## Labeling Sloped Nonograms Literature

## Eva Timmer

September 8, 2020

## 1 Literature

## 1.1 On Generating Nonograms

It is neccesary to describe what nonograms are, specifically the new types of nonograms (curved and sloped) that require automatic labeling. If removing labels is allowed in order to reach a solution, then we must also include an accurate algorithm to determine if the puzzle is still solvable. Otherwise we can assume the initial puzzle and set of descriptions is simple and solvable.

#### 1.1.1 Constructing simple nonograms of varying difficulty [3]

### 1.1.2 Improved Automatic Generation of Curved Nonograms [30]

Outlines an algorithm for generating curved nonograms. Does not mention labeling.

## 1.1.3 Design and automated generation of Japanese picture puzzles [31]

Automated generation of regular, tangram (lines have one of four set orientations) and curved nonograms. Does not mention label placement, only that labels can be omitted to increase the difficulty of the puzzle.

## 1.1.4 The concept and automatic generation of the Curved Nonogram puzzle [13]

Automated generation of curved nonograms. Also goes into aesthetics. No mention of label placement.

# 1.1.5 Automated generation and visualization of picture-logic puzzles [23]

Generation of regular nonograms, in black and white and color.

Literature	Leader type	Optimal solution	Feasible solution
[10]	s	$O(n^2)$	$O(n \log n)$
[10]	opo	$O(n^2 \log^3 n)$	$O(n \log n)$
[15]	orthogonal	$O(k^*n^3)$	
[8]	opo	$O(n^4 \log H)^{**}$	

Table 1:  $k^*$  is the number of rows in the optimal solution, \*\*For 3 stacks on one side, H is the height of the boundary

## 1.2 Solving nonograms

#### 1.2.1 Solving Japanese Puzzles with Heuristics [26]

Solving of regular nonograms, in black and white and color.

- 1.2.2 An efficient algorithm for solving nonograms [35]
- 1.2.3 NP-completeness results for NONOGRAM via parsimonious reductions [29]
- 1.2.4 Solving Nonograms by combining relaxations [4]
- 1.3 On (solving) puzzles in general
- 1.3.1 Quantifying over play: Constraining undesirable solutions in puzzle design [28]

Describes an algorithm for automatically generating levels with no undesirable solutions for a specific puzzle game.

## 1.3.2 The Connect-The-Dots family of puzzles: design and automatic generation [21]

Generation of Connect-The-Dots puzzles and three new puzzle types: Connect-The-Closest-Dot, Connect-That-Dot (connect to dot in that direction), Connect-The-Unit-Dots (connect dots that lie at a unit distance)

## 1.4 On boundary labeling

Boundary labeling is the labeling of point sites in a rectangle, where the labels are placed on or near the boundary. There are many variations of this problem that differ in the type of leaders used, the amount of sides that are labeled, wether there are sliding or fixed ports, the size of the labels and what is considered an optimal solution. The problem of labeling sloped nonograms could be described as a boundary labeling problem with straight-line leaders with fixed slope and fixed ports. Or perhaps we could approximate the diagonal lines of a nonogram labeling with rectilinear leaders with many bends, where all parallel segments and all orthogonal segments are of fixed length and direction.

Table 1 shows an overview of the most relevant existing algorithms.

## 1.4.1 Boundary labeling: Models and efficient algorithms for rectangular maps [10]

Provides algorithms for a number of problems. Each problem focuses on either finding the bend-minimal or length-minimal solution or a feasible solution with different types of leaders and ports, for 1,2 or 4 sides of a rectangle.

### 1.4.2 Boundary Labelling of Optimal Total Leader Length [6]

Already included in previous

#### 1.4.3 Boundary-labeling Algorithms for Panorama Images [15]

Provides algorithms for *stacked* labels on a horizontal boundary with orthogonal leaders and labels with varying widths. This could be relevant to nonogram labeling, because there the labels are also stacked, only the leaders are diagonal and the height varies (in the case of a horizontal boundary).

