

In-class Exercises: BCNF

1. FD recap.

- (a) Create an instance of relation $R(A, B, C, D, E)$ that violates this functional dependency: $ABC \rightarrow DE$.

Solutions: Here is one example

| A | B | C | D | E |
|----|----|----|----|----|
| a1 | b1 | c1 | d1 | e1 |
| a1 | b1 | c1 | d1 | e2 |

- (b) Suppose we have a relation $R(A, B, C, D, E)$. Does the instance below violate the functional dependency $DB \rightarrow A$?

| A | B | C | D | E |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 5 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 5 |

2. Is a relation in BCNF?

- (a) Suppose we have a relation Students(SID, email, course, term, prof), and that these FDs hold: $\{SID \rightarrow \text{email}; \text{course, term} \rightarrow \text{prof}; SID, \text{course} \rightarrow \text{grade.}\}$. Is this relation in BCNF?

Solution: No. $SID^+ = \{SID, \text{email}\}$ which is not all the attributes.

- (b) Suppose we have a relation Customers(name, DOB, address, favouriteCar, manufacturer) and these FDs hold: $\{\text{name} \rightarrow \text{DOB, favouriteCar}; \text{favouriteCar} \rightarrow \text{manufacturer}\}$. Is this relation in BCNF?

Solution: No. Calculate the closure of name to see that it is not all the attributes.
 $\text{name}^+ = \{\text{name, DOB, favouriteCar, manufacturer}\}$. It does not include *address*.

- (c) Suppose we have a relation Parts(part, manufacturer, seller, price) and these FDs hold: $\{\text{part} \rightarrow \text{manufacturer}; \text{part, seller} \rightarrow \text{price}\}$. Is this relation in BCNF?

Solution: No. $\text{part}^+ = \{\text{part, manufacturer}\}$ which does not include seller or price.

- (d) Suppose we have a relation $R(A, B, C, D, E)$ and these FDs hold: $\{B \rightarrow AC; CB \rightarrow E; A \rightarrow D\}$. Is this relation in BCNF?

Solution: No. $A^+ = \{A, D\}$ which is not the whole set of attributes of R .

3. **How does BCNF help?** Consider again the relation relation Parts(part, manufacturer, seller, price) with these FDs:
 $\{ \text{part} \rightarrow \text{manufacturer}; \quad \text{part, seller} \rightarrow \text{price} \}$.

(a) Keeping in mind the FDs, make an instance of this relation that has redundant information.

Solution: Here is one of an infinite number of possibilities

| part | manufacturer | seller | price |
|------|--------------|---------|-------|
| p1 | man1 | seller1 | 45.99 |
| p1 | man1 | seller2 | 30.49 |

(b) If we applied the decomposition step from BCNF decomposition, what attributes would each of the new relations have?

Solution:

$R_1(\text{part}, \text{manufacturer})$ and $R_2(\text{part}, \text{seller}, \text{price})$

(c) Project the FDs onto each of the new relations

Solution:

R projected onto R_1 : $T = \{\text{part} \rightarrow \text{manufacturer}\}$

R projected onto R_2 : $T = \{\text{part}, \text{seller} \rightarrow \text{price}\}$

(d) Put the same data as in part (a) into your new schema. Is there any redundancy?

Solution: Do this with your own data. Here is the solution for the example answer above.

| part | manufacturer | part | seller | price |
|------|--------------|------|---------|-------|
| p1 | man1 | p1 | seller1 | 45.99 |
| | | p1 | seller2 | 30.49 |

(e) Is it *possible* to create redundancy with this new schema?

Solution: No.