

Multigrid Preconditioner for Solving GePUP Equations

Liu jiyu

October 22, 2022

1 GePuP Equations

In a bounded domain $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^D$, we numerically solve the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with no-slip condition:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{g} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \nabla q + \nu \Delta \mathbf{w} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad (1a)$$

$$\mathbf{w} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (1b)$$

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathcal{P} \mathbf{w} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad (1c)$$

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (1d)$$

$$\Delta q = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \text{ in } \Omega, \quad (1e)$$

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla q = \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{g} + \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} - \nu \nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \quad (1f)$$

2 Spatial Discretization

Definition 2.1. R is called *square domain* if it can be written into $R = [\mathbf{x}_O, \mathbf{x}_E]$, where $\mathbf{x}_O, \mathbf{x}_E \in \mathbb{R}^D$.

Definition 2.2. Ω is called *regular domain* if it can be written into an union of finite disjoint square domains, i.e.

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{k=1}^N R_k, \quad (2)$$

where R_k is square domains.

Let Ω be computation domain and R be a regular domain containing Ω , we discretize R into a collection of square control volumes. Denote a control volume by a multi-index $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^D$, the region of cell \mathbf{i} is represented by

$$C_{\mathbf{i}} = [\mathbf{x}_O + \mathbf{i}h, \mathbf{x}_O + (\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{1})h], \quad (3)$$

and the region of the higher face of cell \mathbf{i} in dimension d by

$$F_{\mathbf{i} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d} := [\mathbf{x}_O + (\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{e}^d)h, \mathbf{x}_O + (\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{1})h], \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{x}_O \in \mathbb{R}^D$ is some fixed origin of the coordinates, h the uniform grid size, $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{Z}^D$ the multi-index with all its components equal to one, and $\mathbf{e}^d \in \mathbb{Z}^D$ a multi-index with 1 as its d th component and 0 otherwise.

For irregular domain Ω , we embed it on a discrete square domain and denote the corresponding cutting control volume and control face as

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}} := C_{\mathbf{i}} \cap \Omega, \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d} := F_{\mathbf{i} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d} \cap \Omega. \quad (5)$$

We call $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}$ interior control volume if $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}} = C_{\mathbf{i}}$, exterior control volume if $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}} = \emptyset$, boundary control volume if $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}} \neq C_{\mathbf{i}}, \emptyset$. For a boundary control volume, we denote its boundary as

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{i}} := C_{\mathbf{i}} \cap \partial\Omega. \quad (6)$$

There are the same classifications for control faces. The following figure shows the spatial discretization of two different computation domains.???

Definition 2.3. Denote the average of a scalar function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a control volume $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}$ as

$$\langle \varphi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}} := \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}\|} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}} \varphi \, d\mathbf{x}. \quad (7)$$

Definition 2.4. Denote the average of a scalar function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on a control face $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d}$ as

$$\langle \varphi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d} := \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d}\|} \int_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d}} \varphi \, d\mathbf{x}. \quad (8)$$

Definition 2.5. Denote the average of a scalar function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the boundary $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{i}}$ of a control volume as

$$[\varphi]_{\mathbf{i}} := \frac{1}{\|\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{i}}\|} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{i}}} \varphi \, d\mathbf{x}. \quad (9)$$

There are three cases when discretize operators:

1. For the control volumes or faces in Ω which are far away from the boundary, we use standard finite volume stencils.
2. For the control volumes or faces near the regular boundary, we fill the ghost cells with boundary conditions and then use standard finite volume formulae.
3. For the control volumes or faces near the irregular boundary, we generate a poised lattice by poised lattice generating algorithm, then fit a complete multivariate polynomial with the poised lattice to obtain the discretized equation.

