


NavigateT project

Weekly updates



Students: Marc CHAMBRÉ, Dhia JENZERI, Loan MICHAUD, Reda TRICHA



Training: Electronics, Informatics & Systems



Teachers: Ionela PRODAN, Simon GAY



School year: 2023 - 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	3
1. Week table	3
2. Week 38 – 39: Initialization of the project.....	4
2.1 Main objectives	4
2.2 Presentation about ROS & Gazebo	4
2.3 Installation of the working environment (Ubuntu, ROS & Gazebo)	4
3. Week 40: TurtleBot control, mathematical model	5
3.1 Remote TurtleBot control	5
3.2 Mathematical model	5
3. Week 41: First node and P-controller	8
3.1 Creation of nodes	8
3.2 P-controller on Simulink.....	9
4. Week 42: P controller implementation, coordinates supply	13
4.1 P-controller implementation.....	13
4.1 PID-controller tuning.....	16
4.2 Coordinates supply.....	16
5. Week 43: P controller implementation in Python and tries on MPC.....	17
5.1 P controller implementation	17
5.2 Tries on obstacle avoidance	17
5.3 Feedback Linearizing Controller	19
5.4 MPC tries	21
ANNEXES	22

Introduction

The goal of this document is to recap our work week-by-week. It will sum up briefly what we have done in the week table below and detail a bit more, most of the steps further.

This project monitoring is as useful for us as it is for anyone who wants to check the advancement of the project.

1. Week table

Week	Description
38	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Meeting with I. PRODAN about the main objectives - Meeting & demonstration with G. SCHLOTTERBECK about ROS and Gazebo - Meeting with S.GAY about the bio-inspired model - Configuring the working environment on the PC - Personal research about ROS & Gazebo
39	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Configuring the working environment on the PC - Receipt of material (TurtleBot + cables) - Configuration of our personal ROS environments - Simulations of Turtlebot using Gazebo
40	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Communication between PC and Raspberry configured. - Controlling the real TurtleBot with a keyboard - Reading of existent navigation algorithm - Research about a mathematical model of the robot
41	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - P controller for static point, linear, quadratic, and ellipsoidal path following - First node to control the TurtleBot
42	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - P controller python implementation in progress. - Improvements and creations of nodes to retrieve data from the Lidar sensor and control the TurtleBot. - Problem of getting coordinates solved.
43	<p><u>Done:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Simultaneously retrieve coordinates as the turtlebot moves forward - Try to code the P corrector in Python and make it compatible for ROS 2 - Try out a Python algorithm on the turtlebot to deviate its direction when an obstacle is present. <p><u>Objectives for next week:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Keep improving our self-made nodes. - Try to solve the problems in the P corrector code and test it on the turtlebot. - Improve the controller (PID, feedback linearization)

2. Week 38 – 39: Initialization of the project

2.1 Main objectives

As a first goal, we will have to understand how to work with ROS and Gazebo, necessary to start controlling or simulating the TurtleBot.

Once we have installed all the prerequisites to set up the workspace on our computer, we will try to make the robot go from a point to another by itself, without any obstacle and with a simple but consistent command.

Then, we will work with Ionela PRODAN to design a more complex command law, such as a PID. In parallel, we will work with Simon GAY to help design navigation algorithms.

2.2 Presentation about ROS & Gazebo

To start, we had a presentation given by Guillaume SCHLOTTERBECK, about ROS and GAZEBO.

The Robot Operating System (ROS) is a set of software libraries and tools that help you build robot applications. For that, we can create nodes that publish or listen to topics, transfer data from sensors to the controller, and control actuators. The working principle is robust because several nodes already exist, including TurtleBot3 which are using, and many others. Then we can assemble nodes to make up our application.

Gazebo is a simulator for ROS nodes that allows us to do 3D simulations, with obstacles and robot models. This is a convenient tool to first test our nodes virtually.

2.3 Installation of the working environment (Ubuntu, ROS & Gazebo)

We started by adding a new Ubuntu session on our project computer, to have a centralized workspace for our simulations and tests.

