

Short answer indications

Q1: 1.A 2.A 3.A 4.B 5.B 6.A 7.B 8.B 9.B 10.A

- Q2: (a) A,B,C,D,AB,AC,AD  
(b) C, CD, and CDA  
(c) 0.01

Q3: Level 1: A:7,B:5,C:3,D:2,E:2, all of them frequent  
Level 2: AB:4,AC:2,AD:2,AE:2,BC:2,BD:1,BE:1,CD:0,CE:0,DE:0, first 5 are frequent  
Level 3: ABC:1, not frequent. Pruned pre-candidates: ABD,ABE,ACD,ACE,ADE

Q4: (a) No closed form solutions because of chordless 4-cycle A-B-C-D-A (and B-C-D-E-B)  
Number of parameters:  $1+5+7+2=15$   
(b)  $\hat{n}(A,B,C,D) = (n(A,B,D)*n(B,C,D))/n(B,D)$   
Number of parameters:  $1+4+5+2=12$   
(c)  $\hat{n}(A,B,C,D) = (n(A,B)*n(B,D)*n(C))/(N*n(B))$   
Number of parameters:  $1+4+2=7$

Q5: (a) Score of node "treatment" in initial model:  $350 * \log(350/700) + 350 * \log(350/700) = -485.203$   
Score of node "treatment" after adding edge from "size" to "treatment":  
 $87 * \log(87/357) + 270 * \log(270/357) + 263 * \log(263/343) + 80 * \log(80/343) = -384.547$   
Change in score:  $-384.547 + 485.203 = 100.656$

- (b) add(outcome --> treatment)
- (c) Treatment A is more effective. The severe cases (large kidney stones) are more likely to receive treatment A, and are at the same time less likely to recover. To determine the effect of treatment on outcome we must therefore control for size.  
Hence, the size-specific success percentages give the correct result.