

# Extending The Thue-Morse Sequence

Olivia Appleton

TMW Center for Early Learning + Public Health  
University of Chicago  
Chicago, Illinois, United States  
ORCID: 0009-0004-2296-7033

Dan Rowe

Department of Math and Computer Science  
Northern Michigan University  
Marquette, Michigan, United States  
Email: darowe@nmu.edu

**Abstract**—In this paper, we discuss various ways to extend the Thue-Morse Sequence [1] when used as a fair-share sequence. Included are  $N$  definitions of the original sequence,  $M$  extensions to  $n$  players, and proofs of equality for all definitions. In the appendix are several complexity analyses for both space and time of each definition.

**Index Terms**—TBA

## I. INTRODUCTION

Make sure to add that while this paper does not deal with negative or fractional bases, many of the definitions are trivially extendable to that domain, and at least one of them already has been in another paper.

## II. THE ORIGINAL SEQUENCE

### A. Definition 1 - Parity of Hamming Weight

This definition appears in [1–3]

The Hamming Weight, as typically defined, is the digit sum of a binary number. In other words, it is a count of the high bits in a given number. A common way to generate the Thue-Morse Sequence is to take the parity of the Hamming Weight for each natural number. We can use that as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} p(0) &= 0 \\ p(n) &= n + p\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right) \pmod{2} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Under this definition, you can construct the Thue-Morse Sequence using the following, starting at 0:

$$T_{2,1}(n) = p(n) \quad (2)$$

The subscript indicates that we are using 2 players (writing in base 2) and that we are using the first definition laid out in this paper. Note that when we extend to  $n$  players, the  $T$  function will get a second parameter for the number of players, so it will look like  $T_{n,d}(x, s)$ , where  $s$  is the size of the player pool, and therefore the base we use to define the sequence.

### B. Definition 2 - Invert and Extend

Appears in [1]

This definition is more natural to think about as extending a tuple that contains the sequence. We will give a recurrence relation below, but to build an intuition we will work in this framework first.

Let  $t(n)$  be the first  $2^n$  elements of the Thue-Morse Sequence. Given this, we can define:

$$\text{inv}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x_i = 1 \\ 1, & \text{if } x_i = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} = \langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \rangle \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} t(0) &= \langle 0 \rangle \\ t(n) &= t(n-1) \parallel \text{inv}(t(n-1)) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Given the above, we can define a recurrence relation that will give us individual elements. It will be less efficient to compute, but will allow proofs of equivalence to be easier.

$$\begin{aligned} T_{2,2}(0) &= 0 \\ T_{2,2}(n) &= T_{2,2}\left(n - 2^{\lfloor \log_2(n) \rfloor}\right) \pm 1 \pmod{2} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

### C. Definition 3 - Substitute and Flatten

This definition appears in [1, 2, 4]

$$\begin{aligned} s(n) &= \begin{cases} \langle 0, 1 \rangle, & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle, & \text{if } n = 1 \end{cases} \\ t(0) &= \langle 0 \rangle \\ t(n) &= \bigparallel_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} s(t(n-1)_i) \\ T_{2,3}(n) &= t(\lceil \log_2(n+1) \rceil)_n \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

So for example, calculating  $T_{2,3}(3)$  would look like:

$$\begin{aligned} t(0) &= \langle 0 \rangle \\ t(1) &= \bigparallel_{i=0}^0 s(t(0)_i) = \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ t(2) &= \bigparallel_{i=0}^1 s(t(1)_i) = \langle 0, 1, 1, 0 \rangle \\ T_{2,3}(3) &= t(\lceil \log_2(3+1) \rceil)_3 \\ &= t(2)_3 \\ &= \langle 0, 1, 1, 0 \rangle_3 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