## 1.4.4 Multi-stack Boundary Labeling Problems [8]

Another solution that uses stacked labels, but for all sides of the boundary and with octilinear leaders as well as orthogonal leaders. Focuses on maximizing the label height. It's likely we'll be able to adapt this algorithm to at least solve a part of the nonogram labeling problem.

#### 1.4.5 Boundary labeling with octilinear leaders [7]

Algorithms for solving the boundary labeling problem using octilinear leaders with type od, pd and do leaders, where the d stands for diagonal. Although it does use diagonal leaders, the focus is in minimizing the length of the leaders inside the boundary only. There is no obvious way this could be adapted for the nonogram labeling problem.

#### 1.4.6 Algorithms for labeling focus regions [14]

Boundary labeling for a circular boundary using bezier curves for the leaders. More useful for labeling curved nonograms.

#### 1.4.7 Dynamic one-sided boundary labeling [22]

Here the labels are attached to the boundary and the leaders are only inside the boundary. Seems to be too different from nonogram labeling to be adapted for this problem.

#### 1.4.8 On the readability of boundary labeling [2]

Only focusses on different ways of drawing leaders *inside* the boundary and is therefore not really relevant.

## 1.5 On other types of labeling

While boundary labeling is most similar to the problem of sloped nonogram labeling, it is still useful to look at other types of labeling. There may still be elements of these problems that overlap with sloped nonogram labeling.

## 1.5.1 Elastic Labels Around the Perimeter of a Map [18]

This paper provides a polynomial time algorithm for the problem of attaching elastic labels to points. Elastic labels are rectangular labels that have a fixed area, but the width and height can vary. The labels are attached directly to the points, without leaders.

## 1.5.2 Polygon Labelling of Minimum Leader Length [9]

- 1.5.3 Floating labels: Applying dynamic potential fields for label layout [16]
- 1.5.4 Dynamic map labeling [5]

## 1.5.5 Practical Results Using Simulated Annealing for Point Feature Label Placement [36]

Provides an algorithm for solving the problem of point-feature label placement on petroleum industry basemaps. Each label position is chosen from a fixed set of positions around placed the point in several directions and at several distances. The nonogram labeling problem could be solved in a similar way, only all possible label positions lie on the same line.

### 1.5.6 External Labeling Techniques: A Taxonomy and Survey [11]

Provides an overview of many different labeling techniques.

### 1.6 On graph/puzzle aesthetics

At minimum, the goal is to design an algorithm that can determine a labeling where all labels are included and non of the labels overlap, if such a labeling exists. We can apply additional restrictions in order to reach a solution that is also aesthetically pleasing. In order to do this we must first determine what is aesthetically pleasing.

#### 1.6.1 Validating Graph Drawing Aesthetics [25]

An empirical study on three measurable aesthetic qualities of graphs. These aesthetics are symmetry, minimize edge crossings and minimize bends. Only the first applies to this project, as we already assume that edge crossings and bends will reduce the understandability of a labeling, and therefore will only consider labelings without edge crossings and bends. Unfortunately the results regarding symmetry in this study are inconclusive. However, the authors do provide some ideas on how to perform a conclusive study of the symmetrical aesthetic.

#### 1.6.2 Metrics for Graph Drawing Aesthetics [24]

This paper presents metrics for measuring the aesthetic presence in a graph drawing for seven common aesthetic criteria. While none of the metrics except "maximizing symmetry" could apply to the problem of labeling, the general ideas concerning how to choose and use are still relevant. The paper proposes that measures should be continuous rather than binary. This is also true for puzzle labeling. Assuming we have a set of measurable aesthetic criteria, we can design an algorithm that minimizes or maximizes these aspects of the labeling.

#### 1.6.3 Cognitive measurements of graph aesthetics [34]

Focusses more on how the brain interprets visuals.

### 1.6.4 Metrics for functional and aesthetic label layouts [17]

Presents a system for the internal and external labeling of images.

#### 1.6.5 Specifying label layout style by example [33]

Presents a system that can learn label styles from example and apply these to other labeling problems. Provides a list of properties of good label layouts and how they can be measured.

