

Chapter 2 Foundations of Probability

- **2.1** Since g is \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H} -measurable, therefore $\forall C \in \mathcal{H}$, $\exists B = g^{-1}(C) \in \mathcal{G}$. Similarly, since f is \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{G} -measurable, $\forall B \in \mathcal{G}$, $\exists A = f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}$. Thus $\forall C \in \mathcal{H}$, $\exists A = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(C)) = (g \circ f)^{-1}(C) \in \mathcal{F}$ and the proof is complete.
- **2.2** We claim that $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$ is $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ measurable. Define $a = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ $b = (b_1, b_2, ..., b_n)$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where a < b. Since $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ is $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ measurable, therefore $\exists A_1 = X_1^{-1}((a_1, b_1)), A_2 = X_2^{-1}((a_2, b_2)), ..., A_n = X_n^{-1}((a_n, b_n)) \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $A = A_1 \cap A_2 \cap ... \cap A_n = \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i$. It follows that $X^{-1}((a, b)) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n ((a, b)) = A \in \mathcal{F}$. Therefore X is $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ measurable and X is random vector.

2.3

- (i) We need to show that Σ_X is closed under countable union. Let $U_i = X^{-1}(A_i), A_i \in \Sigma, i \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X^{-1}(A_i) = X^{-1}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)$. Since $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \Sigma(\Sigma)$ is sigma algebra), $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i \in \Sigma_X$.
- (ii) We need to show that Σ_X is closed under set subtraction -. $\forall U_1, U_2 \in \Sigma_X, U_1 U_2 = X^{-1}(A_1) X^{-1}(A_2) = X^{-1}(A_1 A_2)$. Since $A_1 A_2 \in \Sigma(Sigma \text{ is sigma algebra}), U_1 U_2 \in \Sigma_X$.
- (iii) We need to show that Σ_X is closed to \mathcal{U} itself. Since $\mathcal{U} = X^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ and $\mathcal{V} \in \Sigma$, it follows that $\mathcal{U} \in \Sigma_X$.

2.4

- (a) (i) We need to show that $\mathcal{F}|_A$ is closed under countable union. Let $X_1 = A \cap B_1, X_2 = A \cap B_2, ...$ and $X' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ and $B' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}$ where $B_1, B_2, ... \in \mathcal{F}$. Since \mathcal{F} is sigma algebra, $B' \in \mathcal{F}$. Furthermore, since $X' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A \cap B_i = A \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A \cap B_i\right) = A \cap B'$, we can see that $X' \in \mathcal{F}|_A$.
 - (ii) We need to show that $\mathcal{F}|_A$ is closed under set subtraction -. $\forall X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{F}|_A$, $X_1 X_2 = (A \cap B_1) (A \cap B_2) = A \cap (B_1 B_2)$. Since $B_1 B_2 \in \mathcal{F}(F)$ is sigma algebra, it follows that $X_1 X_2 \in \mathcal{F}|_A$.
 - (iii) We need to show that Σ_X is closed to A itself. Since $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $\emptyset = A \cap \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}|_A$ and $A = \emptyset^C \in \mathcal{F}|_A$.
- (b) Let $P = \{A \cap B : B \in \mathcal{F}\}, Q = \{B : B \subset A, B \in \mathcal{F}\}.$
 - (i) We claim that $P \subset Q$. Let $X = A \cap B$, $B \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $X = A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$. Furthermore, $X \in Q = \{B : B \subset A, B \in \mathcal{F}\}$.
 - (ii) We claim that $Q \subset P$. $\forall X \in Q$, we have $X \subset A$ and $X \in \mathcal{F}$, which means that $X = X \cap A$ and $X \in \mathcal{F}$. It follows that $X \in P$.
 - (iii) Take both (i)(ii) into consideration, we can see that P = Q.

(a) Clearly $\sigma(\mathcal{G})$ should be the intersection of all σ -algebras that contain \mathcal{G} . Formally speaking, let $\mathcal{K} = \{\mathcal{F} | \mathcal{F} \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-algebra and contains } \mathcal{G}\}$. Then $\bigcap_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{K}} \mathcal{F}$ contains exactly those sets that are in every σ -algebra that contains \mathcal{G} . Given its existence, we only need to prove that $\bigcap_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{K}} \mathcal{F}$ is the smallest σ -algebra that contains \mathcal{G} .

First we show $\bigcap_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{K}} \mathcal{F}$ is a σ -algebra. Since \mathcal{F} is a σ -algebra and therefore $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{K}$, it follows that $\Omega \in \bigcap_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{K}} \mathcal{F}$. Next, for any $A \in \bigcap_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{K}} \mathcal{F}$, $A^c \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{K}$. Since they are all σ -algebras, $A^c \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{K}$. Hence $A^c \in \bigcap_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{K}} \mathcal{F}$. Finally, for any $\{A_i\}_i \subset \bigcap_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{K}} \mathcal{F}$, $\{A_i\}_i \subset \mathcal{F}$ for all $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{K}$. Since they are all σ -algebras, $\bigcup_i A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{K}$. Hence $\bigcup_i A_i \in \bigcap_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{K}} \mathcal{F}$.

