

# Compact Riemann surfaces of genus $g \geq 2$ , Part 2

Joe

November 19, 2025

Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$ .

Recall Poincaré Series. Let  $h \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  and let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. Define the Poincaré series of weight  $k$  by

$$P_\Gamma^k(h)(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} h(\gamma z) (\gamma'(z))^k.$$

For sufficiently large  $k$ , the series converges, and we obtain a holomorphic function  $f = P_\Gamma^k(h)$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  satisfying

$$f(\gamma z) (\gamma'(z))^k = f(z), \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Thus  $f(z)(dz)^k$  defines a holomorphic  $k$ -differential on  $X = \mathbb{D} \setminus \Gamma$ .

**Purpose.** We wish to prove that there exists an integer  $k \gg 0$  such that the space of holomorphic  $k$ -differentials on  $X$  provides a projective embedding

$$\Phi : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N, \quad \Phi = [s_0, s_1, \dots, s_N].$$

That is, there exist holomorphic  $k$ -differentials

$$s_j(z) = f_j(z)(dz)^k, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N,$$

such that  $\Phi$  is an embedding.

Locally, we may also write

$$s_j(z) = f_j(z) \lambda(z) (dz)^k,$$

where  $\lambda(z)$  is a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic function. Then

$$[s_0(z) : s_1(z) : \dots : s_N(z)] = [\lambda(z)s_0(z) : \lambda(z)s_1(z) : \dots : \lambda(z)s_N(z)],$$

so the projective point  $\Phi(z)$  is independent of the local trivialization. Hence  $\Phi$  is well defined on  $X$ .

*Example 0.1.* It is possible to obtain an embedding of

$$X = \mathbb{C}/L \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$$

by means of *theta functions*. Define

$$\tilde{\Phi}(z) = [\theta_0(z) : \theta_1(z) : \theta_2(z)],$$

where, for  $k = 0, 1, 2$ , the functions  $\theta_k$  are classical theta functions satisfying

$$\theta_k(z + \omega) = \exp(A_\omega z + B_\omega) \theta_k(z), \quad \forall \omega \in L.$$

Then for all  $\omega \in L$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\tilde{\Phi}(z + \omega) = \tilde{\Phi}(z).$$

Hence  $\tilde{\Phi}$  descends to a well-defined holomorphic map

$$\Phi : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2,$$

which provides an embedding of  $X$  into projective space via theta functions.

We have proved previously that if

$$X = \mathbb{D}/\Gamma,$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a discrete torsion-free subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ , then for any point  $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ , there exists a sufficiently large integer  $k$  such that there exists a holomorphic  $k$ -differential

$$\phi(z) = f(z)(dz)^k,$$

invariant under  $\Gamma$  and satisfying

$$\phi(z_0) \neq 0.$$

We begin by decomposing

$$\Gamma = \Gamma' \sqcup \Gamma'', \quad \Gamma' = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma'(z)| \geq \tfrac{1}{2}\}.$$

Claim: We can choose a function  $h$  of the form  $h = P|_{\mathbb{D}}$ , where  $P$  is a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  satisfying

$$P(z_0) = 1, \quad P(\gamma z_0) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma' \setminus \{\text{id}\}.$$

Then we form the Poincaré series of weight  $k$ :

$$f(z) = P_\Gamma^k(h) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} h(\gamma z) (\gamma'(z))^k.$$

This sum can be split as

$$f(z) = \underbrace{\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} h(\gamma z) (\gamma'(z))^k}_{f(z_0)=1} + \underbrace{\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma''} h(\gamma z) (\gamma'(z))^k}_{\leq M}.$$

*Proof of the Claim.* In general, let  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m \in \mathbb{C}$  be distinct points, and let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{C}$  be prescribed values. We seek a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  such that

$$P(z_k) = a_k, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

This classical interpolation problem is solved by the *Lagrange interpolation formula*.

