# Chapter 6

de Haan and Ferreira (2006)

#### EVT: biviraite case

Suppose  $(X_1,Y_1),(X_2,Y_2),\ldots$  be i.i.d. random vectors with distribution function F. Suppose that there exist sequences of constants  $a_n,c_n>0,b_n,d_n\in\mathbb{R}$  a distribution function G with non-degenerate marginals such that for all continuity points (x,y) of G,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\frac{\max(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) - b_n}{a_n} \le x, \frac{\max(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) - d_n}{c_n} \le y\right) \\
= G(x, y). \tag{6.1.1}$$

Any limit distribution function G in (6.1.1) with non-degenerate marginals is called a multivariate extreme value distribution.

#### EVT: biviraite case

Since (6.1.1) implies convergence of the one-dimensional two marginal distribution, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}P\left(\frac{\max{(X_1,X_2,\dots,X_n)}-b_n}{a_n}\leq x\right)=G(x,\infty),$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}P\left(\frac{\max(Y_1,Y_2,\ldots,Y_n)-d_n}{c_n}\leq y\right)=G(\infty,y).$$

#### EVT: bivirate case

Let  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  denote the marginal distribution of F.

Define  $U_i(t) := F_i^{\leftarrow}(1-1/t), i = 1, 2$ . Then there exist constants  $a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n$  such that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{U_1(nx) - b_n}{a_n} = \frac{x_1^{\gamma} - 1}{\gamma_1},$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{U_2(nx) - d_n}{c_n} = \frac{x_2^{\gamma} - 1}{\gamma_2}.$$
(1)

#### EVT: bivirate case

Now, we return to (6.1.1), which can be written as

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F^{n}(a_{n}x + b_{n}, c_{n}y + d_{n}) = G(x, y).$$
 (6.1.8)

If  $x_n \to u, y_n \to v$ , then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F^{n}(a_{n}x_{n} + b_{n}, c_{n}y_{n} + d_{n}) = G(u, v). \tag{6.1.9}$$

Apply (6.1.9) with

$$x_n = \frac{U_1(nx) - b_n}{a_n}, y_n = \frac{U_2(ny) - d_n}{c_n}$$

then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}F^n(U_1(nx),U_2(ny))=G\left(\frac{x^{\gamma}-1}{\gamma},\frac{y^{\gamma}-1}{\gamma}\right):=G_0(x,y)$$

- The marginal distribution of function  $G_0$  is  $\exp(-x^{-1})$  and  $\exp(-y^{-1})$ .
- $\bullet$  The marginal distribution does not depend on  $\gamma$  and other parameters.
- Now, we can only consider the dependence structure.

### Corollary 6.1.3

For any (x, y) for which  $0 < G_0(x, y) < 1$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n \left\{ 1 - F(U_1(nx), U_2(ny)) \right\} = -\log G_0(x, y) \tag{6.1.11}$$

This also holds by replacing n by t, where t runs through the real numbers.

#### **Exponent Measure**

There are set functions  $v, v_1, v_2$  defined for all Borel sets  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2_+$  with

$$\inf_{(x,y)\in A}\max(x,y)>0$$

such that

1.

$$v_n\{(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ : s > x \text{ or } t > y\} = n(1 - F(U_1(nx), U_2(ny))),$$
  
 $v\{(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ : s > x \text{ or } t > y\} = -\log G_0(x,y)$ 

- 2. for all a>0 the set functions  $v,v_1,v_2,\ldots$  are finite measures on  $\mathbb{R}^2_+$   $[0,a]^2$
- 3.for each Borel set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2_+$  with  $\inf_{(x,y)\in A} \max(x,y) > 0$  and  $v(\partial A) = 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}v_n(A)=v(A).$$

The measure v is sometimes called the exponent measure of the extreme value distribution  $G_0$ .

## Homogeneity of v

For any Borel set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2_+$ , with  $\inf_{(x,y)\in A}\max(x,y)>0$  and  $v(\partial A)=0$ ,

$$v(aA) = a^{-1}v(A)$$

#### Proof.

It is easy to verify this property by Corollary 6.1.3.



### The Spectral Measure

The homogeneity property of the exponent measure  $\nu$  suggests a coordinate transformation in order to capitalize on that.

Examples are 
$$\begin{cases} r(x,y) = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \\ d(x,y) = \arctan \frac{y}{x} \end{cases}$$
 
$$\begin{cases} r(x,y) = x + y \\ d(x,y) = \frac{x}{x+y} \end{cases}$$
 
$$\begin{cases} r(x,y) = x \lor y \\ d(x,y) = \arctan \frac{x}{y} \end{cases}$$

### The Spectral Measure

Let us start with the first transformation. Define for constants r>0 and  $\theta\in[0,\pi/2]$  the set

$$B_{r,\theta} = \left\{ \left( x,y 
ight) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{2*} : \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} > r \; ext{and} \; \, ext{arctan} \; rac{y}{x} \leq heta 
ight\}$$

