Data Science III with python (Class notes)

STAT 303-3

Arvind Krishna, Emre Besler, and Lizhen Shi3/24/23

Table of contents

Pr	eface		3				
ı	Mo	oving towards non-linearity	4				
1	Intro	oduction to scikit-learn	5				
	1.1	Splitting data into train and test	6				
		1.1.1 Stratified splitting	7				
	1.2	Scaling data	8				
	1.3	Fitting a model	9				
	1.4	Computing performance metrics	10				
	1.5	Tuning the model hyperparameters	13				
2	Reg	ression splines	17				
	2.1	Polynomial regression vs Regression splines	18				
		2.1.1 Model of degree 1	18				
		2.1.2 Model of degree 2	20				
		2.1.3 Model of degree 3	21				
	2.2	Regression splines with knots at uniform quantiles of data	23				
	2.3	Natural cubic splines	24				
	2.4	Generalized additive model (GAM)	26				
	2.5	MARS (Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines)	29				
		2.5.1 MARS of degree 1	29				
		2.5.2 MARS of degree 2	31				
		2.5.3 MARS including categorical variables	32				
Aı	Appendices 3						
_			20				
Α	Data	asets, assignment and project files	38				
Re	References 39						

Preface

These are class notes for the course STAT303-3. This is not the course text-book. You are required to read the relevant sections of the book as mentioned on the course website.

The course notes are currently being written, and will continue to being developed as the course progresses (just like the class notes last quarter). Please report any typos / mistakes / inconsistencies / issues with the class notes / class presentations in your comments here. Thank you!

Part I Moving towards non-linearity

1 Introduction to scikit-learn

In this chapter, we'll learn some functions from the library sklearn that will be useful in:

- 1. Splitting the data into train and test
- 2. Scaling data
- 3. Fitting a model
- 4. Computing model performance metrics
- 5. Tuning model hyperparameters* to optimize the desired performance metric

*In machine learning, a model hyperparameter is a parameter that cannot be learned from training data and must be set before training the model. Hyperparameters control aspects of the model's behavior and can greatly impact its performance. For example, the regularization parameter λ , in linear regression is a hyperparameter. You need to specify it before fitting the model. On the other hand, the beta coefficients in linear regression are parameters, as you learn them while training the model, and don't need to specify their values beforehand.

We'll use a classification problem to illustrate the functions. However, similar functions can be used for regression problems, i.e., prediction problems with a continuous response.

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns
sns.set(font_scale=1.35)
```

Let us import the sklearn modules useful in developing statistical models.

```
# sklearn has 100s of models - grouped in sublibraries, such as linear_model
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression, LinearRegression

# sklearn also has many tools for cleaning/processing data, also grouped in sublibraries
# splitting one dataset into train and test
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
```

```
#sklearn module for scaling data
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler

#sklearn modules for comuting the performance metrics
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, mean_absolute_error, mean_squared_error, r2_sc
roc_curve, auc, precision_score, recall_score, confusion_matrix

#Reading data
data = pd.read_csv('./Datasets/diabetes.csv')
```

Scikit-learn doesn't support the formula-like syntax of specifying the response and the predictors as in the statsmodels library. We need to create separate objects for predictors and response, which should be *array-like*. A Pandas DataFrame / Series or a Numpy array are *array-like* objects.

Let us reference our predictors as object X, and the response as object y.

```
# Separating the predictors and response - THIS IS HOW ALL SKLEARN OBJECTS ACCEPT DATA (di y = data.Outcome X = data.drop("Outcome", axis = 1)
```

1.1 Splitting data into train and test

Let us create train and test datasets for developing a model to predict if a person has diabetes.

```
# Creating training and test data
# 80-20 split, which is usual - 70-30 split is also fine, 90-10 is fine if the dataset
# random_state to set a random seed for the splitting - reproducible results
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size = 0.2, random_state =
```

Let us find the proportion of classes ('having diabetes' (y = 1) or 'not having diabetes' (y = 0)) in the complete dataset.

```
#Proportion of Os and 1s in the complete data
y.value_counts()/y.shape

0     0.651042
1     0.348958
Name: Outcome, dtype: float64
```

Let us find the proportion of classes ('having diabetes' (y = 1) or 'not having diabetes' (y = 0)) in the train dataset.

```
#Proportion of Os and 1s in train data
y_train.value_counts()/y_train.shape

0     0.644951
1     0.355049
Name: Outcome, dtype: float64

#Proportion of Os and 1s in test data
y_test.value_counts()/y_test.shape

0     0.675325
1     0.324675
Name: Outcome, dtype: float64
```

We observe that the proportion of 0s and 1s in the train and test dataset are slightly different from that in the complete data. In order for these datasets to be more representative of the population, they should have a proportion of 0s and 1s similar to that in the complete dataset. This is especially critical in case of imbalanced datasets, where one class is represented by a significantly smaller number of instances than the other(s).

When training a classification model on an imbalanced dataset, the model might not learn enough about the minority class, which can lead to poor generalization performance on new data. This happens because the model is biased towards the majority class, and it might even predict all instances as belonging to the majority class.

1.1.1 Stratified splitting

We will use the argument stratify to obtain a proportion of 0s and 1s in the train and test datasets that is similar to the proportion in the complete 'data.