#### 1.6.6 That Is Not Art, It Is a Puzzle! [32]

## 1.6.7 Puzzle Art in Story Worlds: Experience, Expression and Evaluation [19]

Aesthetics in fiction puzzles

#### 1.6.8 Puzzle Is Not a Game! Basic Structures of Challenge. [20]

Argues that puzzles are not games

## 1.6.9 Metrics for better puzzles [12]

Gives metrics for better puzzles

## 1.6.10 A case study of expressively constrainable level design automation tools for a puzzle game [27]

## 1.7 On implementation of algorithms

### 1.7.1 Dynamic Programming in Haskell is Just Recursion [1]

Provides a detailed description on how dynamic programming works in Haskell.

## References

- [1] Travis Athougies. Dynamic programming in haskell is just recursion. http://travis.athougies.net/posts/2018-05-05-dynamic-programming-is-recursion.html, 2018.
- [2] Lukas Barth, Andreas Gemsa, Benjamin Niedermann, and Martin Nöllenburg. On the readability of boundary labeling. In *International Symposium on Graph Drawing*, pages 515–527. Springer, 2015.
- [3] K Joost Batenburg, Sjoerd Henstra, Walter A Kosters, and Willem Jan Palenstijn. Constructing simple nonograms of varying difficulty. *Pure Mathematics and Applications (Pu. MA)*, 20:1–15, 2009.
- [4] Kees Joost Batenburg and Walter A Kosters. Solving nonograms by combining relaxations. *Pattern Recognition*, 42(8):1672–1683, 2009.
- [5] Ken Been, Eli Daiches, and Chee Yap. Dynamic map labeling. *IEEE Transactions on visualization and computer graphics*, 12(5):773–780, 2006.
- [6] M. A. Bekos, M. Kaufmann, K. Potika, and A. Symvonis. Boundary labelling of optimal total leader length. In Panayiotis Bozanis and Elias N. Houstis, editors, Advances in Informatics, pages 80–89, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2005. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [7] Michael A Bekos, Michael Kaufmann, Martin Nöllenburg, and Antonios Symvonis. Boundary labeling with octilinear leaders. *Algorithmica*, 57(3):436–461, 2010.
- [8] Michael A Bekos, Michael Kaufmann, Katerina Potika, and Antonios Symvonis. Multi-stack boundary labeling problems. In *International Con*ference on Foundations of Software Technology and Theoretical Computer Science, pages 81–92. Springer, 2006.
- [9] Michael A. Bekos, Michael Kaufmann, Katerina Potika, and Antonios Symvonis. Polygon labelling of minimum leader length. In Proceedings of the 2006 Asia-Pacific Symposium on Information Visualisation - Volume 60, APVis '06, pages 15–21, Darlinghurst, Australia, Australia, 2006. Australian Computer Society, Inc.
- [10] Michael A Bekos, Michael Kaufmann, Antonios Symvonis, and Alexander Wolff. Boundary labeling: Models and efficient algorithms for rectangular maps. *Computational Geometry*, 36(3):215–236, 2007.
- [11] Michael A Bekos, Benjamin Niedermann, and Martin Nöllenburg. External labeling techniques: A taxonomy and survey. In *Computer Graphics Forum*, volume 38, pages 833–860. Wiley Online Library, 2019.
- [12] Cameron Browne. Metrics for better puzzles. In Game Analytics, pages 769–800. Springer, 2013.
- [13] TK de Jong. The concept and automatic generation of the curved nonogram puzzle. Master's thesis, 2016.

