

21-238, Math Studies Algebra 2, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University
Spring 2012: Monday, Wednesday, Friday, 10:30 am, Doherty Hall 1211.
 Luc TARTAR, University Professor of Mathematics, Wean Hall 6212, tartar@cmu.edu

41- Friday May 4, 2012.

Lemma 41.1: One writes φ_n for the Frobenius operator $a \mapsto a^p$ on K_n . Every $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_E(F)$ is characterized by a sequence of integers a_n with $0 \leq a_n < n$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $a_n = a_m \pmod{m}$ whenever n is a multiple of m , and such that $\sigma|_{K_n} = \varphi_n^{a_n}$.

Proof: Since K_n is a splitting field extension of E , it is a normal extension of E , the restriction of σ to K_n belongs to $\text{Aut}_E(K_n)$, so that it is $\varphi_n^{a_n}$ for some integer a_n , but since $\varphi_n^n = \text{id}_{K_n}$, one may impose $0 \leq a_n < n$. Then, if n is a multiple of m , the restriction of $\varphi_n^{a_n}$ to K_m must be $\varphi_m^{a_m}$, but since φ_n restricted to K_m is φ_m , it means that $a_n = a_m \pmod{m}$.

Conversely, let b_n be a sequence of integers satisfying $0 \leq b_n < n$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $b_n = b_m \pmod{m}$ whenever n is a multiple of m ; one defines τ on F by $\tau(z) = (\varphi_n(z))^{b_n}$ if $z \in K_n$, and the definition makes sense since if $z \in K_i \cap K_j$, then for $k = ij$ one has $(\varphi_i(z))^{b_i} = (\varphi_k(z))^{b_k}$ because i divides k , and $(\varphi_k(z))^{b_k} = (\varphi_j(z))^{b_j}$ because j divides k , hence $(\varphi_i(z))^{b_i} = (\varphi_j(z))^{b_j}$.

Lemma 41.2: There are uncountably many sequences a_n , characterized by their values for $n = m!$ for all m .

If $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Aut}_E(F)$ are associated to sequences a_n, b_n , then $\tau \circ \sigma$ is associated to the sequence c_n with $c_n = a_n + b_n \pmod{n}$.

Proof: It is sufficient to know a_n for an increasing sequence of integers $n = k_1, k_2, \dots$ with $k_m \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ if it has the property that for each integer i there is at least one k_j which is a multiple of i ; an example is $k_j = j!$ for all $j \geq 1$. Once $a_{m!}$ is given with $0 \leq a_{m!} < m!$, one must take $a_{(m+1)!} = a_{m!} + \ell_m m!$ with $0 \leq \ell_m \leq m$, so that $a_{(m+1)!} = \sum_{j=1}^m \ell_j j!$; of course, with more than two choices for each integer ℓ_m , one creates an uncountable set.

For $z \in K_n$, one has $\tau \circ \sigma(z) = (z^{p^{a_n}})^{p^{b_n}} = z^{p^{a_n} p^{b_n}} = z^{p^{a_n + b_n}}$.

Definition 41.3: A subset $X \subset \text{Aut}_E(F)$ is said to be *open* if and only if for all $\sigma \in X$ there exists n such that $\tau \in \text{Aut}_E(F)$ and $\tau|_{K_n} = \sigma|_{K_n}$ imply $\tau \in X$.

A subset $Y \subset \text{Aut}_E(F)$ is said to be *closed* if and only if whenever $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_E(F)$ is such that for all n there exists $\tau \in Y$ with $\tau|_{K_n} = \sigma|_{K_n}$, then $\sigma \in Y$.

Lemma 41.4: Definition 41.3 defines a topology on $\text{Aut}_E(F)$, which is Hausdorff (and even normal), and makes $\text{Aut}_E(F)$ a compact topological group, with a basis of (open) neighbourhoods of id_F made of open subgroups.

Proof: An arbitrary union of open subsets is clearly open, so one must only check that if X_1 and X_2 are open, then $X_1 \cap X_2$ is open: for $\sigma \in X_1 \cap X_2$, there exist n_1, n_2 such that, for $\tau \in \text{Aut}_E(F)$, $\tau|_{K_{n_1}} = \sigma|_{K_{n_1}}$ implies $\tau \in X_1$, and $\tau|_{K_{n_2}} = \sigma|_{K_{n_2}}$ implies $\tau \in X_2$; one then chooses $n = n_1 n_2$ (or any multiple of both n_1 and n_2), so that $\tau|_{K_n} = \sigma|_{K_n}$ implies both $\tau|_{K_{n_1}} = \sigma|_{K_{n_1}}$ and $\tau|_{K_{n_2}} = \sigma|_{K_{n_2}}$ since n is a multiple of n_1 and a multiple of n_2 , hence $\tau \in X_1 \cap X_2$. The definition of a subset of $\text{Aut}_E(F)$ being closed then corresponds to its complement being open.