1) *Definition 3b - Recursive Rotation:* Another way to phrase this definition is as recursive rotation. If we decompose  $s$ , we can instead represent it as

$$\begin{aligned} r(\mathbf{x}) &= \langle x_{i+1 \bmod |\mathbf{x}|}, \dots \rangle \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} = \langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \rangle \\ t(0) &= \langle 0 \rangle \\ t(1) &= \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ t(n) &= \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} r(t(n-1), i) \\ T_{2,3b}(n) &= t(\lceil \log_2(n+1) \rceil)_n \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

#### D. Definition 4 - Recursion

This definition appears in [1, 4]

$$\begin{aligned} T_{2,4}(0) &= 0 \\ T_{2,4}(2n) &= T_{2,4}(n) \\ T_{2,4}(2n+1) &= 1 - T_{2,4}(n) \pmod{2} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

#### E. Definition 5 - Highest Bit Difference

This definition appears in [5]

The text below is from Wiki and needs to be entirely rewritten. I was able to derive the formula on my own from translating their code. This method leads to a fast method for computing the Thue-Morse sequence: start with  $t_0 = 0$ , and then, for each  $n$ , find the highest-order bit in the binary representation of  $n$  that is different from the same bit in the representation of  $n-1$ . If this bit is at an even index,  $t_n$  differs from  $t_{n-1}$ , and otherwise it is the same as  $t_{n-1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} T_{2,5}(0) &= 0 \\ T_{2,5}(n) &= \left[ \log_2(n \oplus (n-1)) \right] + T_{2,5}(n-1) \pm 1 \pmod{2} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

#### F. Definition 6 - Floor-Ceiling Difference

Appears in [1]

$$\begin{aligned} b(n) &= \begin{cases} n & \text{if } n \leq 1 \\ b\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil\right) - b\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ T_{2,6}(n) &= \frac{1 - b(2n)}{2} \pmod{2} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

This seems very similar to the highest bit difference definition, and I think it may be what that was derived from

#### G. Definition 7 - Odious Number Derivation

Definition appears in [1]

Another way to generate the Thue-Morse Sequence is to take the sequence of Odious Numbers [6] mod 2. Odious numbers are those with an odd number of 1s in their binary representation. Note that the player numbers in this derivation are swapped, so when generating this for testing and extension, we add 1 to the result. Some simple generating code for this is as follows:

```
from itertools import count

def seq_p2_d06():
    for i in count():
        if bin(i).count('1') % 2:
            yield (i + 1) % 2
```

$$T_{2,7}(n) = \text{Odious}(n) + 1 \pmod{2} \quad (11)$$

Aren't Odious Numbers exactly the numbers where the parity of the hamming weight is 1? So doesn't that mean that the Thue-Morse Sequence selects which numbers are Odious? From cursory testing, it seems to. There's something to be had there.

A possible way to extend this would be to reinterpret this as where the digit sum is not n-even

A related definition on OEIS [1] is

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) + \text{Odious}(n-1) + 1 &= 2n \text{ for } n \geq 1 \\ T(n) &= 2n - \text{Odious}(n-1) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

#### H. Definition 8 - Evil Numbers Derivation 1

Appears in [1]

The Evil Numbers [7] are those who have an even number of 1s in their binary representation. Note that this is the opposite of the Odious Numbers referenced above.

$$T_{2,8}(n) = \text{Evil}(n) - 2n \pmod{2} \quad (12)$$

#### I. Definition 9 - Evil Numbers Derivation 2

Appears in [1]

A second, more-efficient derivation from the Evil Numbers is as follows, where  $ce()$  is the count of Evil Numbers less than  $n$  [8], and  $p()$  is the function defined in Equation 2.

$$\begin{aligned} ce(n) &= \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor + p(n+1) \cdot (n+1 \bmod 2) \\ T_{2,9}(n) &= 1 - ce(n+1) + ce(n) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

#### J. Definition 10 - Gould's Sequence Derivation

Appears in [1]

Gould's Sequence [9] are the number of odd entries in a given row of Pascal's Triangle.

