

**Remarks on holomorphic line bundles**

Let  $Z$  be a Riemann surface, and  $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(Z)$  be a discrete group of automorphisms acting without fixed point. Define  $X = Z/\Gamma$ .  $X$  inherits the structure of a quotient Riemann surface. In practice  $Z$  is either the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  or the unit disk  $D$  (equivalently the upper half-plane  $\mathcal{H}$ ), the canonical map  $\rho : Z \rightarrow Z/\Gamma = X$  is the universal covering map and  $\Gamma \cong \pi_1(X)$  is the group of covering transformations (also called Deck transformations).

For each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  let  $\Phi(\gamma) : Z \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow Z \times \mathbb{C}$  be given by  $\Phi(\gamma)(z, w) = (\gamma(z), \varphi_\gamma(z)w)$ , where  $\varphi_\gamma : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is a nowhere 0 holomorphic function. Suppose  $\Phi$  satisfies the transformation rule

$$(\dagger) \quad \Phi(\gamma_1\gamma_2)(z, w) = \Phi(\gamma_1)(\Phi(\gamma_2)(z, w)); \quad \Phi(id)(z, w) = (z, w).$$

This is the case if and only if  $\varphi_{id}(z) = 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} ((\gamma_1\gamma_2)(z), \varphi_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}(z)w) &= \Phi(\gamma_1\gamma_2)(z, w) \\ &= \Phi(\gamma_1)(\Phi(\gamma_2)(z, w)) = \Phi(\gamma_1)(\gamma_2(z), \varphi_{\gamma_2}(z)w) \\ &= (\gamma_1(\gamma_2(z)), \varphi_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2(z))\varphi_{\gamma_2}(z)w). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $\gamma_1(\gamma_2(z)) = (\gamma_1\gamma_2)(z)$ ,  $(\dagger)$  holds true for all  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$  and all  $z \in Z$  if and only if we have the compatibility condition

$$(\dagger\dagger) \quad \varphi_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}(z) = \varphi_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2(z))\varphi_{\gamma_2}(z); \quad \varphi_{id}(z) = 1$$

for all  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$  and all  $z \in Z$ . Conversely, given a family of holomorphic functions  $\varphi_\gamma : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  satisfying  $(\dagger\dagger)$ , defining  $\Phi(\gamma) : Z \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow Z \times \mathbb{C}$  by  $\Phi(\gamma)(z, w) = (\gamma(z), \varphi_\gamma(z)w)$  we have the transformation rules  $(\dagger)$ .

Introduce now an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $Z \times \mathbb{C}$  by declaring  $(z_2, w_2) \sim (z_1, w_1)$  if and only if there exists some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $(z_2, w_2) = \Phi(\gamma)(z_1, w_1)$ . Then,  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation. In fact, we have

► **Reflexive property**

$(z, w) = \Phi(id)(z, w)$  by definition.

► **Symmetric property**

Given  $(z_2, w_2) = \Phi(\gamma)(z_1, w_1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\gamma^{-1})(z_2, w_2) &= \Phi(\gamma^{-1})(\Phi(\gamma)(z_1, w_1)) \\ &= \Phi(\gamma^{-1}\gamma)(z_1, w_1) = \Phi(id)(z_1, w_1) = (z_1, w_1).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(z_2, w_2) \sim (z_1, w_1)$  implies  $(z_1, w_1) \sim (z_2, w_2)$ .

► **Transitive property**

$(z_3, w_3) \sim (z_2, w_2)$  and  $(z_2, w_2) \sim (z_1, w_1)$  imply that there exists  $\mu, \gamma \in \Gamma$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}(z_3, w_3) &= \Phi(\mu)(z_2, w_2) \\ (z_2, w_2) &= \Phi(\gamma)(z_1, w_1).\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(z_3, w_3) = \Phi(\mu)(\Phi(\gamma)(z_1, w_1)) = \Phi(\mu\gamma)(z_1, w_1), \text{ by } (\dagger),$$

proving that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $Z \times \mathbb{C}$ . We may now define  $E : (Z \times \mathbb{C})/\sim = (Z \times \mathbb{C})/\Gamma$  as a 2-dimensional complex manifold, noting that  $\Gamma$  acts discretely on  $Z \times \mathbb{C}$  without fixed points. We have canonically a holomorphic map  $\pi : E = (Z \times \mathbb{C})/\Gamma \rightarrow Z/\Gamma = X$ . For each point  $x_0 \in X$ , and for any choice of  $z_0 \in Z$  such that  $\rho(z_0) = x_0$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  in  $X$  and an open coordinate neighborhood  $W_0$  of  $z_0$  in  $Z$  such that  $\pi|_{W_0} : W_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} U$  is a biholomorphism. Denoting by  $\alpha : Z \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow (Z \times \mathbb{C})/\sim = (Z \times \mathbb{C})/\Gamma = E$  the canonical map,  $\alpha|_{W_0 \times \mathbb{C}} : W_0 \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow E$  is injective, with image the open subset  $\pi^{-1}(U) \subset E$ . Thus,  $\alpha|_{W_0 \times \mathbb{C}} : W_0 \times \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi^{-1}(U)$  serves as a holomorphic coordinate chart on  $E$ . We have