```
#Stratified train-test split
X_train_stratified, X_test_stratified, y_train_stratified,\
y_test_stratified = train_test_split(X, y, test_size = 0.2, random_state = 45, stratify=y)
#Proportion of Os and 1s in train data with stratified split
y_train_stratified.value_counts()/y_train.shape
```

```
0  0.651466
1  0.348534
Name: Outcome, dtype: float64

#Proportion of Os and 1s in test data with stratified split
y_test_stratified.value_counts()/y_test.shape

0  0.649351
1  0.350649
Name: Outcome, dtype: float64
```

The proportion of the classes in the stratified split mimics the proportion in the complete dataset more closely.

By using stratified splitting, we ensure that both the train and test data sets have the same proportion of instances from each class, which means that the model will see enough instances from the minority class during training. This, in turn, helps the model learn to distinguish between the classes better, leading to better performance on new data.

Thus, stratified splitting helps to ensure that the model sees enough instances from each class during training, which can improve the model's ability to generalize to new data, particularly in cases where one class is underrepresented in the dataset.

Let us develop a logistic regression model for predicting if a person has diabetes.

1.2 Scaling data

In certain models, it may be important to scale data for various reasons. In a logistic regression model, scaling can help with model convergence. Scikit-learn uses a method known as gradient-descent (not in scope of the syllabus of this course) to obtain a solution. In case the predictors have different orders of magnitude, the alogrithm may fail to converge. In such cases, it is useful to standaridize the predictors so that all of them are at the same scale.

```
# With linear/logistic regression in scikit-learn, especially when the predictors have dif
# of magn., scaling is necessary. This is to enable the training algo. which we did not co
scaler = StandardScaler().fit(X_train)
X_train_scaled = scaler.transform(X_train)
X_test_scaled = scaler.transform(X_test) # Do NOT refit the scaler with the test data, just
```

1.3 Fitting a model

Let us fit a logistic regression model for predicting if a person has diabetes. Let us try fitting a model with the un-scaled data.

```
# Create a model object - not trained yet
logreg = LogisticRegression()

# Train the model
logreg.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

C:\Users\akl0407\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\sklearn\linear_model_logistic.py:763: Converge: STOP: TOTAL NO. of ITERATIONS REACHED LIMIT.

```
Increase the number of iterations (max_iter) or scale the data as shown in:
    https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/preprocessing.html
Please also refer to the documentation for alternative solver options:
    https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/linear_model.html#logistic-regression
    n_iter_i = _check_optimize_result(
```

LogisticRegression()

Note that the model with the un-scaled predictors fails to converge. Check out the data X_train to see that this may be probably due to the predictors have different orders of magnitude. For example, the predictor DiabetesPedigreeFunction has values in [0.078, 2.42], while the predictor Insulin has values in [0, 800].

Let us fit the model to the scaled data.

```
# Create a model - not trained yet
logreg = LogisticRegression()

# Train the model
logreg.fit(X_train_scaled, y_train)
```

LogisticRegression()

The model converges to a solution with the scaled data!

The coefficients of the model can be returned with the coef_attribute of the LogisticRegression() object. However, the output is not as well formatted as in the case of the statsmodels library since sklearn is developed primarily for the purpose of prediction, and not inference.

```
# Use coef_ to return the coefficients - only log reg inference you can do with sklearn print(logreg.coef_)
```

1.4 Computing performance metrics

Let us test the model prediction accuracy on the test data. We'll demonstrate two different functions that can be used to compute model accuracy - accurace_score(), and score().

The accuracy_score() function from the metrics module of the sklearn library is general, and can be used for any classification model.

```
# Get the predicted classes first
y_pred = logreg.predict(X_test_scaled)

# Use the predicted and true classes for accuracy
print(accuracy_score(y_pred, y_test)*100)
```

73.37662337662337

The score() method of the LogisticRegression() object can be used to compute the accuracy only for a logistic regression model. Note that for a LinearRegression() object, the score() method will return the model R-squared.

```
# Use .score with test predictors and response to get the accuracy
# Implements the same thing under the hood
print(logreg.score(X_test_scaled, y_test)*100)
```


73.37662337662337

The roc_curve() and auc() functions from the metrics module of the sklearn library can be used to compute the ROC-AUC, or the area under the ROC curve.

```
fpr, tpr, auc_thresholds = roc_curve(y_test, y_pred)
print(auc(fpr, tpr))# AUC of ROC
```

0.6782692307692307

The confusion_matrix(), precision_score(), and recall_score() functions from the metrics module of the sklearn library can be used to compute the confusion matrix, precision, and recall respectively.



```
print("Precision: ", precision_score(y_test, y_pred))
print("Recall: ", recall_score(y_test, y_pred))
```


Precision: 0.6046511627906976

Recall: 0.52

Let us compute the performance metrics if we develop the model using stratified splitting.

```
# Developing the model with stratified splitting
#Scaling data
scaler = StandardScaler().fit(X_train_stratified)
X_train_stratified_scaled = scaler.transform(X_train_stratified)
X_test_stratified_scaled = scaler.transform(X_test_stratified)
# Training the model
logreg.fit(X_train_stratified_scaled, y_train_stratified)
#Computing the accuracy
y_pred_stratified = logreg.predict(X_test_stratified_scaled)
print("Accuracy: ",accuracy_score(y_pred_stratified, y_test_stratified)*100)
#Computing the ROC-AUC
fpr, tpr, auc_thresholds = roc_curve(y_test_stratified, y_pred_stratified)
print("ROC-AUC: ",auc(fpr, tpr))# AUC of ROC
#Computing the precision and recall
print("Precision: ", precision_score(y_test_stratified, y_pred_stratified))
print("Recall: ", recall_score(y_test_stratified, y_pred_stratified))
#Confusion matrix
cm = pd.DataFrame(confusion_matrix(y_test_stratified, y_pred_stratified), columns=['Prediction of the columns of the column of t
                                   index = ['Actual 0', 'Actual 1'])
sns.heatmap(cm, annot=True, cmap='Blues', fmt='g');
```

Accuracy: 78.57142857142857 ROC-AUC: 0.73277777777779 Precision: 0.7692307692307693 Recall: 0.55555555555556



The model with the stratified train-test split has a better performance as compared to the other model on all the performance metrics!

