Grade	Network (35%)	Written Response (50%)	Mechanics (15%)
4.0	Images in written response clearly show the creation of a proper network visualization. All nodes are properly labeled. All necessary images	Explains clearly: - the data selected for the corpus - accurate and arguable question(s) and findings - the possibilities and limitations of network analysis Cites appropriate secondary sources.	Properly uses markdown. Writing is clear with few, or no, errors in spelling and grammar.
3.0	Images in written response show the creation of a proper network visualization. Most nodes are labeled. Most necessary images.	Explains: - the data selected for the corpus - accurate and arguable question(s) and findings - the possibilities and limitations of network analysis Cites secondary sources but some may not be appropriate or a secondary source may be missing.	Some markdown errors. Writing is usually clear. Some errors in spelling and grammar.
2.0	Images in written response show the creation of a proper network visualization. Nodes are not properly labeled. Missing images.	Unclearly explains: - the data selected for the corpus - initial question(s) and findings - the possibilities and limitations of network analysis Missing secondary sources. Secondary sources are not appropriate.	Significant markdown errors. Errors in spelling and grammar are frequent enough to be distracting.
1.0	Images in written response do not clearly show the creation of a proper network visualization. Nodes are not labeled. Signicant number of missing images.	Does not explain: - the data selected for the corpus - initial question(s) and findings - the possibilities and limitations of network analysis Does not cite secondary sources.	Did not use markdown. Spelling & grammar errors make the paper difficult to understand.
0	Did not build a network.	Did not write a response.	Did not write a response.