

## CSC 349A Assignment 1

Allan Liu

V00806981

### Q1. (a)

```
function Euler(m,c,g,t0,v0,tn,n)
% print headings and initial conditions
fprintf('values of t approximations v(t)\n')
fprintf('%8.3f',t0),fprintf('%19.4f\n',v0)
% compute step size h
h=(tn-t0)/n;
% set t,v to the initial values
t=t0;
v=v0;
% compute v(t) over n time steps using Euler's method
for i=1:n
    v=v+(g-c/m*v)*h;
    t=t+h;
    fprintf('%8.3f',t),fprintf('%19.4f\n',v)
end
```

### Q1. (b)

```
>> Euler(86.2, 12.5, 9.81, 0, 0, 12, 15)
values of t approximations v(t)
    0.000          0.0000
    0.800          7.8480
    1.600         14.7856
    2.400         20.9183
    3.200         26.3396
    4.000         31.1319
    4.800         35.3684
    5.600         39.1133
    6.400         42.4238
    7.200         45.3502
    8.000         47.9372
    8.800         50.2240
    9.600         52.2456
   10.400         54.0326
   11.200         55.6123
   12.000         57.0088
```

### Q1. (c)

```
>> Euler(86.2, 12.5, 3.71, 0, 0, 12, 15)
values of t approximations v(t)
    0.000          0.0000
    0.800          2.9680
    1.600          5.5917
    2.400          7.9110
    3.200          9.9612
    4.000         11.7737
    4.800         13.3758
    5.600         14.7921
    6.400         16.0441
    7.200         17.1508
    8.000         18.1292
    8.800         18.9940
```

## CSC 349A Assignment 1

Allan Liu

V00806981

9.600	19.7585
10.400	20.4343
11.200	21.0318
12.000	21.5599

### Q1. (d)

```
>> (9.81*86.2/12.5)*(1-exp(-12.5*12/86.2))
```

```
ans =
```

```
55.7775
```

```
>> abs((55.7775-57.0088)/55.7775)
```

```
ans =
```

```
0.0221
```

### Q2. (a)

```
function Euler2(m,k,g,t0,v0,tn,n)
% print headings and initial conditions
fprintf('values of t approximations v(t)\n')
fprintf('%8.3f',t0),fprintf('%19.4f\n',v0)
% compute step size h
h=(tn-t0)/n;
% set t,v to the initial values
t=t0;
v=v0;
% compute v(t) over n time steps using Euler's method
for i=1:n
    v=v+(g-k/m*v^2)*h;
    t=t+h;
    fprintf('%8.3f',t),fprintf('%19.4f\n',v)
end
```

### Q2. (b)

```
>> Euler2(73.5, 0.234, 9.81, 0, 0, 18, 72)
```

```
values of t approximations v(t)
```

0.000	0.0000
0.250	2.4525
0.500	4.9002
0.750	7.3336
1.000	9.7433
1.250	12.1202
1.500	14.4558
1.750	16.7420
2.000	18.9714
2.250	21.1374
2.500	23.2343
2.750	25.2572
3.000	27.2019
3.250	29.0655

# CSC 349A Assignment 1

Allan Liu

V00806981

3.500	30.8456
3.750	32.5408
4.000	34.1505
4.250	35.6748
4.500	37.1143
4.750	38.4705
5.000	39.7450
5.250	40.9402
5.500	42.0587
5.750	43.1033
6.000	44.0770
6.250	44.9832
6.500	45.8252
6.750	46.6063
7.000	47.3300
7.250	47.9995
7.500	48.6182
7.750	49.1894
8.000	49.7161
8.250	50.2013
8.500	50.6480
8.750	51.0588
9.000	51.4363
9.250	51.7831
9.500	52.1013
9.750	52.3933
10.000	52.6609
10.250	52.9062
10.500	53.1309
10.750	53.3366
11.000	53.5249
11.250	53.6971
11.500	53.8547
11.750	53.9988
12.000	54.1305
12.250	54.2509
12.500	54.3608
12.750	54.4613
13.000	54.5531
13.250	54.6369
13.500	54.7134
13.750	54.7833
14.000	54.8471
14.250	54.9053
14.500	54.9584
14.750	55.0069
15.000	55.0512
15.250	55.0915
15.500	55.1284
15.750	55.1620
16.000	55.1926
16.250	55.2206
16.500	55.2461
16.750	55.2693
17.000	55.2905

## CSC 349A Assignment 1

Allan Liu

V00806981

17.250	55.3099
17.500	55.3275
17.750	55.3436
18.000	55.3583

### Q2. (c)

```
>> sqrt((9.81*73.5)/0.234)*tanh(sqrt((9.81*0.234)/73.5)*18)
```

```
ans =
```

```
55.3186
```

```
>> abs((55.3186-55.3583)/55.3186)
```

```
ans =
```

```
7.1766e-04
```

**The relative error at t = 18 is:**

**| $\epsilon_t$ | = 0.071766%**

### Q3.

```
>> 1-2+(2^2/factorial(2))-(2^3/factorial(3))+(2^4/factorial(4))-  
(2^5/factorial(5))
```

```
ans =
```

```
0.0667
```

```
>> abs((exp(-2)-0.0667)/exp(-2))
```

```
ans =
```

```
0.5071
```

**= 50.71%**

```
>>
```

```
1/(1+2+(2^2/factorial(2))+(2^3/factorial(3))+(2^4/factorial(4))+(2^5/factoria  
l(5)))
```

```
ans =
```

```
0.1376
```

```
>> abs((exp(-2)-0.1376)/exp(-2))
```

```
ans =
```

```
0.0167
```

**= 1.67%**

CSC 349A Assignment 1

Allan Liu

V00806981

The true relative error is closer to the true value when it is approximated by 1 over the MacLaurin series. Both methods will eventually converge to  $e^{-2}$  value, but the second method will approach at a faster rate.