It is quite obvious that $\bigcap_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{K}} \mathcal{F}$ is the smallest one as $\bigcap_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{K}} \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}'$ for all $\mathcal{F}' \in \mathcal{K}$.

(b) We first introduce a useful lemma: the map X is \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{G} -measurable if and only $\sigma(X) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, where $\sigma(X) = \{X^{-1}(A) : A \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is the σ -algebra generated by X. With this lemma, the main idea to prove X is $\mathcal{F}/\sigma(\mathcal{G})$ -measurable is to show that $\sigma(X) = \{X^{-1}(A) : A \in \sigma(\mathcal{G})\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$.

Let $X^{-1}(\mathcal{G}) = \{X^{-1}(A) : A \in \mathcal{G}\}$. Clearly we have $X^{-1}(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. $\sigma(X^{-1}(\mathcal{G}))$ is the smallest σ -algebra that contains $X^{-1}(\mathcal{G})$. And we know \mathcal{F} is a σ -algebra that contains $X^{-1}(\mathcal{G})$. According to the result of the previous question, $\sigma(X^{-1}(\mathcal{G})) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. Furthermore, $\sigma(X^{-1}(\mathcal{G})) = X^{-1}(\sigma(\mathcal{G})) = \{X^{-1}(A) : A \in \sigma(\mathcal{G})\} = \sigma(X)$. Hence $\sigma(X) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$.

Readers can further refer to the penultimate paragraph in Page 16, where the author provides a general idea to check whether a map is measurable.

- (c) The idea is to show $\forall B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}), \mathbb{I}\{A\}^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}.$ If $\{0,1\} \in B, \mathbb{I}\{A\}^{-1}(B) = \Omega \in \mathcal{F}.$ If $\{0\} \in B, \mathbb{I}\{A\}^{-1}(B) = A^c \in \mathcal{F}.$ If $\{1\} \in B, \mathbb{I}\{A\}^{-1}(B) = A \in \mathcal{F}.$ If $\{0,1\} \cap B = \emptyset, \mathbb{I}\{A\}^{-1}(B) = \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}.$
- **2.6** As the hint suggests, Y is not $\sigma(X)$ -measurable under such conditions since $Y^{-1}((0,1)) = (0,1) \notin \sigma(X)$, where $\sigma(X) = \{X^{-1}(A) : A \in \mathcal{G}\} = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}\}.$
- **2.7** First we have $\mathbb{P}(\Omega \mid B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\Omega \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = 1$. Then, for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $\mathbb{P}(A \mid B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} \geq 0$. Next, for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $\mathbb{P}(A^c \mid B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A^c \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}((\Omega A) \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(B) \mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = 1 \mathbb{P}(A \mid B)$. Finally, for all countable collections of disjoint sets $\{A_i\}_i$ with $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ for all i, we have $\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_i A_i \mid B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}((\bigcup_i A_i) \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\bigcup_i (A_i \cap B))}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = \sum_i \mathbb{P}(A_i \mid B)$.
- **2.8** With the definition of conditional probability, we have $\mathbb{P}(A \mid B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(B \mid A)\mathbb{P}(A)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$.

2.9

(a) There are 36 possible events

$$1.X_1 < 2, X_2 = \text{even}$$

$$X_1 = 1, X_2 = 2$$

$$X_1 = 1, X_2 = 4$$

$$X_1 = 1, X_2 = 6$$

So
$$P(X_1 < 2, X_2 = \text{even}) = \frac{3}{36} = \frac{1}{12}$$

and
$$P(X_1 < 2) = \frac{1}{1}(6), P(X_2 = \text{even}) = \frac{1}{2}$$

So, $P(X_1 < 2, X_2 = \text{even}) = P(X_1 < 2) * P(X_2 = \text{even})$. According to the definition of independent event, two events are independent

(b) $ProveP(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B)$

(a) Empty sets and complete sets are independent of any event

$$P(A \cap \Omega) = P(A) = 1 * P(A) = P(\Omega) * P(A)$$
$$P(A \cap \phi) = P(\phi) = 0 = P(\phi) * P(A)$$

(b) Prove when P(A)=0 or 1 A is independent of any event for any $B\in\Omega$ $P(A)\in\{0,1\}$

When
$$P(A) = 1$$
, $P(A^c \cap B) \le P(A^c) = 1 - P(A) = 0$,
we have $P(A \cap B) = P(A \cap B) + P(A^c \cap B) = P(B) = P(A)P(B)$
When $P(A) = 0$, we have $P(A \cap B) \le P(A) = 0 = P(A)P(B)$

- (c) $P(A^c \cap A) = P(A)P(A^c)$ we have $0 = P(A)(1 - P(A)) \Rightarrow P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$
- (d) $P(A \cap A) = P(A)P(A), P(A) = 0, 1$
- (e) $\Omega = (1, 1), (1, 0), (0, 1), (0, 0)$ Just verify that each case is independent $P(A = 1, B = 1) = \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2} * \frac{1}{2} = P(A)P(B)$