Then we installed *ROS: humble* and *Gazebo: Garden* to start working on preliminary tests. We successfully controlled the robot simulation in Gazebo, as Guillaume did in his presentation.

3. Week 40: TurtleBot control, mathematical model

3.1 Remote TurtleBot control

We started to control the real TurtleBot using a hotspot and a *ssh* link. We also tried to map out our project room with RViz.

One of our main issues was to understand how the connection setup between the hotspot and the Raspberry Pi card should be to work well, we had encountered some problems when trying to connect the Raspberry Pi to the hotspot (sometimes the connection crashes and we have to reboot everything in order for it worked again). We also tried to configure our hotspot on our personal computers to have better graphic performances on Rviz software. For now, it's not working, but it's a future goal.

We started to explore existing navigation algorithms among which we recognize things like 3rd order trajectory equations.

We started exploring the possibility of feedback control of a ROS-enabled robot using *Matlab* and *Simulink*. This will enable us to run a model that implements a simple closed-loop proportional controller for mobile robot trajectory tracking.




Figure 1 - ROS feedback controller

3.2 Mathematical model

We started to research a mathematical model for the robot, to have a basis for a controller.




Figure 2 - Turtlebot modelization in a cartesian coordinate system.

We can write the dynamics of the robot as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V \cos \theta \\ V \sin \theta \\ \omega \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, the state vector would be:

$$x_{\text{state}} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

Let's express the speed V_{wheel} of one wheel:




Figure 3 - Distance traveled by a r-radius wheel

We know that the distance traveled by a r-radius wheel is:

$$x(t) = \gamma(t) \cdot r \quad \begin{cases} \gamma(t): \text{angle traveled at time } t \text{ [rad]} \\ x(t): \text{distance traveled at time } t \text{ [m]} \end{cases}$$

Then, we can express the speed as:

$$\dot{x} = \dot{\gamma}r \rightarrow V_{\text{wheel}} = \omega_{\text{wheel}} \cdot r \quad \begin{cases} V_{\text{wheel}}: \text{speed [m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}] \\ \omega_{\text{wheel}}: \text{angular velocity [rad} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}] \end{cases}$$

Now we can express the components of the state vector as a combination of both right and left motor angular velocities, respectively ω_r and ω_l . We must consider r [m], the radius of each wheel, and L [m], the length between the center of the two wheels.

We assume that the linear velocity of the robot is written as:

$$V = \frac{V_l + V_r}{2} = \frac{r(\omega_l + \omega_r)}{2}$$

And the angular velocity is written as:

$$\dot{\theta} = \frac{1}{L}(V_r - V_l) = \frac{r}{L}(\omega_r - \omega_l)$$

Finally, we can rewrite the dynamic of the state vector as:

$$\dot{x}_{\text{state}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{r(\omega_l + \omega_r)}{2} \cos \theta \\ \frac{r(\omega_l + \omega_r)}{2} \sin \theta \\ \frac{r}{L}(\omega_r - \omega_l) \end{bmatrix}$$

We can validate the model by using cases, like:

$$\begin{cases} \omega_l = u_r \\ \omega_l > 0 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \dot{x} = r \cdot \omega_l \cos \theta \\ \dot{y} = r \cdot \omega_l \sin \theta \\ \dot{\theta} = 0 \end{cases}$$

The robot moves forward at the speed of one motor. There is no angular velocity since the robot goes straight forward.

$$\begin{cases} \omega_l = -\omega_r \\ \omega_l > 0 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \dot{x} = 0 \\ \dot{y} = 0 \\ \dot{\theta} = \frac{2r}{L} \omega_l \end{cases}$$

The robot turns on itself without any linear velocity. As the wheels velocities are opposed, the robot turns at two times a wheel speed.

$$\begin{cases} \omega_l = 0 \\ \omega_r > 0 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \dot{x} = r \cdot \frac{\omega_r}{2} \cos \theta \\ \dot{y} = r \cdot \frac{\omega_r}{2} \sin \theta \\ \dot{\theta} = \frac{r}{L} \omega_r \end{cases}$$

The robot turns around its left wheel since it is immobile. We see that $\dot{\theta} > 0$: As only the right wheel works, the robot turns through the trigonometric way. Only one wheel is working, so the linear velocity is divided by two.