Clearly  $B_{r,\theta} = rB_{1,\theta}$  and hence

$$v(B_{r,\theta}):=r^{-1}v(B_{1,\theta}).$$

Set for 
$$0 \le \theta \le \pi/2$$
,

$$\Psi(\theta):=\nu(B_{1,\theta}).$$

### The Spectral Measure

Write 
$$s = r \cos \theta$$
,  $t = r \sin \theta$ . Take  $x, y > 0$ ,
$$-\log G_0(x, y) = v \{(s, t) : s > x \text{ or } t > y\}$$

$$= v \{(r, \theta) : r \cos \theta > x \text{ or } r \sin \theta > y\}$$

$$= v \left\{(r, \theta) : r > \frac{x}{\cos \theta} \land \frac{y}{\sin \theta}\right\}$$

$$= \int_{x/\cos \theta < y/\sin \theta} \int_{r > x/\cos \theta} \frac{\partial^2 v(B(r, \theta))}{\partial r \partial \theta} dr d\theta +$$

$$+ \int_{x/\cos \theta > y/\sin \theta} \int_{r > y/\sin \theta} \frac{\partial^2 v(B(r, \theta))}{\partial r \partial \theta} dr d\theta$$

$$= \int_{x/\cos \theta < y/\sin \theta} \int_{r > x/\cos \theta} \frac{dr}{r^2} \frac{\partial v(B_{1,\theta})}{\partial \theta} d\theta$$

$$+ \int_{x/\cos \theta > y/\sin \theta} \int_{r > y/\sin \theta} \frac{dr}{r^2} \frac{\partial v(B_{1,\theta})}{\partial \theta} d\theta$$

#### Theorem 6.1.14

There exist a finite measure on  $[0, \pi]$  such that for x, y > 0,

$$G_0(x,y) = \exp\left(-\int_0^{\pi/2} \left(\frac{\cos\theta}{x} \vee \frac{\sin\theta}{y}\right) \Psi(d\theta)\right)$$

with the side functions

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \cos heta \Psi(d heta) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin heta \Psi(d heta) = 1.$$

### Copula

We could also describe the dependence using copulas.

- If F is the distribution function of the random vector (X, Y), the copula C associated with F is a distribution function that satisfies  $F(x,y) = C(F_1(x), F_2(y))$ .
- It contains complete information about the joint distribution of F
  apart from the marginal distribution.

$$F(F_1^{-1}(x), F_2^{-1}(y)) = P(X \le F_1^x, Y \le F_2^{-1}(y))$$
  
=  $P(F_1(X) \le x, F_2(y) \le y)$   
:=  $C(x, y)$ 

Then,  $F(x, y) = C(F_1(x), F_2(y))$ .

#### L function

Define for 0 < x, y < 1,

$$C(x, y) := G_0(-1/\log x, -1/\log y).$$

Then, C is a copula and the homogeneity of the exponent measure implies that: for 0 < x, y < 1, a > 0,

$$C(x^a, y^a) = C^a(x, y).$$

This relation is not very tractable for analysis, we instead consider the L function defined by

$$L(x,y) := -\log G_0(1/x, 1/y)$$
  
=  $v \{ (s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ : s > 1/x \text{ or } t > 1/y \}$ .

### Properties of *L* function

- ② L(x,0) = L(0,x) = x, for all x > 0
- If X, Y are independent, then L(x, y) = x + y. If X, Y are completely positive dependent, then  $L(x, y) = x \vee y$ .
- L is continuous.
- **1** L(x,y) is a convex function.

### Other Measures of dependence

Define the set  $Q_c$  by

$$Q_c := \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ : -\log G_0(1/x, 1/y) \le c\}$$

The function R is defined as

$$R(x,y) = x + y - L(x,y)$$

The function  $\chi$  is defined as

$$\chi(t) = -R(t,1)$$

The function A is defined as

$$A(t) := L(1-t,t)$$

#### Domains of Attractions

 $\bullet$  We have now discussed the multivariate extreme value distribution G.

• Now, we are going to discuss which F belongs to the max domain of attractions of multivariate extreme value distribution.

#### Theorem 6.2.1

If F belongs to the maximum domain of attraction, the followings are equivalent.

a.

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1 - F(U_1(tx), U_2(ty))}{1 - F(U_1(t), U_2(t))} = S(x, y)$$
(6.2.1)

with  $S(x,y) = \log G((x^{\gamma_1} - 1)/\gamma, (y^{\gamma_2} - 1)/\gamma)/\log G(0,0)$ .

b. For all r > 1 and all  $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$  that are continuity point of  $\Psi$ ,

$$P\left(V^2 + W^2 > t^2 r^2 \text{ and } \frac{W}{V} \le \tan \theta | V^2 + W^2 > t^2\right) \to r^{-1} \frac{\Psi(\theta)}{\Psi(\pi/2)},$$
(6.2.1)

where  $V = 1/(1 - F_1(X))$ ,  $W = 1/(1 - F_2(Y))$ .

Conversely, if the continuous marginal distribution function  $F_i$  are in the domain of attraction of univariate extreme value distribution and any limit relation (6.1.1) or (6.1.2) holds, then F is in the domain of attraction of  $\mathcal{G}_{3,0}$ 

### Asymptotic Independence

Let  $(X_1, \ldots, X_d)$  be a random vector with distribution function F. Let the marginal distribution  $F_i$  satisfies the domain of attraction condition. If

$$\frac{P(X_i > U_i(t), X_j > U_j(t))}{P(X_i > U_i(t))} \rightarrow 0$$

for all  $1 \le i < j \le d$ , then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F^n(a_n^{(1)}x_1+b_n^{(1)},\cdots,a_n^{(d)}x_1+b_n^{(d)}) = \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^d (1+\gamma_ix_i)^{-1/\gamma_i}\right).$$