

20250402 MATH4302 Assignment 5.

(1) (a) Recall that a complex number  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  iff the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space homomorphism  $\alpha: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, z \mapsto \alpha z$  is annihilated by some monic polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

We are going to prove that a complex number  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  iff the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space homomorphism  $\alpha: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, z \mapsto \alpha z$  has a finitely-generated invariant subspace  $V \neq \{0\}$ .

Proof: We may divide our proof into two parts.

"if" direction: Assume that the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space homomorphism  $\alpha: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, z \mapsto \alpha z$  has a finitely-generated invariant subspace  $V \neq \{0\}$ .

The restricted  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space homomorphism  $\alpha|_V: V \rightarrow V$  has an eigen-polynomial  $f(x)$  because  $V$  is finitely-generated,  $f(\alpha)|_{V_0} = 0$  for some  $V_0 \neq 0$  because  $V \neq \{0\}$ ,  $f(\alpha) = 0$  because  $\mathbb{C}$  is a field. Hence,  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

"only if" direction: Assume that  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space homomorphism  $\alpha: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, z \mapsto \alpha z$  is annihilated by some monic polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ . Suppose that  $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0$ .

Define  $V = \mathbb{Q} + \alpha\mathbb{Q} + \alpha^2\mathbb{Q} + \dots + \alpha^{n-1}\mathbb{Q}$ . As  $V \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $V \neq \{0\}$ .

As  $\alpha(\alpha^k\mathbb{Q}) = \alpha^{k+1}\mathbb{Q}$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ ),  $\alpha(\alpha^{n-1}\mathbb{Q}) = (-a_0 - a_1\alpha - \dots - a_{n-2}\alpha^{n-2})\mathbb{Q}$ ,

$V$  is invariant under  $\alpha$ , so  $\alpha: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, z \mapsto \alpha z$  has a finitely-generated

invariant subspace  $V = \mathbb{Q} + \alpha\mathbb{Q} + \alpha^2\mathbb{Q} + \dots + \alpha^{n-1}\mathbb{Q}$ .



Recall that a complex number  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Z}$  iff the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism  $\alpha: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, z \mapsto \alpha z$  is annihilated by some monic polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

We are going to prove that a complex number  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Z}$  iff the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism  $\alpha: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, z \mapsto \alpha z$  has a finitely-generated invariant submodule  $M \neq \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* We may divide our proof into two parts.

"if" direction: Assume that the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism  $\alpha: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, z \mapsto \alpha z$  has a finitely-generated invariant submodule  $M \neq \{0\}$ .

The restricted  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism  $\alpha|_M: M \rightarrow M$  has an eigen-polynomial because  $M$  is finitely-generated,  $f(\alpha)v_0 = 0$  for some  $v_0 \neq 0$  because  $M \neq \{0\}$ ,  $f(\alpha) = 0$  because  $\mathbb{C}$  is a field. Hence,  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

"only if" direction: Assume that  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism  $\alpha: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, z \mapsto \alpha z$  is annihilated by some monic polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Suppose that  $f(x) = 1 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$ .

Define  $M = \mathbb{Z} + \alpha\mathbb{Z} + \alpha^2\mathbb{Z} + \dots + \alpha^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}$ . As  $M \supseteq \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $M \neq \{0\}$ .

As  $\alpha(\alpha^k\mathbb{Z}) = \alpha^{k+1}\mathbb{Z}$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ ),  $\alpha(\alpha^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}) = (-a_0 - a_1\alpha - \dots - a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1})\mathbb{Z}$ ,

$M$  is invariant under  $\alpha$ , so  $\alpha: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, z \mapsto \alpha z$  has a finitely-generated invariant submodule  $M = \mathbb{Z} + \alpha\mathbb{Z} + \alpha^2\mathbb{Z} + \dots + \alpha^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}$ .



(b) Take the minimal polynomial  $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0$  of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

On one hand,  $1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$ , and  $\alpha \mathbb{Q}[\alpha] \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$ .