Why mod 3? Everything else is mod 2. This definition is unlikely to be extendable, unless you interpret this as the hamming weight of a given row, and extend the idea of hamming weight to those other bases. If so, I predict that the final mod is mod  $(n+1)$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{2,10}(n) &= \text{Gould}(n) - 1 \bmod 3 \\ &= \left( \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \bmod 2 \right) - 1 \bmod 3 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

### K. Definition 11 - Derivation from Blue Code

Appears in [1]

In the OEIS, this sequence [10] is defined as the "binary coding of a polynomial over GF(2), substitute x+1 for x". There are a number of ways to generate it. One of the more computationally-accessible ones is:

$$\begin{aligned} A001317(n) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \left( \binom{n}{k} \bmod 2 \right) \cdot 2^k \\ A193231(n) &= \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\lceil \log_2(n) \rceil} A001317 \left( i \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{n \bmod 2}{2^i} \right\rfloor \right) \quad (15) \\ T_{2,11}(n) &= A193231(n) \pmod{2} \end{aligned}$$

Translated into words, this function computes the value of Sierpiński's triangle for the index of each high bit, then takes the bitwise exclusive or of all such resulting values. Note that since  $A001317(n) = 0$ , each low bit can be simplified out when calculating.

It seems to me that this might be extendable by using GF(n) instead of GF(2), though I don't know of a way to efficient compute or prove such a result

### L. Additional definitions from OEIS

Make sure to search A010059 (complement of Thue-Morse) & A001285 (Thue-Morse + 1) for additional definitions and derivations

### M. Summary

#### III. PROVING EQUIVALENCE BETWEEN STANDARD DEFINITIONS

##### A. Correlating Definition 1 and Definition 4

Note that in Equation 8 where we define  $T_{2,4}$ , we are working in mod 2, where +1 and -1 are logically equivalent. We can therefore simplify its definition to be:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{2,4}(0) &= 0 \\ T_{2,4}(n) &= n + T_{2,4} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \right) \pmod{2} \quad (16) \end{aligned}$$

This is identical to Equation 2, where we define  $T_{2,1}$ .

#### IV. THE EXTENSIONS

##### A. Definition 1 - Modular Digit Sums

Definition appears in [11, 12]

To extend definition 1 from 2 to  $n$  players, we must first map our concept of parity to base n. We can do this by taking the parity equation defined above and replacing the 2s with  $n$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(0) &= 0 \\ p_n(x) &= x + p_n \left( \left\lfloor \frac{x}{n} \right\rfloor \right) \pmod{n} \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

Under this definition, you can construct the Thue-Morse Sequence using the following, starting at 0:

$$T_{n,1}(x, s) = p_s(x) \quad (18)$$

1) Proof of Equivalence with Original Definition 1: It is clear from visual inspection that  $p_2$  is identical to our original definition of  $p$ .

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(x) &= p(x) \\ x + p_2 \left( \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor \right) &= x + p \left( \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor \right) \\ x + \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor + p_2 \left( \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2^2} \right\rfloor \right) &= x + \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor + p \left( \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2^2} \right\rfloor \right) \\ x + \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2^2} \right\rfloor + \dots &= x + \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2^2} \right\rfloor + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

##### B. Definition 2 - Increment and Extend

In the original version of this definition, we inverted the elements. In base 2, this is the same thing as adding 1 (mod 2). Given that, let  $t(x, n)$  be the first  $n^x$  elements of the Extended Thue-Morse Sequence, for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ .

$$\text{inc}(\mathbf{x}, n) = \begin{cases} x_i + 1 \pmod{n} \\ \text{for } \mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} t(0, n) &= \langle 0 \rangle \\ t(1, n) &= \langle 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \rangle \\ t(x, n) &= t(x-1, n) \cdot \text{inc}(t(x-1, n), n) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Given the above, we can define a recurrence relation that will give us individual elements. It will be less efficient to compute, but will allow proofs of equivalence to be easier.

