

THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

MATH6101/MATH7101 Intermediate Complex Analysis

Assignment 1

Due Date: October 23, 2025

(Send scanned copies of your solutions to Mimi Lui at mimi@hku.hk.)

1. (a) Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$  be a set of  $p$  distinct points,  $p > 0$ , on the Riemann sphere  $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \amalg \{\infty\}$  and let  $n_1, \dots, n_p$  be non-zero integers such that  $n_1 + \dots + n_p = 0$ . Show that there exists a nonconstant meromorphic function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  such that  $\text{ord}_{x_k}(f) = n_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq p$  and  $\text{ord}_x(f) = 0$  for every point  $x$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  not belonging to  $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$ . [Here  $\text{ord}_a(f) = s$  is the zero order at  $a$  if  $s > 0$ ,  $-\text{ord}_a(f) = -s$  is the pole order at  $a$  if  $s < 0$ , and  $\text{ord}_a(f) = 0$  if and only if  $f$  is holomorphic and non-zero at  $a$ .]
- (b) Let  $L = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2 \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a lattice and define  $X := \mathbb{C}/L$ . Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$  be  $p$  distinct points on  $X$ ,  $p > 0$ , such that  $x_k + x_\ell \neq 0$  on  $X$  for  $1 \leq k, \ell \leq p$  (when  $X = \mathbb{C}/L$  is regarded as a commutative group). For  $1 \leq k \leq p$  let  $n_k$  be non-zero integers such that  $n_1 + \dots + n_p = 0$ . Prove using the Weierstrass  $\wp$ -function that there exists a meromorphic function  $f$  on  $X$  such that  $\text{ord}_{x_k}(f) = \text{ord}_{-x_k}(f) = n_k$ , and  $\text{ord}_x(f) = 0$  for any  $x \in X$  such that  $x \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_p; -x_1, \dots, -x_p\}$ .
2. Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  be linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$  and write  $L = \{n_1\omega_1 + n_2\omega_2 : n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  for the lattice generated by  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ . Write  $L' := L - \{0\}$ .
  - (a) Show that for  $k \geq 3$  we have  $\sum_{\omega \in L'} \frac{1}{|\omega|^k} < \infty$ .
  - (b) Show that  $\sum_{\omega \in L} \frac{z + \omega}{(z + \omega)^6 - 1}$  converges in an appropriate sense to an elliptic function. Describe the nature of the convergence and explain why the limiting function is indeed doubly periodic with respect to  $L$ .
  - (c) Suppose  $\omega_1 = 2$  and  $\omega_2 = 2i$  and write  $f(z) := \sum_{\omega \in L} \frac{z + \omega}{(z + \omega)^6 - 1}$ . Determine the poles of  $f$  and the pole order at each of the poles. Regarding  $f$  equivalently as a meromorphic function  $h$  on  $X = \mathbb{C}/L$ , counting multiplicities how many zeros of  $h$  are there on  $X$ ? Explain why.

THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

MATH6101/MATH7101 Intermediate Complex Analysis

Solution to Assignment 1

1. (a) Assume first that  $\infty \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$ . Consider the function  $f(z) = \prod_{k=1}^p (z - x_k)^{n_k}$ . Then, for  $1 \leq k \leq p$ ,  $\text{ord}_{x_k}(f) = n_k$  by construction. Moreover,  $f$  is holomorphic and non-zero whenever  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $x \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$ . To prove that  $f$  satisfies the required properties it suffices to show that  $f$  has a removable singularity at  $z = \infty$  and it is nonzero there after extension. Since  $\sum_{k=1}^p n_k = 0$  we have  $\prod_{k=1}^p z^{n_k} = 1$  and

$$f(z) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^p (z - x_k)^{n_k}}{\prod_{k=1}^p z^{n_k}} = \prod_{k=1}^p \left( \frac{z - x_k}{z} \right)^{n_k} = \prod_{k=1}^p \left( 1 - \frac{x_k}{z} \right)^{n_k}.$$

Taking limits as  $z \rightarrow \infty$  we have  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f(z) = 1$ . In particular,  $f$  is locally bounded at  $z = \infty$  and it has a removable singularity at  $z = \infty$ , such that  $f(\infty) = 1 \neq 0$  after extension, as desired.

