Recall this schema, which we have used many times in class.

Question 1. [5 MARKS]

Part (a) [1 MARK]

According to the schema, can a student take the same course more than once?

Solution: Yes No.

Part (b) [2 MARKS]

Consider this constraint:

Ploot(instructor1, instructor2, term) :=

$$\Pi_{O1.instructor1,O2.instructor2,O1.term}\sigma_{O1.instructor

$$O1.dept=O2.dept=CSC'$$

$$O1.cNum=O2.cNum$$

$$O1.term=O2.term$$$$

$$\sigma_{P1.instructor1=P2.instructor1}[(\rho_{P1}Ploot) \times (\rho_{P2}Ploot)] = \emptyset$$

$$P1.instructor2 \stackrel{\wedge}{=} P2.instructor2$$

$$P1.term \stackrel{\wedge}{\neq} P2.term$$

Define an instance of Offering that violates the constraint.

Solution:

dept	cNum	term	instructor
CSC	343	termA	Craig
CSC	343	termA	Horton
CSC	108	termB	Craig
CSC	108	termB	Horton
	CSC CSC CSC	CSC 343 CSC 343 CSC 108	CSC 343 termA CSC 343 termA CSC 108 termB

Part (c) [2 MARKS]

Write the following constraint using relational algebra: No CSC course may count towards the breadth requirement (that is, have breadth = True) unless it is a 100-level course.

Solution: $\sigma_{dept='CSC' \land breadth \land cNum > 199}Course = \emptyset$

Question 2. [8 MARKS]

Write a query in relational algebra to find the sID of every student who has taken each CSC course that has ever been offered.

Solution: this is one possible way to solve this

– This student has taken this CSC course.

 $Taken(sID,cNum) := \Pi(sID,cNum)\sigma_{dept='CSC'}[Offering\bowtie Took]$

- All CSC courses ever offered

 $Offered(cNum) := \Pi_{cNum} \sigma_{dept='CSC'} Offering$

- All students

 $AllStudent(sID) = \Pi_{sID}Student$

- Combo of every student and every CS courses

 $Checklist(sid, cNum) := AllStudent \times Offered$

- Students who didn't take them all

 $MissedSome(sID) := \Pi_{sID}[Checklist - Taken]$

- Took all

Solution(sID) := AllStudent - MissedSome

Question 3. [5 MARKS]

Suppose we want to find the cNum of the first CSC course that was ever taught, that is, the one with the minimum value for term. If there was a tie, we want to report the cNums of all the tied courses.

The following query attempts to solve this. It is syntactically correct, but doesn't always produce the right answer.

Solution:

$$CSCterms(cNum, term) := \prod_{cNum, term} \sigma_{devt='CSC'} Offering$$

$$NotFirst(cNum, term) := \prod_{C2.cNum,C2.term} \sigma_{C1.term < C2.term} [(\rho_{C1}CSCterms) \times (\rho_{C2}CSCterms)]$$

$$Answer(cNum) := \Pi_{cNum}[CSCterms) - NotFirst]$$

Part (a) [2 MARKS]

Define an instance of Offering with 4 rows on which the query gives the wrong answer. For simplicity, use integers to represent the terms.

Solution:

oID	dept	cNum	term	instructor
o1	CSC	343	1	Craig
o2	CSC	343	3	Horton
o3	CSC	209	4	Craig
04	CSC	148	2	Horton

What relation *should be* produced in this case?

Solution: cNum

What relation *is* produced in this case?

Solution: $\frac{\text{cNum}}{\text{(empty table)}}$

Part (b) [3 MARKS]

On the query above, make the smallest change(s) that will correct it.

Solution: Add term to the attributes of NotFirst. It will need to be added to both the left-hand side and to the project statement. Then do the subtraction in the RHS of answer before the project.

Question 4. [7 MARKS]

Consider this new schema for a music industry database:

Relations

Integrity constraints

Musician(mID, surName, firstName, birthdate)

 $\operatorname{Album}[\operatorname{mID}] \subseteq \operatorname{Musician}[\operatorname{mID}]$

Album(<u>aID</u>, title, mID, year)

 $Produced[aID] \subseteq Album[aID]$

RecordCompany(<u>cID</u>, name, president)

 $Produced[cID] \subseteq RecordCompany[cID]$

Produced(aID, cID)

Part (a) [1 MARK]

Suppose relation Album has 1,000 tuples. How many tuples could Produced have? Circle all that apply:

Solution:

0

1

821 1,000

2,500

Part (b) [2 MARKS]

Which of the following constraints are enforced by the schema? Circle Yes or No for each.

Solution:

Every musician has at least one album.

Yes

Every album has at least one record company that produced it.

No

No

No

No

Every record company has produced at least one album.

Yes

Yes

Every album has at most one record company that produced it.

Yes

Every album has at most one musician.

Yes No

Part (c) [2 MARKS]

Suppose every album has one genre, and we add an attribute called genre to the Produced relation to keep track of it. Write a constraint in relational algebra to restrict the value of genre to either hip hop, pop, or country.