For the topology to be Hausdorff, for all $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \text{Aut}_E(F)$ with $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$, one must find an open set X_1 containing σ_1 and an open set X_2 containing σ_2 with $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$: there exists n such that $\sigma_2|_{K_n} \neq \sigma_1|_{K_n}$, and then $X_1 = \{\tau \in \text{Aut}_E(F) \mid \tau|_{K_n} = \sigma_1|_{K_n}\}$ and $X_2 = \{\tau \in \text{Aut}_E(F) \mid \tau|_{K_n} = \sigma_2|_{K_n}\}$ satisfy these conditions. That the topology is normal follows from showing that it is a compact space, since every compact Hausdorff space is normal.

To be a topological group, addition and inverse must be continuous. For $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Aut}_E(F)$, an open set around $\tau \circ \sigma$ contains a particular open set $C = \{\rho \in \text{Aut}_E(F) \mid \rho|_{K_n} = \tau \circ \sigma|_{K_n}\}$, so that if one considers the open set $A = \{\sigma' \in \text{Aut}_E(F) \mid \sigma'|_{K_n} = \sigma|_{K_n}\}$ around σ and the open set $B = \{\tau' \in \text{Aut}_E(F) \mid \tau'|_{K_n} = \tau|_{K_n}\}$ around τ , then $\sigma' \in A$ and $\tau' \in B$ imply $\tau' \circ \sigma' \in C$. For the continuity of the inverse, one notices that for $\rho \in \text{Aut}_E(F)$ the condition $\rho|_{K_n} = \sigma|_{K_n}$ is equivalent to $\rho^{-1}|_{K_n} = \sigma^{-1}|_{K_n}$.

For $0 \leq a < m$, one defines the open set $A(m; a) = \{\sigma \in \text{Aut}_E(F) \mid \sigma|_{K_m} = \varphi_m^a\}$, noticing that $A(n; b) \subset A(m; a)$ if m divides n and $b = a \pmod{m}$. Given a covering of $\text{Aut}_E(F)$ by a family of open sets

$U_i, i \in I$, one considers the set Z of all pairs (m, a) with $A(m; a) \subset U_i$ for some $i \in I$, and the claim is that there exists N such that all (N, a) belongs to Z for $a = 0, \dots, N - 1$, so that a finite family of U_i contain these $A(N; a)$ and form a finite open subcovering, showing that $Aut_E(F)$ is compact: since the restriction of any $\sigma \in Aut_E(F)$ is characterized by its restrictions to $K_{m!}$, for all m , one creates a graph with an edge up from $(m!; a)$ to $((m+1)!; b)$ if $b = a \pmod{m!}$, so that if $(m!; a) \in Z$ then all the vertices above also belong to Z ; then, for each $(m!; a) \in Z$ one erases all the edges above this point, i.e. one keeps only the vertices in Z which are minimal elements for the order described, and the claim is that one has erased all the edges above some level N . If it was not true, there would exist an infinite path along edges upward (a special case of König's lemma),^{1,2} corresponding to an element $\sigma \in Aut_E(F)$, which would belong to some U_i , hence there would be a level n with $\sigma|_{K_n} = \varphi_n^a$ and $A(n, a) \subset U_i$, and for $m! \geq n$ the corresponding point $(m!, b)$ would belong to Z , and the path upward would have been erased, hence it could not be infinite.

id_F corresponds to the sequence $a_n = 0$ for all n , and a basis of open sets containing 0 is given by the $A(m; 0)$ for all m , and one notices that $A(m; 0)$ is a subgroup of $Aut_E(F)$.

Lemma 41.5: If K is an intermediate field, then $H = Aut_K(F)$ is a closed subgroup of $Aut_E(F)$. One has $Fix(H) = K$, and every closed subgroup has this form.³

Proof: Since $K \cap K_n$ is a subfield of K_n it must be K_m for some m dividing n , so that K is the union of some K_m (those which are included in K , of course). If $\sigma \in Aut_E(F)$, it fixes K if and only if for each n it fixes $K_m = K \cap K_n$, i.e. the sequence associated with σ has a_n belonging to a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_n . Such a subgroup H of $Aut_E(F)$ is closed, since by Definition 41.3, for arbitrary subsets $X_n \subset \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ for $n \geq 1$, if one denotes $Y_n = \{\varphi_n^a \mid a \in X_n\}$, the subset of $Aut_E(F)$ defined by $\{\sigma \in Aut_E(F) \mid \sigma|_{K_n} \in Y_n \text{ for all } n \geq 1\}$ is closed, and every closed subset $Z \subset Aut_E(F)$ has this form, with $Y_n = \{\tau|_{K_n} \mid \tau \in Z\}$. Then a closed subgroup must be such that each Y_n is a subgroup, and is then associated with an intermediate field.

¹ Dénes KÖNIG, Hungarian mathematician, 1884–1944. He worked in Budapest, Hungary.

² A special case of König's lemma is that every tree which contains infinitely many vertices, each having finite degree, has at least one infinite simple path.

³ There are subgroups which are not closed: if $\sigma_0 \in Aut_E(F)$ is defined by the sequence $a_n = 1$ for all $n \geq 2$ (and a_1 must be 0), then it generates an infinite cyclic group which is not closed, but is dense (its closure is $Aut_E(F)$).