CS 6250 Homework 2

Tian Tan

Feb.1, 2017

1 Logistic Regression

1.1 Batch Gradient Descent

a. the gradient of the negative log-likelihood in terms of w for this setting.

$$NLL(D, w) = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} [(1 - y_i)log(1 - \sigma(w^T x_i)) + y_i log\sigma(w^T x_i)]$$
(1)

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[(1 - y_i) log\left(\frac{e^{-w^T x_i}}{e^{-w^T x_i} + 1}\right) + y_i log\left(\frac{1}{e^{-w^T x_i} + 1}\right) \right]$$
 (2)

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{N} [(1 - y_i)(-log(e^{w^T x_i} + 1)) + y_i(-log(e^{w^t x_i} + 1) + w^T x_i)]$$
(3)

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[-\log(e^{w^T x_i} + 1) + y_i w^T x_i \right]$$
 (4)

$$\nabla = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{x_i e^{w^T x_i}}{e^{w^T x_i} + 1} - y_i x_i \right)$$
 (5)

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i \left(\frac{1}{e^{-w^T x_i} + 1} - y_i\right) \tag{6}$$

1.2 Stochastic Gradient Descent

a. the log likelihood, l, of a single (x_t, y_t) pair

$$l = (1 - y_t)log(1 - \sigma(w^T x_t)) + y_t log\sigma(w^T x_t)$$
(7)

b. update the coefficient vector at time t using w_{t-1}

$$w_t = w_{t-1} - \eta \left[\frac{x_t e^{w_{t-1}^T x_t}}{e^{w_{t-1}^T x_t} + 1} - y_t x_t \right]$$
(8)

$$= w_{t-1} - \eta [\sigma(w_{t-1}^T x_t) - y_t] x_t \tag{9}$$

c. time complexity if x_t is very sparse:

 $O(n_t)$ where n_t is the number of nonzeros in x_t

d. consequence of using a very large η and very small η :

It is easy to miss the local optimal using large η since the gradient decent speed is too fast; It takes too long time to converge when using very small η

e. update w_t under the penality of L2 norm regularization

$$w_t = w_{t-1} - \eta [(\sigma(w_{t-1}^T x_t) - y_t) x_t + 2\mu w_{t-1}]$$
(10)

time complexity is O(Nnf) where N is the number of iteration, n is the number of training examples, and f is the average nonzero x_t per example

```
k: number of output categories;
d: number of input dimensions;
\sigma_i^2: prior varianves;
x_j: training data;
c_i: index from 0 to k-1;
m: maximum number of training epochs;
\epsilon: minimum relative error improvement;
\eta_0: initial learning rate;
\sigma: annealing rate;
initialization;
for e = 0 to m-1 do

\eta_e = \frac{\eta_0}{1 + e/\delta};

    for j=0 to n-1 do
         Z=1+\sum_{c< k-1} exp(\beta_c \cdot x_j);
         for i such that x_{j,i} \neq 0 do
             for c=0 to k-2 do
               \beta_{c,i} = \beta_c, i + \eta_c \frac{u_i - q}{n} \nabla_{c,i} Err_R(\beta_c, i, \sigma^2) ;
              end
           \mu_i = q;
         \mathbf{end}
         for c=0 to k-2 do
             p(c|x_j, \beta) = exp(\beta_c \cdot x_j)/Z;
             \beta_c = \beta_c + \eta_e \nabla_c Err_R(\beta_{c,i}, \sigma^2);
         \mathbf{end}
         q=q+1
    l_e = -\sum_{j < n-1} log p(c_j | x_j, \beta) + Err_R(\beta, \sigma^2) ;
    if relDiff(l_e, l_{e-1}) \epsilon then
        return \beta;
    end
\mathbf{end}
```

