# Particle Simulator STDISCM Problem Set 1 Specifications Term 3 AY 2023 - 2024

# Ryan Austin Fernandez

2401 Taft Avenue Manila, Philippines ryan.fernandez@dlsu.edu.ph

#### **ABSTRACT**

This document details the specifications of the first problem set in distributed computing. General instructions are provided for a particle physics simulator, which will be implemented using concurrent programming.

# **Author Keywords**

concurrent programming, load balancing

#### **CCS Concepts**

•Computing methodologies  $\rightarrow$  Shared memory algorithms; Concurrent algorithms;

#### **GENERAL PROGRAMMING INSTRUCTIONS**

You are to create a particle physics simulator using either C++ or Java. A user should be able to add particles to the canvas. When rendering the canvas, ensure the frame rate does not drop while updating the environment.

#### **RESTRICTIONS**

Only either C++ or Java and their threading support library and UI libraries should be used in this problem set.

Other libraries or game engines could be used. You may request approval via email.

### SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS

A user should be able to add particles to the environment with an initial position (x,y), an initial angle  $\Theta$  (0 degrees is east, and degrees increase in an anticlockwise manner, e.g., 90 degrees is north), and a velocity V (in pixel per second). The particle will travel in a straight line, bouncing off the four walls of the canvas. Particles do not collide with other particles. All collisions are elastic, which means particles do not slow down or speed up after a collision.

The canvas should be 1280x720 pixels. Coordinate (0,0) is the southwest corner of the canvas. Coordinate (1280,720) is the northeast corner.

Particles can be added in batches. This is in three forms:

- Provide an integer *n* indicating the number of particles to add. Keep the velocity and angle constant. Provide a start point and end point. Particles are added with a uniform distance between the given start and end points.
- Provide an integer n indicating the number of particles to add. Keep the start point and velocity constant. Provide a start Θ and end Θ. Particles are added with uniform distance between the given start Θ and end Θ.
- Provide an integer *n* indicating the number of particles to add. Keep the start point and angle constant. Provide a start velocity and end velocity. Particles are added with a uniform difference between the given start and end velocities.

Ensure that your screen resolution is high enough to show all particles on-screen. Show the FPS counter on-screen every 0.5 seconds.

You are also to write a 1 - 2 page technical report containing the following:

- Discuss how you implemented the load balancing of the particle physics computations.
- Explain how you computed the frame rate.
- Discuss how you implemented the load balancing of the rendering. Explain how you ensured a consistent frame rate.
  Discuss additional implementations you created to ensure that a constant FPS is met.
- Provide code snippets supporting your technical explanation.

The technical report must have one-inch margins and be typeset in Calibri 11-point font. **DO NOT** exceed two pages. Any pages after the second page will be ignored. At the end of the technical report, provide a record of contribution for each major activity for the case study.

- Each row represents an activity, and each cell represents the percentage each member contributed to that activity. Each row's contributions must total 100.
- 2. The **TOTAL** row must be normalized to add up to 100.

Example:

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-2138-9. DOI: **10.1145/1235** 

# **Group Members:**

• P1: Percy Jackson

• P2: Annabeth Chase

• P3: Grover Underwood

Activity	P1	P2	P3
Topic Formulation	50.0	25.0	25.0
Machine Definition	33.3	33.3	33.3
Formal Language and Computa-	25.0	50.0	25.0
tional Power			
Applications	10.0	10.0	80.0
Raw Total	118.3	118.3	163.3
TOTAL	29.6	29.6	40.8

Have each member sign below the table above their full name and the date.

## **GRADING RUBRICS**

Table 1 shows the grading rubrics for problem set 1.

#### FINAL DELIVERABLES AND DEADLINE

• A 1 - 5 min video demo showing the sample program in MP4. This video will be posted in class for the voting part.

- Technical report
- Submit a GitHub/GDrive link containing your source code. Include a README.md file for instructions on how to run your program.
- For C++, the program should be compatible with VS 2019 (x64) and C++ 20 compiler and ready for compilation. The program should already include any external dependencies.
- For Java, include a JAR file to be run.

Deadline for submission of all deliverables: June 17, 2024, 11:59 PM

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Allen B Downey. 2005. The little book of semaphores.
- [2] Maurice Herlihy, Nir Shavit, Victor Luchangco, and Michael Spear. 2020. *The art of multiprocessor programming*. Newnes.
- [3] Maarten Van Steen and Andrew S Tanenbaum. 2017. Distributed systems. Maarten van Steen Leiden, The Netherlands.

Table 1. Rubrics for Grading Problem Set 1

	Table 1. Rubrics for C	rraunig Froblem Set 1	
Section	Excellent	Good	Developing
Frame Rate Consistency (20)	The frame rate is consistently	The frame rate is consistently	The frame rate is consistently
	at least 60 FPS with occa-	at least 60 FPS with occa-	jittering between 20 FPS –
	sional drops to $\sim$ 50 FPS.	sional drops to $\sim 30$ FPS.	60FPS. The application can-
	The latency is barely notice-	The latency is noticeable but	not maintain a steady frame
	able. (20)	happens occasionally and not	rate. (7)
		too long. (13)	
Completeness of Functional-	All features are implemented	One to two features are miss-	Three or more features are
ity (20)	(20)	ing (13)	missing. (7)
Presentation and Creativity	The software looks polished	The software looks rushed.	The software barely has a
(6)	and aesthetically pleasing.	(4)	functioning and understand-
	(6)		able user interface. (2)
Ease of Use (4)	The software is very easy to	Some features are difficult to	The software is difficult to
	use to add particles. (4)	use. (3)	use. Hardcoding test cases
			is easier than using the user
			interface. (1)
Correctness of Load Balanc-	The load balancing of par-	There are some points of im-	There are plenty of points of
ing of Computation (20)	ticle physics is explained	provement in the load bal-	improvement in the load bal-
	clearly and correctly. (20)	ancing of particle physics,	ancing of particle physics, or
		or there are some aspects	there are several aspects that
		that are not explained clearly.	are not explained clearly. (7)
		(13)	
Correctness of Load Balanc-	The load balancing of the ren-	There are some points of im-	There are plenty of points of
ing of Rendering (20)	dering is explained clearly	provement in the load balanc-	improvement in the load bal-
	and correctly. (20)	ing of the rendering, or there	ancing of the rendering, or
		are some aspects that are not	there are several aspects that
		explained clearly. (13)	are not explained clearly. (7)
Clarity of Writing (6)	All solutions are presented	Some solutions are hard to	Most solutions are hard to un-
	clearly in the document. (6)	understand how they were	derstand how they were im-
		implemented in the docu-	plemented in the document.
		ment. (4)	The document is difficult to
			read in general. (2)
Grammar (4)	There are 0 - 2 grammatical	There are 3 - 4 grammatical	There are > 4 grammatical
	errors in the document. (4)	errors in the document. (3)	errors in the document. (1)
TOTAL (100)			