Suppose now  $\infty \in \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $x_p = \infty$ . Define now  $f(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} (z - x_k)^{n_k}$ . Then, as in the former case we have  $\text{ord}_{x_k}(f) = n_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq p-1$  and for  $x \in \mathbb{C} - \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$  we have  $\text{ord}_x(f) = 0$ . It remains to verify that  $\text{ord}_{\infty}(f) = n_p$ . Since  $n_1 + \dots + n_{p-1} = (n_1 + \dots + n_p) - n_p = -n_p$  we have

$$f(z) = z^{n_1 + \dots + n_{p-1}} \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} \left( 1 - \frac{x_k}{z} \right)^{n_k} = z^{-n_p} \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} \left( 1 - \frac{x_k}{z} \right)^{n_k} = w^{n_p} \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} (1 - x_k w)^{n_k},$$

where  $w = \frac{1}{z}$ , showing that  $\text{ord}_{\infty}(f) = n_p$ , as desired.

An alternative solution for the second case

If  $\infty \in \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$  we choose  $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$  so that  $\infty \notin \{\gamma x_1, \dots, \gamma x_p\}$ . By the above there exists some  $f$  meromorphic on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  such that  $\text{ord}_{\gamma x_k}(f) = n_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq \infty$  and there are no other zeros nor poles on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Then,  $f \circ \gamma$  gives the solution of the original problem.

3. Given a lattice  $L = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2 \subset \mathbb{C}$ , and writing  $L^* = L - \{0\}$  define

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{\omega \in L^*} \left( \frac{1}{z + \omega} + \frac{z}{\omega^2} - \frac{1}{\omega} \right).$$

Check using 2(a) that the following holds true: For any  $R > 0$ , on  $D(R)$  one can decompose  $\zeta(z)$  as a sum of a finite number of meromorphic functions and an infinite sum of holomorphic functions such that the latter sum converges uniformly on  $D(R)$  to a holomorphic function.

4. For a given lattice  $L = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$ ,  $L' := L - \{0\}$ , it is assumed known that  $\wp(z) := \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \in L'} \left( \frac{1}{(z + \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right)$  converges in an appropriate sense to a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

- (a) Prove that  $\wp$  is indeed an elliptic function with respect to  $L$ .  
(b) Define

$$\begin{cases} f(z) = (\wp'(z))^2 \\ g(z) = \left( \wp(z) - \wp\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right) \right) \left( \wp(z) - \wp\left(\frac{\omega_2}{2}\right) \right) \left( \wp(z) - \wp\left(\frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}\right) \right) \end{cases}.$$

Show that, counting multiplicities,  $f$  and  $g$  have the same zeros and the same poles. Hence deduce that

$$(\wp'(z))^2 = 4 \left( \wp(z) - \wp\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right) \right) \left( \wp(z) - \wp\left(\frac{\omega_2}{2}\right) \right) \left( \wp(z) - \wp\left(\frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}\right) \right).$$

- (c) Assume known that  $\wp$  satisfies the equation  $(\wp')^2 = 4\wp^3 + a\wp + b$  for some complex numbers  $a, b$  (depending on  $L$ ). Prove that

$$\wp\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right) + \wp\left(\frac{\omega_2}{2}\right) + \wp\left(\frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}\right) = 0.$$