2.1 Standard finite difference formulae

In the regular domain, the discrete gradient, the discrete divergence and the discrete Laplacian are

$$\mathbf{G}_d \langle \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}} := \frac{1}{12h} (-\langle \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}+2\mathbf{e}^d} + 8\langle \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{e}^d} - 8\langle \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{e}^d} + \langle \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}-2\mathbf{e}^d}) \quad (10)$$

$$\mathbf{D} \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\mathbf{i}} := \frac{1}{12h} \sum_d (-\langle u_d \rangle_{\mathbf{i}+2\mathbf{e}^d} + 8\langle u_d \rangle_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{e}^d} - 8\langle u_d \rangle_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{e}^d} + \langle u_d \rangle_{\mathbf{i}-2\mathbf{e}^d}) \quad (11)$$

$$\mathbf{L} \langle \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}} := \frac{1}{12h^2} \sum_d (-\langle \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}+2\mathbf{e}^d} + 16\langle \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{e}^d} - 30\langle \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}} + 16\langle \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{e}^d} - \langle \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}-2\mathbf{e}^d}) \quad (12)$$

$$(13)$$

The discrete divergence also acts on tensor averages

$$\mathbf{D} \langle \mathbf{u}\mathbf{u} \rangle_{\mathbf{i}} := \frac{1}{h} \sum_d \left(\mathbf{F} \langle u_d, \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\mathbf{i}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d} - \mathbf{F} \langle u_d, \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\mathbf{i}-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d} \right), \quad (14)$$

where the average of the product of two scalars over a cell face is

$$\mathbf{F} \langle \phi, \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d} := \langle \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d} \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d} + \frac{h^2}{12} \sum_{d' \neq d} (\mathbf{G}_{d'}^\perp \phi)_{\mathbf{i}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d} (\mathbf{G}_{d'}^\perp \psi)_{\mathbf{i}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d}, \quad (15)$$

and $\mathbf{G}_{d'}^\perp$ is the discrete gradient in the transverse directions

$$(\mathbf{G}_{d'}^\perp \phi)_{\mathbf{i}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d} := \frac{1}{2h} \left(\langle \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d+\mathbf{e}^{d'}} - \langle \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d-\mathbf{e}^{d'}} \right) \quad (16)$$

$\mathbf{G} \langle \phi \rangle$, $\mathbf{D} \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle$, $\mathbf{L} \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle$ and $\mathbf{D} \langle \mathbf{u}\mathbf{u} \rangle$ approximate the cell average of a gradient, velocity divergence, Laplacian, and convection, respectively. These discrete operators are formally fourth-order accurate in approximating their continuous counterparts.[?]

2.2 Ghost Cell

Following [?], two layers of ghost cells are used to enforce boundary conditions. For nonperiodic boundaries, the values of ghost cells are obtained by extrapolating those of the interior cells, with the boundary conditions incorporated in the extrapolation formulas. For example, homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions for a scalar ψ are fulfilled by filling the ghost cells with the following fifth-order formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{e}^d} &= \frac{1}{12} (-77 \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}} + 43 \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{e}^d} - 17 \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}-2\mathbf{e}^d} + 3 \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}-3\mathbf{e}^d}) + O(h^5), \\ \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}+2\mathbf{e}^d} &= \frac{1}{12} (-505 \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}} + 335 \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{e}^d} - 145 \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}-2\mathbf{e}^d} + 27 \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}-3\mathbf{e}^d}) + O(h^5), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Neumann boundary conditions are fulfilled by

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{e}^d} &= \frac{1}{10} (5 \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}} + 9 \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{e}^d} - 5 \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}-2\mathbf{e}^d} + \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}-3\mathbf{e}^d}) + \frac{6}{5} h \left\langle \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{i}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d} + O(h^5), \\ \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}+2\mathbf{e}^d} &= \frac{1}{10} (-75 \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}} + 145 \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{e}^d} - 75 \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}-2\mathbf{e}^d} + 15 \langle \psi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}-3\mathbf{e}^d}) + 6h \left\langle \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{i}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d} + O(h^5),\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

where $\left\langle \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n} \right\rangle_{\mathbf{i}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d}$ is the Neumann condition for ψ .