First verify that each case is independent
$$P(A = 1, B = 1) = \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2} * \frac{1}{2} = P(A)P(B)$$

$$P(A = 0, B = 1) = \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2} * \frac{1}{2} = P(A)P(B)$$

$$P(A = 0, B = 0) = \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2} * \frac{1}{2} = P(A)P(B)$$

(f) $P(X_1 \le 2) = 2/3$

$$P(X_1 = X_2) = 3/9 = 1/3$$

$$P(X_1 \le 2, X_1 = X_2) = P(X_1 = X_2 = 1) + P(X_1 = X_2 = 2) = 1/9 + 1/9 = 2/9$$

So,
$$P(X_1 \le 2, X_1 = X_2) = P(X_1 = X_2)P(X_1 \le 2)$$

(g) Necessity $\frac{|A \cap B|}{n} = P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B) = \frac{|A|}{n} \frac{|B|}{n}$ $\Rightarrow |A \cap B| * n = |A||B|$

Sufficiency
$$|A \cap B| * n = |A||B| \Rightarrow \frac{|A|}{n} \frac{|B|}{n} = \frac{|A \cap B|}{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B)$$

- (h) $|A \cap B| * n = |A||B|, \Rightarrow n \leq |A| \leq n \Rightarrow A \neq \phi or \Omega$
- (j) Let's take a counter example: roll a die and set the A event to $\{1, 2, 3\}$, B event is set to $\{1, 2, 4\}$, C event is set to $\{1, 4, 5, 6\}$

$$P(X_1X_2X_3) = \frac{1}{6}$$

$$P(X_1)P(X_2)P(X_3) = (1/2)*(1/2)*(2/3) = 1/6$$
while $P(X_1 \cap X_2) = 1/3 \neq \frac{1}{2}*\frac{1}{2}$

2.11

(a) $X:\Omega \to x$

Because X, Y are independent equivalent $to\sigma(X), \sigma(Y)$ are independent For any $A \in \sigma(Y)$,

$$P(\phi \bigcap A) = P(\phi) = 0 = P(\phi)P(A)$$

$$P(\Omega \bigcap A) = P(A) = P(\Omega)P(A)$$

(b) We know that P(X = x) = 1

$$P(X = x|Y) = \frac{P((X = x) \cap Y)}{P(Y)} = 1 = P(X = x)$$

$$P(X \neq x|Y) = 1 - P(X = x|Y) = 0 = P(X \neq x)$$

(c) Notice the relation P(A) = P(X(A) = 1)

$$P(B) = P(X(B) = 1)$$

$$P(A \cap B) = P(X(A \cap B) = 1)$$

The first two formulas follow the definition. Let's prove the third equation

$$P(X(A \cap B) = P(X(A) + X(B) - X(A \cup B) = 1)$$

Let's discuss $X(A), X(B), X(A \cup B)$

X(A)	X(B)	X(AUB)	$X(A) + X(B) - X(A \cup B)$
1	1	1	1
1	0	1	0
0	1	1	0
0	0	0	0

We can see that $P(X(A \cap B) = P(X(A) + X(B) - X(A \cup B) = 1)$, this is only one case of the first row of the table

that is
$$P(X(A \cap B) = 1) = P(X(A) = 1, X(B) = 1) = P(A \cap B)$$

that is
$$P(X(A \cap B) = 1) = P(A \cap B)$$

So
$$P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B)$$
 is equivalent to $P(X(A \cap B) = 1) = P(X(A) = 1)P(X(B) = 1)$

(d) A_i pairwise i $\Leftrightarrow I\{A_i\}$ pairwise i

mutual i
$$\Leftrightarrow P(\bigcap_i A_i) = \prod_i P(A_i)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P(\bigcap_{i \in K^I} A_i \bigcap \bigcap_{i \in K^I} A_i^c)$$

$$= \prod_{i \in K^I} P(A_i) \prod_{i \in K^I} P(A_i^c)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \{\phi, \Omega, A_i, A_i^c\}$$
 mutual independent

$$\Leftrightarrow \sigma(I\{w \in A_i\})$$
mutual i

$$\Leftrightarrow I\{w \in A_i\}$$
 mutual i

2.12 X integrable |X| integrable

(a) For any $A \in B(R) \Rightarrow A$ is open,

so,
$$f^{-1}(A)$$
 is open so $f^{-1}(A) \in B(R)$

(b) X is known to be a random variable f(x) = |x| continuous

r.v. X is
$$\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{B}(R)$$
-measurable

$$\Rightarrow |X|$$
 is $\mathbf{B}(R)/\mathbf{B}(R)$ -measurable

$$\Rightarrow |X|$$
 is $\mathbf{F}/\mathbf{B}(R)$ -measurable

$$\Rightarrow |X|$$
 is r.v.

From (a)(b) X integrable $\Leftrightarrow |X|$ integrable.