# **Data Driven Testing**

Oftentimes, it is useful to exercise the same test code multiple times, with varying inputs and expected results. Spock's data driven testing support makes this a first class feature.

#### Introduction

Suppose we want to specify the behavior of the Math.max method:

Although this approach is fine in simple cases like this one, it has some potential drawbacks:

- Code and data are mixed and cannot easily be changed independently
- Data cannot easily be auto-generated or fetched from external sources
- In order to exercise the same code multiple times, it either has to be duplicated or extracted into a separate method
- In case of a failure, it may not be immediately clear which inputs caused the failure
- Exercising the same code multiple times does not benefit from the same isolation as executing separate methods does

Spock's data-driven testing support tries to address these concerns. To get started, let's refactor above code into a data-driven feature method. First, we introduce three method parameters (called *data variables*) that replace the hard-coded integer values:

```
class MathSpec extends Specification {
   def "maximum of two numbers"(int a, int b, int c) {
      expect:
      Math.max(a, b) == c
      ...
   }
}
```

We have finished the test logic, but still need to supply the data values to be used. This is done in a where: block, which always comes at the end of the method. In the simplest (and most common) case, the where: block holds a *data table*.

#### **Data Tables**

Data tables are a convenient way to exercise a feature method with a fixed set of data values:

```
class Math extends Specification {
    def "maximum of two numbers"(int a, int b, int c) {
        expect:
        Math.max(a, b) == c
        where:
            b
                С
            3
                3
        7
            4
                4
          0
                0
    }
}
```

The first line of the table, called the *table header*, declares the data variables. The subsequent lines, called *table rows*, hold the corresponding values. For each row, the feature method will get executed once; we call this an *iteration* of the method. If an iteration fails, the remaining iterations will nevertheless be executed. All failures will be reported.

Data tables must have at least two columns. A single-column table can be written as:

#### **Isolated Execution of Iterations**

Iterations are isolated from each other in the same way as separate feature methods. Each iteration gets its own instance of the specification class, and the setup and cleanup methods will be called before and after each iteration, respectively.

### **Sharing of Objects between Iterations**

In order to share an object between iterations, it has to be kept in a @Shared or static field.

```
Only @Shared and static variables can be accessed from within a where: block.
```

Note that such objects will also be shared with other methods. There is currently no good way to share an object just between iterations of the same method. If you consider this a problem, consider putting each method into a separate spec, all of which can be kept in the same file. This achieves better isolation at the cost of some boilerplate code.

# **Syntactic Variations**

The previous code can be tweaked in a few ways. First, since the where: block already declares all data variables, the method parameters can be omitted. [1]. Second, inputs and expected outputs can be separated with a double pipe symbol ( $|\cdot|$ ) to visually set them apart. With this, the code becomes:

```
class DataDriven extends Specification {
    def "maximum of two numbers"() {
        expect:
        Math.max(a, b) == c

    where:
        a | b || c
        3 | 5 || 5
        7 | 0 || 7
        0 | 0 || 0
    }
}
```

### **Reporting of Failures**

Let's assume that our implementation of the max method has a flaw, and one of the iterations fails:

```
maximum of two numbers FAILED
```

The obvious question is: Which iteration failed, and what are its data values? In our example, it isn't hard to figure out that it's the second iteration that failed. At other times this can be more difficult or even impossible [2]. In any case, it would be nice if Spock made it loud and clear which iteration failed, rather than just reporting the failure. This is the purpose of the @unroll annotation.

### **Method Unrolling**

A method annotated with @unroll will have its iterations reported independently:

```
@Unroll
def "maximum of two numbers"() { ... }
```

Note that unrolling has no effect on how the method gets executed; it is only an alternation in reporting. Depending on the execution environment, the output will look something like:

This tells us that the second iteration (with index 1) failed. With a bit of effort, we can do even better:

```
@Unroll
def "maximum of #a and #b is #c"() {
```

This method name uses placeholders, denoted by a leading hash sign (#), to refer to data variables a, b, and c. In the output, the placeholders

# Why isn't @unroll the default?

One reason why
@Unroll isn't the default
is that some execution
environments (in
particular IDEs) expect to
be told the number of
test methods in advance,
and have certain
problems if the actual
number varies. Another
reason is that @Unroll
can drastically change
the number of reported
tests, which may not
always be desirable.

will be replaced with concrete values:

Now we can tell at a glance that the max method failed for inputs 7 and 0. See More on Unrolled Method Names for further details on this topic.

