

Compact Riemann surfaces of genus $g \geq 2$, Part 1

Joe

November 5, 2025

By the Uniformization Theorem, any simply connected Riemann surface is biholomorphic to exactly one of the following:

$$\widehat{\mathbb{C}}, \quad \mathbb{C}, \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}.$$

In particular, if X is a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$, its universal covering surface is the unit disk \mathbb{D} , and hence

$$X \cong \mathbb{D}/\Gamma,$$

where $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ is a discrete subgroup of Möbius transformations acting properly discontinuously (a *Fuchsian group*).

The unit disk \mathbb{D} and the upper half-plane

$$\mathbb{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Im(\tau) > 0\}$$

are conformally equivalent. The equivalence is given by the **Cayley transform**

$$z = \frac{\tau - i}{\tau + i}, \quad \tau = i \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}.$$

Thus, one can work equally well with the model $\mathbb{D}/\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ or with the equivalent model $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma' \subset \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, where $\Gamma' = C^{-1}\Gamma C$ under the Cayley conjugation C .

Poincaré Series

Let $X = \mathbb{D}/\Gamma$ be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$, where $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ is a discrete group (of Möbius transformations acting properly discontinuously on \mathbb{D}).

Let $h \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, i.e. a bounded holomorphic function on \mathbb{D} , and let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Define the **Poincaré series** of weight k associated to h by

$$P_k^\Gamma(h)(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} h(\gamma z) (\gamma'(z))^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then the series

$$f(z) = P_k^\Gamma(h)(z)$$

converges *uniformly on compact subsets* of \mathbb{D} ; hence f defines a holomorphic function on \mathbb{D} . Moreover, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have

$$f(\gamma z) = \frac{1}{(\gamma'(z))^k} f(z).$$

Thus f is a Γ -automorphic holomorphic function of weight k .

Preparation Let $X = \mathbb{D}/\Gamma$ be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$, where $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ is a discrete group of Möbius transformations.

A holomorphic 1-form on X can be lifted to a holomorphic 1-form on the disk \mathbb{D} of the form $f(z) dz$. It descends to a well-defined form on X if and only if it is Γ -invariant, i.e.

$$f(\gamma z) d(\gamma z) = f(z) dz \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Since

$$\frac{d(\gamma z)}{dz} = \gamma'(z) \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(\gamma z) = \gamma'(z) dz,$$

the invariance condition becomes

$$f(\gamma z) \gamma'(z) dz = f(z) dz \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad f(\gamma z) = \frac{1}{\gamma'(z)} f(z).$$

A holomorphic 1-form is also called a *holomorphic 1-differential*. More generally, for an integer $k \geq 1$, a holomorphic k -differential is a local expression of the form

$$f(z) (dz)^k,$$

subject to the following transformation rule: if we make a holomorphic change of coordinate $z \mapsto w(z)$, then

$$dw = \frac{dw}{dz} dz \quad \text{and hence} \quad (dw)^k = \left(\frac{dw}{dz} \right)^k (dz)^k.$$

Definition 0.1 (Holomorphic k -differential on $X = \mathbb{D}/\Gamma$). Let $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ act properly discontinuously on \mathbb{D} . A *holomorphic k -differential* on $X = \mathbb{D}/\Gamma$ is a Γ -invariant tensor on \mathbb{D} of the form

$$g(z) = f(z) (dz)^k,$$

where f is holomorphic on \mathbb{D} and satisfies the transformation law

$$\boxed{f(\gamma z) = \frac{1}{(\gamma'(z))^k} f(z) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \forall z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Equivalently,

$$\gamma^* g = g \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma,$$

since

$$\gamma^*(f(z)(dz)^k) = f(\gamma z) (d(\gamma z))^k = f(\gamma z) (\gamma'(z))^k (dz)^k = f(z)(dz)^k.$$

In particular, when $f = P_k^\Gamma(h)$ is a Poincaré series constructed from a bounded holomorphic function $h \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, the expression

$$f(z) (dz)^k$$

is Γ -invariant and hence defines a holomorphic k -differential on the quotient Riemann surface X .

Remark 0.2.

1. Even if $h \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ (i.e. h is bounded on the disk), the associated Poincaré series $f = P_k^\Gamma(h)$ need not be bounded on \mathbb{D} .
2. There exist linearly independent holomorphic 1-forms on X which *cannot* be obtained as Poincaré series of the type $P_k^\Gamma(h)$.

Proof. We first check **Γ -invariance**.