Define

$$Q(z) = \prod_{k=1}^m (z - z_k), \quad R_k(z) = \frac{Q(z)}{(z - z_k)}.$$

Then

$$R_k(z_k) \neq 0, \quad R_\ell(z_k) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \ell \neq k.$$

Hence the required polynomial is given by

$$P(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \frac{R_k(z)}{R_k(z_k)} = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^m (z - z_\ell)}{(z - z_k) \prod_{\ell \neq k} (z_k - z_\ell)}.$$

This polynomial satisfies  $P(z_k) = a_k$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . □

By the compactness of  $X$ , we may choose  $k \gg 0$  and finitely many holomorphic  $k$ -differentials on  $X$ ,

$$\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_N,$$

such that for every  $x \in X$ , there exists some index  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$  with

$$\phi_i(x) \neq 0.$$

For instance, suppose there exist points  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and differentials  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \phi_1(x_1) \neq 0, \\ \phi_1(x_2) = 0, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \phi_2(x_1) = 0, \\ \phi_2(x_2) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

By scaling and small perturbation, we may assume

$$\begin{cases} \phi_1(x_1) = 1, & |\phi_1(x_2)| < \varepsilon, \\ |\phi_2(x_1)| < \varepsilon, & \phi_2(x_2) = 1, \end{cases}$$

for some small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then the map

$$\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N, \quad \Phi(x) = [\phi_0(x) : \phi_1(x) : \dots : \phi_N(x)],$$

is non-constant, since the images of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  differ:

$$\Phi(x_1) \neq \Phi(x_2).$$

**Alternative argument.** Fix a point  $x_0 \in X$  and choose a lift  $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$  so that  $\pi(z_0) = x_0$  (i.e.  $x_0 = z_0 \bmod \Gamma$ ). Let  $\phi_0$  be a holomorphic  $k$ -differential on  $X$  for  $k \gg 0$ . Because  $X$  is compact,  $\phi_0$  must have a zero somewhere on  $X$ ; that is, there exists  $x_1 \in X$ ,  $x_1 \neq x_0$ , such that

$$\phi_0(x_1) = 0, \phi_0(x_0) \neq 0$$

(When  $g = 1$ , i.e.  $X$  is an elliptic curve, there exists a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic  $k$ -differential for some  $k$ , and this distinction characterizes  $g = 1$ .)

Now choose another holomorphic  $k$ -differential  $\phi_1$  such that  $\phi_1(x_1) \neq 0$ . Then the pairs of projective coordinates

$$(\phi_0(x_0), \phi_1(x_0)) \quad \text{and} \quad (\phi_0(x_1), \phi_1(x_1)) = (0, \phi_1(x_1))$$

are not proportional. Hence

$$\Phi(x_0) \neq \Phi(x_1),$$

showing that  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$  is a non-constant holomorphic map.

Now we aim to conduct the next three steps:

**Step 1.**

$$\Phi = [\phi_0, \dots, \phi_N] : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N \quad \text{holomorphic map (actually non-constant).}$$

This part is done.

**Step 2.**

$$\Phi \text{ is a holomorphic immersion for some } k \gg 0.$$

**Step 3.** To prove that

$$\Phi \text{ separates points (for some } k \gg 0 \text{).}$$

**Step 2.** To prove that  $\Phi$  is a holomorphic immersion for  $k \gg 0$ , it suffices to show the following local statement:

Given  $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ , find two holomorphic  $k$ -differentials  $f$  and  $g$  such that

$$(a) \ f = P_\Gamma^k(t) \text{ satisfies } f(z_0) \neq 0, \quad (b) \ g = P_\Gamma^k(s) \text{ satisfies } \left(\frac{g}{f}\right)'(z_0) \neq 0.$$

Indeed, in the inhomogeneous coordinates

$$\Phi = [t, s_1, \dots, s_m] = \left(\frac{s_1}{t}, \dots, \frac{s_m}{t}\right),$$

the immersion condition requires  $\left(\frac{s_j}{t}\right)'(z_0) \neq 0$  for some  $j$ , i.e. the derivative does not vanish at  $z_0$ .

*Proof.* We first construct a pair of polynomials realizing prescribed value and derivative data under the  $\Gamma$ -relations.

