

Lab00-Proof

CS214-Algorithm and Complexity, Xiaofeng Gao, Spring 2021.

* If there is any problem, please contact TA Haolin Zhou.

* Name: Log Creative Student ID: Email: logcreative-lzl@sjtu.edu.cn

1. Prove that for any integer $n > 2$, there is a prime p satisfying $n < p < n!$. (Hint: consider a prime factor p of $n! - 1$ and prove by contradiction)

Proof. Since $n > 2$,

$$n! > n! - 1 > 2n - 1 > 2n - n = n > 2$$

Then there exists a prime factor p for the positive integer $n! - 1$. We consider this prime factor $p \leq n! - 1 < n!$ and prove it to be the specified prime satisfying $p > n$ as well.

Prove by contradiction. Assume the constructed prime $p \leq n$. As $n! = \prod_{i=1}^n i$ contains all the integer factors less than or equal to n ,

$$p \mid n!$$

However, it is constructed that

$$p \nmid n! - 1$$

so that

$$p = 1$$

because $-1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \Rightarrow n! - 1 \not\equiv n! \pmod{p}$ when $p > 1$. This contradicts the fact that p is a prime and the assumption does not hold.

As a result, The requested prime p satisfying $n < p < n!$ is found and the proposition holds. \square

2. Use the minimal counterexample principle to prove that for any integer $n \geq 7$, there exists integers $i_n \geq 0$ and $j_n \geq 0$, such that $n = i_n \times 2 + j_n \times 3$.

Proof. Construct the minimal counterexample set. It is to be proved that $\emptyset = S \subset A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \geq 7\}$ of the numbers $n \in A$ for which $\forall i \geq 0, j \geq 0, n \neq i \times 2 + j \times 3$. **Prove by contradiction.** Assume $S \neq \emptyset$, then S has a least element followed by well-ordering principle. Let $m \in S$ be the least element.

It is observed that $7 = 2 \times 2 + 1 \times 3, 8 = 4 \times 2, 9 = 3 \times 3$, which results in $m \geq 9$. Consider the number $m - 2 \geq 7$. If $m - 2 \notin S, \exists i_{m-2}, j_{m-2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$m - 2 = i_{m-2} \times 2 + j_{m-2} \times 3$$

which leads to

$$m = (i_{m-2} + 1) \times 2 + j_{m-2} \times 3$$

and let $i_m \leftarrow i_{m-2} + 1, j_m \leftarrow j_{m-2}$ so that $i_m, j_m \in \mathbb{N}$ are constructed to satisfy $m = i_m \times 2 + j_m \times 3$, i.e., $m \notin S$, which could not be true as m is the element in S as provided.

Then, $m - 2 \in S$. However, $7 \leq m - 2 < m$ contradicts m is the least number in S . So the assumption fails to hold and $S = \emptyset$. \square

3. Suppose the function f be defined on the natural numbers recursively as follows: $f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1$, and $f(n) = 5f(n-1) - 6f(n-2)$, for $n \geq 2$. Use the strong principle of mathematical induction to prove that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, f(n) = 3^n - 2^n$.

Proof. Prove by strong principle of mathematical induction. To show that $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $P(n) : f(n) = 3^n - 2^n$ holds.

Basic step. As defined,

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= 0 = 3^0 - 2^0 \\ f(1) &= 1 = 3^1 - 2^1 \end{aligned}$$

leads to $P(0)$ and $P(1)$ are correct.

Induction hypothesis. $k \geq 2$, and $P(n)$ holds for every $0 \leq n \leq k$.

Statements to be shown in induction step. $P(k+1)$ holds.

Proof of induction step. As it is defined that $f(n) = 5f(n-1) - 6f(n-2)$ for $n \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(k+1) &= 5f(k) - 6f(k-1) \\ &= 5(3^k - 2^k) - 6(3^{k-1} - 2^{k-1}) \\ &= (15 - 6) \times 3^{k-1} - (10 - 6) \times 2^{k-1} \\ &= 3^{k+1} - 2^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $P(k+1)$ holds.

By the strong principle of mathematical induction, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(n) = 3^n - 2^n$. □

4. An n -team basketball tournament consists of some set of $n \geq 2$ teams. Team p beats team q iff q does not beat p , for all teams $p \neq q$. A sequence of distinct teams p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k , such that team p_i beats team p_{i+1} for $1 \leq i < k$ is called a ranking of these teams. If also team p_k beats team p_1 , the ranking is called a k -cycle.

Prove by mathematical induction that in every tournament, either there is a *champion* team that beats every other team, or there is a 3-cycle.

Proof.

Definition 1 (beat). Denote $p \leftarrow q$ iff p beats team q ; denote $q \rightarrow p$ iff q does not beat p .