2.3 PLG

For the control volumes and faces near the irregular boundary, where we can not use standard difference formulae, our idea is:

1. Clarify the control volume $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}$ (or control face $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{i}+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d}$) where differential operator \mathcal{L} is discretized, order of spatial discretization p , and the average of differential operator on control volumes $\left\{ \langle \varphi \rangle_{\mathbf{j}} : \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^D \right\}$ (or on control faces $\left\{ \langle \varphi \rangle_{\mathbf{j}} : \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^D + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}^d \right\}$).

2. As shown in Figure ?, we calculate finite volume stencil of $\langle \mathcal{L}\varphi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}}$ with poised lattice generating algorithm, denote it as

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{i}) = \{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{j}_1}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{j}_N}\} \cup \{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{j}_{N+1}}, \dots, \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{j}_{N+N'}}\}\tag{19}$$

where $N = \dim \Pi_n^D$, $n = p + q - 1$ is the order of stencil, q is the order of differential operator, N' is the number of boundry terms.

3. Fit a complete multivariate polynomial with the finite volume stencil $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{i})$:

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) \in \Pi_n^D,\tag{20}$$

where $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^N$ is a basis of Π_n^D , coefficient $\alpha = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N]^T$ is the solution of weighted least squares problem

$$\min_{\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^N \omega_k \left| \langle p \rangle_{\mathbf{j}_k} - \varphi_k \right|^2 + \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+N'} \omega_k \left| [\mathcal{N}p]_{\mathbf{j}_k} - \varphi_k \right|^2,\tag{21}$$

where weight ω_k depends on the relative position of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{j}_k}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}$.

4. Finally discretize the differential operator with fitted polynomial (20):

$$\langle \mathcal{L}\varphi \rangle_{\mathbf{i}} = \langle \mathcal{L}p \rangle_{\mathbf{i}} + O(h^p) = \sum_{k=1}^N \beta_k \langle \varphi \rangle_{\mathbf{j}_k} + \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+N'} \beta_k [\mathcal{N}\varphi]_{\mathbf{j}_k} + O(h^p).\tag{22}$$

Above all, we obtain the spatial discretization of GePuP equations (1):

$$\frac{d\langle \mathbf{w} \rangle}{dt} = \langle \mathbf{g} \rangle - \mathbf{D} \langle \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} \rangle - \mathbf{G} \langle q \rangle + \nu \mathbf{L} \langle \mathbf{w} \rangle \text{ in } \Omega,\tag{23a}$$

$$[\mathbf{w}] = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,\tag{23b}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle = \mathbb{P} \langle \mathbf{w} \rangle \text{ in } \Omega,\tag{23c}$$

$$[\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}] = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,\tag{23d}$$

$$\mathbf{L} \langle q \rangle = \mathbf{D}(\langle \mathbf{g} \rangle - \mathbf{D} \langle \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} \rangle) \text{ in } \Omega,\tag{23e}$$

$$[\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla q] = [\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{g}] + \nu [(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{L})\mathbf{u}] - \nu [(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{G})\mathbf{D}\mathbf{u}] \text{ on } \partial\Omega.\tag{23f}$$

with the initial conditions

$$\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle(t_0) = \langle \mathbf{w} \rangle(t_0),\tag{24a}$$

$$[\mathbf{w}] = \mathbf{0}.\tag{24b}$$

Now we obtain the fourth-order (spatial) semi-discrete formulae of GePuP for incompressible Navier-Stokes equations.

3 Time Integration

We apply ERK-ESDIRK to semi-discrete formulae (23), in which the RHS of (23a) is divided into an explicit part and an implicit part:

$$\mathbf{X}^{[E]} = \langle \mathbf{g} \rangle - \mathbf{D} \langle \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} \rangle - \mathbf{G} \langle q \rangle, \quad (25a)$$

$$\mathbf{X}^{[I]} = \nu \mathbf{L} \langle \mathbf{w} \rangle. \quad (25b)$$

Now we obtain GePuP-IMEX algorithm:

