

$$g_1 H \cap g_2 H \neq \emptyset \implies \exists h_1, h_2 \in H, g_1 h_1 = g_2 h_2$$
$$\implies \exists h_2 h_1^{-1} \in H, g_1 = g_2 h_2 h_1^{-1} \implies g_1 H = g_2 H$$

**Part 3:** For all  $g \in G$ , there exists  $gH \in G/H$ , such that  $g = ge \in gH$ . Hence, G/H partitions G. Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum.

**Remark:** It is easy to show that  $H \to gH, h \mapsto gh$  is a bijection, so each coset gH have the same cardinality, which implies the order of H divides the order of G.

#### Definition 2.5. (Normal Subgroup)

Let G be a group under  $\circ$ , and H be a subgroup of G. If  $\forall g \in G, gH = Hg$ , then  $H \leq G$ , i.e., H is normal in G.

**Remark:** As a corollary, for all subgroups H, K of  $G, H \leq G \implies KH = HK$ .

**Proposition 2.6.**  $\{e\} \leqslant G \text{ and } G \leqslant G$ 

*Proof.* We may divide our proof into four parts.

Part 1:  $e \in \{e\}$  and  $e \in G$ .

**Part 2:**  $ee \in \{e\}$  and  $\forall g_1, g_2 \in G, g_1g_2 \in G$ .

**Part 3:**  $e^{-1} = e \in \{e\}$  and  $\forall g \in G, g^{-1} \in G$ 

**Part 4:**  $\forall g \in G, g\{e\} = \{e\}g = \{g\} \text{ and } \forall g \in G, gG = Gg = G.$ 

Hence,  $\{e\} \leq G$  and  $G \leq G$ . Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum.

**Proposition 2.7.**  $H_1 \leq G$  and  $H_2 \leq G \implies H_1 \cap H_2 \leq G$ 

*Proof.* We may divide our proof into four parts.

Part 1:  $e \in H_1$  and  $e \in H_2 \implies e \in H_1 \cap H_2$ .

**Part 2:** For all  $g, g' \in G$ :

$$g \in H_1 \cap H_2$$
 and  $g' \in H_1 \cap H_2 \implies g \in H_1$  and  $g \in H_2$  and  $g' \in H_1$  and  $g' \in H_2$ 

$$\implies gg' \in H_1 \text{ and } gg' \in H_2$$

$$\implies gg' \in H_1 \cap H_2$$

**Part 3:** For all  $g \in G$ :

$$g \in H_1 \cap H_2 \implies g \in H_1 \text{ and } g \in H_2$$
  
 $\implies g^{-1} \in H_1 \text{ and } g^{-1} \in H_2$   
 $\implies g^{-1} \in H_1 \cap H_2$ 

**Part 4:** For all  $g \in G$ :

$$g(H_1 \cap H_2) = (gH_1) \cap (gH_2) = (H_1g) \cap (H_2g) = (H_1 \cap H_2)g$$

Hence,  $H_1 \cap H_2 \leqslant G$ . Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum.

Remark: This can be generalized to:

Each 
$$H_{\lambda} \triangleleft G \implies \bigcap_{\lambda \in I} H_{\lambda} \triangleleft G$$

### **Proposition 2.8.** $H_1 \leqslant G$ and $H_2 \leqslant G \implies H_1H_2 \leqslant G$

*Proof.* We may divide our proof into four parts.

Part 1:  $e \in H_1$  and  $e \in H_2 \implies e = ee \in H_1H_2$ .

**Part 2:** For all  $g, g' \in G$ :

$$g \in H_1H_2$$
 and  $g' \in H_1H_2 \implies \exists h_1, h'_1 \in H_1$  and  $h_2, h'_2 \in H_2, g = h_1h_2$  and  $g' = h'_1h'_2$   
 $\implies \exists h''_1 \in H_1 \text{ and } h''_2 \in H_2, h_2h'_1 = h''_1h''_2$   
 $\implies \exists h_1h''_1 \in H_1 \text{ and } h''_2h'_2 \in H_2, gg' = h_1h''_1h''_2h'_2$   
 $\implies gg' \in H_1H_2$ 

**Part 3:** For all  $g \in G$ :

$$g \in H_1 H_2 \implies \exists h_1 \in H_1 \text{ and } h_2 \in H_2, g = h_1 h_2$$

$$\implies \exists h_1' \in H_1 \text{ and } h_2' \in H_2, h_1 h_2 = h_2' h_1'$$

$$\implies \exists h_1'^{-1} \in H_1 \text{ and } h_2' \in H_2, g^{-1} = h_1'^{-1} h_2'^{-1}$$

$$\implies g^{-1} \in H_1 H_2$$

**Part 4:** For all  $g \in G$ :

$$gH_1H_2 = H_1gH_2 = H_1H_2g$$

Hence,  $H_1, H_2 \leq G$ . Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum.

