

---

## 20250123 MATH4302 NOTE 1[1]

---

**Author:** Be  $\sqrt{-1}$ maginative, and nothing will be  $\frac{d}{dx}$ ifficult!

**Email:** [u3612704@connect.hku.hk](mailto:u3612704@connect.hku.hk);

**Phone:** +852 5693 2134; +86 19921823546;

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Principal Ideal Domain</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Unique Factorization Domain, Euclidean Domain</b>	<b>7</b>

# 1 Introduction

This note aims to prove the following relation:

$$\text{Euclidean Domain} \subseteq \text{Principal Ideal Domain} \subsetneq \text{Unique Factorization Domain}$$

Actually we may prove the following as well:

$$\text{Euclidean Domain} \not\supseteq \text{Principal Ideal Domain}$$

However, the proof is not simple, so we don't include it here. We list the definitions of nonzero commutative ring with unity, integral domain and field.

## Definition 1.1. (Nonzero Commutative Ring with Unity)

Let  $R$  be a set with two operations  $(r, s) \mapsto r + s, (r, s) \mapsto rs$ . If:

- (1)  $\forall r, s \in R, r + s = s + r$ .
- (2)  $\forall r, s, t \in R, (r + s) + t = r + (s + t)$ .
- (3)  $\exists 0 \neq 1, \forall r \in R, 0 + r = r$ .
- (4)  $\forall r \in R, \exists s \in R, s + r = 0$ .
- (5)  $\forall r, s \in R, rs = sr$ .
- (6)  $\forall r, s, t \in R, (rs)t = r(st)$ .
- (7)  $\exists 1 \neq 0, \forall r \in R, 1r = r$ .
- (8)  $\forall \lambda \in R, \forall r, s \in R, \lambda(r + s) = \lambda r + \lambda s$ .

Then  $R$  is a nonzero commutative ring with unity.

## Definition 1.2. (Zero Divisor and Integral Domain)

Let  $R$  be a nonzero commutative ring with unity.

If  $r \neq 0$  and  $\exists s \neq 0, rs = 0$ , then  $r$  is a zero divisor.

If every  $r \neq 0$  is not a zero divisor, then  $R$  is an integral domain.

## Definition 1.3. (Unit and Field)

Let  $R$  be a nonzero commutative ring with unity.

If  $r \neq 0$  and  $\exists s \neq 0, rs = 1$ , then  $r$  is a unit.

If every  $r \neq 0$  is a unit, then  $R$  is a field.

# 2 Principal Ideal Domain

## Definition 2.1. (Maximal Ideal)

Let  $R$  be a nonzero commutative ring with unity, and  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a proper ideal of  $R$ .

If there is no ideal strictly between  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $R$ , then  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal.

**Proposition 2.2.**  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal iff  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is a field.

*Proof.* We may divide our proof into two parts.

**“if” direction:** Assume that  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is a field.

As  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is a nonzero commutative ring with unity,  $\mathfrak{p}$  is proper.

As  $\forall a \notin \mathfrak{p}, xa \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  has a solution,  $\langle a \rangle + \mathfrak{p} = R$ , so  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal.

**“only if” direction:** Assume that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal.

As  $\mathfrak{p}$  is proper,  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is a nonzero commutative ring with unity.

As  $\forall a \notin \mathfrak{p}, \langle a \rangle + \mathfrak{p} = R, xa \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  has a solution, so  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is a field.

Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum. □

**Definition 2.3. (Prime Ideal)**

Let  $R$  be a nonzero commutative ring with unity, and  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a proper ideal of  $R$ .

If  $\mathfrak{p}^c$  is closed under multiplication, then  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime.

**Proposition 2.4.**  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime iff  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is an integral domain.

*Proof.* We may divide our proof into two parts.

**“if” direction:** Assume that  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is an integral domain.

As  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is a nonzero commutative ring with unity,  $\mathfrak{p}$  is proper.

As  $\forall a, b \notin \mathfrak{p}, ab \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}, ab \notin \mathfrak{p}$ , so  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime.

