

# Predicate Logic

CS236 - Discrete Structures

Instructor: Brett Decker

FALL 2021

## Predicates

Propositional logic can only express propositions: statements that have a true or false value. A *predicate* is a parameterized proposition, denoted as  $P(x)$ , where  $x$  is constrained to some set of values. We call this set the *domain*. Essentially, a predicate is a function that maps each value of the domain to a truth value (this is why predicates are referred to as propositional functions). Thus,  $P(x)$  has a truth value for each distinct value of  $x$  in its domain. Consider the following predicate's truth table:

$x$	$P(x)$
1	T
2	T
3	F
4	F

The predicate  $P(x)$  above represents the proposition “ $x < 3$ ” for  $x = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Now consider the predicate  $Q(y)$  which represents “ $y$  is odd” for the domain of  $y = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ :

$y$	$Q(y)$
1	T
2	F
3	T
4	F
5	T

## Quantifiers

We often want to quantify predicates: we define the extent to which a predicate is true or false. We will cover the universal quantifier and the existential quantifier.

### Universal Quantification: Definition 1, Section 1.4\*

The *universal quantification* of  $P(x)$  is the statement

“ $P(x)$  [is true] for all values  $x$  in the domain.”

The notation  $\forall x P(x)$  denotes the universal quantification of  $P(x)$ . Here  $\forall$  is called the *universal quantifier*. We read  $\forall x P(x)$  as “for all  $x$   $P$  of  $x$ .” An element [value of  $x$ ] for which  $P(x)$  is false is called a *counterexample* of  $\forall x P(x)$ .

## Existential Quantification: Definition 2, Section 1.4\*

The *existential quantification* of  $P(x)$  is the proposition

“There exists an element  $x$  in the domain such that  $P(x)$  [is true].”

We use the notation  $\exists x P(x)$  for the existential quantification of  $P(x)$ . Here the  $\exists$  is called the *existential quantifier*.

## Quantifiers Example:

The universal quantification is simply the conjunction of  $P(x)$  for all values of  $x$ :

$$\forall x P(x) := P(x_1) \wedge P(x_2) \wedge P(x_3) \wedge \dots \wedge P(x_n)$$

The existential quantification is simply the disjunction of  $P(x)$  for all values of  $x$ :

$$\exists x P(x) := P(x_1) \vee P(x_2) \vee P(x_3) \vee \dots \vee P(x_n)$$

Given the predicate,  $P(x)$  represents “ $x$  is odd” for  $x = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  is  $\forall x P(x)$ ? If no, what is a counterexample?

Any even value for  $x$  will give a counterexample. Does  $\exists x P(x)$ ? If so, what elements of  $x$  satisfy the quantification?

$x = 1, 3, 5$  are the values of  $x$  such that  $\exists x P(x)$  (note we need only have one value of  $x$  where  $P(x)$  is true to satisfy existential quantification on  $P(x)$ ).

## Precedence and Scope

The quantifiers  $\forall$  and  $\exists$  have higher precedence than all propositional logic operators.

Does  $\exists x P(x) \wedge Q(x)$  mean, (a) or (b)?

- (a)  $\exists x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)]$
- (b)  $[\exists x P(x)] \wedge Q(x)$

The answer is (b). This may be confusing because the parameter  $x$  is used for both predicates. Thinking of predicates as propositional functions, it is important to realize that the predicate variables have *scope*: they are either *bound* or *free*. In (b), the value  $x$  is bound to the predicate  $P(x)$ , but the value  $x$  is free in the predicate  $Q(x)$  (it is not bound by any value or quantifier). In order for us to evaluate predicates, all variables must be bound. Thus, we have to know what to do with the unbound  $x$  and  $Q(x)$ . In this course, are convention will be to transform all unbound variables in predicates to the universal quantifier—note that this matches how predicates are used in the Datalog programming language for **Rules**. Thus  $\exists x P(x) \wedge Q(x)$  is transformed into  $\exists x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x)$ . It can be confusing to now have

two  $x$  that are independent, so we often rename one of the quantified variables. We end up with  $\exists x P(x) \wedge \forall y Q(y)$  after renaming the second  $x$  to  $y$ . We will formalize this process into a Rule of Inference in the next reading for Proof with Predicate Logic. The rule is called *Universal Generalization*.

Think about the problem of unbound variables with the following C++ code:

```
for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {
    ...
}
std::cout << \i after for loop: " << i << std::endl;
```

The variable  $i$  outside of the `for` loop is free (undefined), so the program will not compile. How to handle unbound variable is important for logic and programming languages.

## Logical Equivalences

The logical equivalences we saw in propositional logic apply to predicate logic as well. Here are some logical equivalences in predicate logic:

1.  $\forall x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] \equiv \forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x)$
2.  $\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$   
 Think in these terms:  $\neg [P(x_1) \wedge P(x_2) \wedge \dots \wedge P(x_n)] \equiv [\neg P(x_1) \vee \neg P(x_2) \vee \dots \vee \neg P(x_n)]$   
 In English: “Not every  $x$  in  $P(x)$  is true” or “There exists an  $x$  in  $Q(x)$  that is false”
3.  $\neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$   
 In English: “There does not exist an  $x$  such that  $P(x)$  is true” or  
 “For all  $x$  there is not a  $P(x)$  that is true”

## Conclusion

Predicate logic is more expressive than propositional logic and is often referred to as first-order logic. Predicate logic is essential to many areas in Computer Science, such as Formal Methods (proving correctness of software). See the book\* for further examples and details.

\*All definitions are from *Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications*, by Kenneth H. Rosen.