1.5 Tuning the model hyperparameters

```
# More details on the LogisticRegression model:
    # Inputs - for regularization and
    # prediction prob.s instead of classes - so we can change the thresholds

# .predict_proba returns the prob.s for both classes
    # Two cols for two classes
    # Apply your threshold to y_pred_probs[1] (second col)

y_pred_probs = logreg.predict_proba(X_test)

cutoff = 0.3

y_pred2 = y_pred_probs[:,1] > cutoff
y_pred2 = y_pred2.astype(int)

print(confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred2))
```

```
print(precision_score(y_test, y_pred2))
  print(recall_score(y_test, y_pred2))
[[78 26]
 [15 35]]
0.5737704918032787
0.7
  # Throughout the course, we will create many different models in sklearn, all of them will
      # .fit
      # .predict
      # .score
      # .predict_proba
  # methods, among others that are specific to them. Make sure how to use there 4 basic ones
  # Let's take a look at the documentation - always do this for the other models we will see
  # Log Reg model has default regularization
      # Default (regularization) penalty is "12" - this means Ridge
      # C is 1/lambda - remember that lambda is the hyperparameter that is multiplied with t
          # C is 1 by default
  # Let's take away the regularization
  logreg2 = LogisticRegression(C=1e10)
  logreg2.fit(X_train, y_train)
  y_pred = logreg2.predict(X_test) # Get the predicted classes first
  print(accuracy_score(y_pred, y_test)*100)
73.37662337662337
  # Test accuracy stayed the same - reg was not very necessary
  # Too much reg
  logreg2 = LogisticRegression(C=1e-10)
  logreg2.fit(X_train, y_train)
  y_pred = logreg2.predict(X_test) # Get the predicted classes first
  print(accuracy_score(y_pred, y_test)*100)
```

67.53246753246754


Test accuracy is even lower - too much reg caused underfitting

```
# The key to take full advantage of sklearn models is their inputs
    # Always read the doc
    # In Log Reg, you can switch to Lasso with penalty = 'l1' - for variable selection
    # For no regression, besides what we did above, you can use penalty = None
# Recall that C, or lambda, is a hyperparameter, which is optimized with cross-validation
    # There is LogisticRegressionCV, just like LassoCV and RidgeCV
    # Works the exact same way - check LassoCV and RidgeCV notes
# For all the sklearn models we will create in this course, there will be hyperparameters.
    # Mostly more than one for each model
    # These hyperparameters will determine how much regularization the model will have
    # These models will not have a CV version
    # So, we need to use two sklearn tools that implement cross-validation
        # cross_val_score - now
        # GridSearchCV - later when we get to trees and tree-based models
from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score
val_scores = []
hyperparam_vals = 10**np.linspace(-5, 10)
for c_val in hyperparam_vals: # For each possible C value in your grid
    logreg_model = LogisticRegression(C=c_val) # Create a model with the C value
    val_scores.append(cross_val_score(logreg_model, X_train, y_train, scoring='accuracy',
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
plt.plot(hyperparam_vals, np.mean(np.array(val_scores), axis=1))
plt.xlabel('possible C value')
plt.ylabel('avg 5-fold CV value')
plt.xscale('log')
plt.show()
# Train the best model with the hyperparam val that returns the highest average accuracy
logreg_model_best = LogisticRegression(C=hyperparam_vals[np.argmax(np.mean(np.array(val_sc
# .fit
```

```
# .predict & .predict_proba
# .score
# ...

# Log. reg. has one hyperparameter - C.
# More complex models will have more
# If we have two hyperparams - we can use a nested loop and cross_val_score
```

or we can use GridSearchCV - more on that when we get to trees and tree-based models



2 Regression splines

Read sections 7.1-7.4 of the book before using these notes.

Note that in this course, lecture notes are not sufficient, you must read the book for better understanding. Lecture notes are just implementing the concepts of the book on a dataset, but not explaining the concepts elaborately.


```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import statsmodels.formula.api as smf
import statsmodels.api as sm
import seaborn as sns
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from patsy import dmatrix
from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
from pyearth import Earth
#Using the same datsasets as used for linear regression in STAT303-2,
#so that we can compare the non-linear models with linear regression
trainf = pd.read_csv('Car_features_train.csv')
trainp = pd.read_csv('Car_prices_train.csv')
testf = pd.read_csv('Car_features_test.csv')
testp = pd.read_csv('Car_prices_test.csv')
train = pd.merge(trainf,trainp)
test = pd.merge(testf,testp)
train.head()
```

	carID	brand	model	year	transmission	$_{ m mileage}$	${\it fuel Type}$	tax	mpg	engine Size	price
0	18473	bmw	6 Series	2020	Semi-Auto	11	Diesel	145	53.3282	3.0	37980
1	15064	bmw	6 Series	2019	Semi-Auto	10813	Diesel	145	53.0430	3.0	33980
2	18268	bmw	6 Series	2020	Semi-Auto	6	Diesel	145	53.4379	3.0	36850
3	18480	bmw	6 Series	2017	Semi-Auto	18895	Diesel	145	51.5140	3.0	25998
4	18492	bmw	6 Series	2015	Automatic	62953	Diesel	160	51.4903	3.0	18990