**“only if” direction:** Assume that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime.

As  $\mathfrak{p}$  is proper,  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is a nonzero commutative ring with unity.

As  $\forall a, b \notin \mathfrak{p}, ab \notin \mathfrak{p}, ab \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ , so  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  is an integral domain.

Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum. □

**Example 2.5.** As  $\text{Field} \subseteq \text{Integral Domain}, \text{Maximal Ideal} \subseteq \text{Prime Ideal}$ .

**Proposition 2.6.**  $a$  is a unit in  $R$  iff  $1 \in \langle a, t \rangle$  in  $R[[t]]$ .

*Proof.* We may divide our proof into two parts.

**“if” direction:** Assume that  $1 \in \langle a, t \rangle$ , i.e.,  $\exists x(t), y(t) \in R[[t]], ax(t) + ty(t) = 1$ .

The constant term projection is  $\exists x_0 \in R, ax_0 = 1$ , where  $a, x_0 \neq 0$ , so  $a$  is a unit.

**“only if” direction:** Assume that  $a$  is a unit, i.e.,  $\exists x_0 \in R, ax_0 = 1$ .

Embed this into  $R[[t]], \exists x_0, 0 \in R[[t]], 1 = ax_0$ , so  $1 \in \langle a, t \rangle$ .

Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum. □

**Proposition 2.7.**  $R$  is an integral domain iff  $\langle t \rangle$  is prime in  $R[[t]]$ .

*Proof.* We may divide our proof into two parts.

**“if” direction:** Assume that  $\langle t \rangle$  is prime in  $R[[t]]$ , i.e.,  $\forall a(t), b(t) \notin \langle t \rangle, a(t)b(t) \notin \langle t \rangle$ .

The constant term projection is  $\forall a_0, b_0 \neq 0, a_0 b_0 \neq 0$ , so  $R$  is an integral domain.  
**“only if” direction:** Assume that  $R$  is an integral domain, i.e.,  $\forall a_0, b_0 \neq 0, a_0 b_0 \neq 0$ .  
Embed this into  $R[[t]]$ ,  $\forall a(t), b(t) \notin \langle t \rangle, a(t)b(t) \notin \langle t \rangle$ , so  $R$  is an integral domain.  
Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum.  $\square$

**Example 2.8.** In  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ , **Maximal Ideal**  $\not\subseteq$  **Prime Ideal**, as:

- (1)  $\langle t \rangle \subsetneq \langle 2, t \rangle \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}[t]$ , so  $\langle t \rangle$  is not maximal.
- (2)  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an integral domain, so  $\langle t \rangle$  is prime.

**Definition 2.9. (Prime Element)**

Let  $R$  be a nonzero commutative ring with unity, and  $p$  be a nonzero nonunit element of  $R$ . If  $\langle p \rangle$  is prime, then  $p$  is prime.

**Definition 2.10. (Irreducible Element)**

Let  $R$  be a nonzero commutative ring with unity, and  $p$  be a nonzero nonunit element of  $R$ . If  $p$  is not a product of nonunit elements, then  $p$  is irreducible.

**Proposition 2.11.** In integral domain, **Prime Element**  $\subseteq$  **Irreducible Element**.

*Proof.* For all prime element  $p \in R$ , assume that  $p = ab$ , where  $a, b \in R$ .  
As  $p|ab$ ,  $p|a$  or  $p|b$ , so we may assume WLOG that  $p|a$  with quotient  $c$ .  
As  $R$  is an integral domain,  $bc = 1$ , so this nonzero nonunit element  $p$  is irreducible.  
Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum.  $\square$

**Example 2.12.** In  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ , **Prime Element**  $\not\subseteq$  **Irreducible Element**, as:

- (1)  $\langle 2 \rangle^c = \mathbb{Z}_6^\times$  is closed under multiplication, so 2 is prime.
- (2)  $2 = 2 \cdot 4$ , where 2, 4 are nonunit, so 2 is reducible.

