What is wrong with using for-loops?

Nothing! R's (for-while-repeat) loops are intuitive, and easy to code and maintain. Some tasks are best managed within loops.

So why discourage the use of for-loops?

1) Side effects and detritus from inline code. Replacing a loop with a function call means that what happened in the function stayed in the function. 2) In some cases increased speed (especially so with nested loops and from poor loop-coding practice).

How to make the paradigm shift?

1) Use R's vectorisation features. 2) See if object indexing and subset assignment can replace the for-loop. 3) If not, find an "apply" function that slices your object the way you need. 4) Find (or write) a function to do what you would have done in the body of the for-loop. Anonymous functions can be very useful for this task. 5) if all else fails: move as much code as possible outside of the loop body

Play data (for the examples following)

require('zoo'); require('plyr'); n <- 100; u <- 1:n; v <- rnorm(n, 10, 10) + 1:n w <- round(runif(n, 0.6, 9.4)) #min=1 max=9 df <- data.frame(month=u, x=u, y=v, z=w) l <- list(x=u, y=v, z=w, yz=v*w, xyz=u*v*w) trivial.add <- function(a, b) { a + b }

Use R's vectorisation features

Clever indexing and subset assignment

df[df\$z == 5, 'y'] <- -1 # replaces:
for(row in seq_len(nrow(df))) # YUK
if(df[row, 'z'] == 5) # YUK
df[row, 'y'] <- -1 # YUK
df[is.na(df)] <- 0 # remove NAs from the df</pre>

The base apply family of functions

apply(X, MARGIN, FUN, ...)
lapply(X, FUN, ...)
sapply(X, FUN, ...) # has more options
vapply(X, FUN, FUN.VALUE, ...) # ditto
tapply(X, INDEX, FUN = NULL, ...) # "
mapply(FUN, ..., MoreArgs = NULL) # "
eapply(env, FUN, ...) # has more options
replicate(n, expr, simplify = "array")
by(data, INDICES, FUN, ...) # more opts
aggregate(x, by, FUN, ...) # for a df
rapply() # see help for options!?

lapply (on vector or list, return list)

lapply(l, mean) # returns a list of means
unlist(lapply(u, trivial.add, 5))
Last case: vapply() or sapply() better

sapply (a simplified lapply on v or 1)

Object: v, l; Returns: usually a vector
sapply(l, mean) # returns a vector
sapply(u, function(a) a*a) # vec of squares
sapply(u, trivial.add, -1) # function above

tapply (group v/l by factor & apply fn) count.table <- tapply(v, w, length) min.1 <- with(df, tapply(y, z, min))</pre>

by (on l or v, returns "by" objects)
 min.2 <- by(df\$y, df\$z, min) # like above
 min.3 <- by(df[, c('x', 'y')], df\$z, min)
 # last one: finds min from two columns</pre>

aggregate

ag <- aggregate(df, by=list(df\$z), mean)
aggregate(df, by=list(w, 1+(u%12)), mean)
Trap: variables must be in a list</pre>

apply (by row/column on two+ dim object)

Object: m, t, df, a (has 2+ dimensions)
Returns: v, l, m (depends on input & fn)
column.mean <- apply(df, 2, mean)
row.product <- apply(df, 1, prod)
Traps: apply coerces a df to a matrix to
do its magic. Col names are lost.</pre>

rollapply - from the zoo package

Inside a data.frame

The plyr package

Plyr is a fantastic family of apply like functions with a common naming system for the input-to and output-from split-apply-combine procedures. I use ddply() the most. # ddply(.data, .var, .fun=NULL, ...) ddply(df, .(z), summarise, min = min(y), max = max(y)) ddply(df, .(z), transform, span = x - y)

Other packages worth looking at

foreach - a set of apply-like fns
snow - parallelised apply-like functions
snowfall - a usability wrapper for snow

Abbreviations

v=vector, l=list, m=matrix, df=data.frame, a=array, t=table, f=factor, d=dates