$$\langle \mathbf{w} \rangle^{(1)} = \langle \mathbf{w} \rangle^n, \quad (26a)$$

$$\begin{cases} \text{for } s = 2, 3, \dots, n_s, \\ (\mathbf{I} - \Delta t \gamma \nu \mathbf{L}) \langle \mathbf{w} \rangle^{(s)} = \langle \mathbf{w} \rangle^n + \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{s,j}^{[E]} \mathbf{X}^{[E]} \left(\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle^{(j)}, t^{(j)} \right) + \Delta t \nu \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} a_{s,j}^{[I]} \mathbf{L} \langle \mathbf{w} \rangle^{(j)}, \\ \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle^{(s)} = \mathbb{P} \langle \mathbf{w} \rangle^{(s)}, \end{cases} \quad (26b)$$

$$\begin{cases} \langle \mathbf{w} \rangle^* = \langle \mathbf{w} \rangle^{(n_s)} + \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^{n_s} \left(b_j - a_{n_s,j}^{[E]} \right) \mathbf{X}^{[E]} \left(\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle^{(j)}, t^{(j)} \right), \\ \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle^{n+1} = \mathbb{P} \langle \mathbf{w} \rangle^*, \\ \langle \mathbf{w} \rangle^{n+1} = \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle^{n+1}. \end{cases} \quad (26c)$$

There are three linear systems of equations need to be solved at each intermediate stage:

1. A Poisson equation with Neumann boundary condition for extracting $\langle q \rangle$ from $\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle$.
2. A Helmholtz equation with no-slip boundary condition for evaluating $\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle$.
3. A Poisson equation with Neumann boundary condition for projecting $\langle \mathbf{w} \rangle^*$.

Above all, the essence of our work is solving a Poisson equation $L\phi = b$ on an irregular domain, the spatial discretization is the same as that in section 2.

4 The multigrid preconditioned Krylov methods

We concentrate on the system of discrete equations

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \quad (27)$$

Matrix \mathbf{A} has right preconditioning as follows:

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{K}^{-1} (\mathbf{K} \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}. \quad (28)$$

The preconditioned Krylov subspace methods are used for solving (28), such as BiCGSTAB and GMRES(m).

Algorithm 4.1. The GMRES(m) algorithm with a right multigrid preconditioner appears as follows:

Algorithm 1: GMRES(m, A, b, x, ϵ)

Input: $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Output: The solution which overwrites x .

```
1 Choose  $x^{(0)} = x$ , dimension  $m$ . matrix  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{0}$  with dim:  $(m+1) \times m$ ;  
2  $r^{(0)} = b - Ax^{(0)}$ ,  $\beta = \|r\|_2^2$ ,  $f_1 = r^{(0)}/\beta$ ;  
3 for  $j = 1, \dots, m$  do  
4    $u_j = K^{-1}f_j$ ;  
5    $w = Au_j$ ;  
6   for  $i = 1, \dots, j$  do  
7      $h_{i,j} = (w, f_i)$ ;  
8      $w = w - h_{i,j}f_j$ ;  
9      $h_{j+1,j} = \|w\|_2$ ;  
10     $f_{j+1} = w/h_{j+1,j}$ ;  
11  end  
12 end  
13 Define  $\mathbf{F}_m := [f_1, \dots, f_m]$ ;  
14  $x^{(m)} := x^{(0)} + K^{-1}\mathbf{F}_m y_m$  with  $y_m = \min_y \|\beta e_1 - \mathbf{H}y\|_2$ ;  
15 Compute  $r^{(m)} = b - Ax^{(m)}$ ;  
16 if  $\|r^{(m)}\|_2 < \epsilon\|b\|_2$  then  
17   Stop.  
18 end  
19 else  
20   restart with  $x^{(0)} \leftarrow x^{(m)}$ ;  
21 end
```

In line 4, $K^{-1}f_j$ is the preconditioning step, which is one iteration of a multigrid cycle.

