Appendix B - Optional template student request and consent form

An interactive version of this form is provided separately should you wish to use it: <u>Optional</u> Student Request Form for Centre Reviews and Appeals to Awarding Organisations.

Appendix B - Optional Student Request Form for Centre Reviews and Appeals to Awarding Organisations













Important information for students

What may happen to your grade during the centre review and appeals process?

If you request a centre review or an awarding organisation appeal there are three possible outcomes:

- Your original grade is **lowered**, so your final grade will be lower than the original grade you received.
- Your original grade is **confirmed**, so there is no change to your grade.
- Your original grade is **raised**, so your final grade will be higher than the original grade you received.

Once a finding has been made you cannot withdraw your request for a centre review or appeal. If your grade has been lowered you will not be able to revert back to the original grade you received on results day.

What will be checked during a centre review?

You can ask the centre to check whether it made a **procedural error**, an **administrative error**, or both. A procedural error means a failure to follow the process set out in the centre policy. An administrative error means an error in recording your grade or submitting your grade to the awarding organisation.

You must request a centre review before you can request an awarding organisation appeal. This is so the awarding organisation is certain that your grade is as the centre intended.

What will be checked during an awarding organisation appeal?

You can ask the awarding organisation to check whether the centre made a **procedural** or **administrative error**-or whether the awarding organisation itself made an **administrative error**. You can also ask the awarding organisation to check whether the **academic judgement** of the centre was unreasonable, either in the selection of evidence or the determination of your grade.

When do I need to submit my request?

You should submit a request for a centre review by **16 August 2021 for a priority appeal**, or by **3 September 2021 for non-priority appeals**.

Once you have received the outcome of your centre review, if you wish to request an awarding organisation appeal you should do so as soon as possible. Your school or college will submit this on your behalf. Requests for a priority appeal should be submitted by **23 August 2021** and requests for non-priority appeals should be submitted by **17 September 2021**. Priority appeals that aren't submitted to the awarding organisation by 23 August 2021 will still be treated as a priority but they may not be completed in time for those with a higher education place dependent on the outcome of the appeal.

What is a priority appeal?

A priority appeal is only for students applying to higher education who did not attain their firm choice (i.e. the offerthey accepted as their first choice) and wish to appeal an A level or other Level 3 qualification result. You should inform your intended higher education provider that you have requested a centre review or appeal.

What is your UCAS personal ID and why is it needed?

Your UCAS personal ID is the 10 digit code included in all correspondence from UCAS. This is needed to confirm that a student's place is dependent on the outcome of the appeal.

Stage one – centre review

A. Student request

This section is to be completed by the student. A request for a centre review must be submitted to the centre, not the awarding organisation. A centre review must be conducted before an appeal to the awarding organisation. This is so the awarding organisation is certain that your grade is as the centre intended.

Centre Name		Cent	re Number		
Student Name		Cano	didate Number		
Qualification title c Language	e.g. AQA GCSE English				
Teacher Assessed	Grade issued				
education who did not	ppeal? of or students applying to higher attain their firm choice and wish ther Level 3 qualification result.	☐ Yes ☐ No	If Yes provide you UCAS personal IE e.g. 123-456-7890		
Grounds for ce	ntre review				
	n of the options if they apply to or administrative and procedur intended.				
Administrative Er e.g. the wrong grade/ an item of evidence	ror by the centre mark was recorded against	e.g. a	edural Error by the reasonable adjustment / ot provided for an eligibl	access arrangement	
There is a 5,000 chara	explanation of what you believe				
Acknowledgen	nent				
have read and und	m requesting a centre re derstood the information p submitting this review, I an	provided in	the 'Important info		
The outcome of raised	the review may result in	my grade	remaining the sam	ne, being lowere	ed or
	(Stage Two, the appeal to a w (Stage One) has been r			nly be requested o	once
Student Name	Stu	dentsign	ature	Date	