- [14] Martin Fink, Jan-Henrik Haunert, André Schulz, Joachim Spoerhase, and Alexander Wolff. Algorithms for labeling focus regions. *IEEE Transactions* on Visualization and Computer Graphics, 18(12):2583–2592, 2012.
- [15] Andreas Gemsa, Jan-Henrik Haunert, and Martin Nöllenburg. Boundary-labeling algorithms for panorama images. In Proceedings of the 19th ACM SIGSPATIAL International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems, GIS '11, pages 289–298, New York, NY, USA, 2011. ACM.
- [16] Knut Hartmann, Kamran Ali, and Thomas Strothotte. Floating labels: Applying dynamic potential fields for label layout. In *International Symposium on Smart Graphics*, pages 101–113. Springer, 2004.
- [17] Knut Hartmann, Timo Götzelmann, Kamran Ali, and Thomas Strothotte. Metrics for functional and aesthetic label layouts. In *International Symposium on Smart Graphics*, pages 115–126. Springer, 2005.
- [18] Claudia Iturriaga and Anna Lubiw. Elastic labels around the perimeter of a map. In Workshop on Algorithms and Data Structures, pages 306–317. Springer, 1999.
- [19] Veli-Matti Karhulahti. Puzzle art in story worlds: Experience, expression and evaluation. In 6th International Conference on the Philosophy of Computer Games: the Nature of Player Experience, 2012.
- [20] Veli-Matti Karhulahti. Puzzle is not a game! basic structures of challenge. In *DiGRA Conference*, 2013.
- [21] Maarten Löffler, Mira Kaiser, Tim van Kapel, Gerwin Klappe, Marc van Kreveld, and Frank Staals. The connect-the-dots family of puzzles: design and automatic generation. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 33(4):72, 2014.
- [22] Martin Nöllenburg, Valentin Polishchuk, and Mikko Sysikaski. Dynamic one-sided boundary labeling. In Proceedings of the 18th SIGSPATIAL International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems, pages 310–319, 2010.
- [23] Emilio G Ortiz-García, Sancho Salcedo-Sanz, Jose M Leiva-Murillo, Angel M Pérez-Bellido, and José A Portilla-Figueras. Automated generation and visualization of picture-logic puzzles. *Computers & Graphics*, 31(5):750–760, 2007.
- [24] Helen C Purchase. Metrics for graph drawing aesthetics. *Journal of Visual Languages & Computing*, 13(5):501–516, 2002.
- [25] Helen C Purchase, Robert F Cohen, and Murray James. Validating graph drawing aesthetics. In *International Symposium on Graph Drawing*, pages 435–446. Springer, 1995.
- [26] Sancho Salcedo-Sanz, Emilio G Ortiz-Garca, Angel M Pérez-Bellido, Antonio Portilla-Figueras, and Xin Yao. Solving japanese puzzles with heuristics. In 2007 IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence and Games, pages 224–231. IEEE, 2007.

- [27] Adam M Smith, Erik Andersen, Michael Mateas, and Zoran Popović. A case study of expressively constrainable level design automation tools for a puzzle game. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on the Foun*dations of Digital Games, pages 156–163, 2012.
- [28] Adam M Smith, Eric Butler, and Zoran Popovic. Quantifying over play: Constraining undesirable solutions in puzzle design. In *FDG*, pages 221–228, 2013.
- [29] Nobuhisa Ueda and Tadaaki Nagao. Np-completeness results for nonogram via parsimonious reductions. *preprint*, 1996.
- [30] MA van de Kerkhof. Improved automatic generation of curved nonograms. Master's thesis, 2017.
- [31] Mees van de Kerkhof, Tim de Jong, Raphael Parment, Maarten Löffler, Amir Vaxman, and Marc van Kreveld. Design and automated generation of japanese picture puzzles. In *Computer Graphics Forum*, volume 38, pages 343–353. Wiley Online Library, 2019.
- [32] Oskar van Deventer et al. That is not art, it is a puzzle! In *Bridges 2019 Conference Proceedings*, pages 1–8. Tessellations Publishing, 2019.
- [33] Ian Vollick, Daniel Vogel, Maneesh Agrawala, and Aaron Hertzmann. Specifying label layout style by example. In Proceedings of the 20th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology, pages 221–230. ACM, 2007.
- [34] Colin Ware, Helen Purchase, Linda Colpoys, and Matthew McGill. Cognitive measurements of graph aesthetics. *Information visualization*, 1(2):103–110, 2002.
- [35] Chiung-Hsueh Yu, Hui-Lung Lee, and Ling-Hwei Chen. An efficient algorithm for solving nonograms. *Applied Intelligence*, 35(1):18–31, 2011.
- [36] Steven Zoraster. Practical results using simulated annealing for point feature label placement. Cartography & Geographic Information Systems, 24(4):228, 1997.