Assume that

$$f = P_k^\Gamma(h)(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} h(\gamma z) (\gamma'(z))^k$$

is absolutely convergent and uniformly convergent on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} . Then $f(z)$ defines a holomorphic function on \mathbb{D} , i.e. $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$.

Let $\mu \in \Gamma$. We compute $f(\mu z)$:

$$f(\mu z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} h(\gamma(\mu z)) (\gamma'(\mu z))^k.$$

By the chain rule,

$$(\gamma \circ \mu)'(z) = \gamma'(\mu z) \mu'(z), \quad \text{so} \quad \gamma'(\mu z) = \frac{(\gamma\mu)'(z)}{\mu'(z)}.$$

Substituting this into the series, we get

$$f(\mu z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} h((\gamma\mu)(z)) \left(\frac{(\gamma\mu)'(z)}{\mu'(z)} \right)^k.$$

Since Γ is a group, the set $\{\gamma\mu : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is again all of Γ . Write $\delta = \gamma\mu$. Then the sum becomes

$$f(\mu z) = \frac{1}{(\mu'(z))^k} \sum_{\delta \in \Gamma} h(\delta z) (\delta'(z))^k.$$

Hence

$$f(\mu z) = \frac{1}{(\mu'(z))^k} f(z), \quad \forall \mu \in \Gamma, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Multiplying both sides by $(\mu'(z))^k (dz)^k$, we find

$$f(\mu z) (\mu'(z))^k (dz)^k = f(z) (dz)^k,$$

or, in invariant form,

$$f(\mu z) (d(\mu z))^k = f(z) (dz)^k.$$

Thus $f(z)(dz)^k$ is a Γ -invariant holomorphic k -differential on $X = \mathbb{D}/\Gamma$.

It remains to verify that, for $k \geq 0$, the series

$$P_k^\Gamma(h)(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} h(\gamma z) (\gamma'(z))^k$$

is absolutely and uniformly convergent on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} .

(a) Preliminary estimate. For any finite subset $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$,

$$|f(z)| = \left| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} h(\gamma z) (\gamma'(z))^k \right| \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} |h(\gamma z)| |\gamma'(z)|^k.$$

Since $h \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, there exists $M > 0$ with $|h(z)| \leq M$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Hence,

$$|f(z)| \leq M \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\gamma'(z)|^k.$$

We will show that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\gamma'(z)|^2 \leq C_K \quad \text{for all } z \in K,$$

for each compact $K \subset \mathbb{D}$ and some constant $C_K > 0$. This implies absolute and uniform convergence on K .

(b) Integral estimate via disjointness of fundamental domains.

Let $U \subset \mathbb{D}$ be a relatively compact open set such that $\gamma(U) \cap U = \emptyset$ for all $\gamma \neq \text{id}$. Then, for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, by letting $w = \gamma(z)$ (so that $dw = \gamma'(z) dz$),

$$\int_U |\gamma'(z)|^2 |dz|^2 = \int_{\gamma(U)} |dw|^2 = \text{Area}(\gamma(U)).$$

Summing over all γ ,

$$\int_U \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\gamma'(z)|^2 |dz|^2 \leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{Area}(\gamma(U)) = \text{Area}\left(\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma(U)\right) = \text{Area}(\mathbb{D}) < \infty.$$

Thus the function $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\gamma'(z)|^2$ is locally integrable and bounded in average.

(c) From integral to pointwise estimates.

Let $S(z)$ be any holomorphic function on a disk $D(a, R)$. By the L^2 mean-value property,

$$|S(a)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\pi R^2} \int_{D(a, R)} |S(z)|^2 dx dy.$$

Indeed, if $S(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - a)^n$, then

$$\int_{D(a, R)} |S(z)|^2 dx dy = \int_0^R \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_n a_n r^n e^{in\theta} \right|^2 r d\theta dr = \pi \sum_n |a_n|^2 \frac{R^{2n+2}}{n+1},$$

and the orthogonality of $e^{in\theta}$ implies $|a_0|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\pi R^2} \int_{D(a,R)} |S(z)|^2 dx dy$.

Applying this to the (partial sums of the) function $S(z) = P_k^\Gamma(h)(z)$ and the integral estimate from part (b), we obtain for every compact $K \subset \mathbb{D}$ that there exists a constant $C_K > 0$ with

$$\sup_{z \in K} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\gamma'(z)|^2 \leq C_K.$$

Therefore the series

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} h(\gamma z) (\gamma'(z))^k$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} .

This completes the proof of convergence. □