Category	Description	Reviewers Comment	Action taken by reviewed group
Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	Yes. Project ran correctly. Note that there is an oversight with regards to installation using pipenv, assuming that it will be set as an environmental variable. Make sure to account for the possibility that it needs to be set as such.	The README.md now specifies that pipenv should be present in the user's path, and it provides a link to the official documentation.
Legibility	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	Code follows good practices and is clear and readable. There is a good sense of separation and abstraction via functions.	<no change requested> Additional directories created for clearer separation of different files.
Implementation	is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	There do not appear to be any changes to code structure that would result in a significant change in quality. Much of the code appears to rely on exisiting frameworks and API's, which results in less room for mistakes or optimization.	<no change requested>
Maintainability	Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	There do not appear to be unit tests. Unit tests would work to verify certain elements of the system, such as data and error handling, but much of the system relies on the ML models being correctly trained, for which unit testing would not suffice.	Unit tests added using python packages unittest and pytest. They live under tests/ and are run with "make test."
Requirements	Does the code fulfill the requirements?	I notice that check_classification is not defined yet. Does this still need to be defined? And if it has been made unnecessary, it and the call made by watch_file should be removed.	Removed call and definition for check_classification().
Other	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?	No other significant changes are needed.	<no change requested>