(b) Denote by  $\pi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X = \mathbb{C}/L$  the universal covering map. Write  $\wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \in L^*} \left( \frac{1}{(z-\omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \right)$ ,  $L = L^* - \{0\}$ , for the Weierstrass  $\wp$ -function associated to the lattice  $L$ . Then, for every integer  $k, 1 \leq k \leq p$ , choosing  $y_k \in \pi^{-1}(x_k) \in \mathbb{C}$ , the elliptic function  $f_k(z) := \wp(z) - \wp(y_k)$  has a zero at any  $z = \tilde{x}_k$  whenever  $\pi(\tilde{x}_k) = x_k$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{x}_k = y_k + \omega$  for some  $\omega \in L$ . Regarded as a meromorphic function on  $X$ ,  $f_k$  has a zero at  $x_k$ . Since  $\wp$  is an even function on  $X$ ,  $f_k(-\tilde{x}_k) = f_k(\tilde{x}_k) = 0$ , so that as a meromorphic function on  $X$ ,  $f_k(-x_k) = 0$ . Now  $f_k$  has a double pole at  $0 \in X$ . Since  $2x_k \neq 0$  on  $X$  (as a commutative group),  $f$  has two distinct zeros at  $x_k$  and  $-x_k$ . Since the total number of zeros of  $f_k$  agree with the number of poles,  $f_k$  must have a simple zero at  $x_k$  and at  $-x_k$ . By assumption  $x_k + x_\ell \neq 0$  on  $x$  for  $1 \leq k, \ell \leq n$ , hence  $\{x_1, \dots, x_p; -x_1, \dots, -x_p\}$  consist of  $2p$  distinct points. Define now  $f = f_1^{n_1} \cdots f_p^{n_p}$ . Then,

$$\text{ord}_{x_k} f = \text{ord}_{-x_k} f = n_k \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq p.$$

For  $x \notin \{x_1, \dots, x_p; -x_1, \dots, -x_p; 0\}$ , it is clear from the definition of  $f$  that  $\text{ord}_x f = 0$ . It remains to show that  $\text{ord}_0 f = 0$ . But now  $f_k(z) = \wp(z) - \wp(y_k)$  has a double pole at  $0$  for each  $k, 1 \leq k \leq p$ . Hence,

$$\text{ord}_0 f = \sum_{k=1}^p \text{ord}_0 (f_k^{n_k}) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^p n_k = 0$$

by assumption. As a conclusion,  $f$  solves the Weierstrass Problem for the given data  $\{(x_k; n_k) : 1 \leq k \leq p\}$ .

2. (a) For an integer  $n \geq 1$  define  $S_n = \{n_1\omega_1 + n_2\omega_2 : -n \leq n_1, n_2 \leq n\}$ , and define  $T_0 = \{0\}, T_n := S_n - S_{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . We have  $\text{Card}(S_n) = (2n+1)^2$ , so that

$$\text{Card}(T_n) = (2n+1)^2 - (2n-1)^2 = (4n^2 + 4n + 1) - (4n^2 - 4n + 1) = 8n.$$

Then,  $S_n$  is the disjoint union of  $T_0, T_1, \dots, T_n$ , and

$$\sum_{\omega \in L'} \frac{1}{|\omega|^k} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\omega \in T_n} \frac{1}{|\omega|^k} \right).$$

Obviously there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $|\omega| \geq cn$  for any  $\omega \in T_n$ .

Hence, for  $k \geq 3$  we have

$$\sum_{\omega \in L'} \frac{1}{|\omega|^k} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{8n}{(cn)^k} = \frac{8}{c^k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{k-1}} < \infty$$

since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^\alpha} < \infty$  whenever  $\alpha > 1$ .

