# Chapter theory 2 solution

Artem Puzanov 2016-08-27

### 1 Matrix representations of main equations

#### 1.1 part I

**Intuition** Hadamard product is just an easy way to use vectors instead of diagonal matrices, not much thinking needed here )

Mathematical notation To prove this assertion, we must show that

$$\delta^L = \sum\nolimits'(z^L) \nabla_a C = \nabla_a C \odot \sigma'(z^L)$$

Let's call  $\delta^L$  for non-Hadamard case  $\delta'^L$ . Or equivalently, we must prove that

$$\forall i: \delta_i^L = \delta_i^{\prime L}$$

, where

$$\delta_i^L = \sigma'(z_i^L) \frac{\partial C}{\partial a_i}$$

Let's write  $\delta_i'^L$  in index hell notation. A small note: I shall use  $I^\delta$  as replacement for  $\sum$  notation, as I shall use  $\sum$  in it's usual arithmetical sum meaning further.

$$\delta_j^{\prime L} = \sum_j I_{ij}^{\delta} \frac{\partial C}{\partial a_j}$$

By definition,  $I_i^{\delta} = 0$ , therefore:

$$\sum_{j} I_{ij}^{\delta} \frac{\partial C}{\partial a_{j}} = I_{ii}^{\delta} \frac{\partial C}{\partial a_{i}}$$

Replacing  $I_{ii}^{\delta}$  with  $\sigma'(z_i^L)$  we get

$$\delta_i^{\prime L} = \sigma^\prime(z_i^L) \frac{\partial C}{\partial a_i}$$

Which is what we wanted to prove;

#### 1.2 part II

**Intuition** Again, nothing hard here. Just prove for any j that result is equivalent to Hadamar project

Mathematical notation Let's call  $\delta^l$  for non-Hadamard case  $\delta'^l$ . To prove the statement, we must show that

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}^l = \sum\nolimits' (\boldsymbol{z}^l) (\boldsymbol{w}^{l+1})^T \boldsymbol{\delta}^{l+1} = (\boldsymbol{w}^{l+1})^T \boldsymbol{\delta}^{l+1} \odot \boldsymbol{\sigma}'(\boldsymbol{z}^l)$$

Or equivalently, we must prove that

$$\forall i: \delta_i^l = \delta_i'^l$$

, where

$$\delta_i^l = \sigma'(z_i^l) (\sum_j (w^{l+1})_{ij}^T \delta_j^{l+1})_i$$

A small note: I shall use  $I^{\delta}$  as replacement for  $\sum$  notation, as I shall use  $\sum$  in it's usual arithmetical sum meaning further.

For non-hadamar case

$$\delta_i'^l = \sum_k I_{ik}^\delta (\sum_j (w^{l+1})_{ij}^T \delta_j^{l+1})_k$$

By definition,  $I_{i\neq k}^{\delta} = 0$ , therefore:

$$\delta_i'^l = I_{ii}^{\delta}(\sum_{j}(w^{l+1})_{ij}^T\delta_j^{l+1})_i$$

Replacing  $I_{ii}^{\delta}$  with  $\sigma'(z_i^l)$  we get

$$\delta_i'^l = \sigma'(z_i^l) (\sum_j (w^{l+1})_{ij}^T \delta_j^{l+1})_i$$

q.e.d.

#### 1.3 part III

**Intuition** Not really sure what to show here. Just insert both statements by induction form L to l.

# 2 Proof of fundamental equations (3) and (4)

#### 2.1 Intuition, equation 3

As stated in the chapter, these equations are just result of derivative-taking rules. Essentially you have to go into C, than into a, than into z, where C is loss function of value of  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma$  is activation funtion of z, and z is function of w and b

#### 2.2 Mathematical notation, equation 3

The functional form of cost function is  $C(\sigma(z(w,b)))$ . We have to prove that

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial b_i} = \delta_i^l$$

Using chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial b_j} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial \sigma_j} \frac{\partial \sigma_j}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial b_j}$$

$$z_{j} = \sum_{j} w_{j} a_{j}^{l-1} + b_{j}$$
$$\frac{\partial z_{j}}{\partial b_{i}} = 1$$

than

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial b_j} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial \sigma_j} \frac{\partial \sigma_j}{\partial z_j^l}$$