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n,2}(0, s) &= 0 \\ T_{n,2}(x, s) &= T_{n,2} \left( x - s^{\lfloor \log_s(x) \rfloor}, s \right) + 1 \pmod{s} \quad (22) \end{aligned}$$

1) Proof of Equivalence with Original Definition 2:

##### C. Definition 3 - Substitute and Flatten

There's a bit of a leap here, since we have to explain why the rotation is equivalent to the binary choice presented in the original. There also might be a better syntax to define the rotation, perhaps using the format used in `inv` and `inc`.

$$\begin{aligned} b(s) &= \langle 0, 1, \dots, s-2, s-1 \rangle \\ r(a, x) &= \prod_{i=0}^{|a|-1} \langle a_{i+x \bmod |a|} \rangle \\ s(x, s) &= r(b(s), x) \\ t(0) &= \langle 0 \rangle \\ t(x, s) &= \prod_{i=0}^{2^{x-1}-1} s(t(x-1)_i, s) \\ T_{n,3}(x, s) &= t(\lceil \log_s(x+1) \rceil, s)_x \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

1) Proof of Equivalence with Original Definition 3:

##### D. Definition 4 - Recursion

Need to reference eq 16 in definition

1) Proof of Equivalence with Original Definition 4:

#### E. Definition 5 - Highest Digit Difference

$$\begin{aligned} \text{XOR}_n(a, b) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil \log_n(\max(a, b) + 1) \rceil} n^i \left( \left\lfloor \frac{a}{n^i} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{b}{n^i} \right\rfloor \bmod n \right) \\ T_{n,5}(0, s) &= 0 \\ T_{n,5}(x, s) &= \left\lfloor \log_s(\text{XOR}_s(x, x-1)) \right\rfloor + T_{n,s}(x-1, s) + 1 \pmod{s} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

This is tested up to  $2^{20} \cdot n, n \in [2, 32]$

- 1) Proof of Equivalence with Original Definition 5: **Substitute n for 2, then simplify, plus a bit**
- 2) Proof of Equivalence with Original Definition 6:

#### F. Summary

### V. PROVING EQUIVALENCE BETWEEN EXTENDED DEFINITIONS

#### A. Summary

### VI. PROVING PERSISTENCE OF ORIGINAL PROPERTIES

### VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The preferred spelling of the word “acknowledgment” in America is without an “e” after the “g”. Avoid the stilted expression “one of us (R. B. G.) thanks ...”. Instead, try “R. B. G. thanks...”. Put sponsor acknowledgments in the unnumbered footnote on the first page.

### VIII. APPENDIX

#### A. Complexity of Original Definition 1

- 1) Time Complexity:
- 2) Space Complexity:

#### B. Complexity of Original Definition 2

- 1) Time Complexity:
- 2) Space Complexity:

#### C. Complexity of Original Definition 3

- 1) Time Complexity:
- 2) Space Complexity:

#### D. Complexity of Original Definition 4

- 1) Time Complexity:
- 2) Space Complexity:

#### E. Complexity of Original Definition 5

- 1) Time Complexity:
- 2) Space Complexity:

#### F. Complexity of Original Definition 6

- 1) Time Complexity:
- 2) Space Complexity:

#### G. Complexity of Original Definition 7

- 1) Time Complexity:
- 2) Space Complexity:

#### H. Complexity of Original Definition 8

- 1) Time Complexity:
- 2) Space Complexity:

#### I. Complexity of Original Definition 9

- 1) Time Complexity:
- 2) Space Complexity:

#### J. Complexity of Original Definition 10

- 1) Time Complexity:
- 2) Space Complexity:

#### K. Complexity of Extension Definition 1

- 1) Time Complexity:
- 2) Space Complexity:

#### L. Complexity of Extension Definition 2

- 1) Time Complexity:
- 2) Space Complexity:

#### M. Complexity of Extension Definition 3

- 1) Time Complexity:
- 2) Space Complexity:

#### N. Complexity of Extension Definition 4

- 1) Time Complexity:
- 2) Space Complexity:

