

# Homework 7 Solutions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{1a. } P(A_1 \cup A_2) &= P(A_1) + P(A_2) - P(A_1 \cap A_2) \\ &\leq P(A_1) + P(A_2) \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Assume  $P(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_k) \leq P(A_1) + \dots + P(A_k)$

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_1, \dots, UA_k, UA_{k+1}) &= P(A_1, \dots, UA_k) + P(A_{k+1}) - P(A_1, \dots, UA_k, A_{k+1}) \\ &\leq P(A_1, \dots, UA_k) + P(A_{k+1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} P(A_i) \quad (\text{by assumption}) \end{aligned}$$

□

b. Set  $A_i$  as the event that for comparison  $i$ , the null hypothesis is ~~not~~ rejected

~~PLA  $\Rightarrow$  Family size~~  
 ~~$= P_f$~~

Let  $\alpha_f$  be the family size.

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{D} \alpha_F &= P(A_1 \cup A_2 \dots \cup A_k) \\ &\leq P(A_1) + \dots + P(A_k) \\ &= k \cdot \frac{\alpha}{k} \\ &= \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow$  family size is at most  $\alpha$

	df	SS	MS	F	P
La. group	2	126.7	63.08	21.42	0.00038.
residuals	9	26.5	2.94		

$$b \quad \hat{\mu}_1 = 3.75 \quad \hat{\mu}_2 = 10.5 \quad \hat{\mu}_3 = 10.75$$

$$\text{width width of each CI: } t_{9}^{.945} \cdot 1.716 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}}$$

$$= 2.74.$$

$$\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2 : 3.75 - 10.5 \pm 2.74$$

$$= (-9.49, -4.01)$$

$$\hat{\mu}_2 - \hat{\mu}_3 : 10.5 - 10.75 \pm 2.74$$

$$= (-2.99, 2.49)$$

$$\hat{\mu}_3 - \hat{\mu}_1 : 10.75 - 3.75 \pm 2.74$$

$$= (5.26, 10.74)$$

$$c. \quad \text{widths: } t_{9}^s \cdot 1.716 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}}$$

$$S = \left(1 - \frac{.05}{3 \times 2}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow t_{9}^s = 2.93$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{width} = 3.56$$

$\Rightarrow CI_3$

$$\mu_1 - \mu_2: (-10.31, -3.19)$$

$$\mu_2 - \mu_3: (-3.81, 3.31)$$

$$\mu_3 - \mu_1: (3.44, 10.56)$$

d.  $\mu_1 - \mu_2: (-10.14, -3.362)$

$$\mu_2 - \mu_3: (-3.64, 3.138)$$

$$\mu_3 - \mu_1: (3.61, 10.39)$$

3a 17.935

b.  $17.935 + 10.059 + 11.466 + 17.471$   
 $= 56.931$

c.  $F = 11.054 \quad p = 0.002 < .05$

$\Rightarrow$  Reject  $H_0$ : interactions = 0.

d. No F-test for B is significant also.

9.5.1.  $\sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=1}^a (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{i.})^2$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=1}^a (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{i.} - \bar{X}_{.j} + \bar{X}_{..} + \bar{X}_{.j} - \bar{X}_{..})^2$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=1}^a (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{i.} - \bar{X}_{.j} + \bar{X}_{..})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=1}^a (\bar{X}_{.j} - \bar{X}_{..})^2$$

$$+ 2 \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=1}^a (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{i.} - \bar{X}_{.j} + \bar{X}_{..}) (\bar{X}_{.j} - \bar{X}_{..})$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=1}^a (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{i.} - \bar{X}_{.j} + \bar{X}_{..})^2 + a \sum_{j=1}^b (\bar{X}_{.j} - \bar{X}_{..})^2$$

$$+ 2 ( \sim )$$

note: 2(=)

$$\begin{aligned} &= 2 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^b (\bar{X}_{\cdot j} - \bar{X}_{..}) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^a (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{i\cdot} - \bar{X}_{\cdot j} + \bar{X}_{..}) \\ &= 2 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^b (\bar{X}_{\cdot j} - \bar{X}_{..}) \left( a(\bar{X}_{\cdot j} - \bar{X}_{..}) + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^a (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{i\cdot})}_{= a(\bar{X}_{\cdot j} - \bar{X}_{..})} \right) \\ &\quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{= 0} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

→ □

$$9.5.6 \log L(\theta) = C \cdot \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{k=1}^c (X_{ijk} - (\mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_k))^2$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\theta)}{\partial \mu} = C \cdot \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{k=1}^c (X_{ijk} - (\mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_k)).$$

$$| \text{recall: } \sum \alpha_i = \sum \beta_j = \sum \gamma_k = 0.$$

$$= C \cdot \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{k=1}^c (X_{ijk} - \mu) = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \hat{\mu} &= \bar{X}_{...} \\ &= \underbrace{\sum_i^a \sum_j^b \sum_k^c X_{ijk}}_{abc} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \log L(\theta)}{\partial \alpha_i} &= c \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{k=1}^c (X_{ijk} - (\mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ijk})) \\ &= c \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{k=1}^c (X_{ijk} - (\mu + \alpha_i))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \hat{\alpha}_i &= \bar{X}_{i..} - \hat{\mu} \\ &= \bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}_{...}\end{aligned}$$

& Similarly for  $\hat{\beta}_j = \bar{X}_{.j.} - \bar{X}_{...}$

$$\frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \gamma_{ijk}} = c \cdot \sum_{k=1}^c (X_{ijk} - (\mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ijk}))$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \hat{\gamma}_{ijk} &= \bar{X}_{ijk} - \hat{\alpha}_i - \hat{\beta}_j - \hat{\mu} \\ &= \bar{X}_{ijk} - \bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}_{.j.} + \bar{X}_{...}\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{now: } E(\bar{X}_{...}) = E(\sum \sum \sum X_{ijk}) \cdot \frac{1}{abc}$$

$$= \frac{\sum \sum \sum (\mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ijk})}{abc}$$

$$= \mu$$

$$(\text{as } \sum_i \alpha_i = \sum_j \beta_j = \sum_i \gamma_{ijk} = 0)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\hat{\alpha}_i) &= E(\bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}_{...}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{bc} \cdot E\left(\sum_j \sum_k X_{ijk}\right) - \mu \\
 &= \frac{1}{bc} \sum_j \sum_k (\mu + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \alpha_i) - \mu \\
 &= \frac{bc\mu + bc\alpha_i}{bc} - \mu \\
 &= \alpha_i \quad \checkmark
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for  $\beta_j$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\hat{\gamma}_{ijk}) &= E\left[\frac{1}{c} \sum_{k=1}^c (X_{ijk} - \hat{\alpha}_i - \hat{\beta}_j - \hat{\mu})\right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{c} (\mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} - \alpha_i - \beta_j - \mu) \cdot c \\
 &= \gamma_{ij}
 \end{aligned}$$

9.5.7	df	SS	MS	F	p
row	2	7.30	3.65	30.73	<.05
col	3	8.13	2.71	22.82	<.05
r:c	6	3.41	0.57	4.79	<.05
resid	12	1.43	0.11		

All p-values <.05

⇒ Reject all null hypotheses.

9.5.9.	df	SS	MS	F	P
batch	4	3636	909	15.54	.00076
treat	2	84.2	44.6	7.62	.014
resid	8	46.8	5.85		

a.  $p = .014 < .05$

$\Rightarrow$  Reject  $H_A$ .

b.  $p = .00076 < .05$

$\Rightarrow$  Reject  $H_B$ .