The following theorem is an estimation of the convergence of GMRES(m) in cases where most of the eigenvalues (the last $n - l$ eigenvalues in the theorem below) of the preconditioned matrix \tilde{A} are close to $1 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 4.2. Let \tilde{A} be an $n \times n$ nonsingular matrix with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, \bar{V}_l be the subspace spanned by the vectors $\{v \mid \prod_{k>l}^n (\lambda_k I - \tilde{A})v = 0\}$, K_l be the Krylov subspace $K(l, r^{(0)}, \tilde{A}) = \text{span}\{r^{(0)}, \tilde{A}r^{(0)}, \dots, \tilde{A}^{l-1}r^{(0)}\}$, P_k be a set of k th-order complex polynomials $p_k(\lambda)$ that satisfy $p_k(0) = 1$, and $r^{(0)} = b - \tilde{A}x^{(0)}$ the initial residual. Let radius Γ_i be defined as

$$\Gamma_i := \max \left\{ \|(\lambda_i I - \tilde{A})v\|_2 \mid v \in \bar{V}_{i-1} \cap K_i, \|v\|_2 = 1 \right\}. \quad (29)$$

Then a vector $\bar{r}^{(l)}$ defined by

$$\bar{r}^{(l)} := \left(\prod_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{\lambda_i} (\lambda_i I - \tilde{A}) \right) r^{(0)} \quad (30)$$

is included in $\bar{V}_l \cap K_{l+1}$.

Furthermore,

$$\|\bar{r}^{(l)}\|_2 \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^l \frac{\Gamma_i}{|\lambda_i|} \right) \|r^{(0)}\|_2. \quad (31)$$

Assuming $l < k \leq m$, then the norm of the residual of k th GMRES(m) iteration can be estimated as follows:

$$\|r^{(k)}\|_2 \leq \min \left\{ \|p_{k-l}(\tilde{A})\bar{r}^{(l)}\|_2 \mid p_{k-l}(\lambda) \in P_{k-l} \right\} \quad (32)$$

$$\leq \|(I - \tilde{A})^{k-l}\bar{r}^{(l)}\|_2. \quad (33)$$

According to Theorem 4.2, if some eigenvalues $\lambda_i (i \leq l)$ are close to zero, the norm of $\bar{r}^{(l)}$ becomes large. This suggests that we may need a relatively large k to reduce the residual by a certain order of magnitude even if l is not large.

Inequality (32) shows that $\|r^{(k)}\|_2$ is not larger than the norm of the $(k - l)$ th residual of GMRES(m) with initial residual $\bar{r}^{(l)}$ that is included in the subspace corresponding to the eigenvalues close to one $\{\lambda_i \mid i > l\}$.

Backtrack to the multigrid preconditioner, an iteration of a multigrid cycle is equivalent to a Richardson iteration on the preconditioned matrix **ref???**. With K being the iteration matrix, multigrid can be written as follows:

$$Kx^{(k+1)} + (A - K)x^{(k)} = b. \quad (34)$$

If we use multigrid solver, the formulation is equivalent to

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + K^{-1}(b - Ax^{(k)}) = x^{(k)} + K^{-1}r^{(k)}; \quad r^{(k+1)} = (I - AK^{-1})r^{(k)}. \quad (35)$$

The spectral radius of $I - AK^{-1}$ determines the convergence. With this spectrum we can also investigate the convergence of preconditioned GMRES. From (33), the asymptotic convergence of GMRES(m) is at least faster than Richardson with an initial residual.

In [10], the author compared multigrid preconditioned GMRES(m) and multigrid solver in several singularly perturbed problems. In [11], the author compared multigrid preconditioned GMRES(m) and multigrid solver for implicit immersed boundary equations.

The conclusion is that the behavior of the multigrid methods are much more robust when they are used as preconditioners, problems that could not be solved with the multigrid methods as solvers could be solved with the preconditioned Krylov methods. The efficiency of the multigrid solver alone is not impressive, but it is a very effective preconditioner for Krylov methods. We also can improve the preconditioned Krylov methods by using a more sophisticated smoother in multigrid preconditioner([12])