2.1 Polynomial regression vs Regression splines

2.1.1 Model of degree 1

```
ols_object = smf.ols(formula = 'price~mileage', data = train)
lr_model = ols_object.fit()
#Regression spline of degree 1
#Creating basis functions for splines of degree 1
transformed_x = dmatrix("bs(mileage , knots=(33000,66000,100000), degree = 1, include_inter
                        data = {'mileage':train['mileage']},return_type = 'dataframe')
#Developing a linear regression model on the spline basis functions - this is the regressi
reg_spline_model = sm.OLS(train['price'], transformed_x).fit()
#Visualizing polynomial model and the regression spline model of degree 1
knots = [33000,66000,100000] #Knots for the spline
d=1 #Degree of predictor in the model
#Writing a function to visualize polynomial model and the regression spline model of degre
def viz_models():
    fig, axes = plt.subplots(1,2,figsize = (15,5))
   plt.subplots_adjust(wspace=0.2)
    #Visualizing the linear regression model
    pred_price = lr_model.predict(train)
    sns.scatterplot(ax = axes[0],x = 'mileage', y = 'price', data = train, color = 'orange
    sns.lineplot(ax = axes[0],x = train.mileage, y = pred_price, color = 'blue')
    axes[0].set_title('Polynomial regression model of degree '+str(d))
    #Visualizing the regression splines model of degree 'd'
    axes[1].set_title('Regression splines model of degree '+ str(d))
    sns.scatterplot(ax=axes[1],x = 'mileage', y = 'price', data = train, color = 'orange')
    sns.lineplot(ax=axes[1],x = train.mileage, y = reg_spline_model.predict(), color = 'bl
    for i in range(3):
        plt.axvline(knots[i], 0,100,color='red')
viz_models()
```



We observe the regression splines model better fits the data as compared to the polynomial regression model. This is because regression splines of degree 1 fit piecewise polynomials, or linear models on sub-sections of the predictor, which helps better capture the trend. However, this added flexibility may also lead to overfitting. Hence, one must be careful to check for overfitting when using splines. Overfitting may be checked by k-fold cross validation or comparing test and train errors.

The red lines in the plot on the right denote the position of knots. Knots separate distinct splines.

```
#Creating basis functions for test data for prediction
test_x = dmatrix("bs(mileage , knots=(33000,66000,100000), degree = 1, include_intercept =

#Function to compute RMSE (root mean squared error on train and test datasets)
def rmse():
    #Error on train data for the linear regression model
    print("RMSE on train data:")
    print("Linear regression:", np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(lr_model.predict(),train.price)

#Error on train data for the regression spline model
    print("Regression splines:", np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(reg_spline_model.predict(),train.price)

#Error on test data for the linear regression model
    print("\nRMSE on test data:")
    print("Linear regression:",np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(lr_model.predict(test),test.price)

#Error on test data for the regression spline model
```

```
print("Regression splines:",np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(reg_spline_model.predict(test_x
rmse()
```

RMSE on train data:

Linear regression: 14403.250083261853 Regression splines: 13859.640716531134

RMSE on test data:

Linear regression: 14370.94086395544 Regression splines: 13770.133025694666

2.1.2 Model of degree 2

A higher degree model will lead to additional flexibility for both polynomial and regression splines models.


```
#Including mileage squared as a predictor and developing the model
ols_object = smf.ols(formula = 'price~mileage+I(mileage**2)', data = train)
lr_model = ols_object.fit()

#Regression spline of degree 2

#Creating basis functions for splines of degree 2
transformed_x = dmatrix("bs(mileage , knots=(33000,66000,100000), degree = 2, include_integrated ata = {'mileage':train['mileage']}, return_type = 'dataframe')

#Developing a linear regression model on the spline basis functions - this is the regression reg_spline_model = sm.OLS(train['price'], transformed_x).fit()

d=2
viz_models()
```



Unlike polynomial regression, splines functions avoid imposing a global structure on the non-linear function of X. This provides a better local fit to the data.

```
#Creating basis functions for test data for prediction
test_x = dmatrix("bs(mileage , knots=(33000,66000,100000), degree = 2, include_intercept =
rmse()
```

RMSE on train data:

Linear regression: 14403.250083261853 Regression splines: 13859.640716531134

RMSE on test data:

Linear regression: 14370.94086395544 Regression splines: 13770.133025694666

2.1.3 Model of degree 3

```
ols_object = smf.ols(formula = 'price~mileage+I(mileage**2)+I(mileage**3)', data = train)
lr_model = ols_object.fit()

#Regression spline of degree 3

#Creating basis functions for splines of degree 3
transformed_x = dmatrix("bs(mileage , knots=(20000,40000,80000), degree = 3, include_inter
```


```
data = {'mileage':train['mileage']},return_type = 'dataframe')
```