We wish to prove that for given complex numbers  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ , there exists a polynomial  $R(z)$  such that

$$R(z_0) = a, \quad R'(z_0) = b,$$

and moreover

$$R(\gamma z_0) = 0, \quad R'(\gamma z_0) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{\text{id}\}.$$

Let

$$P(z) = \prod_{i=2}^N (z - \gamma_i z_0)^2, \quad \Gamma_0 = \{\gamma_1 = \text{id}, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_N\}.$$

Define

$$Q(z) = (z - z_0) \prod_{i=2}^N (z - \gamma_i z_0)^2 = (z - z_0)P(z).$$

Then

$$P(z_0) = a \neq 0, \quad P'(z_0) = b,$$

and hence

$$Q(z_0) = 0, \quad Q'(z_0) = P(z_0) \neq 0.$$

Therefore, at  $z_0$  we have

$$(P(z_0), P'(z_0)) = (a, b), \quad (Q(z_0), Q'(z_0)) = (0, a), \quad a \neq 0.$$

Since these pairs are linearly independent, for any prescribed  $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) \in \mathbb{C}^2$  there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$R(z) = \alpha P(z) + \beta Q(z)$$

satisfies

$$R(z_0) = \tilde{a}, \quad R'(z_0) = \tilde{b}.$$

We may take in particular

$$(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) = (1, 0) \quad (\text{Polynomial A}), \quad (\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) = (0, 1) \quad (\text{Polynomial B}).$$

Observe that

$$P(\gamma_i z_0) = P'(\gamma_i z_0) = 0, \quad Q(\gamma_i z_0) = Q'(\gamma_i z_0) = 0, \quad \forall 2 \leq i \leq N.$$

Now let

$$t = A|_{\mathbb{D}}, \quad s = B|_{\mathbb{D}},$$

and for  $k \gg 0$  define

$$f = P_{\Gamma}^k(t), \quad g = P_{\Gamma}^k(s),$$

where  $P_{\Gamma}^k$  denotes the Poincaré series operator.

**Claim.** For sufficiently large  $k$ , the pair  $(f, g)$  satisfies the required conditions in the proposition:

$$f(z_0) \neq 0, \quad \left(\frac{g}{f}\right)'(z_0) \neq 0,$$

where

$$f(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} t(\gamma z) (\gamma'(z))^k, \quad g(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0} s(\gamma z) (\gamma'(z))^k.$$

Thus  $\Phi$  is a holomorphic immersion when  $k \gg 0$ . □

**Step 3.** To complete the proof of the theorem that

$$X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$$

is an embedding for sufficiently large  $k$ , we must show that for the pluricanonical map

$$\Phi = [s_0, s_1, \dots, s_N],$$

we have

$$\Phi(x_0) \neq \Phi(x_1) \quad \text{for all distinct } x_0, x_1 \in X, \quad \text{when } k \gg 0.$$

For two distinct points  $x_0, x_1 \in X$ , it suffices to find two holomorphic  $k$ -differentials  $s_0, s_1$  such that

$$\begin{cases} s_0(x_0) \neq 0, & s_1(x_0) = 0, \\ s_0(x_1) = 0, & s_1(x_1) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\Phi(x_0) = [1 : 0], \quad \Phi(x_1) = [0 : 1],$$

so the image points are distinct. Hence,  $\Phi$  separates  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ .

Subtlety (Heine–Borel type issue). At first, one can solve the separation problem for each *individual pair*  $(x_0, x_1)$  of distinct points by constructing corresponding sections  $s_0, s_1$ . However, the degree  $k$  needed may depend on the chosen pair:

$$k = k(x_0, x_1).$$

To obtain a single embedding map  $\Phi_k$  valid for all pairs, we must ensure that one sufficiently large  $k$  works *uniformly* for every pair  $(x_0, x_1)$ .

Let

$$S = \{(x_0, x_1) \in X \times X : x_0 \neq x_1\} = (X \times X) \setminus \text{Diag}(X).$$

Although  $X \times X$  is compact,  $S$  is open (the complement of the diagonal), hence *not compact*. Therefore, we cannot directly argue by compactness to obtain a uniform  $k$ .