3. Week 41: First node and P-controller

During this week, we decided to split into two teams to increase our productivity. It was a success because we achieved the two main objectives that we fixed, coding our first own node, and designing a controller in Simulink.

3.1 Creation of nodes

Now we know how to control the TurtleBot remotely, we try to code in Python some nodes to give instructions for control. Thus, we have created our workspace in Visual Studio to code nodes in Python. The first node we have created gives to the TurtleBot a command to go straight ahead during 10s along the x axis. It worked both in simulation and with the real TurtleBot. The Python node code looks like this:

```
#!/usr/bin/env python3

import rclpy
from rclpy.node import Node
from geometry_msgs.msg import Twist
import time

class move(Node):
    def __init__(self):
        super().__init__('test_node')
        self.publisher=self.create_publisher(Twist,'cmd_vel',10)
        self.timer = self.create_timer(0.1,self.timer_callback)
        self.cmd_msg=Twist()
        self.start_time=time.time()

    def timer_callback(self):
        current_time=time.time()
        if current_time - self.start_time < 10.0:
            self.cmd_msg.linear.x=0.2
            self.publisher.publish(self.cmd_msg)
        else:
            self.cmd_msg.linear.x=0.0
            self.publisher.publish(self.cmd_msg)

def main(args=None):
    rclpy.init(args=args)
    node=move()
    try:
        rclpy.spin(node)
    except KeyboardInterrupt:
        pass
    node.destroy_node()
    rclpy.shutdown()

if __name__ == '__main__':
    main()
```

The next step for us is to map an area thanks to the lidar sensor and the ROS software (NViz). We have done it with Ionela's PC but we noticed that the map result is not really good because of graphical performances. It's for that, we hope the new computer will be available next week to install our software tools and try the mapping again. Also, to save time, we did a script Shell which contains all Linux commands required to install all software tools (ROS2, Gazebo, TurtleBot3 simulation, etc.). It will be useful once we will have the access to the new computer and install all software tools we require.

Finally, once we will map an area, we will try to work on the position of the turtlebot in its environment. So, we will try to create a node for moving the TurtleBot from point A to B.

3.2 P-controller on Simulink

Thanks to our mathematical model, we started to design a controller.

We previously found out this relation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} V \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{r}{2} & \frac{r}{2} \\ \frac{r}{L} & -\frac{r}{L} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_r \\ \omega_l \end{bmatrix}$$

The vector $\begin{bmatrix} \omega_r \\ \omega_l \end{bmatrix}$ being the command of the model, we had to calculate it by reversing the previous expression:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \omega_r \\ \omega_l \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{r}{2} & \frac{r}{2} \\ \frac{r}{L} & -\frac{r}{L} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} V_{ref} \\ \omega_{ref} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{r} & \frac{L}{2r} \\ \frac{1}{r} & -\frac{L}{2r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_{ref} \\ \omega_{ref} \end{bmatrix}$$

We generated the reference vector $\begin{bmatrix} V_{ref} \\ \omega_{ref} \end{bmatrix}$ like this:

First, the reference velocity is generated using a proportional gain.

$$v_{ref}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} v_x^r(t) \\ v_y^r(t) \end{bmatrix} = k_p(p^r - p(t)) = k_p \begin{bmatrix} x(t) - x^r \\ y(t) - y^r \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, we take the module and the argument of this $v_{ref}(t)$ to get the linear velocity V_{ref} and the angle θ_{ref} .

$$V_{ref}(t) = \|v_{ref}(t)\| = k_p \sqrt{(x^r - x(t))^2 + (y^r - y(t))^2}$$

$$\theta_{ref}(t) = \arg(v_{ref}(t)) = \text{atan2}(y^r - y(t); x^r - x(t))$$

Finally, we generate ω_{ref} with another proportional gain:

$$\omega_{ref} = k_\theta (\theta_{ref}(t) - \theta(t))$$

Now, we generate the command vector with the relation previously determined:

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{ref} \\ \omega_{ref} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{r} & \frac{L}{2r} \\ \frac{1}{r} & -\frac{L}{2r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_{ref} \\ \omega_{ref} \end{bmatrix}$$

The block diagram is the following:




Figure 4 - Schematic control loop for the Turtlebot

We built this control loop in Simulink & Matlab:




Figure 5 - Simulink model of our P-controller

This is the corresponding Matlab code:

```
clear all; clc; close all;
%% Definition of parameters
% we construct the structure that can be given as an argument to a block
To Workspace
T=200; % simulation time
timp=lininspace(0,T,1e4);
Ts = timp(2)-timp(1);

Kp=0.9; %Controller gain
r = 33e-3; %Wheel radius
d = 160e-3; %Distance between wheels
A = [r/2 r/2; r/d -r/d]; %[V;w]=A*[wr;wl]
%% Reference for path tracking
%reference end point for constant and linear references
xref=-7;
yref=26;

%constant reference
%position_ref = [xref*ones(1,length(timp)); yref*ones(1,length(timp))];

%Linear reference
%position_ref = [xref*timp/T+5; yref*timp/T-2];

%Quadratic reference
%position_ref = [xref*timp.^2/T^2+5-1/4*timp/T;
yref*timp.^2/T^2+1/4*timp/T-2];
```

```

%Circular references
R=3; f1=0.02; f2=0.05;
position_ref=[R*sin(f1*timp)+R*sin(f2*timp);
R*cos(f1*timp)+R*cos(f2*timp) ];
%position_ref=[(sin(f1*timp));(cos(f1*timp))];
%% turtlebot simulation
load_system('turtlebot'); % we load the simulink model into memory
set_param('turtlebot', 'StopTime', num2str(T)) % set the simulation time

rsim=timeseries(position_ref',timp); % we build the structure that is
received by the From Workspace block
out=sim('turtlebot'); % we run the simulink model, at the end of the
simulation we have stored the output in the ysim structure
%% plot the results
figure; grid on; hold on
plot(out.ysim.time,out.ysim.signals.values, 'm')
plot(rsim.Time,rsim.Data,'b')
legend('output - x ','output - y ','reference - xr','reference - yr')
xlabel('time')
ylabel('output signals')

figure; grid on; hold on
plot(out.ysim.signals.values(:,1),out.ysim.signals.values(:,2), 'm')
plot(rsim.Data(:,1),rsim.Data(:,2),'b')
legend('output - (x;y) ','reference - (xr;yr)')
xlabel('time')
ylabel('output signals')

```

This is the final result of the code:




Figure 7 - Quadratic path following

We tried an ellipsoidal path, but it didn't work well because we had a few issues with the $\text{atan}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)$ function. We decided to use the $\text{atan2}(y, x)$ function because it considers the signs of x and y independently so the output angle is more relevant.

Therefore, there still are some problems like drops from π to $-\pi$. To solve this problem, we used the function $\text{wrapTo2Pi}(\theta)$ so the output angle stays between 0 rad and 2π rad.

The last problem was the drop when the angle was greater than 2π rad so it dropped to 0 rad because of the previous function. The last thing we did to solve the issue is to use the unwrap function. This function adds or retrieves 2π to the angle so that the difference between the current angle and

the previous one is less than π . With this function, there is no drop, and the angle is allowed to be bigger than 2π .

You can see below the ellipsoidal path tracking finally working:




Figure 8 - Ellipsoidal path tracking

4. Week 42: P controller implementation, coordinates supply

This week, the “Forum des entreprises” took place in ESISAR so we couldn’t work together on Tuesday. That’s why we couldn’t progress a lot on the project, but we still tried to fulfill new objectives.