#### O. Complexity of Extension Definition 5

- 1) Time Complexity:
- 2) Space Complexity:

### REFERENCES

- [1] OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences (oeis). Accessed: 2024-10-24, 2024. OEIS Sequence ID: A010060.
- [2] Lukas Spiegelhofer. The level of distribution of the thue–morse sequence. *Compositio Mathematica*, 156(12):2560–2587, 2020.
- [3] Jean-Paul Allouche and Jeffrey Shallit. The ubiquitous prouhet-thue-morse sequence. In C. Ding, T. Helleseth, and H. Niederreiter, editors, *Sequences and their Applications*, pages 1–16, London, 1999. Springer London.
- [4] M. Kolář, M. K. Ali, and Franco Nori. Generalized thue-morse chains and their physical properties. *Phys. Rev. B*, 43:1034–1047, Jan 1991.
- [5] Jorg Arndt. *Matters computational*. Springer, Berlin, Germany, October 2010.
- [6] OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences (oeis). Accessed: 2024-10-24, 2024. OEIS Sequence ID: A000069.
- [7] OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences (oeis). Accessed: 2024-10-24, 2024. OEIS Sequence ID: A001969.
- [8] OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences (oeis). Accessed: 2024-10-24, 2024. OEIS Sequence ID: A159481.

- [9] OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences (oeis). Accessed: 2024-10-24, 2024. OEIS Sequence ID: A001316.
- [10] OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences (oeis). Accessed: 2024-10-24, 2024. OEIS Sequence ID: A193231.
- [11] Ricardo Astudillo. On a class of thue-morse type sequences. *Journal of Integer Sequences*, 6, 2003.
- [12] F. M. Dekking. The thue-morse sequence in base  $3/2$ . *Journal of Integer Sequences*, 26, 2023.

Definitions From OEIS

G.f.:  $(1/(1-x) - \text{Product}_{\{k \geq 0\}} (1 - x^{(2^k)}))/2$ . - Benoit Cloitre, Apr 23 2003

For  $n \geq 0$ ,  $a(A004760(n+1)) = 1 - a(n)$ . - Vladimir Shevelev, Apr 25 2009

$a(A160217(n)) = 1 - a(n)$ . - Vladimir Shevelev, May 05 2009

G.f.  $A(x)$  satisfies:  $A(x) = x / (1 - x^2) + (1 - x) * A(x^2)$ . - Ilya Gutkovskiy, Jul 29 2021

From Bernard Schott, Jan 21 2022: (Start)

$a(n) = a(n*2^k)$  for  $k \geq 0$ .

$a((2^m-1)^2) = (1-(-1)^m)/2$  (see Hassan Tarfaoui link, Concours General 1990). (End)

A004760: numbers not beginning with 10\_2

A160217: Minimal increasing sequence with  $a(1)=3$  and the property that  $a(n)$  and  $n$  are both in or both not in A003159.

A003159: Numbers whose binary representation ends in an even number of zeros.

Definitions from OEIS (inverse: 10010110)

G.f.:  $(1/2) * (1/(1-x) + \text{Product}_{\{k \geq 0\}} (1 - x^{2^k}))$ . - Ralf Stephan, Jun 20 2003

$a(n) = A143579(n) \bmod 2$ . - Gary W. Adamson, Aug 24 2008

$a(n) = A159481(n+1) - A159481(n)$ . - Reinhard Zumkeller, Apr 16 2009

$a(n) = A059448(A054429(n))$ . - Antti Karttunen, May 30 2017

If  $A(n)=(a(0), a(2), \dots, a(2^{n-1}))$ , then  $A(n+1)=(A(n), 1-A(n))$ . - Arie Bos, Jul 27 2022

$a(n) = (1 + (-1)^{A000120(n)})/2$ . - Lorenzo Sauras Altuzarra, Mar 10 2024

A143579: `chain.from_iterable(zip(Odious, Evil))`

Odious: Odd number of 1s in binary

Evil: Even number of 1s in binary

A159481: Number of evil numbers  $< n$

A059448: Parity of Hamming Weight of  $n$

A054429: Simple self-inverse permutation of natural numbers: List each block of  $2^n$  numbers (from  $2^n$  to  $2^{(n+1)} - 1$ ) in reverse order.