#Developing a linear regression model on the spline basis functions - this is the regressi
reg_spline_model = sm.OLS(train['price'], transformed_x).fit()

transformed_x

	Intercept	$bs(mileage,knots=(20000,40000,80000),degree=3,include_intercept=False)[0]$	bs(mileag
0	1.0	0.001499	3.749187
1	1.0	0.583162	3.001491
2	1.0	0.000750	9.374336
3	1.0	0.293446	6.009875
4	1.0	0.000000	2.580169
4955	1.0	0.005441	4.824519
4956	1.0	0.206763	6.438755
4957	1.0	0.000000	0.000000
4958	1.0	0.198162	6.468919
4959	1.0	0.000000	2.233101

```
d=3
knots=[20000,40000,80000]
viz_models()
```



Unlike polynomial regression, splines functions avoid imposing a global structure on the non-linear function of X. This provides a better local fit to the data.

```
#Creating basis functions for test data for prediction
  test_x = dmatrix("bs(mileage , knots=(20000,40000,80000), degree = 3, include_intercept =
  rmse()
RMSE on train data:
```

Linear regression: 13891.962447594644 Regression splines: 13792.371446327243


RMSE on test data:

Linear regression: 13789.708418357186 Regression splines: 13651.288965905529

2.2 Regression splines with knots at uniform quantiles of data

If degrees of freedom are provided instead of knots, the knots are by default chosen at uniform quantiles of data. For example if there are 7 degrees of freedom (including the intercept), then there will be 7-4=3 knots. These knots will be chosen at the 255h, 50th and 75th quantiles of the data.

```
#Regression spline of degree 3
#Creating basis functions for splines of degree 3
transformed_x = dmatrix("bs(mileage , df=6, degree = 3, include_intercept = False)",
                        data = {'mileage':train['mileage']},return_type = 'dataframe')
#Developing a linear regression model on the spline basis functions - this is the regressi
reg_spline_model = sm.OLS(train['price'], transformed_x).fit()
d=3
unif_knots = pd.qcut(train.mileage,4,retbins=True)[1][1:4]
knots=unif_knots
viz_models()
```



Splines can be unstable at the outer range of predictors. In the figure (on the right), the left-most spline may be overfitting.

```
#Creating basis functions for test data for prediction
test_x = dmatrix("bs(mileage , knots=" +str(tuple(unif_knots)) + ", degree = 3, include_in
rmse()
```

RMSE on train data:

Linear regression: 13891.962447594644 Regression splines: 13781.79102252679


RMSE on test data:

Linear regression: 13789.708418357186 Regression splines: 13676.271829882426

2.3 Natural cubic splines

Page 298: "A natural spline is a regression spline with additional boundary constraints: the function is required to be linear at the boundary (in the region where X is smaller than the smallest knot, or larger than the largest knot). This additional constraint means that natural splines generally produce more stable estimates at the boundaries."

#Natural cubic spline



Note that the natural cubic spline is more stable than a cubic splines with knots at uniformly distributed quantiles.

```
#Creating basis functions for test data for prediction
test_x = dmatrix("cr(mileage , knots="+str(tuple(unif_knots))+",constraints='center')",dat
rmse()
```

RMSE on train data:

Linear regression: 13891.962447594644 Regression splines: 13805.022189679756

RMSE on test data:

Linear regression: 13789.708418357186 Regression splines: 13666.943224268975

2.4 Generalized additive model (GAM)

GAM allow for flexible nonlinearities in several variables, but retain the additive structure of linear models. In a GAM, non-linear basis functions of predictors can be used as predictors of a linear regression model. For example,


$$y = f_1(X_1) + f_2(X_2) + \epsilon$$

is a GAM, where $f_1(.)$ may be a cubic spline based on the predictor X_1 , and $f_2(.)$ may be a step function based on the predictor X_2 .

```
sns.distplot(train.year)
```

C:\Users\ak10407\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\seaborn\distributions.py:2557: FutureWarning:
 warnings.warn(msg, FutureWarning)

<AxesSubplot:xlabel='year', ylabel='Density'>



8434.756663328963

7997.325718841729

```
ols_object = smf.ols(formula = 'price~(year+engineSize+mileage+mpg)**2+I(mileage**2)+I(mileage**2)+I(mileage**2)+I(mileage**2)
model = ols_object.fit()
model.summary()
```

Table 2.3: OLS Regression Results

Dep. Variable:	price	R-squared:	0.704
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squared:	0.703
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:	1308.
Date:	Sun, 27 Mar 2022	Prob (F-statistic):	0.00
Time:	01:08:50	Log-Likelihood:	-52157.
No. Observations:	4960	AIC:	1.043e + 05
Df Residuals:	4950	BIC:	1.044e + 05
Df Model:	9		
Covariance Type:	nonrobust		

	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept	-0.0009	0.000	-2.752	0.006	-0.002	-0.000
year	-1.1470	0.664	-1.728	0.084	-2.448	0.154
engineSize	0.0052	0.000	17.419	0.000	0.005	0.006
mileage	-31.4751	2.621	-12.010	0.000	-36.613	-26.337
mpg	-0.0201	0.002	-13.019	0.000	-0.023	-0.017
year:engineSize	9.5957	0.254	37.790	0.000	9.098	10.094
year:mileage	0.0154	0.001	11.816	0.000	0.013	0.018
year:mpg	0.0572	0.013	4.348	0.000	0.031	0.083
engineSize:mileage	-0.1453	0.008	-18.070	0.000	-0.161	-0.130
engineSize:mpg	-98.9062	11.832	-8.359	0.000	-122.102	-75.710
mileage:mpg	0.0011	0.000	2.432	0.015	0.000	0.002
I(mileage ** 2)	7.713e-06	3.75 e-07	20.586	0.000	6.98 e - 06	8.45 e - 06
I(mileage ** 3)	-1.867e-11	1.43e-12	-13.077	0.000	-2.15e-11	-1.59e-11

Omnibus:	1830.457	Durbin-Watson:	0.634
Prob(Omnibus):	0.000	Jarque-Bera (JB):	34927.811
Skew:	1.276	Prob(JB):	0.00
Kurtosis:	15.747	Cond. No.	2.50e + 18

np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(model.predict(test),test.price))

9026.775740000594

Note the RMSE with GAM that includes regression splines for mileage is lesser than that of the linear regression model, indicating a better fit.