(b) Let  $K = \overline{D(R)}$ . Formally

$$\sum_{\omega \in L} \frac{z + \omega}{(z + \omega)^6 - 1} = \sum_{|\omega| \leq 2R} \frac{z + \omega}{(z + \omega)^6 - 1} + \sum_{|\omega| > 2R} \frac{z + \omega}{(z + \omega)^6 - 1}$$

There are only finitely many terms in the first summation  $\sum'$ . For the second summation  $\sum''$ ,  $|z + \omega| \geq |\omega| - |z| \geq |\omega| - R > \frac{|\omega|}{2}$ , so that

$$\sum_{|\omega| > 2R} \left| \frac{z + \omega}{(z + \omega)^6 - 1} \right| < \sum_{|\omega| > 2R} \frac{R + |\omega|}{\left(\frac{|\omega|}{2}\right)^6 - 1}.$$

Choosing  $R \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{|\omega| > 2R} \left| \frac{z + \omega}{(z + \omega)^6 - 1} \right| < \sum_{|\omega| > 2R} \frac{R + |\omega|}{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{|\omega|}{2}\right)^6} < \sum_{\omega \in L'} \frac{2^7 \cdot R}{|\omega|^6} + \sum_{\omega \in L'} \frac{2^7}{|\omega|^5}.$$

By (a), the second summation  $\sum''$  is absolutely and uniformly convergent on  $\overline{D(R)}$ . From absolute convergence of  $\sum''$  it follows readily that the order of summation is immaterial, hence for any  $\nu \in L$

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &:= \sum_{\omega \in L} \frac{z + \omega}{(z + \omega)^6 - 1} = \sum_{\omega \in L} \frac{z + (\nu + \omega)}{(z + (\nu + \omega))^6 - 1} = \sum_{\omega \in L} \frac{(z + \nu) + \omega}{((z + \nu) + \omega)^6 - 1} \\ &= f(z + \nu). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $f$  is an elliptic function.

- (c) Suppose  $z_0$  is a pole of  $f$ . Then,  $z_0$  must be a pole of  $\frac{z_0 + \omega}{(z_0 + \omega)^6 - 1}$  for some  $\omega \in L$ . Hence  $(z_0 + \omega)^6 = 1$ , i.e.,

$$z_0 = \pm 1 + 2n_1 + 2n_2i, \pm e^{\frac{\pi i}{3}} + 2n_1 + 2n_2i, \pm e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} + 2n_1 + 2n_2i$$

for some integers  $n_1, n_2$ . Thus,  $z_0 = \pm 1, \pm \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i\right), \pm \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i\right)$  and their translates by  $L = 2\mathbb{Z} + 2\mathbb{Z}i$  are all the possible poles. By inspection any two distinct entities of the six listed potential poles cannot be congruent to each other with the exception of the pair 1 and  $-1$ , where  $1 - (-1) = 2 \in L$ . Thus,  $\pm \left(\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i\right) + 2n_1 + 2n_2i$  are poles of  $f$ , and they are simple poles since  $((z_0 + \omega)^6 - 1)' = 6(z + \omega)^5 \neq 0$  whenever  $(z + \omega)^6 = 1$ . On the other hand, at  $z_0 = 1$ , two summands  $\frac{z}{z^6 - 1}$  and  $\frac{z - 2}{(z - 2)^6 - 1}$  have simple poles at 1. The sum has either a simple pole or a removable singularity at 1. To determine this we have to determine the residues. We have  $((z + \omega)^6 - 1)' = 6(z + \omega)^5$  and hence

$$\text{Res}\left(\frac{z}{z^6 - 1}; 1\right) = \frac{1}{6}, \text{Res}\left(\frac{z - 2}{(z - 2)^6 - 1}; 1\right) = \frac{1 - 2}{6(-1)^5} = \frac{-1}{-6} = \frac{1}{6}.$$

Hence the residues do not cancel each other, and there are exactly 5 poles, all simple, modulo  $L$ , given by  $1 + L, \pm \left(\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i\right) + L$ . Finally, writing  $f(z) = h(\pi(z))$  for the uniformizing map  $\pi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/L = X$ , by the Residue Theorem, counting multiplicities, the number of zeros of  $h$  or  $X$  agrees with the number of poles. There are hence 5 zeros of  $h$ , counting multiplicities.