4.1 P-controller implementation

We started to implement the controller in a ROS node. We based on the Simulink model to transcribe it into python. We hope that the node will be finished next week and we could test it on the Turtlebot. This is for now what we coded in ROS to implement the PID controller:

```
import rclpy
from geometry_msgs.msg import Twist, Point
from tf2_ros import TransformListener
from tf2_ros import Buffer
import numpy as np
import time
import tf
from math import radians, copysign, sqrt, pow, pi, atan2
from tf.transformations import euler_from_quaternion

msg = """
control your Turtlebot3!
-----
Insert xyz - coordinate.
x : position x (m)
y : position y (m)
z : orientation z (degree: -180 ~ 180)
If you want to close, insert 's'
-----
"""

class GotoPoint:
    def __init__(self):
        rclpy.init(args=None)
        self.node = rclpy.create_node('turtlebot3_pointop_key')
        self.node.get_logger().info("Control your Turtlebot3!")

        self.cmd_vel = self.node.create_publisher(Twist, 'cmd_vel', 10)

        self.tfBuffer = Buffer()
        self.tf_listener = TransformListener(self.tfBuffer, self.node)

        self.odom_frame = 'odom'

        try:
            self.tfBuffer.lookup_transform(self.odom_frame,
            'base_footprint', rclpy.time.Time(), rclpy.time.Duration(seconds=1.0))
            self.base_frame = 'base_footprint'
        except (tf2_ros.ExtrapolationException,
        tf2_ros.ConnectivityException, tf2_ros.LookupException):
            try:
                self.tfBuffer.lookup_transform(self.odom_frame,
                'base_link', rclpy.time.Time(), rclpy.time.Duration(seconds=1.0))
                self.base_frame = 'base_link'
            except (tf2_ros.ExtrapolationException,
            tf2_ros.ConnectivityException, tf2_ros.LookupException):
                self.node.get_logger().error("Cannot find transform
                between odom and base_link or base_footprint")
                self.node.get_logger().error("TF Exception")
```

```

(position, rotation) = self.get_odom()
last_rotation = 0
linear_speed = 1
angular_speed = 1
(goal_x, goal_y, goal_z) = self.getkey()
if goal_z > 180 or goal_z < -180:
    self.node.get_logger().info("You input wrong z range.")
    self.shutdown()
goal_z = np.deg2rad(goal_z)
goal_distance = sqrt(pow(goal_x - position.x, 2) + pow(goal_y -
position.y, 2))
distance = goal_distance

while distance > 0.05:
    (position, rotation) = self.get_odom()
    x_start = position.x
    y_start = position.y
    path_angle = atan2(goal_y - y_start, goal_x - x_start)

    tab_angles = [previous_angle, path_angle]

    angles_unwrap = np.unwrap(tab_angles)

    diff_angle = angles_unwrap[1] - previous_angle
    diff_distance = distance - previous_distance

    move_cmd = Twist()
    move_cmd.angular.z = angular_speed * (path_angle - rotation)

    distance = sqrt(pow((goal_x - x_start), 2) + pow((goal_y -
y_start), 2))
    move_cmd.linear.x = min(linear_speed * distance, 0.1)

    if move_cmd.angular.z > 0:
        move_cmd.angular.z = min(move_cmd.angular.z, 1.5)
    else:
        move_cmd.angular.z = max(move_cmd.angular.z, -1.5)

    last_rotation = rotation
    self.cmd_vel.publish(move_cmd)
    rclpy.spin_once(self.node)

(position, rotation) = self.get_odom()

while abs(rotation - goal_z) > 0.05:
    (position, rotation) = self.get_odom()
    if goal_z >= 0:
        if rotation <= goal_z and rotation >= goal_z - np.pi:
            move_cmd = Twist()
            move_cmd.linear.x = 0.00
            move_cmd.angular.z = 0.5
        else:
            move_cmd = Twist()
            move_cmd.linear.x = 0.00
            move_cmd.angular.z = -0.5
    else:
        if rotation <= goal_z + np.pi and rotation > goal_z:
            move_cmd = Twist()
            move_cmd.linear.x = 0.00
            move_cmd.angular.z = -0.5