**Lemma.** *For  $E = (Z \times \mathbb{C})/\sim$ ,  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  (i.e.,  $Z/\Gamma$ ) realizes the 2-dimensional complex manifold as the total space of a holomorphic line bundle.*

PROOF We have the holomorphic coordinate charts  $\epsilon := \alpha|_{W \times \mathbb{C}} : W \times \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi^{-1}(U)$ . Thus,  $\epsilon^{-1} : \pi^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{\cong} W \times \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\delta := (\rho|_W, id) : W \times \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} U \times \mathbb{C}$ , so that  $\delta \circ \epsilon^{-1} : \pi^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{\cong} U \times \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose  $x \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$  and we have  $\Psi_\alpha := \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\cong} U_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Psi_\beta := \pi^{-1}(U_\beta) \xrightarrow{\cong} U_\beta \times \mathbb{C}$  obtained as above (where  $U_\alpha$  and  $U_\beta$  are neighborhoods of  $x$  both playing the role of  $U$ ). Write  $U_{\alpha\beta} := U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ . Then, we have

$$\Phi_{\alpha\beta} := \Psi_\alpha \circ \Psi_\beta^{-1}|_{U_{\alpha\beta} \times \mathbb{C}} : U_{\alpha\beta} \times \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} U_{\alpha\beta} \times \mathbb{C}.$$

Now,  $\rho|_{w_\alpha} : W_\alpha \xrightarrow{\cong} U_\alpha$ ,  $\rho|_{w_\beta} : W_\beta \xrightarrow{\cong} U_\beta$ , and for a variable point  $x \in U_{\alpha\beta}$ , we have variable points  $z^{(\alpha)} \in W_\alpha$ ,  $z^{(\beta)} \in W_\beta$ ,  $\pi(z^{(\alpha)}) = \pi(z^{(\beta)}) = x$ , and there exists  $\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \in \Gamma$  such that  $z^{(\alpha)} = \gamma_{\alpha\beta}(z^{(\beta)})$ . Then,

$$\Phi_{\alpha\beta}(x, w) = \left( x, \varphi_\gamma(z^{(\beta)})w \right)$$

is a transformation  $\Phi_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \times \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} U_{\alpha\beta} \times \mathbb{C}$  respecting the projections  $U_{\alpha\beta} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow U_{\alpha\beta}$  which is holomorphic and linear in the fiber variable  $w$ . This realizes  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  as a holomorphic line bundle, noting that  $\delta \circ \epsilon^{-1} : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{C}$  are trivializations of  $E|_U$  over open subsets of  $U \subset X$ .  $\square$

From general theory we know that the holomorphic line bundle is equivalently defined by (holomorphic) transition function  $\varphi_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ . In the case at hand  $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \varphi_\gamma(z^{(\beta)})$ ,  $\gamma = \gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ , where  $z^{(\beta)}$  is a variable point on  $W_\beta$ ,  $\theta_\beta := \rho|_{W_\beta} : W_\beta \xrightarrow{\cong} U_\beta$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  is the automorphism such that  $z^{(\alpha)} = \gamma(z^{(\beta)})$ ,  $z^{(\alpha)}$  being a variable point on  $W_\alpha$ ,  $\theta_\alpha := \rho|_{W_\alpha} : W_\alpha \xrightarrow{\cong} U_\alpha$ . In other words,  $\gamma$  is the covering transformation which induces  $\theta_\beta^{-1}(U_{\alpha\beta}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \theta_\alpha^{-1}(U_{\alpha\beta})$ ,  $z^{(\alpha)} = \gamma(z^{(\beta)})$ , noting that  $\rho(z^{(\alpha)}) = \rho(z^{(\beta)}) = x$ . The compatibility condition

$$(\dagger\dagger) \quad \varphi_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}(z) = \varphi_{\gamma_1}(\gamma_2(z))\varphi_{\gamma_2}(z)$$

then translates into the compatibility condition  $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}\varphi_{\beta\alpha}\varphi_{\gamma\alpha} = 1$ .