3. Fix  $R > 0$ . Let  $A \subset L^*$  be the subset of all points  $\omega$  in  $L^*$  such that  $|\omega| < 2R$ . Then on  $D(R)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(z) = & \left\{ \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{\omega \in A} \left( \frac{1}{z + \omega} + \frac{z}{\omega^2} - \frac{1}{\omega} \right) \right\} \\ & + \sum_{\omega \in L^* - A} \left( \frac{1}{z + \omega} + \frac{z}{\omega^2} - \frac{1}{\omega} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Write  $f_R$  for the sum inside  $\{\cdots\}$ , and  $\zeta_R$  for the infinite sum. Then  $f_R$  is a meromorphic function on  $D(R)$ . For the infinite sum, write a summand as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{z+\omega} + \frac{z}{\omega^2} - \frac{1}{\omega} &= \frac{1}{(z+\omega)\omega^2} (\omega^2 + z(z+\omega) - (z+\omega)\omega) \\ &= \frac{1}{(z+\omega)\omega^2} (\omega^2 + z^2 + z\omega - z\omega - \omega^2) = \frac{z^2}{(z+\omega)\omega^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, on  $D(R)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left| \frac{1}{z+\omega} + \frac{z}{\omega^2} - \frac{1}{\omega} \right| \\ &< \left| \frac{R^2}{(\omega-R)\omega^2} \right| \leq \frac{2R^2}{|\omega|^3}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{\omega \in L^* - A} \left| \left( \frac{1}{z+\omega} + \frac{z}{\omega^2} - \frac{1}{\omega} \right) \right| \leq \sum_{|\omega| \geq 2R} \frac{2R^2}{|\omega|^3} < \infty$$

by 2(a), and hence the infinite sum under study converges absolutely and uniformly on  $D(R)$ , as desired.

4. (a) The convergence being absolute and uniform on compact subsets (after removing a finite number of terms with possible poles on a given compact subset), the order of summation is unimportant. We have  $\wp'(z) = \frac{-2}{z^3} + \sum_{\omega \in L} \frac{-2}{(z+\omega)^3} = -2E_3$ . which is elliptic. It follows that for any  $\omega \in L$ ,  $h_\omega(z) := \wp(z+\omega) - \wp(z)$  satisfies  $h'_\omega(z) = -2E_3(z+\omega) + 2E_3(z) = 0$ , hence  $h_\omega(z) = C_\omega$  for some complex number  $C_\omega$ . From  $\wp(z+\omega) - \wp(z) = C_\omega$ , substituting  $z = -\frac{\omega_i}{2}, \omega = \omega_i, i = 1, 2$ , we have  $C_{\omega_i} = \wp\left(-\frac{\omega_i}{2} + \omega_i\right) - \wp\left(-\frac{\omega_i}{2}\right) = \wp\left(\frac{\omega_i}{2}\right) - \wp\left(-\frac{\omega_i}{2}\right)$  noting that  $\wp\left(\frac{\omega_i}{2}\right)$  and  $\wp\left(-\frac{\omega_i}{2}\right)$  are finite. From the definition  $\wp$  is an even function, i.e.,  $\wp(z) = \wp(-z)$ . It follows that  $C_{\omega_i} = \wp\left(\frac{\omega_i}{2}\right) - \wp\left(\frac{\omega_i}{2}\right) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Hence  $\wp(z+\omega) = \wp(z)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C}, \omega \in L$ , i.e.,  $\wp$  is an elliptic function.
- (b)  $f(z) = (\wp'(z))^2$  has a pole of order 6 at  $z = \omega, \omega \in L$ , and no other poles. Consider  $z = \frac{\omega_1}{2}, \frac{\omega_2}{2}$  or  $\frac{\omega_3}{2}, \omega_3 = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ . From  $\wp'(z) = -\wp'(-z)$  since  $\wp'$