```

```

        else:
            move_cmd = Twist()
            move_cmd.linear.x = 0.00
            move_cmd.angular.z = 0.5
            self.cmd_vel.publish(move_cmd)
            rclpy.spin_once(self.node)

    self.node.get_logger().info("Stopping the robot...")
    self.cmd_vel.publish(Twist())

    def getkey(self):
        x = float(input("Enter X coordinate (m): "))
        y = float(input("Enter Y coordinate (m): "))
        z = float(input("Enter Z orientation (degree, -180 ~ 180): "))
        return x, y, z

    def get_odom(self):
        transform = self.tfBuffer.lookup_transform(self.odom_frame,
self.base_frame, rclpy.time.Time(), rclpy.time.Duration(seconds=1.0))
        trans = transform.transform.translation
        rotation = transform.transform.rotation
        return (Point(trans.x, trans.y, trans.z), rotation)

    def shutdown(self):
        self.cmd_vel.publish(Twist())
        rclpy.spin_once(self.node)
        self.node.destroy_node()
        rclpy.shutdown()

if __name__ == '__main__':
    try:
        rclpy.init(args=None)
        node = GotoPoint()
        while rclpy.ok():
            pass
    except Exception as e:
        print("Error:", e)
    finally:
        if rclpy.ok():
            rclpy.shutdown()

```

We remarked that the step of converting linear speed and angular speed into left and right motor angular speed (Figure 9) is actually embedded in ROS using the class `Twist()`.




Figure 9 - Conversion between linear and angular speeds into right and left motors angular speeds.

4.1 PID-controller tuning

We tried to tune a PID controller for the Simulink model. But we don't really see the difference with a P controller and with a PID controller, even for fault rejections. Maybe it is because the model doesn't consider acceleration limitations, so it works "too well" with a P controller.

Maybe we should try to linearize it with feedback linearization and calculate a custom PID.

4.2 Coordinates supply

We visited the platform at Esynov and we will try to setup the link between the system and the Turtlobot next week, using existing ROS nodes. Thanks to the cameras in the Esynov room, we can accurately estimate the Turtlebot's coordinates in the space in which it is located.

Actually, the turtlebot embeds coordinates computing. We did some experiments with these computed coordinates, and we understood that they are only calculated thanks to the wheels speed. Therefore, it doesn't consider wheel grip (what we could expect), but it also doesn't remark whenever the turtlebot is stuck against a wall or whenever we lift it.

This system will nevertheless be useful to do some preliminary tests in our room before testing at Esynov.

5. Week 43: P controller implementation in Python and tries on MPC

This week, Tuesday was dedicated to a session with Jean-Pierre CEYSSON to talk about the notion of innovation, both in the innovation projects we are currently working on, and in the future engineering projects in which we will be involved. As a result, we didn't make much progress on our objectives for the week. Nevertheless, as on every Thursday since the start of the project, we're trying to schedule an afternoon session. The objective of this session, and of the week in general, was to code in Python the P controller that the automation team had set up, and to test some self-made obstacle avoidance algorithms.

The aim over the next few weeks, after the week's teaching break, is to get these algorithms running on the *Turtlebot* and then carry out navigation tests in more complex, unfamiliar environments.

5.1 P controller implementation

We started implementing the P controller last week, but we're having problems running it. This means we can't test the code on the *Turtlebot*. We think these problems are mainly since the PC we're working on doesn't have the same versions we use on our personal computers. It's true that for the code and simulation part, we use our personal computers a lot and it's not easy to manage compatibility between the configurations of each computer, but also the version already implanted in the *Turtlebot*'s SD card.