On the other hand, every finitely-generated invariant subspace  $V \neq \{0\}$

contains some  $v_0 \neq 0$ , thus containing a linearly independent list

$v_0, \alpha v_0, \alpha^2 v_0, \dots, \alpha^{n-1} v_0$ , which implies the vectorspace  $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$  is embedded in  $V$ .

Hence,  $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$  is minimal up to embeddings. The minimal dimension is  $n$ .

Take the minimal polynomial  $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0$  of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

On one hand,  $1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ .

On the other hand, every finitely-generated invariant submodule  $M \neq \{0\}$

contains some  $v_0 \neq 0$ , thus containing a linearly independent list

$v_0, \alpha v_0, \alpha^2 v_0, \dots, \alpha^{n-1} v_0$ , which implies the module  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  is embedded in  $M$ .

Hence,  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  is minimal up to embeddings. The minimal rank is  $n$ .

(2)(a) Proof:  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \cap \mathbb{Q} \Leftrightarrow \alpha$  has a minimal polynomial over  $\mathbb{Z}$  (which is the same in  $\mathbb{Q}$ )  
and the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is linear

$\Leftrightarrow$  the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  is linear  $\Leftrightarrow \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(b) Proof:  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \Rightarrow \alpha$  has a minimal polynomial over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , say,  $x^n + \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}}x^{n-1} + \dots + \frac{p_0}{q_0}$ .

$\Rightarrow (q_{n-1} \cdots q_0)\alpha$  has a minimal polynomial over  $\mathbb{Z}$

$\Rightarrow (q_{n-1} \cdots q_0)\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ .



(a) Proof:  $\alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}} \Leftrightarrow \alpha$  has a minimal polynomial over  $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}$  (which is the same in  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ )

$\Leftrightarrow \alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  and the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  has coefficients in  $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}$

(b) Proof:  $\mathbb{Q}$  has a finitely generated invariant submodule  $\bar{\mathbb{Z}} \neq \{0\} \Rightarrow 0 \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}$

$\mathbb{Q}$  has a finitely generated invariant submodule  $\bar{\mathbb{Z}} \neq \{0\} \Rightarrow 1 \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}$

$\alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}} \Rightarrow \alpha$  has a finitely generated invariant submodule  $M \neq \{0\}$

$\Rightarrow -\alpha$  has a finitely generated invariant submodule  $M \neq \{0\}$

$\Rightarrow -\alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}$

$\alpha, \beta \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}} \Rightarrow \alpha, \beta$  have finitely generated invariant submodules  $M, N \neq \{0\}$

$\Rightarrow \alpha + \beta$  has a finitely generated invariant submodule  $MN \neq \{0\}$

$\Rightarrow \alpha + \beta \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}$

$\alpha \beta \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}} \Rightarrow \alpha, \beta$  have finitely generated invariant submodules  $M, N \neq \{0\}$

$\Rightarrow \alpha \beta$  has a finitely generated invariant submodule  $MN \neq \{0\}$

$\Rightarrow \alpha \beta \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}$

(c) Proof. On one hand,  $\alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{\beta}{n}$ , where  $\beta \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}, n \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}} \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{\beta}{n}$ , where  $\beta \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}, n \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}, n \neq 0 \Rightarrow \alpha \in \text{Frac } \bar{\mathbb{Z}}$

On the other hand,  $\alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $\alpha \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow \alpha$  has a finite-dimensional invariant subspace  $V \neq \{0\}$ .

$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha}$  has a finite-dimensional invariant subspace  $V \neq \{0\}$

because an invertible matrix  $A$  in  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  has rational inverse  $\frac{\det A}{\det A}$

$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha} \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ , by minimality,  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{Frac } \bar{\mathbb{Z}}$ .



Date / /

$$(3)(a) \text{ Proof: } x = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}} \Rightarrow x - e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}} = 0 \\ \Rightarrow x^2 - 2x \cos \frac{2\pi}{n} + 1 = (x - e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}})(x - e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{n}}) = 0$$

(b) Proof:  $\frac{2\pi}{n}$  is constructable  $\Rightarrow e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$  is constructable

$\Rightarrow$  The field extension  $\mathbb{Q}[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}]/\mathbb{Q}$  is obtained by a tower of degree 2 extensions  
 $\Rightarrow \exists k \geq 0, \varphi(n) = [\mathbb{Q}[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}] : \mathbb{Q}] = 2^k$

(4)(i) Let's prove some general facts on cubic polynomials.