**Example 2.13.** In  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$ , **Prime Element**  $\not\subseteq$  **Irreducible Element**, as:

- (1)  $\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{-3}}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$  and  $\frac{(1 + \sqrt{-3})(1 - \sqrt{-3})}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$ , so 2 is not prime.
- (2)  $\overline{B}(0, 2) \cap \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}] = \pm\{0, 1, \sqrt{-3}, 1 + \sqrt{-3}, 1 - \sqrt{-3}, 2\}$ , so 2 is irreducible.

**Definition 2.14. (Principal Ideal and Principal Ideal Ring)**

Let  $R$  be a nonzero commutative ring with unity.  
If an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  is generated by some element  $a$ , then  $\mathfrak{a}$  is principal.  
If every ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  is principal, then  $R$  is a principal ideal ring.

**Proposition 2.15.** In principal ideal ring, every irreducible element  $p$  generates a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p} = \langle p \rangle$ .

*Proof.* For all ideal  $\mathfrak{a} = \langle a \rangle$ , assume that  $\mathfrak{a} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}$ .

As  $a|p$ , for some  $b \in R$ ,  $p = ab$ .

As  $p$  is irreducible,  $a$  is a unit,  $\mathfrak{a} = R$ , or  $b$  is a unit,  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}$ .

Hence, this proper ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal. Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum.  $\square$

**Example 2.16.** In  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ , an irreducible element does not necessarily generate a maximal ideal, for the same reason given in **Example 2.8.**

**Example 2.17.** In  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ , a maximal ideal is not necessarily generated by an irreducible element, as:

- (1)  $\langle 2 \rangle$  is maximal in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , so  $\langle 2, t \rangle$  is maximal in  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ .
- (2)  $\forall a(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t], a(t)|2 \implies a(t) \in \pm\{1, 2\}$ , so  $\langle 2, t \rangle$  is not principal.

**Definition 2.18. (Principal Ideal Domain)**

Let  $R$  be an integral domain.

If  $R$  is a principal ideal ring, then  $R$  is a principal ideal domain.

**Example 2.19.** In principal ideal domain, the followings are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a nonzero maximal ideal.
- (2)  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a nonzero prime ideal.
- (3)  $\mathfrak{p}$  is generated by a prime element  $p$ .
- (4)  $\mathfrak{p}$  is generated by an irreducible element  $p$ .

**Proposition 2.20.** In principal ideal ring, for all ascending chain of ideals:

$$\mathfrak{a}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_3 \subseteq \cdots$$

The chain stops increasing after some  $\mathfrak{a}_m$ :

$$\mathfrak{a}_m = \mathfrak{a}_{m+1} = \mathfrak{a}_{m+2} = \cdots$$

*Proof.* Define the following subset of  $R$ :

$$\mathfrak{a} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \mathfrak{a}_n$$

- (1)  $0 \in \mathfrak{a}_1, 0 \in \mathfrak{a}$ .
- (2)  $\forall a_s \in \mathfrak{a}_s \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{\text{Max}\{s,t\}}, \forall a_t \in \mathfrak{a}_t \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{\text{Max}\{s,t\}}, a_s + a_t \in \mathfrak{a}_{\text{Max}\{s,t\}} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ .
- (3)  $\forall a_s \in \mathfrak{a}_s, -a_s \in \mathfrak{a}_s \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ .
- (4)  $\forall \lambda \in R, \forall a_s \in \mathfrak{a}_s, \lambda a_s \in \mathfrak{a}_s \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ .

As  $R$  is a principal ideal ring,  $\mathfrak{a}$  has a generator  $a$ .

This  $a$  belongs to some  $\mathfrak{a}_m$ , so the chain stop increasing after this  $\mathfrak{a}_m$ .

Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum. □

**Example 2.21.** In  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , there exists a strictly increasing chain of ideals:

$$\langle \mathbf{e}_1 \rangle \subsetneq \langle \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2 \rangle \subsetneq \langle \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3 \rangle \subsetneq \cdots$$

### 3 Unique Factorization Domain, Euclidean Domain

**Definition 3.1. (Factorization Tree and Factorization Domain)**

Let  $R$  be an integral domain. If a binary tree  $T$  satisfies the following properties:

- (1) When a node  $a$  is reducible, then  $a = a_1 a_2$ , where  $a_1, a_2$  are children of  $a$ .
- (2) When a node  $a$  is irreducible, then  $a$  has no child.