5.2 Tries on obstacle avoidance

This week, the development team also tried out a Python algorithm on the turtlebot to deviate its direction when an obstacle is present. Here's the prototype code:

```
import rclpy
from rclpy.node import Node
from sensor_msgs.msg import LaserScan
from geometry_msgs.msg import Twist

class LaserScanSubscriber(Node):
    def __init__(self):
        super().__init__('reading_laser')
        self.publisher = self.create_publisher(Twist, '/cmd_vel', 1)
        self.subscription = self.create_subscription(LaserScan,
            '/robot/laser/scan', self.laser_callback, 10)
        self.threshold_dist = 1.5
        self.linear_speed = 0.6
        self.angular_speed = 1

    def laser_callback(self, msg):
        regions = {
            'right': min(min(msg.ranges[0:2]), 10),
            'front': min(min(msg.ranges[3:5]), 10),
            'left': min(min(msg.ranges[6:9]), 10),
        }

        self.take_action(regions)
```

```

def take_action(self, regions):
    msg = Twist()
    linear_x = 0
    angular_z = 0

    state_description = ''

    if regions['front'] > self.threshold_dist and regions['left'] >
self.threshold_dist and regions['right'] > self.threshold_dist:
        state_description = 'case 1 - no obstacle'
        linear_x = self.linear_speed
        angular_z = 0
    elif regions['front'] < self.threshold_dist and regions['left'] <
self.threshold_dist and regions['right'] < self.threshold_dist:
        state_description = 'case 7 - front and left and right'
        linear_x = -self.linear_speed
        angular_z = self.angular_speed # Increase this angular speed
for avoiding obstacle faster
    elif regions['front'] < self.threshold_dist and regions['left'] >
self.threshold_dist and regions['right'] > self.threshold_dist:
        state_description = 'case 2 - front'
        linear_x = 0
        angular_z = self.angular_speed
    elif regions['front'] > self.threshold_dist and regions['left'] >
self.threshold_dist and regions['right'] < self.threshold_dist:
        state_description = 'case 3 - right'
        linear_x = 0
        angular_z = -self.angular_speed
    elif regions['front'] > self.threshold_dist and regions['left'] <
self.threshold_dist and regions['right'] > self.threshold_dist:
        state_description = 'case 4 - left'
        linear_x = 0
        angular_z = self.angular_speed
    elif regions['front'] < self.threshold_dist and regions['left'] >
self.threshold_dist and regions['right'] < self.threshold_dist:
        state_description = 'case 5 - front and right'
        linear_x = 0
        angular_z = -self.angular_speed
    elif regions['front'] < self.threshold_dist and regions['left'] <
self.threshold_dist and regions['right'] > self.threshold_dist:
        state_description = 'case 6 - front and left'
        linear_x = 0
        angular_z = self.angular_speed
    elif regions['front'] > self.threshold_dist and regions['left'] <
self.threshold_dist and regions['right'] < self.threshold_dist:
        state_description = 'case 8 - left and right'
        linear_x = self.linear_speed
        angular_z = 0
    else:
        state_description = 'unknown case'
        self.get_logger().info(str(regions))

    self.get_logger().info(state_description)
    msg.linear.x = linear_x
    msg.angular.z = angular_z
    self.publisher.publish(msg)

```

```
def main(args=None):
    rclpy.init(args=args)
    laser_scan_subscriber = LaserScanSubscriber()
    rclpy.spin(laser_scan_subscriber)
    laser_scan_subscriber.destroy_node()
    rclpy.shutdown()

if __name__ == '__main__':
    main()
```

5.3 Feedback Linearizing Controller

The automation team started developing a feedback linearization controller for the Turtlebot that will allow it to track a trajectory $q(t)$. We recall that the dynamics of the Turtlebot are described by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \omega \end{bmatrix}$$

Where the state vector of the system is $\xi = [x \ y \ \theta]^T$ and the input vector is $\xi = [v \ \omega]^T$, where v is the linear velocity input of the Turtlebot and ω is the angular rate of the Turtlebot. To design a tracking controller for this turtlebot system, we can use the techniques of feedback linearization, which will cancel out the nonlinear dynamics of the Turtlebot.

To get started in designing a feedback linearizing controller, we notice that this system is control-affine, and may be written in the form:

$$\dot{\xi} = f(\xi) + g(\xi)u$$

Where q, u are the state and input vectors described above and $f(\xi) = 0$. Our goal in designing a feedback linearizing controller for this system is to find an input u that creates a linear relationship between the input vector, u , and the output of the system, which we define to be the vector:

$$y_{\text{out}} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

If we can accomplish this, we can easily control the $(x; y)$ coordinates of the Turtlebot.