Proposition 1: The splitting field  $K$  of an irreducible polynomial  $f(x) = x^3 - 3MNx - M^3 - N^3$

over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is generated by one root  $x_1$  and the discriminant  $\Delta = (x_2 - x_1)(x_3 - x_1)(x_3 - x_2)$

Proof 1: It suffices to express  $x_2, x_3$  in terms of  $x_1, \sqrt{\Delta}, MN$  and  $M^3 + N^3$ .

$$x^3 - 3MNx - M^3 - N^3 = (x - x_1)(x - x_2)(x - x_3).$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0, x_1 x_2 x_3 = M^3 + N^3, x_2 + x_3 = -x_1$$

$$x_1 \sqrt{\Delta} = x_1 (x_2 - x_1)(x_3 - x_1)(x_3 - x_2)$$

$$= [x_1 x_2 x_3 - x_1^2 (x_2 + x_3) + x_1^3] (x_3 - x_2)$$

$$= (2x_1^3 + M^3 + N^3)(x_3 - x_2)$$

$$x_3 - x_2 = -\frac{x_1 \sqrt{\Delta}}{2x_1^3 + M^3 + N^3},$$

$$x_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -x_1 - \frac{x_1 \sqrt{\Delta}}{2x_1^3 + M^3 + N^3} \right] \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \sqrt{\Delta}] \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3] = K$$

$$x_3 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -x_1 + \frac{x_1 \sqrt{\Delta}}{2x_1^3 + M^3 + N^3} \right] \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \sqrt{\Delta}] \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3] = K.$$

Proposition 2: If  $\sqrt{\Delta} = (x_2 - x_1)(x_3 - x_1)(x_3 - x_2) \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) =$

$\{e, (x_1, x_2, x_3), (x_3, x_2, x_1)\}$ , where  $K = \mathbb{Q}[x_1]$  is the splitting field of

$$f(x) = x^3 - 3MNx - M^3 - N^3 \text{ over } \mathbb{Q}.$$



Proof 2: On one hand,  $(\mathbb{Q}[t]) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_2])$ ,  $g(t_1) \mapsto g(t_2)$  is a ring isomorphism fixing  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

$$\text{Hence, } (\mathbb{Q}[t]/\langle t^3 - 3MNt - M^3 - N^3 \rangle) \xrightarrow{\text{HS}} (\mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_2]/\langle t_1^3 - 3MNt_1 - M^3 - N^3 \rangle),$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Q}[t_1] & \cong & K \\ g(t_1) & \mapsto & g(t_2) \end{array}$$

is a field isomorphism fixing  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

This means  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ , so  $\{\text{id}, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3), (\alpha_3, \alpha_2, \alpha_1)\} \subseteq \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ .

On the other hand every  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  restricts to a permutation of  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ . As transpositions  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ ,  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)$ ,  $(\alpha_2, \alpha_3)$  fails to fix the rational number  $\sqrt[3]{\Delta} = (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_3 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_3 - \alpha_2)$ , they don't belong to  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ , so  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \{\text{id}, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3), (\alpha_3, \alpha_2, \alpha_1)\}$ .

Proposition 3: If  $\sqrt[3]{\Delta} = (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_3 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_3 - \alpha_2) \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \{\text{id}, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3), (\alpha_3, \alpha_2, \alpha_1), (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\alpha_2, \alpha_3), (\alpha_1, \alpha_3)\}$ , where  $K = \mathbb{Q}[\alpha_1, \sqrt[3]{\Delta}]$  is the splitting field of  $f(x) = x^3 - 3MNx - M^3 - N^3$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Proof 3: On one hand,  $(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt[3]{\Delta}])[t_1] \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt[3]{\Delta}])[t_2]$ ,  $g(t_1) \mapsto g(t_2)$  is a ring isomorphism fixing  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt[3]{\Delta}]$ , thus fixing  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

$$\text{Hence, } (\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt[3]{\Delta}])[t_1]/\langle t_1^3 - 3MNt_1 - M^3 - N^3 \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{HS}} (\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt[3]{\Delta}])[t_2]/\langle t_2^3 - 3MNt_2 - M^3 - N^3 \rangle$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Q}[\alpha_1, \sqrt[3]{\Delta}] & \cong & K \\ g(\alpha_1) & \mapsto & g(\alpha_2) \end{array}$$

is a field isomorphism fixing  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

This means  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ , so  $\{\text{id}, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3), (\alpha_3, \alpha_2, \alpha_1)\} \subseteq \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ .



Date

On the other hand,  $(\mathbb{Q}[\alpha])[d] \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}[\alpha])[d]$ ,  $g(d) \mapsto g(-d)$  is a ring isomorphism fixing  $\mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$ , thus fixing  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Hence,  $(\mathbb{Q}[\alpha])[d]/\langle d^2 - \Delta \rangle \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}[\alpha_1, \alpha_2])[d]/\langle d^2 - \Delta \rangle$

115

115

$$(\mathbb{Q}[\alpha_1, \alpha_2]) \cong K \cong (\mathbb{Q}[\alpha_1, \alpha_2])$$

$$g(\sqrt{\Delta}) \mapsto g(-\sqrt{\Delta})$$

is a field isomorphism fixing  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

This means  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ , so  $\{(x_1, x_2), (x_1, x_3), (x_2, x_3)\} \subseteq \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ .

As  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \{e, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3), (\alpha_3, \alpha_2, \alpha_1), (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\alpha_1, \alpha_3), (\alpha_2, \alpha_3)\}$

and  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \supseteq \{e, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3), (\alpha_3, \alpha_2, \alpha_1), (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\alpha_1, \alpha_3), (\alpha_2, \alpha_3)\}$ ,

it follows that  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = \{e, (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3), (\alpha_3, \alpha_2, \alpha_1), (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), (\alpha_1, \alpha_3), (\alpha_2, \alpha_3)\}$ .

<https://planetmath.org/galoisgroupofacubicpolynomial>

For the purpose of evaluating  $[K_f : \mathbb{Q}]$ , where  $f(x) = x^3 - 2$ ,

First, take a prime  $p=2$ ,  $p \nmid 1, p \nmid 2, p^2 \nmid 2$ , so  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Second,  $\alpha_1 = \sqrt[3]{2}$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \omega\sqrt[3]{2}$ ,  $\alpha_3 = \omega^2\sqrt[3]{2}$ ,  $\sqrt{\Delta} = (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_3 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_3 - \alpha_2) = -6\sqrt[3]{4}$

Hence,  $[K_f : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$ ,  $\text{Gal}(K_f/\mathbb{Q}) = \{e, (\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega^2\sqrt[3]{2}), (\omega\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega^2\sqrt[3]{2}), (\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega^2\sqrt[3]{2}), (\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega\sqrt[3]{2}), (\omega\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega^2\sqrt[3]{2})\}$

(2)  $f(x) = x^4 - 1 = (x+1)(x-1)(x^2+1)$ .

The splitting field of  $f(x)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-1}]$ , where:

$[\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-1}] : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ ,  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-1}] / \mathbb{Q}) = \{e, (\sqrt{-1}, -\sqrt{-1})\}$ .



$$(3) f(x) = (x^2 - 2)(x^3 - 2)$$

The splitting field of  $f(x)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt[6]{2}, \omega]$ .

$$[\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt[6]{2}, \omega] : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt[6]{2}, \omega] : \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt[6]{2}]] [\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt[6]{2}] : \mathbb{Q}] = 2 \cdot 6 = 12.$$

(5) Solution: The splitting field  $L$  of  $f(x) = x^7 - 1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}[z]$ , where  $z = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{7}}$ .

$z, z^2, z^3, \dots, z^6$  is a basis of  $L$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = 7$ .

(6) Solution: Note that  $f(x) = (x-1)^7$  already splits over  $\mathbb{F}_7$ ,

$$\text{so } M = \mathbb{F}_7, [M : \mathbb{F}_7] = 1.$$