Suppose now that for some large  $k$ , the map

$$\Phi = [\phi_0, \dots, \phi_N] : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$$

is already a holomorphic immersion (by Step 2). Then for each  $x \in X$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U(x)$  such that for all  $x_1, x_2 \in U(x)$ ,  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , we have

$$\Phi(x_1) \neq \Phi(x_2).$$

Thus, the image points are separated locally.

Consequently, there exists a symmetric open set  $T \subset X \times X$  (a *tubular neighborhood* of the diagonal) such that

$$(x_1, x_2) \in T \Rightarrow \Phi(x_1) \neq \Phi(x_2), \quad \text{and} \quad (x_1, x_2) \in T \iff (x_2, x_1) \in T.$$

The complement

$$(X \times X) \setminus T$$

is closed in  $X \times X$ , hence compact (since  $X \times X$  is compact).

**Further subtlety** Start with an integer  $k_0 \gg 0$  chosen so that the map  $\Phi_{k_0}$  satisfies the immersion property (Step 1 and Step 2). To separate points, we work with pairs  $(x_1, x_2) \in U = X \times X \setminus T$ , where  $T \subset X \times X$  is the symmetric tubular neighborhood of the diagonal, introduced previously.

For such pairs, we may need a larger degree  $k = k(x_1, x_2) > k_0$  to achieve point separation. This suggests a potential further subtlety: the degree required might depend on the pair of points, preventing a single, uniform choice of  $k$ .

**Conceptual explanation: why we can avoid this subtlety.** Suppose we already have a map

$$\Phi = [s_0, s_1, s_2] : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$$

that is a holomorphic immersion and separates points  $(x_1, x_2) \in T \subset X \times X$ . To increase its separating power globally, we can apply the *Veronese embedding* of projective space.

Define a new map

$$\nu_2 \circ \Phi : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N'}$$

given by all quadratic monomials in the coordinates of  $\Phi$ :

$$[s_0, s_1, s_2] \longmapsto [s_0^2, s_1^2, s_2^2, s_0s_1, s_0s_2, s_1s_2].$$

This realizes the composition

$$X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N'},$$

where

$$N' = \frac{(N+1)(N+2)}{2} - 1.$$

For instance, when  $N = 2$ , we have the classical Veronese embedding  $\mathbb{P}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$ .

Explicitly, if  $(x, y, z)$  are homogeneous coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , then

$$(x, y, z) \longmapsto (x, y, z)(x, y, z)^T = \begin{bmatrix} x^2 & xy & xz \\ xy & y^2 & yz \\ xz & yz & z^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

whose entries (up to order) yield the six homogeneous coordinates of  $\mathbb{P}^5$ . The target space here,  $\mathbb{P}(S^2\mathbb{C}^3)$ , is the projectivization of the space of symmetric  $3 \times 3$  matrices, which has dimension 6.

In general, for any  $N$ , the *Veronese embedding*

$$\nu_m : \mathbb{P}^N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_m}, \quad N_m = \binom{N+m}{m} - 1,$$

is holomorphic and injective. Composing  $\Phi_k$  with  $\nu_m$  corresponds to replacing the sections  $s_i$  by all degree- $m$  monomials in them, i.e. by sections of  $(K_X^{\otimes k})^{\otimes m} = K_X^{\otimes mk}$ .

Thus, after fixing a symmetric tubular neighborhood  $T = T_k \subset X \times X$ , the higher pluricanonical map

$$\Phi_{mk} : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_{mk}}$$

is still a holomorphic immersion and now separates all points  $(x_1, x_2) \in X \times X \setminus T$ .