**Remark:** This can be generalized to:

Each 
$$H_k \leqslant G \implies \prod_{k=1}^m H_k \leqslant G$$

#### Definition 2.9. (Quotient Group)

Let G be a group under  $\circ$ , and H be a normal subgroup of G.

Define  $\circ: (g_1H, g_2H) \mapsto g_1g_2H$ . Observe that:

- (1)  $\circ$  is a well-defined binary operation on G/H;
- (2) G/H is a group under  $\circ$ .

Hence, define this group as the quotient group of G by H.

*Proof.* Let's prove the two observations above.

(1) For all  $(g_1H, g_2H), (g'_1H, g'_2H) \in G/H \times G/H$ :

$$(g_1H, g_2H) = (g_1'H, g_2'H) \implies g_1H = g_1'H \text{ and } g_2H = g_2'H$$

$$\implies \exists h_1, h_2 \in H, g_1 = g_1'h_1 \text{ and } g_2 = g_2'h_2$$

$$\implies \exists h_1'' \in H, h_1g_2' = g_2'h_1''$$

$$\implies \exists h_3h_2 \in H, g_1g_2 = g_1'g_2'h_1''h_2$$

$$\implies g_1g_2H = g_1'g_2'H$$

Hence,  $\circ$  is a well-defined operation on G/H.

(2) We may divide our proof into three parts.

**Part 1:** For all  $g_1H, g_2H, g_3H \in G/H$ :

$$(g_1Hg_2H)g_3H = g_1g_2Hg_3H = (g_1g_2)g_3H$$
  
=  $g_1(g_2g_3)H = g_1Hg_2g_3H = g_1H(g_2Hg_3H)$ 

**Part 2:** There exists  $eH \in G/H$ , such that for all  $gH \in G/H$ :

$$eHqH = eqH = qH$$
 and  $qHeH = qeH = qH$ 

**Part 3:** For all  $gH \in G/H$ , there exists  $g^{-1}H \in G/H$ , such that:

$$g^{-1}HgH = g^{-1}gH = eH$$
 and  $gHg^{-1}H = gg^{-1}H = eH$ 

Hence, G/H is a group under  $\circ$ . Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum.

## 3 Set-generated Subgroup

#### Definition 3.1. (Word)

Let G be a group, and A be a subset of G.

If g = e or  $g = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_m$  is a finite product of elements in A, then g is a word in A.

#### Definition 3.2. (Set-generated Subgroup)

Let G be a group, and A be a subset of G.

Define the subgroup of G generated by A as:

$$\langle A \rangle = \{ g \in G : g \text{ is a word in } A \cup A^{-1} \}$$

**Proposition 3.3.** Let G be a group, and A be a subset of G.

$$\langle A \rangle \leq G$$

*Proof.* We may divide our proof into three parts.

Part 1:  $e \in \langle A \rangle$ .

Part 2:  $\forall g = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_m, h = h_1 h_2 \cdots h_n \in \langle A \rangle, gh = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_m h_1 h_2 \cdots h_n \in \langle A \rangle.$ 

**Part 3:**  $\forall g = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_m \in \langle A \rangle, g^{-1} = g_m^{-1} \cdots g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1} \in \langle A \rangle.$ 

Hence,  $\langle A \rangle \leq G$ . Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $E_n(\mathbb{F})$  be the set of all elementary matrices in  $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ .

$$GL_n(\mathbb{F}) = \langle E_n(\mathbb{F}) \rangle$$

#### Definition 3.5. (Cyclic Subgroup)

Let G be a group, and g be an element of G.

Define the cyclic subgroup of G generated by q as:

$$\langle q \rangle = \langle \{q\} \rangle$$

**Proposition 3.6.** Let G be a group, and g be an element of G.

- (1) If  $|\langle g \rangle| = m$ , then  $\sigma : \langle g \rangle \to \mathbb{Z}_m$ ,  $\sigma(g^k) = [k]_m$  is an isomorphism.
- (2) If  $|\langle g \rangle| = +\infty$ , then  $\sigma : \langle g \rangle \to \mathbb{Z}, \sigma(g^k) = k$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* We may divide our proof into three parts.

Part 1: We prove that the two functions are well-defined.

- $(1) \ \forall g^k, g^{k'} \in \langle g \rangle, g^k = g^{k'} \implies k \equiv k' \pmod{m} \implies [k]_m = [k']_m.$
- $(2) \ \forall g^k, g^{k'} \in \langle g \rangle, g^k = g^{k'} \implies k = k'.$

**Part 2:** We prove that the two functions are bijective.

- (1) Every  $[k]_m \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  has a unique preimage  $g^k \in \langle g \rangle$ .
- (2) Every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  has a unique preimage  $g^k \in \langle g \rangle$ .

Part 3: We prove that the two functions preserve commpositions.