2.5 MARS (Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines)

```
X=train['mileage']
y=train['price']
```

2.5.1 MARS of degree 1

```
model = Earth(max_terms=500, max_degree=1) # note, terms in brackets are the hyperparamete
model.fit(X,y)
```

C:\Users\akl0407\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\pyearth\earth.py:813: FutureWarning: `rcond` parto use the future default and silence this warning we advise to pass `rcond=None`, to keep use pruning_passer.run()

C:\Users\akl0407\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\pyearth\earth.py:1066: FutureWarning: `rcond` pour To use the future default and silence this warning we advise to pass `rcond=None`, to keep use coef, resid = np.linalg.lstsq(B, weighted_y[:, i])[0:2]

Earth(max_degree=1, max_terms=500)

```
print(model.summary())
```

Earth Model

Basis Function	Pruned	Coefficient
(Intercept)	No	-553155
h(x0-22141)	Yes	None
h(22141-x0)	Yes	None
h(x0-3354)	No	-6.23571
h(3354-x0)	Yes	None
h(x0-15413)	No	-36.9613
h(15413-x0)	No	38.167
h(x0-106800)	Yes	None
h(106800-x0)	No	0.221844
h(x0-500)	No	170.039
h(500-x0)	Yes	None
h(x0-741)	Yes	None

MSE: 188429705.7549, GCV: 190035470.5664, RSQ: 0.2998, GRSQ: 0.2942

Model equation:


```
-553155 - 6.23(h(x0 - 3354)) - 36.96(h(x0 - 15413) + \dots - 7.04(h(2456 - x0)) + \dots - 7.04(h(2456 - x0)) + \dots + 1.04(h(2456 - x0)) + \dots + 1.04(h(2456
```

```
pred = model.predict(test.mileage)
np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(pred,test.price))
```

13650.2113154515

```
sns.scatterplot(x = 'mileage', y = 'price', data = train, color = 'orange')
sns.lineplot(x = train.mileage, y = model.predict(train.mileage), color = 'blue')
```

<AxesSubplot:xlabel='mileage', ylabel='price'>



2.5.2 MARS of degree 2

```
model = Earth(max_terms=500, max_degree=2) # note, terms in brackets are the hyperparamete
model.fit(X,y)
print(model.summary())
```

Earth Model

Basis Function	Pruned	Coefficient
(Intercept)	No	19369.7
h(x0-22141)	Yes	None
h(22141-x0)	Yes	None
h(x0-7531)*h(22141-x0)	No	3.74934e-05
h(7531-x0)*h(22141-x0)	No	-6.74252e-05
x0*h(x0-22141)	No	-8.0703e-06
h(x0-15012)	Yes	None
h(15012-x0)	No	1.79813
h(x0-26311)*h(x0-22141)	No	8.85097e-06
h(26311-x0)*h(x0-22141)	Yes	None

MSE: 189264421.5682, GCV: 190298913.1652, RSQ: 0.2967, GRSQ: 0.2932

C:\Users\akl0407\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\pyearth\earth.py:813: FutureWarning: `rcond` packages\pyearth\earth.py:813: FutureWarning: `rcond=None`, to keep unit pruning_passer.run()


C:\Users\akl0407\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\pyearth\earth.py:1066: FutureWarning: `rcond` pour To use the future default and silence this warning we advise to pass `rcond=None`, to keep use coef, resid = np.linalg.lstsq(B, weighted_y[:, i])[0:2]

```
pred = model.predict(test.mileage)
np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(pred,test.price))
```

13590.995419204985

```
sns.scatterplot(x = 'mileage', y = 'price', data = train, color = 'orange')
sns.lineplot(x = train.mileage, y = model.predict(train.mileage), color = 'blue')
```

<AxesSubplot:xlabel='mileage', ylabel='price'>



MARS provides a better fit than the splines that we used above. This is because MARS tunes the positions of the knots and considers interactions (also with tuned knots) to improve the model fit. Tuning of knots may improve the fit of splines as well.