Lemma 1 (ranking). There is always a ranking p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n for n -team tournament, where $n \geq 2$.

Proof of Lemma ??. Construct the ranking sequence by **mathematical induction** and inserting. To show that $n \in \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \geq 2\}$, it is correct that $Q(n)$: there is always a ranking for the n -team.

Basic step. When $n = 2$,

$$\begin{array}{ll} p_1 \leftarrow p_2 & p_1, p_2 \text{ is a ranking} \\ \text{or } p_2 \leftarrow p_1 & p_2, p_1 \text{ is a ranking} \end{array}$$

which shows $Q(2)$ holds.

Induction hypothesis. $k \geq 3$, $Q(k)$ holds.

Statements to be shown in induction step. $Q(k+1)$ holds.

Proof of induction step. Consider inserting p_{k+1} into the remaining k -team ranking $p_1 \leftarrow p_2 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow p_k$. Begin inserting from p_1 , if $p_{k+1} \leftarrow p_1$, then $p_{k+1} \leftarrow p_1 \leftarrow p_2 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow p_k$ is the new ranking. Otherwise $p_1 \leftarrow p_{k+1}$, compare p_2 with p_{k+1} , if $p_{k+1} \leftarrow p_2$, then $p_1 \leftarrow p_{k+1} \leftarrow p_2 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow p_k$ is the new ranking and so on. The inserting algorithm could be interpreted as follows:

Algorithm 1: Construct New Ranking by Inserting

Input: A k -team ranking p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k , a new team p_{k+1}

Output: New $(k+1)$ -team ranking

```

1 if  $p_{k+1} \leftarrow p_1$  then
2   return  $p_{k+1} \leftarrow p_1 \leftarrow p_2 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow p_k$ ;
3 for  $i = 2$  to  $k$  do
4   if  $p_{k+1} \leftarrow p_i$  then
5     return  $p_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow p_{i-1} \leftarrow p_{k+1} \leftarrow p_i \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow p_k$ ;
6 return  $p_1 \leftarrow p_2 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow p_k \leftarrow p_{k+1}$ ;

```

By such, we construct the new ranking and $Q(k+1)$ holds.

By the principle of mathematical induction, the Lemma ?? holds. □

Lemma 2 (champion). *If there is a champion team among the ranking $p_1 \leftarrow p_2 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow p_n$, the champion team must be the head one, namely p_1 .*

Proof of Lemma ??. **Prove by contradiction.** Assume the *champion* team is p_i other than p_1 , i.e., $2 \leq i \leq k$. Then there always exists a no-beating senario:

$$p_{i-1} \leftarrow p_i$$

which contradicts the definition of beating. The assumption fails to hold and the Lemma ?? is correct. □

Back to the original problem. According to Lemma ??, there always exists a ranking for n -team tournament:

$$p_1 \leftarrow p_2 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow p_n$$

Case 1: A *champion* team. If there is a *champion* team, it must be p_1 according to Lemma ??.

Case 2: A 3-cycle. Otherwise, no one could be the champion, which indicates that there exists p_m such that

$$p_1 \rightarrow p_m$$

where $3 \leq m \leq n$ because if $m = 2$, the following statements are contradictory:

$$\begin{array}{ll} p_1 \rightarrow p_2 & \text{(assumption)} \\ p_1 \leftarrow p_2 & \text{(ranking)} \end{array}$$

By recusively investigating p_{m-1} , a 3-cycle could be constructed. If $p_{m-1} \rightarrow p_1$, then there is a 3-cycle p_1, p_{m-1}, p_m shown as follows:

$$p_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow p_{m-1} \leftarrow p_m \leftarrow \cdots p_n$$



Otherwise, investigate p_{m-2} and attempt to construct the 3-cycle p_{m-2}, p_{m-1}, p_1 . Until p_3 is under investigating and now p_1, p_2, p_3 must be a 3-cycle.

$$p_1 \leftarrow p_2 \leftarrow p_3 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow p_n$$

⌋ The procedure above could be summarized by the following algorithm.

Algorithm 2: Construct 3-cycle by Shrinking the Range

Input: A k -team ranking p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k , a winner p_m that $p_1 \rightarrow p_m$

Output: A 3-cycle

```

1 for  $j = m$  to 3 do
2   if  $p_{j-1} \rightarrow p_1$  then
3     return  $p_1, p_{j-1}, p_j$ ;
4 return  $p_1, p_2, p_3$ ;

```

As a result, there must be a 3-cycle in this case.

□

Remark: You need to include your .pdf and .tex files in your uploaded .rar or .zip file.