The @unroll annotation can also be placed on a spec. This has the same effect as placing it on each data-driven feature method of the spec.

### **Data Pipes**

Data tables aren't the only way to supply values to data variables. In fact, a data table is just syntactic sugar for one or more *data pipes*:

```
where:
a << [3, 7, 0]
b << [5, 0, 0]
c << [5, 7, 0]</pre>
```

A data pipe, indicated by the left-shift (<<) operator, connects a data variable to a *data provider*. The data provider holds all values for the variable, one per iteration. Any object that Groovy knows how to iterate over can be used as a data provider. This includes objects of type Collection, String, Iterable, and objects implementing the Iterable contract. Data providers don't necessarily have to *be* the data (as in the case of a Collection); they can fetch data from external sources like text files, databases and spreadsheets, or generate data randomly. Data providers are queried for their next value only when needed (before the next iteration).

#### **Multi-Variable Data Pipes**

If a data provider returns multiple values per iteration (as an object that Groovy knows

how to iterate over), it can be connected to multiple data variables simultaneously. The syntax is somewhat similar to Groovy multi-assignment but uses brackets instead of parentheses on the left-hand side:

```
@Shared sql = Sql.newInstance("jdbc:h2:mem:", "org.h2.Driver"

def "maximum of two numbers"() {
    ...
    where:
    [a, b, c] << sql.rows("select a, b, c from maxdata")
}</pre>
```

Data values that aren't of interest can be ignored with an underscore (\_):

```
where:
[a, b, _, c] << sql.rows("select * from maxdata")</pre>
```

## **Data Variable Assignment**

A data variable can be directly assigned a value:

```
where:
a = 3
b = Math.random() * 100
c = a > b ? a : b
```

Assignments are re-evaluated for every iteration. As already shown above, the right-hand side of an assignment may refer to other data variables:

```
where:
row << sql.rows("select * from maxdata")
// pick apart columns
a = row.a
b = row.b
c = row.c</pre>
```

# **Combining Data Tables, Data Pipes, and Variable Assignments**

Data tables, data pipes, and variable assignments can be combined as needed:

```
where:
a | _
3 | _
7 | _
0 | _
b << [5, 0, 0]

c = a > b ? a : b
```

#### **Number of Iterations**

The number of iterations depends on how much data is available. Successive executions of the same method can yield different numbers of iterations. If a data provider runs out of values sooner than its peers, an exception will occur. Variable assignments don't affect the number of iterations. A where: block that only contains assignments yields exactly one iteration.

#### **Closing of Data Providers**

After all iterations have completed, the zero-argument close method is called on all data providers that have such a method.

#### **More on Unrolled Method Names**

An unrolled method name is similar to a Groovy GString, except for the following differences:

- Expressions are denoted with # instead of \$ [3], and there is no equivalent for the
   \${...} syntax
- Expressions only support property access and zero-arg method calls

Given a class Person with properties name and age, and a data variable person of type Person, the following are valid method names:

```
def "#person is #person.age years old"() { ... } // property
def "#person.name.toUpperCase()"() { ... } // zero-arg method
```

Non-string values (like #person above) are converted to Strings according to Groovy semantics.

The following are invalid method names:

```
def "#person.name.split(' ')[1]" { ... } // cannot have metho
def "#person.age / 2" { ... } // cannot use operators
```

If necessary, additional data variables can be introduced to hold more complex expression:

```
def "#lastName"() {
    ...
    where:
    person << ...
    lastName = person.name.split(' ')[1]
}</pre>
```

#### **Footnotes**

- [1] The idea behind allowing method parameters is to enable better IDE support.

  However, recent versions of IntelliJ IDEA recognize data variables automatically, and even infer their types from the values contained in the data table.
- [2] For example, a feature method could use data variables in its setup: block, but not in any conditions.
- [3] Groovy syntax does not allow dollar signs in method names.