2.5.3 MARS including categorical variables

```
#A categorical variable can be turned to dummy variables to use the Earth package for fitt
train_cat = pd.concat([train,pd.get_dummies(train.fuelType)],axis=1)
test_cat = pd.concat([test,pd.get_dummies(test.fuelType)],axis=1)
```

train_cat.head()

	carID	brand	model	year	transmission	mileage	fuelType	tax	mpg	engineSize	price
0	18473	bmw	6 Series	2020	Semi-Auto	11	Diesel	145	53.3282	3.0	37980
1	15064	bmw	6 Series	2019	Semi-Auto	10813	Diesel	145	53.0430	3.0	33980
2	18268	bmw	6 Series	2020	Semi-Auto	6	Diesel	145	53.4379	3.0	36850
3	18480	bmw	6 Series	2017	Semi-Auto	18895	Diesel	145	51.5140	3.0	25998
4	18492	bmw	6 Series	2015	Automatic	62953	Diesel	160	51.4903	3.0	18990

```
X = train_cat[['mileage','mpg','engineSize','year','Diesel','Electric','Hybrid','Petrol']]
Xtest = test_cat[['mileage','mpg','engineSize','year','Diesel','Electric','Hybrid','Petrol

model = Earth(max_terms=500, max_degree=2) # note, terms in brackets are the hyperparamete
model.fit(X,y)
print(model.summary())
```

C:\Users\akl0407\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\pyearth\earth.py:813: FutureWarning: `rcond` parto use the future default and silence this warning we advise to pass `rcond=None`, to keep use pruning_passer.run()

Earth Model

Basis Function	Pruned	Coefficient
(Intercept)	No	2.17604e+06
h(engineSize-5.5)	No	9.80752e+06
h(5.5-engineSize)	No	1.92817e+06
h(mileage-21050)	No	18.687
h(21050-mileage)	No	-177.871
h(mileage-21050)*h(5.5-engineSize)	Yes	None
h(21050-mileage)*h(5.5-engineSize)	No	-0.224909
year	No	4126.41
h(mpg-53.3495)	No	344595
h(53.3495-mpg)	Yes	None
<pre>Hybrid*h(5.5-engineSize)</pre>	No	6124.34
h(mileage-21050)*year	No	-0.00930239
h(21050-mileage)*year	No	0.0886455
h(engineSize-5.5)*year	No	-4864.84
h(5.5-engineSize)*year	No	-952.92
h(mileage-1422)*h(53.3495-mpg)	No	-16.62
h(1422-mileage)*h(53.3495-mpg)	No	16.4306
Hybrid	No	-89090.6
h(mpg-21.1063)*h(53.3495-mpg)	Yes	None
h(21.1063-mpg)*h(53.3495-mpg)	No	-8815.99
h(mpg-23.4808)*h(5.5-engineSize)	No	-3649.97
h(23.4808-mpg)*h(5.5-engineSize)	Yes	None
h(mpg-20.5188)*year	No	31.7341
h(20.5188-mpg)*year	Yes	None
h(mpg-22.2566)*h(53.3495-mpg)	No	-52.2531
h(22.2566-mpg)*h(53.3495-mpg)	No	7916.19

h(mpg-22.6767)	No	7.56432e+06
h(22.6767-mpg)	Yes	None
h(mpg-23.9595)*h(mpg-22.6767)	Yes	None
h(23.9595-mpg)*h(mpg-22.6767)	No	-63225.4
h(mpg-21.4904)*h(22.6767-mpg)	No	-149055
h(21.4904-mpg)*h(22.6767-mpg)	Yes	None
h(mpg-21.1063)	No	-887098
h(21.1063-mpg)	Yes	None
h(mpg-29.5303)*h(mpg-22.6767)	No	-3028.87
h(29.5303-mpg)*h(mpg-22.6767)	Yes	None
h(mpg-28.0681)*h(5.5-engineSize)	No	3572.89
h(28.0681-mpg)*h(5.5-engineSize)	Yes	None
engineSize*h(5.5-engineSize)	No	-2952.65
h(mpg-25.3175)*h(mpg-21.1063)	No	-332551
h(25.3175-mpg)*h(mpg-21.1063)	No	324298
Petrol*year	No	-1.37031
h(mpg-68.9279)*Hybrid	No	-4087.9
h(68.9279-mpg)*Hybrid	Yes	None
h(mpg-31.5043)*h(5.5-engineSize)	Yes	None
h(31.5043-mpg)*h(5.5-engineSize)	No	3691.82
h(mpg-32.7011)*h(5.5-engineSize)	Yes	None
h(32.7011-mpg)*h(5.5-engineSize)	No	-2262.78
h(mpg-44.9122)*h(mpg-22.6767)	No	335577
h(44.9122-mpg)*h(mpg-22.6767)	No	-335623
h(engineSize-5.5)*h(mpg-21.1063)	No	27815
h(5.5-engineSize)*h(mpg-21.1063)	Yes	None
h(mpg-78.1907)*Hybrid	Yes	None
h(78.1907-mpg)*Hybrid	No	2221.49
h(mpg-63.1632)*h(mpg-22.6767)	Yes	None
h(63.1632-mpg)*h(mpg-22.6767)	No	21.0093
Hybrid*h(mpg-53.3495)	No	4121.91
h(mileage-22058)*h(53.3495-mpg)	No	16.6177
h(22058-mileage)*h(53.3495-mpg)	No	-16.6044
h(mpg-21.8985)	Yes	None
h(21.8985-mpg)	No	371659

MSE: 45859836.5623, GCV: 47884649.3622, RSQ: 0.8296, GRSQ: 0.8221

C:\Users\akl0407\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\pyearth\earth.py:1066: FutureWarning: `rcond` pour to use the future default and silence this warning we advise to pass `rcond=None`, to keep use coef, resid = np.linalg.lstsq(B, weighted_y[:, i])[0:2]

```
pred = model.predict(Xtest)
np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(pred,test2.price))
```

