


◆ Z-PHI method

■ Notation

- upper case for dB scale and lower case for linear scale

- $Z_H = 10 \cdot \log_{10} Z_h$ (dBZ), $Z_h = 10^{Z_H/10}$ (mm^6m^{-3})



$$\vec{E}(x) = \bar{\bar{T}}(x) \vec{E}(0)$$

$$K = km \quad \bar{\bar{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{hh} & T_{hv} \\ T_{vh} & T_{vv} \end{bmatrix}$$

(b)

■ Assumption

- **First-order multiple scattering** (See [1], 4.3 Coherent Wave Propagation)

$$A = 8.686 \times \text{Im}(K)$$

where A is the **specific attenuation** which is the attenuation of a wave during its propagation in a unit distance and K is the **effective propagation constant**.

- **Power law between A_h and Z_h**

$$A_h = a [Z_h(r)]^b$$

where a varies with temperature as well as a parameter N_w from Raindrop Size Distribution (see [2], 7.4.2 Attenuation Correction Using Constraints) and b is nearly constant.

◆ Z-PHI method

■ Derivation

$$Z_H^M = Z_H - 2 \int_{r_0}^r A_H(l) dl = Z_H - \text{PIA}(r_0, r)$$

or in linear form $Z_h^M = Z_h \exp \left[-0.46 \int_{r_0}^r A_H(l) dl \right]$

Let $g(r) = \exp \left[-0.46b \int_{r_0}^r A_H(l) dl \right]$

We have $Z_h^M = Z_h \cdot [g(r)]^{1/b}$

$$Z_h(r) = \frac{Z_h^M(r)}{\left[1 - 0.46ab \int_{r_0}^r [Z_h^M(l)]^b dl \right]^{1/b}}$$

$$\frac{dg(r)}{dr} = -0.46ab [Z_h^M(r)]^b$$

integrate between $[r_0, r]$

$$g(r) - 1 = -0.46ab \int_{r_0}^r [Z_h^M(l)]^b dl$$

◆ Z-PHI method


■ Question: How to determine a

By definition, we have $g(r_m) = \exp \left[-0.46b \int_{r_0}^{r_m} A_H(l) dl \right] = \exp[-0.23b \cdot \text{PIA}(r_0, r_m)]$

we can estimate $\text{PIA}(r_0, r_m)$ by $\text{PIA}(r_0, r_m) = c[\phi_{DP}(r_m) - \phi_{DP}(r_0)] = c\Delta\phi(r_0, r_m)$

Combined with $g(r_m) - 1 = -0.46ab \int_{r_0}^{r_m} [Z_h^M(l)]^b dl$

we have $a = \frac{1 - \exp[-0.23b \cdot c\Delta\phi(r_0, r_m)]}{0.46b \int_{r_0}^{r_m} [Z_h^M(l)]^b dl}$



◆ Z-PHI method

- **Question: How to find an optimal c**

- Self-consistent method [3]

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{PIA}(r_0, r_i) &= c \Delta \phi(r_0, r_i) \\ \text{PIA}(r_0, r_i) &= 2 \int_{r_0}^{r_i} A_H(l) dl \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \phi_{DP}^{\text{rec}}(r_i) = \phi_{DP}(r_0) + \frac{2}{c} \int_{r_0}^{r_i} A_H(l) dl$$

where $A_H(r) = a[Z_h(r)]^b = \frac{a[Z_h^M(r)]^b}{1 - 0.46ab \int_{r_0}^r [Z_h^M(l)]^b dl}$

$$c^* = \underset{c}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_i [\phi_{DP}^{\text{rec}}(r_i; c) - \phi_{DP}(r_i)]^2$$

◆ Z-PHI method

■ Some practical issues

- NoData points: leave them as zero?
- Magnitude problem: $a \sim 10^{-5} - 10^{-4}$, $b \sim 0.7$, $c \sim 10^{-1}$, $Z_h^M \sim 10^1 - 10^5$

$$a = \frac{1 - \exp[-0.23b \cdot c \Delta\phi(r_0, r_m)]}{0.46b \int_{r_0}^{r_m} [Z_h^M(l)]^b dl} \quad A_H(r) = a[Z_h(r)]^b = \frac{a[Z_h^M(r)]^b}{1 - 0.46ab \int_{r_0}^r [Z_h^M(l)]^b dl}$$

- The optimal c^* may not exist when $\Delta\phi(r_0, r_m)$ is small [3]
- How can we tell whether the target $\phi_{DP}(r_i)$ are correct/reasonable?
- ...

◆ Reference

[1] Guifu Zhang, Weather Radar Polarimetry. Florida, United States: CRC Press, 2017.

[2] V. Bringi and V. Chandrasekar, Polarimetric Doppler Weather Radar: Principles and Applications. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2001.

[3] Bringi, V. N., et al. "Correcting C-Band Radar Reflectivity and Differential Reflectivity Data for Rain Attenuation: A Self-Consistent Method with Constraints." IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, vol. 39, no. 9, 2001, pp. 1906–1915.