Algorithm 1: lazy update

| Deceased patients | Alive patients | Function to complete |
|-------------------|--|---|
| | | event_count_matrics |
| 1029.059 | 682.65 | |
| 16829 | 12627 | |
| 2 | 1 | |
| | | encounter_count_matrics |
| 24.861 | 18.6694 | |
| 375 | 391 | |
| 1 | 1 | |
| | | record_length_matrics |
| 151.397 | 194.65 | |
| 2601 | 3103 | |
| 0 | 0 | |
| | 1. DIAG320128 | |
| 2. DIAG319835 | 2. DIAG319835 | |
| 3. DIAG313217 | 3. DIAG317576 | |
| 4. DIAG197320 | 4. DIAG42872402 | |
| 5. DIAG132797 | 5. DIAG313217 | |
| 1. LAB3009542 | 1. LAB3009542 | |
| 2. LAB3023103 | 2. LAB3000963 | |
| 3. LAB3000963 | 3. LAB3023103 | |
| 4. LAB3018572 | 4. LAB3018572 | |
| 5. LAB3016723 | 5. LAB3007461 | |
| 1. DRUG19095164 | 1. DRUG19095164 | |
| 2. DRUG43012825 | 2. DRUG43012825 | |
| 3. DRUG19049105 | 3. DRUG19049105 | |
| 4. DRUG956874 | 4. DRUG19122121 | |
| 5. DRUG19122121 | 5. DRUG956874 | |
| | 1029.059 16829 2 24.861 375 1 151.397 2601 0 1. DIAG320128 2. DIAG319835 3. DIAG313217 4. DIAG197320 5. DIAG132797 1. LAB3009542 2. LAB3023103 3. LAB3000963 4. LAB3018572 5. LAB3016723 1. DRUG19095164 2. DRUG43012825 3. DRUG19049105 4. DRUG956874 | 1029.059 682.65 16829 12627 2 1 24.861 18.6694 375 391 1 1 151.397 194.65 2601 3103 0 0 1. DIAG320128 1. DIAG320128 2. DIAG319835 2. DIAG319835 3. DIAG313217 3. DIAG317576 4. DIAG197320 4. DIAG42872402 5. DIAG3132797 5. DIAG313217 1. LAB3009542 1. LAB3009542 2. LAB3023103 2. LAB3000963 3. LAB3000963 3. LAB30023103 4. LAB3018572 4. LAB3018572 5. LAB3016723 5. LAB3007461 1. DRUG19095164 2. DRUG43012825 3. DRUG19049105 4. DRUG19049105 4. DRUG956874 4. DRUG19122121 |

Table 1: Descriptive statistics for alive and dead patients

2 Programming

2.1 Descriptive Statistics

2.2 Transform data

2.3 SGD Logistic Regression

| Metric | eta | c | roc |
|---------|------|--------|------|
| Default | 0.01 | 0 | 0.6 |
| test1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.66 |
| test2 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.65 |
| test3 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.60 |
| test4 | 0.1 | 0.0001 | 0.66 |

Table 2: Descriptive statistics for alive and dead patients

Discussion: when learning rate η value increases from 0.01 to 0.1 and μ remains 0, roc value increases from 0.6 to 0.66; Moreover, when η value increases from 0.1 to 0.35, μ remains 0, roc value remains the same as 0.66; As η increases to 0.5, roc value decreases. Here an appropriate η value is important: if η is too

small, learning speed will be slow, or even too slow that it can barely reach the optimal; if η is too large, it is easy to miss the local optimal; here an appropriate η value can be chosen as 0.1; As for the regulation parameter μ , we can tell from the table that if μ is too large, the accuracy of model will decrease, which is obvious since μ regulates the trade-off between maximizing likelihood and parameter values to be close to zero, so μ should be close to zero.

2.4 Hadoop

When using $\eta = 0.1$ and $\mu = 0.0001$, the roc value is 0.64, which is lower than the unensemble one. When using $\eta = 0.3$ and 0.5 with the same μ value roc auc value becomes larger(0.66), which means that there might exist overfitting in the single logistic regression where η is 0.1, and $\eta = 0.3$ might be a better learning rate compared to previous one.