7499.709075454322

Let us compare the RMSE of a MARS model with *mileage*, *mpg*, *engineSize* and *year* with a linear regression model having the same predictors.

```
X = train[['mileage','mpg','engineSize','year']]
model = Earth(max_terms=500, max_degree=2) # note, terms in brackets are the hyperparamete
model.fit(X,y)
print(model.summary())
```

C:\Users\akl0407\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\pyearth\earth.py:813: FutureWarning: `rcond` parto use the future default and silence this warning we advise to pass `rcond=None`, to keep use pruning_passer.run()

Earth Model

Basis Function	Pruned	Coefficient
(Intercept)	No	-8.13682e+06
h(engineSize-5.5)	No	9.53908e+06
h(5.5-engineSize)	Yes	None
h(mileage-21050)	No	23.4448
h(21050-mileage)	No	-215.861
h(mileage-21050)*h(5.5-engineSize)	Yes	None
h(21050-mileage)*h(5.5-engineSize)	No	-0.278562
year	No	4125.85
h(mpg-53.3495)	Yes	None
h(53.3495-mpg)	Yes	None
h(mileage-21050)*year	No	-0.0116601
h(21050-mileage)*year	No	0.107624
h(mpg-53.2957)*h(5.5-engineSize)	No	-59801.3
h(53.2957-mpg)*h(5.5-engineSize)	No	59950.5
h(engineSize-5.5)*year	No	-4713.74
h(5.5-engineSize)*year	No	-755.742
h(mileage-1766)*h(53.3495-mpg)	No	-0.00337072
h(1766-mileage)*h(53.3495-mpg)	No	-0.144905

```
h(mpg-19.1277)*h(53.3495-mpg)
                                     No
                                              161.153
h(19.1277-mpg)*h(53.3495-mpg)
                                     Yes
                                              None
h(mpg-23.4808)*h(5.5-engineSize)
                                     Yes
                                              None
h(23.4808-mpg)*h(5.5-engineSize)
                                     Yes
                                              None
h(mpg-21.4971)*h(5.5-engineSize)
                                     Yes
                                              None
h(21.4971-mpg)*h(5.5-engineSize)
                                     Yes
                                              None
h(mpg-40.224)*h(5.5-engineSize)
                                     Yes
                                              None
h(40.224-mpg)*h(5.5-engineSize)
                                     No
                                              298.139
engineSize*h(5.5-engineSize)
                                     No
                                              -2553.17
h(mpg-22.2566)
                                     Yes
                                              None
h(22.2566-mpg)
                                     No
                                              29257.3
h(mpg-20.7712)*h(22.2566-mpg)
                                     No
                                              143796
h(20.7712-mpg)*h(22.2566-mpg)
                                     No
                                              -1249.17
h(mpg-21.4971)*h(22.2566-mpg)
                                     No
                                              -315486
h(21.4971-mpg)*h(22.2566-mpg)
                                     Yes
                                              None
h(mpg-27.0995)*h(mpg-22.2566)
                                              3855.71
                                     No
h(27.0995-mpg)*h(mpg-22.2566)
                                     Yes
                                              None
h(mpg-29.3902)*year
                                     No
                                              6.05449
h(29.3902-mpg)*year
                                     No
                                              -20.176
h(mpg-28.0681)*h(5.5-engineSize)
                                     No
                                              59901.6
h(28.0681-mpg)*h(5.5-engineSize)
                                     No
                                              -55502.2
h(mpg-23.2962)*h(mpg-22.2566)
                                     No
                                              -56126
h(23.2962-mpg)*h(mpg-22.2566)
                                     No
                                              73153.9
h(mpg-69.0719)*h(mpg-53.3495)
                                     Yes
                                              None
h(69.0719-mpg)*h(mpg-53.3495)
                                     No
                                              -124.847
h(engineSize-5.5)*h(22.2566-mpg)
                                     No
                                              -20955.8
h(5.5-engineSize)*h(22.2566-mpg)
                                     No
                                              -8336.23
h(mpg-23.9595)*h(mpg-22.2566)
                                     No
                                              -62983
h(23.9595-mpg)*h(mpg-22.2566)
                                     Yes
                                              None
h(mpg-23.6406)*h(mpg-22.2566)
                                     No
                                              115253
h(23.6406-mpg)*h(mpg-22.2566)
                                     Yes
                                              None
h(mpg-56.1908)
                                     Yes
                                              None
h(56.1908-mpg)
                                     No
                                              -2239.85
h(mpg-29.7993)*h(53.3495-mpg)
                                     No
                                              -139.61
h(29.7993-mpg)*h(53.3495-mpg)
                                     No
                                              788.756
```

MSE: 49704412.0771, GCV: 51526765.3943, RSQ: 0.8153, GRSQ: 0.8086

C:\Users\akl0407\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\pyearth\earth.py:1066: FutureWarning: `rcond` por To use the future default and silence this warning we advise to pass `rcond=None`, to keep use coef, resid = np.linalg.lstsq(B, weighted_y[:, i])[0:2]

```
Xtest = test[['mileage','mpg','engineSize','year']]
pred = model.predict(Xtest)
np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(pred,test.price))
```

7614.158359050244

```
ols_object = smf.ols(formula = 'price~(year+engineSize+mileage+mpg)**2', data = train)
model = ols_object.fit()
pred = model.predict(test)
np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(pred,test.price))
```

8729.912066822455

The RMSE for the MARS model is lesser than that of the linear regression model, as expected.

A Datasets, assignment and project files

Datasets used in the book, assignment files, project files, and prediction problems report tempate can be found here

References