Then  $T$  is a factorization tree.

If every factorization tree is finite, then  $R$  is a factorization domain.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain.

$R$  is a factorization domain iff for all ascending chain of principal ideals:

$$\langle a_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle a_2 \rangle \subseteq \langle a_3 \rangle \subseteq \cdots$$

The chain stops increasing after some  $\langle a_m \rangle$ :

$$\langle a_m \rangle = \langle a_{m+1} \rangle = \langle a_{m+2} \rangle = \cdots$$

*Proof.* It suffices to notice that a binary tree is finite iff every chain is finite.

Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum. □

**Definition 3.3. (Unique Factorization Domain)**

Let  $R$  be a factorization domain. If for all associated irreducible factorizations:

$$p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m \sim q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n$$

We have  $m = n$ , and for some  $\sigma \in S_n$ ,  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \sim \sigma * (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n)$ , then  $R$  is a unique factorization domain.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $R$  be a factorization domain.

$R$  is a unique factorization domain iff every irreducible element is prime.

*Proof.* We may divide our proof into two parts.

**“if” direction:** Assume that every irreducible element is prime.

For all associated irreducible factorization:

$$p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m \sim q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n$$

(1) As  $p_1$  is prime and  $q_{\sigma(1)}$  is irreducible,  $p_1, q_{\sigma(1)}$  are associated.

As  $R$  is an integral domain, we may cancel  $p_1, q_{\sigma(1)}$  and repeat the argument.

(2) According to well-ordering principle, this cancellation program terminates.

We end up with  $m = n$ , and for some  $\sigma \in S_n$ ,  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \sim \sigma * (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n)$ .

**“only if” direction:** Assume that  $R$  is a unique factorization domain.

For all irreducible element  $p$ , for all elements  $a, b$ , take the irreducible factorizations:

$$a = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m \text{ and } b = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n$$

As  $R$  is a unique factorization domain:

$$p|ab \implies p|a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n \implies p|\text{some } a_k \text{ or } p|\text{some } b_l \implies p|a \text{ or } p|b$$

Hence,  $p$  is prime. Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum. □

**Example 3.5. Principal Ideal Domain  $\subseteq$  Unique Factorization Domain**, as:

- (1) In principal ideal domain, the ascending chain criterion for ideals holds.
- (2) In principal ideal domain, every irreducible element is prime.

**Example 3.6. Principal Ideal Domain  $\not\subseteq$  Unique Factorization Domain**, as:

- (1)  $\langle 2, t \rangle$  is not principal, so  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$  is not a principal ideal domain.
- (2)  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}[t]$  are unique factorization domains, so does  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ .

**Definition 3.7. (Euclidean Domain)**

Let  $R$  be an integral domain.

If there is a monotone degree function  $\text{Deg} : R \rightarrow \{-\infty, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , such that for all  $a, b \in R$ , with  $b \neq 0$ , for some  $q, r \in R$ :

$$a = qb + r \text{ and } \text{Deg}(r) < \text{Deg}(b)$$

**Proposition 3.8. Euclidean Domain  $\subseteq$  Principal Ideal Domain.**

*Proof.* For all nonzero ideal  $\mathfrak{b}$  of an Euclidean domain  $R$ ,

there exists a nonzero element  $b$  with smallest degree  $\text{Deg}(b) \geq 0$ .

For all  $a \in R$ ,  $b$  must divide  $a$ , otherwise the remainder  $r = a - qb \in \mathfrak{b}$ ,

having a smaller degree  $\text{Deg}(r) < \text{Deg}(b)$ , will contradict the definition of  $b$ .

This means  $\mathfrak{b} = \langle b \rangle$  is principal, so  $R$  is a principal ideal domain.

Quod. Erat. Demonstrandum. □



## References

- [1] H. Ren, “Template for math notes,” 2021.