If we were to try and directly design a feedback linearizing controller for this system, however, we would find that a matrix we would need to invert to cancel out the nonlinear terms in the dynamics would not be invertible! To get around this, we apply dynamic extension, and rewrite the system in the following equivalent form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \\ \dot{v} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v \cos \theta \\ v \sin \theta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} = f(\xi) + g(\xi)w$$

Where we append v to the state vector of the system to form the dynamically extended state vector $\tilde{\xi} = [x \ y \ \theta \ v]^T$. In the extended system, instead of controlling the system with our original input, $u = [v \ \omega]^T$, we use the new input vector:

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{v} \\ \omega \end{bmatrix}$$

Where we control the derivative of input velocity instead of velocity itself. Once we have the system in this extended form, we can prove that the following relationship exists between input and output:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \ddot{x} \\ \ddot{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -v \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & v \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} = A(\tilde{\xi})w$$

Here, the matrix $A(\tilde{\xi})$ is always invertible if $v \neq 0$. By picking $w = A^{-1}(\tilde{\xi})z$, where $z \in R^2$, we may derive the following linear input-output relationship.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \ddot{x} \\ \ddot{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} z$$

This is a linear system of the form $\dot{\xi}' = A\xi' + Bz$ where $\xi' = [x \ y \ \dot{x} \ \dot{y}]^T$

The following flow-chart describes the process your controller design should take:




Figure 10 - Feedback linearization control strategy

First, we define the desired $(x_{ref}(t), y_{ref}(t))$ trajectory for our system. Both x_{ref} , y_{ref} are differentiable functions of time that describe where we'd like our turtlebot to be at all times. We send this desired trajectory to the feedback linearized system, $\dot{\xi}' = A\xi' + Bz$, which we defined above. We may then use linear control design to pick an input z that allows the system to track the desired trajectory. Once we have this value of z , we convert it back into w using $w = A^{-1}(\tilde{\xi})z$, which came from the feedback linearizing relationship. Once we have w , we may integrate the first component of $w, w_1 = \dot{v}$ in time to find the value of velocity, v , we should send to our original system:

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^t w_1(\tau) d\tau \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally, once we have this value for the input, we send it to our original system:

$$\dot{\xi} = f(\xi) + g(\xi)u \quad \xi = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} u$$

This will enable our original nonlinear system to track the desired trajectory. Following this procedure, we will design a feedback linearizing tracking controller for the Turtlebot.

During the upcoming sessions, we will start working on implementing a feedback linearizing tracking controller following this procedure.

5.4 MPC tries

Following the MPC course, the automation team tested to control the *Turtlebot* with MPC. The discretization of the model is the following:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\phi} \\ \dot{v} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v \cos \phi \\ v \sin \phi \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} = f(\tilde{q}) + g(\tilde{q})w$$

$$\dot{\xi}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}(k) \\ \dot{y}(k) \\ \dot{\theta}(k) \end{bmatrix} \approx \frac{1}{T_s} \begin{bmatrix} x(k+1) - x(k) \\ y(k+1) - y(k) \\ \theta(k+1) - \theta(k) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V(k) \cos(\theta(k)) \\ V(k) \sin(\theta(k)) \\ \omega(k) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xi(k+1) = \begin{bmatrix} x(k+1) \\ y(k+1) \\ \theta(k+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x(k) \\ y(k) \\ \theta(k) \end{bmatrix} + T_s \begin{bmatrix} V(k) \cos(\theta(k)) \\ V(k) \sin(\theta(k)) \\ \omega(k) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$u_{\max} = \begin{bmatrix} V_{\max} \\ \omega_{\max} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.22 \text{ m.s}^{-1} \\ 2.84 \text{ rad.s}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

We can then implement this to get MPC from *casadi* optimization solver.

It worked well for circular reference tracking:




Figure 11 - Reference tracking using MPC. On the left we can see that Turtlebot's constraints are fulfilled. On the right, we can see the reference in full line, and the robot's trajectory on dotted.

ANNEXES




Figure 12 - TurtleBot simulation and mapping thanks to the embedded lidar