Centre Review Outc	ome							
Please tick the outcome of the	ne revie	T		the original	grade	and the revised	d grade if a	applicable.
Upheld		Not upheld				Partially uph	neld	
Original Teacher Assessed Grade				Revised Teacher Assessed Grade if applicable				
Information conside		-			awad	Thoro is a 5 000	character	limit
Please provide a short explant	ation of	the evidence th	iat y	ou have revie	ewed.	There is a 5,000	character	limit.
						istrative error and	d if relevan	t details of
Outline the centre's findings fr	om the	centre review e.				istrative error and	d if relevan	t, details of
Outline the centre's findings fr	om the	centre review e.				istrative error and	d if relevan	t, details of
Outline the centre's findings fr	om the	centre review e.				istrative error and	d if relevan	t, details of
Outline the centre's findings fr	om the	centre review e.				istrative error and	d if relevan	t, details of
Outline the centre's findings fr	om the	centre review e.				istrative error and	d if relevan	t, details of
Outline the centre's findings fr	om the	centre review e.				istrative error and	d if relevan	t, details of
Outline the centre's findings fr	om the	centre review e.				istrative error and	d if relevan	t, details of
Outline the centre's findings from the error. There is a 5,000 character	om the racter li	centre review e.	.g. p	procedural or a	admini			
Outline the centre's findings from the error. There is a 5,000 character of the error of the err	om the racter li	of next sta	.g. p	procedural or a	admini			
Authorisation and d Please complete the boxes as a be completed when requesting	ates appropri	of next sta	.g. p	procedural or a	pleted	in every case. Bo		
Authorisation and d Please complete the boxes as a be completed when requesting 1. Date that the decision and rationale was	ates appropri	of next sta	.g. p	es must be comp inforr	pleted te stu	in every case. Bo udent of how to		
Authorisation and d Please complete the boxes as a be completed when requesting 1. Date that the decision and rationale was	ates appropri	of next sta	.g. p	es must be comp inforr proce	pleted te stumed deed to	in every case. Bo		
Authorisation and d Please complete the boxes as a be completed when requesting 1. Date that the decision and rationale was	ates appropri	of next sta	.g. p	es must be comp inforr proce	pleted te stumed (ceed to ceed	in every case. Boudent of how to o stage 2 o awarding		
Authorisation and d Please complete the boxes as a be completed when requesting 1. Date that the decision and rationale was issued to student 3. Confirmation that	ates appropri	of next sta	.g. p	2. Da inforr proced (apper organ 4. Da	pleted te stumed deed to eed to eal to isatio	in every case. Boudent of how to o stage 2 o awarding on) at grade	oxes 3 and 4	
Rationale for the out Outline the centre's findings from the error. There is a 5,000 chance the error is a 5,000 c	ates appropri	of next sta	.g. p	2. Da inforr proce (appe organ 4. Da chang	pleted te stumed (eed to eed to eed to eed to eed to ge is	in every case. Boudent of how to o stage 2 o awarding on)	oxes 3 and 4	

Stage two – appeal to awarding organisation

 $This \, section \, is \, to \, be \, completed \, \, by \, the \, student. \, An \, awarding \, organisation \, appeal \, must \, be \, submitted \, to \, the \, centre \, and \, the \, centre \, will \, then \, submit \, it \, to \, the \, awarding \, organisation$

1. Administrative error by the awarding organisation	
2. Procedural issue at the centre	
a. Procedural Error	
 Issues with access arrangements / reasonable adjustments and/or mitigating circumstances 	
3. Unreasonable exercise of academic judgement	
a. Selection of evidence	
Evidence to support an appeal Please provide a short explanation of what you believe went wrong and how you think this have to be lengthy. 1. Administrative error by the awarding organisation You must provide a clear explanation. There is a 5,000 character limit.	
Evidence to support an appeal Please provide a short explanation of what you believe went wrong and how you think this had the state of the state o	
Evidence to support an appeal Please provide a short explanation of what you believe went wrong and how you think this have that relates to your chosen ground for appeal. In some cases you must provide a cleave to be lengthy. 1. Administrative error by the awarding organisation You must provide a clear explanation. There is a 5,000 character limit. 2 (a) Procedural Error This is when the centre made a procedural error that has not been corrected at Stage On	ear reason but it doesn't
Evidence to support an appeal Please provide a short explanation of what you believe went wrong and how you think this have that relates to your chosen ground for appeal. In some cases you must provide a cleave to be lengthy. 1. Administrative error by the awarding organisation You must provide a clear explanation. There is a 5,000 character limit.	ear reason but it doesn't
Evidence to support an appeal Please provide a short explanation of what you believe went wrong and how you think this have that relates to your chosen ground for appeal. In some cases you must provide a cleave to be lengthy. 1. Administrative error by the awarding organisation You must provide a clear explanation. There is a 5,000 character limit. 2 (a) Procedural Error This is when the centre made a procedural error that has not been corrected at Stage Organisation of the conduct its review properly and consistently. If you can, please add a further explanation be	ear reason but it doesn't

circumstances	ements / reasonable adjustments	
grade. There is a 5,000 character limit		u tillik tills lias lilipacted oli youl
3 (a) Selection of evidence		
You must provide a clear explanation o grade. There is a 5,000 character limit	f what you believe went wrong and how you.	u think this has impacted on your
3 (b) Determination of the Te You can provide a short explanation of	eacher Assessed Grade the reason for your appeal if you want to.	There is a 5,000 character limit.
Tod can provide a onore explanation of	and reason for your appear in you make to	
Acknowledgement		
_	appeal for the qualification named	above and that I have read
and understood the information	provided in the `Important inform	
above.		
I am aware that:		
	ay result in my grade remaining t	he same, being lowered or
raised I understand that there is not	further opportunity to appeal to the	awarding organisation and
	o contact the regulator. The award	
the next appropriate steps, w receive from your school/colle	here applicable, in their appeal ou	tcome letter which you will
receive from your school/colle	ge.	
Student name	Studentsignature	Date