is odd, for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , we have  $\wp' \left( \frac{\omega_i}{2} \right) = -\wp' \left( -\frac{\omega_i}{2} \right) = -\wp' \left( \frac{\omega_i}{2} \right)$  since  $\frac{\omega_i}{2} \equiv -\frac{\omega_i}{2} \pmod{L}$ . It follows that  $\wp' \left( \frac{\omega_1}{2} \right) = \wp' \left( \frac{\omega_2}{2} \right) = \wp' \left( \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2} \right) = 0$ . Since  $\wp$  has only a triple pole at lattice points  $\omega \in L$  and no other poles modulo  $L$ , there are exactly 3 zeros of  $\wp'$  counting multiplicities, so that  $\frac{\omega_1}{2}, \frac{\omega_2}{2}, \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}$  are simple zeros of  $\wp'$  and hence double zeros for  $f = (\wp')^2$ . For the elliptic function  $g(z) = \left( \wp - \wp \left( \frac{\omega_1}{2} \right) \right) \left( \wp - \wp \left( \frac{\omega_2}{2} \right) \right) \left( \wp - \wp \left( \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2} \right) \right)$ ,  $g$  has a pole of order 6 at  $\omega \in L$  and no other poles. Moreover  $g$  has zeros at  $z = \frac{\omega_1}{2}, \frac{\omega_2}{2}, \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}$ . Write  $\omega_3 := \omega_1 + \omega_2$ . Now  $\left( \wp - \wp \left( \frac{\omega_1}{2} \right) \right)' = \wp'$  and  $\wp' \left( \frac{\omega_i}{2} \right) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , so that  $\wp - \wp \left( \frac{\omega_i}{2} \right)$  must have at least a double zero at  $z = \frac{\omega_i}{2}, i = 1, 2, 3$ . Counting multiplicities, the number of zeros modulo  $L$  agrees with the number of poles modulo  $L$  for the elliptic function  $g$ , which is equal to 6. Hence,  $g$  must have a double zero at  $z = \frac{\omega_1}{2}, \frac{\omega_2}{2}, \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}$ . Consequently  $f$  and  $g$  have exactly the same zeros and poles counting multiplicities. By the Maximum Principle  $h := \frac{f}{g}$  is a non-zero constant  $\lambda$ . Expanding both sides using  $\wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \dots$ ,  $\wp'(z) = \frac{-2}{z^3} + \dots$  it follows that  $\lambda = 4$ , hence  $(\wp'(z))^2 = 4 \left( \wp(z) - \wp \left( \frac{\omega_1}{2} \right) \right) \left( \wp(z) - \wp \left( \frac{\omega_2}{2} \right) \right) \left( \wp(z) - \wp \left( \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2} \right) \right)$ , as desired.

(c) By (b) we have

$$(\wp'(z))^2 = 4\wp(z)^3 - 4 \left( \wp \left( \frac{\omega_1}{2} \right) + \wp \left( \frac{\omega_2}{2} \right) + \wp \left( \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2} \right) \right) \wp(z)^2 + \dots$$

so that  $\wp$  satisfies the differential equation

$$(\wp'(z))^2 = 4\wp(z)^3 + \alpha\wp(z)^2 + \beta\wp(z) + \gamma \text{ for some}$$

$\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\alpha = \wp \left( \frac{\omega_1}{2} \right) + \wp \left( \frac{\omega_2}{2} \right) + \wp \left( \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2} \right)$ . Given that  $(\wp'(z))^2 = 4\wp(z)^3 + a\wp(z)^2 + b$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ , it follows that

$$\alpha\wp(z)^2 + (\beta - a)\wp(z) + (\gamma - b) = 0.$$



Considering the Laurent series expansion at  $z = 0$  we have  $\frac{\alpha}{z^4} + \cdots = 0$  which forces  $\alpha = 0$ . Thus  $\wp\left(\frac{\omega_1}{2}\right) + \wp\left(\frac{\omega_2}{2}\right) + \wp\left(\frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}\right) = \alpha = 0$ , as desired.