Solution:

 $\sigma_{genre \neq 'hiphop' \land genre \neq 'pop' \land genre \neq 'country'} Produced = \emptyset$

 $\mathrm{OR}\ \mathrm{Produced[genre]} \subseteq \{\mathrm{'hip}\ \mathrm{hop','pop','country'}\}$

Part (d) [2 MARKS]

Why is it a bad idea to store this genre information in the Produced relation?

Solution:

The same album can have multiple rows or zero rows in Produced. The genre information will be repeated in all of them or be missing. This is unnecessary duplication. The information should go in the Album relation.

Question 5. [8 MARKS]

For this question, you will write SQL queries using a simplified version of the Instagram schema from Assignment 1. (We removed unnecessary pieces and renamed table User to Account because user is a reserved word in SQL.)

Relations

Account(<u>uID</u>, name, website, phone)
Follows(<u>follower</u>, followed)
Post(pid, uid, location, caption)

Hashtag(pid, tag)

Integrity constraints

Follows[follower] \subseteq Account[uID] Follows[followed] \subseteq Account[uID]

 $Post[uID] \subseteq Account[uID]$ $Hashtag[pID] \subseteq Post[pID]$

Part (a) [3 MARKS]

Write a query in SQL to find users that have more than 100 posts where the location is Toronto. For each one, give their uID and the total number of their Toronto posts. Show the users in non-increasing order by their number of Toronto posts.

Solution:

SELECT uid, count(pid)
FROM Post
WHERE location = 'Toronto'
GROUP BY uid
HAVING count(pid) > 100
ORDER BY count(pid) DESC;

Part (b) [1 MARK]

Write an SQL query to find the pid of posts that have no hashtags.

Solution:

(SELECT pid FROM Post) EXCEPT (SELECT pid FROM Hashtag);

The following query is supposed to print the name, uID and number of followers for users who have fewer than three followers. It runs but does not always give the correct output.

SELECT name, uid, count(follower) AS num_followers

FROM Follows, Account

WHERE Follows.followed = Account.uid

GROUP BY Account.uid

HAVING count(follower) < 3;</pre>

Part (c) [2 MARKS]

Suppose that Follows and Account have these values. What will be the output of the query?

follower f		uid name website
2	1	1 user1 website1
3	2	2 user2 website2
2	3	3 user3 website3
4	2	4 user4 website4
1	3	
4	3	

Solution:

			•	num_followers	5
user2				+ 	2
user1	-	1		l	1

Part (d) [1 MARK]

Generalizing to any dataset, explain what is wrong with the output of this query.

Solution: It doesn't include users who have no followers at all.

Part (e) [1 MARK]

Fix the query by making the smallest change that you can. Write your corrections directly on the query text above.

Solution: One option is:

```
SELECT name, uid, count(follower) AS num_followers
FROM Follows RIGHT JOIN Account
ON Follows.followed = Account.uid
GROUP BY Account.uid
HAVING count(follower) < 3;
```

Another is to do ... FROM Account LEFT JOIN Follows ON ...

Question 6. [8 MARKS]

Suppose we have these tables:

Follows:		Profile:		
a	l b	id	name	location
	+		-+	+
sina	kanyewest	alan	catman	Ottawa
sina	RonConwayFacts	sina	superman	1
diane	LilaFontes	diane	superwoman	Toronto
diane	swcarpentry	michelle	rockstar	Montreal
diane	mfeathers	(4 rows)		
diane	sina			
michelle	sina			
michelle	diane			
michelle	Jeff			
(9 rows)				
_				
Tweets:				
id user:	id content			
+	+			
123 ala	·			
125 ala				
126 ala	·			
128 ala	n bye twitter			
476 sin	a hellow twitter			
553 dia	ne hellow twitter			
(6 rows)				

Show the result of running each of the following queries. If a table is produced, include the column names. If the query generates an error, explain.

Solutions

```
SELECT count(*)
                                              SELECT P.id, count(T.content) AS number
FROM Profile LEFT JOIN Follows
                                              FROM Profile P JOIN Tweets t On
   ON a = id;
                                                  T.userid = P.id AND P.location='Toronto'
                                              GROUP BY(p.id);\\
                                              -- Output:
-- Output:
                                               id | number
                                              -----
count
-----
                                               diane | 1
   10
                                              (1 row)
(1 row)
```

Here are the tables again, for easy reference: Follows:

a		l b
	+-	
sina		kanyewest
sina		RonConwayFacts
diane		LilaFontes
diane		swcarpentry
diane		mfeathers
diane		sina
michelle		sina
michelle		diane
michelle		Jeff
(9 rows)		

Profile: id	1	name
alan		catman
sina	1	superman
diane		superwoman
michelle		rockstar
(4 rows)		

Tweets:

id userid	l c	ontent
+	-+	
123 alan	hel	low twitter
125 alan	bye	twitter
126 alan	hel	low twitter
128 alan	bye	twitter
476 sina	hel	low twitter
553 diane	hel	low twitter
(6 rows)		

SELECT id, count(b) AS followers
FROM Profile JOIN Follows
ON a = id;

-- Output:

ERROR: column "profile.id" must appear in the GROUP BY clause or be used in an aggregate function

LINE 1: SELECT id, count(b) AS followers

select location from Follows, Profile
where id = a and b = 'sina';

-- Output:

Toronto
Montreal
(2 rows)

location