**Final argument.** Given distinct points  $(x_1, x_2) \in X \times X$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , we want to find  $k'_0$  large enough such that for every  $k \geq k'_0$ , there exist holomorphic  $k$ -differentials  $s_1, s_2 \in H^0(X, K_X^{\otimes k})$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} s_1(x_1) \neq 0, & s_1(x_2) = 0, \\ s_2(x_1) = 0, & s_2(x_2) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then their corresponding evaluation points in projective space satisfy

$$[s_1(x_1) : s_2(x_1)] \neq [s_1(x_2) : s_2(x_2)].$$

Hence the pluricanonical map

$$\Phi_k = [s_0, s_1, \dots, s_N] : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$$

separates the distinct points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma$  be the covering (Fuchsian) group acting on the unit disk  $D$ , with quotient  $X = D/\Gamma$ . Fix two lifts  $z_0, z_1 \in D$  corresponding to distinct points  $x_0, x_1 \in X$ .

Define

$$\Gamma' = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma'(z_0)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } |\gamma'(z_1)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \}.$$

The set  $\Gamma'$  is finite, because  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\gamma'(z_0)|^2 < \infty$  implies that only finitely many  $\gamma$  have derivatives not exponentially small. Denote its complement by

$$\Gamma'' = \Gamma \setminus \Gamma' = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma'(z_0)| < \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } |\gamma'(z_1)| > \frac{1}{2} \}.$$

We construct holomorphic polynomials  $P_1, P_2$  (by Lagrange interpolation) satisfying for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma'$ :

$$\begin{cases} P_1(z_0) = 1, & P_1(\gamma z_0) = 0 \text{ for } \gamma \neq \text{id}, \\ P_1(\gamma z_1) = 0, \\ P_2(z_1) = 1, & P_2(\gamma z_1) = 0 \text{ for } \gamma \neq \text{id}, \\ P_2(\gamma z_0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The finite nature of  $\Gamma'$  guarantees that these interpolation conditions determine  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ .

Let  $f_j = P_j|_D$  for  $j = 1, 2$ .

For each integer  $k > 0$ , define

$$s_j(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f_j(\gamma z) (\gamma'(z))^k, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

These functions are  $\Gamma$ -automorphic  $k$ -differentials since for all  $\delta \in \Gamma$ ,

$$s_j(\delta z) (\delta'(z))^k = s_j(z).$$

We split the sum as

$$s_j = \underbrace{\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} f_j(\gamma z) (\gamma'(z))^k}_{\text{main part}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma''} f_j(\gamma z) (\gamma'(z))^k}_{\text{tail}}.$$

For  $\gamma \in \Gamma''$ , we have  $|\gamma'(z_0)| < \frac{1}{2}$ , thus  $|(\gamma'(z))^k| \leq 2^{-k}$ , so the tail decays exponentially with  $k$  and vanishes uniformly as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

By interpolation properties:

$$f_1(z_0) = 1, \quad f_1(\gamma z_0) = 0 \ (\gamma \neq \text{id}), \quad f_1(\gamma z_1) = 0,$$

and analogously

$$f_2(z_1) = 1, \quad f_2(\gamma z_1) = 0 \ (\gamma \neq \text{id}), \quad f_2(\gamma z_0) = 0.$$

Hence for the constructed series,

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(z_0) &= f_1(z_0) + O(2^{-k}) = 1 + O(2^{-k}), & s_1(z_1) &= 0, \\ s_2(z_0) &= 0, & s_2(z_1) &= f_2(z_1) + O(2^{-k}) = 1 + O(2^{-k}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for sufficiently large  $k$ ,

$$[s_1(z_0) : s_2(z_0)] = [1 : 0], \quad [s_1(z_1) : s_2(z_1)] = [0 : 1].$$

So as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $[s_1(z_0) : s_2(z_0)] \neq [s_1(z_1) : s_2(z_1)]$ . Thus the differentials  $s_1, s_2$  separate the pair of points  $x_0, x_1$  on  $X$ .

Therefore, for every distinct pair  $(x_0, x_1) \in X \times X$ , there exists  $k'_0$  such that for all  $k \geq k'_0$  we can construct holomorphic  $k$ -differentials  $s_1, s_2$  with

$$[s_1(x_0) : s_2(x_0)] \neq [s_1(x_1) : s_2(x_1)].$$

Hence the map  $\Phi_k$  separates all points for  $k \gg 0$ , and therefore defines a holomorphic embedding.  $\square$