

THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

MATH6101/MATH7101 Intermediate Complex Analysis
Assignment 1

Due Date: October 23, 2025

(Send scanned copies of your solutions to Mimi Lui at mimi@hku.hk.)

1. (a) Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$ be a set of p distinct points, $p > 0$, on the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \amalg \{\infty\}$ and let n_1, \dots, n_p be non-zero integers such that $n_1 + \dots + n_p = 0$. Show that there exists a nonconstant meromorphic function f on \mathbb{P}^1 such that $\text{ord}_{x_k}(f) = n_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq p$ and $\text{ord}_x(f) = 0$ for every point x on \mathbb{P}^1 not belonging to $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$. [Here $\text{ord}_a(f) = s$ is the zero order at a if $s > 0$, $-\text{ord}_a(f) = -s$ is the pole order at a if $s < 0$, and $\text{ord}_a(f) = 0$ if and only f is holomorphic and non-zero at a .].
- (b) Let $L = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2 \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a lattice and define $X := \mathbb{C}/L$. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$, be p distinct points on $X, p > 0$, such that $x_k + x_\ell \neq 0$ on X for $1 \leq k, \ell \leq p$ (when $X = \mathbb{C}/L$ is regarded as a commutative group). For $1 \leq k \leq p$ let n_k be non-zero integers such that $n_1 + \dots + n_p = 0$. Prove using the Weierstrass \wp -function that there exists a meromorphic function f on X such that $\text{ord}_{x_k}(f) = \text{ord}_{-x_k}(f) = n_k$, and $\text{ord}_x(f) = 0$ for any $x \in X$ such that $x \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_p; -x_1, \dots, -x_p\}$.
2. Let $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ be linearly independent over \mathbb{R} and write $L = \{n_1\omega_1 + n_2\omega_2 : n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for the lattice generated by ω_1 and ω_2 . Write $L' := L - \{0\}$.
 - (a) Show that for $k \geq 3$ we have $\sum_{\omega \in L'} \frac{1}{|\omega|^k} < \infty$.
 - (b) Show that $\sum_{\omega \in L} \frac{z + \omega}{(z + \omega)^6 - 1}$ converges in an appropriate sense to an elliptic function. Describe the nature of the convergence and explain why the limiting function is indeed doubly periodic with respect to L .
 - (c) Suppose $\omega_1 = 2$ and $\omega_2 = 2i$ and write $f(z) := \sum_{\omega \in L} \frac{z + \omega}{(z + \omega)^6 - 1}$. Determine the poles of f and the pole order at each of the poles. Regarding f equivalently as a meromorphic function h on $X = \mathbb{C}/L$, counting multiplicities how many zeros of h are there on X ? Explain why.

3. Given a lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2 \subset \mathbb{C}$, and writing $L^* = L - \{0\}$ define

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{\omega \in L^*} \left(\frac{1}{z+\omega} + \frac{z}{\omega^2} - \frac{1}{\omega} \right).$$

Check using 2(a) that the following holds true: For any $R > 0$, on $D(R)$ one can decompose $\zeta(z)$ as a sum of a finite number of meromorphic functions and an infinite sum of holomorphic functions such that the latter sum converges uniformly on $D(R)$ to a holomorphic function.

4. For a given lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$, $L' := L - \{0\}$, it is assumed known that $\wp(z) := \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \in L'} \left(\frac{1}{(z+\omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right)$ converges in an appropriate sense to a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} .

(a) Prove that \wp is indeed an elliptic function with respect to L .

(b) Define

$$\begin{cases} f(z) = (\wp'(z))^2 \\ g(z) = \left(\wp(z) - \wp\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right) \right) \left(\wp(z) - \wp\left(\frac{\omega_2}{2}\right) \right) \left(\wp(z) - \wp\left(\frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}\right) \right). \end{cases}$$

Show that, counting multiplicities, f and g have the same zeros and the same poles. Hence deduce that

$$(\wp'(z))^2 = 4 \left(\wp(z) - \wp\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right) \right) \left(\wp(z) - \wp\left(\frac{\omega_2}{2}\right) \right) \left(\wp(z) - \wp\left(\frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}\right) \right).$$

- (c) Assume known that \wp satisfies the equation $(\wp')^2 = 4\wp^3 + a\wp + b$ for some complex numbers a, b (depending on L). Prove that

$$\wp\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right) + \wp\left(\frac{\omega_2}{2}\right) + \wp\left(\frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}\right) = 0.$$