A000120: Hamming Weight of  $n$

Definitions from OEIS (add 1: 21121221)

$a(2n) = a(n)$ ,  $a(2n+1) = 3 - a(n)$ ,  $a(0) = 1$ . Also,  $a(k+2^m) = 3 - a(k)$  if  $0 \leq k < 2^m$ .

G.f.:  $(3/(1-x) - \text{Product}_{\{k \geq 0\}} (1 - x^{(2^k)}))/2$ . - Ilya Gutkovskiy, Apr 03 2019

Definitions from OEIS ( $n \rightarrow 1 - 2 * \text{Thue-Morse}(n)$ ): PNNPNPPN)

$a(n) = (-1)^{\text{wt}(n)}$ , where  $\text{wt}(n)$  is the binary weight of  $n$ , A000120( $n$ ).

G.f.  $A(x)$  satisfies  $0 = f(A(x), A(x^2), A(x^4))$  where  $f(u, v, w) = v^3 - 2*uv*w + u^2*w$ .

G.f.  $A(x)$  satisfies  $0 = f(A(x), A(x^2), A(x^3), A(x^6))$  where  $f(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_6) = u_6*u_1^3 - 3*u_6*u_2*u_1^2 + 3*u_6*u_2^2*u_1 - u_3*u_2^3$ .

G.f.:  $\text{Product}_{\{k \geq 0\}} (1 - x^{(2^k)}) = A(x) = (1-x) * A(x^2)$ .

$a(n) = B_n(-A038712(1)*0!, \dots, -A038712(n)*(n-1)!)/n!$ , where  $B_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is the  $n$ -th complete Bell polynomial. See the Wikipedia link for complete Bell polynomials, and A036040 for the coefficients of these partition polynomials. - Gevorg Hmayakyan, Jul 10 2016 (edited by - Wolfdieter Lang, Aug 31 2016)

$a(n) = A008836(A005940(1+n))$ . [Analogous to Liouville's  $\lambda$ ] - Antti Karttunen, May 30 2017

$a(n) = (-1)^{A09303(n)}$ , see the closed form (5) in the MathWorld link. - Vladimir Reshetnikov, Jul 23 2019

A038712: Let  $k$  be the exponent of highest power of 2 dividing  $n$  (A007814);  $a(n) = 2^{(k+1)-1}$ .

A008836: Liouville's function  $\lambda(n) = (-1)^k$ , where  $k$  is number of primes dividing  $n$  (counted with multiplicity).

A005940: The Doudna sequence

A09303: Expansion of g.f.  $(\sqrt{x+1} - \sqrt{1-3x})/(2*(x+1)^{(3/2)})$ .

Dubious suggestions from chatGPT

## 5. Relation to Cantor Set

The Thue-Morse sequence can be linked to the Cantor set through a representation of its points. The Cantor set is constructed by repeatedly removing the middle third of intervals. The sequence  $T(n)$  can be thought of as representing the presence or absence of points at certain levels of this construction:

Each  $T(n)$  corresponds to whether the  $n$ th interval of the Cantor set contains a point.

The sequence can be defined such that  $T(n)=1$  if the point is included in the Cantor set at the  $n$ th iteration, and  $T(n)=0$  otherwise.

## 11. Connection to Tilings

The Thue-Morse sequence can also be associated with aperiodic tilings. An example is the domino tiling, where the sequence describes the arrangement of tiles in a non-repeating pattern. The Thue-Morse sequence can generate a substitution tiling:

Tiles can be placed according to rules derived from the sequence. For instance, placing a tile according to whether  $T(n)=0$  or  $T(n)=1$  could dictate different orientations or types of tiles in a geometric arrangement.