- $(1) \ \forall g^k, g^{k'} \in \langle g \rangle, \sigma(g^k g^{k'}) = \sigma(g^{k+k'}) = [k+k']_m = [k]_m + [k']_m = \sigma(g^k) + \sigma(g^{k'}).$
- (2)  $\forall g^k, g_{k'} \in \langle g \rangle, \sigma(g^k g^{k'}) = \sigma(g^{k+k'}) = k + k' = \sigma(g^k) + \sigma(g^{k'}).$

Hence, both maps are isomorphisms. Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum.

## 4 Centralizer Subgroup

### Definition 4.1. (Centralizer Subgroup)

Let G be a group, and H be a subgroup of G.

Define the centralizer subgroup of H in G as:

$$C(H) = \{ g \in G : \forall h \in H, gh = hg \}$$

**Proposition 4.2.** Let G be a group, and H be a subgroup of G.

$$C(H) \leq G$$

*Proof.* We may divide our proof into three parts.

Part 1:  $\forall h \in H, eh = he = h, \text{ so } e \in C(H).$ 

**Part 2:** For all  $g, g' \in G$ :

$$g, g' \in C(H) \implies \forall h \in H, gh = hg \text{ and } g'h = hg'$$
  
 $\implies \forall h \in H, gg'h = ghg' = hgg'$   
 $\implies gg' \in C(H)$ 

**Part 3:** For all  $g \in G$ :

$$g \in C(H) \implies \forall h \in H, gh = hg$$

$$\implies \forall h \in H, g^{-1}h = (h^{-1}g)^{-1} = (gh^{-1})^{-1} = hg^{-1}$$

$$\implies g^{-1} \in C(H)$$

Hence,  $C(H) \leq G$ . Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum.

**Remark:** Note that  $H \not\leq C(H)$  and  $C(H) \not\leq H$  in general.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let  $\tilde{I}$  be the set of all scalar matrices in  $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ .

$$C(GL_n(\mathbb{F})) = \tilde{I}$$

## 5 Normalizer Subgroup

#### Definition 5.1. (Normalizer Subgroup)

Let G be a group, and H be a subgroup of G.

Define the normalizer subgroup of H in G as:

$$N(H) = \{g \in G : gH = Hg\}$$

**Proposition 5.2.** Let G be a group, and H be a subgroup of G.

$$N(H) \le G$$

*Proof.* We may divide our proof into three parts.

Part 1: eH = H = He, so  $e \in N(H)$ .

**Part 2:** For all  $g, g' \in G$ :

$$g, g' \in N(H) \implies gH = Hg \text{ and } g'H = Hg'$$
  
 $\implies gg'H = gHg' = Hgg'$   
 $\implies gg' \in N(H)$ 

**Part 3:** For all  $g \in G$ :

$$g \in N(H) \implies gH = Hg$$

$$\implies g^{-1}H = (Hg)^{-1} = (gH)^{-1} = Hg^{-1}$$

$$\implies g^{-1} \in N(H)$$

Hence,  $N(H) \leq G$ . Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum.

**Remark:** Note that  $H \leq N(H)$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** Let G be a group, and H be a subgroup of G.

$$C(H) \leqslant N(H)$$

*Proof.* We may divide our proof into two parts.

**Part 1:** For all  $g \in G$ :

$$g \in C(H) \implies \forall h \in H, gh = hg$$
  
 $\implies gH = Hg$   
 $\implies g \in N(H)$ 

**Part 2:** For all  $c \in C(H)$  and  $n \in N(H)$ :

$$\forall h \in H, hncn^{-1} = nh'cn^{-1} = nch'n^{-1} = ncn^{-1}h \implies ncn^{-1} \in C(H)$$

Hence,  $C(H) \leq N(H)$ . Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum.

**Remark:** ChatGPT helped me in formulating the proof.

## 6 Commutator Subgroup

#### **Definition 6.1.** (Commutator)

Let G be a group, and g, g' be two elements of G.

Define the commutator of g, g' in G as:

$$[g, g'] = gg'g^{-1}g'^{-1}$$

#### Definition 6.2. (Commutator Subgroup)

Let G be a group, and H, H' be two subgroups of G. Define the commutator subgroup [H, H'] of H, H' in G as the subgroup of G generated by:

$$\{[h, h'] \in G : h \in H \text{ and } h' \in H'\}$$

**Proposition 6.3.** Let G be a group.

$$[G,G] \leqslant G$$

*Proof.* For all  $a, b, c \in G$ :

$$(cac^{-1})^{-1} = (c^{-1})^{-1}a^{-1}c^{-1} = ca^{-1}c^{-1}$$
$$(cbc^{-1})^{-1} = (c^{-1})^{-1}b^{-1}c^{-1} = cb^{-1}c^{-1}$$
$$[cac^{-1}, cbc^{-1}] = cac^{-1}cbc^{-1}ca^{-1}c^{-1}cb^{-1}c^{-1}$$
$$= caba^{-1}b^{-1}c^{-1} = c[a, b]c^{-1}$$

Hence, [G,G] is closed under conjugation, which implies  $[G,G] \leq G$ . Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum.

## References

 $[1]\,$  H. Ren, "Template for math notes," 2021.