We can notice that by definition of the chain rule (given that  $\sigma$  is a function of z):

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial \sigma_j} \frac{\partial \sigma_j}{\partial z_j^l} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial z_j^l}$$

Which is precisely the definition of  $\delta_i^l$  (equation number 29 in the book)

#### 2.3 Intuition, equation 4

We shall use the same trick here, except we have more derivative complexity in  $\boldsymbol{z}_{j}^{l}$ 

#### 2.4 Mathematical notation, equation 4

The functional form of cost function is  $C(\sigma(z(w,b)))$ . We have to prove that

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial w_{ij}^l} = \delta_i^l a_j^{l-1}$$

Using chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial w_{ij}} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial \sigma_j} \frac{\partial \sigma_j}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial w_{ij}}$$

By (INSERT NUMBER FROM THE BOOK HERE)

$$z_i = \sum_j w_{ij} a_j^{l-1} + b_i$$

So

$$\frac{\partial z_j}{\partial w_{ij}} = a_j^{l-1}$$

If we put that into our chain rule equation we get:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial w_{ij}} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial \sigma_j} \frac{\partial \sigma_j}{\partial z_j} a_j^{l-1}$$

We can notice that by definition of the chain rule (given that  $\sigma$  is a function of z):

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial \sigma_j} \frac{\partial \sigma_j}{\partial z_j^l} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial z_j^l}$$

Which is precisely the definition of  $\delta_i^l$  (equation number 29 in the book) Putting that into our previous equations we get:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial w_{ij}} = \delta_i^l a_j^{l-1}$$

Which is exactly (BP4)

## 3 Backpropagation algorithm adaptation

#### 3.1 Intuition, custom activation function

Every neuron is pretty lonely and isolated (too primitive to understand that though), so we just have to replace his personal derivatives, he won't notice.

#### 3.2 Mathematical notation, custom activation function

Let's start with BP1 for arbitrary neuron i:

$$\delta_i^l = \frac{\partial C}{\partial \sigma_j} \sigma'(z_i^l)$$

where  $\sigma$  is an activation function. Replacing that with arbitrarty f gives us

$$\delta_i^l = \frac{\partial C}{\partial f_i} f'(z_i^l)$$

Now for BP2:

$$\delta_{i}^{l} = \sigma'(z_{i}^{l})(\sum_{j}(w^{l+1})_{ij}^{T}\delta_{j}^{l+1})_{i}$$

again, we can replace that with arbitrary f:

$$\delta_{i}^{l} = f'(z_{i}^{l})(\sum_{i}(w^{l+1})_{ij}^{T}\delta_{j}^{l+1})_{i}$$

Those two were easy. Now for interesting cases. Let's try BP3 first. By applying chain rule

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial b_j} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial \sigma_j} \frac{\partial \sigma_j}{\partial b_j}$$

The first multiplier is easy - it's either result of modified BP1 or modified BP2. We'll call it  $\delta'_j$ . The second one is just  $\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial b_j}$ . We don't need to use chain rule further, as  $b_j$  is a constant. Replacing both multipliers we get:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial b_i} = \delta_j' \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial b_i}$$

Now let's go for BP4:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial w_{ij}} = \delta_i a_j^{l-1}$$

Let's rewrite it using chain rule

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial w_{ij}} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial \sigma_j} \frac{\partial \sigma_j}{\partial w_{ij}}$$

Using  $\delta_j'$  replacement from BP3 solution, and replacing  $\sigma$  with f in the second mulitplier:

 $\frac{\partial C}{\partial w_{ij}} = \delta_j' \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial w_{ij}}$ 

We can further expand this equation by applying chain rule to  $\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial w_{ij}}$ :

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial w_{ij}} = \delta_j' \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial z_{ij}} a_j^{l-1}$$

This concludes equations for arbitrary f instead of  $\sigma$  activation function

#### 3.3 Intuition, linear activation function

We can use our previous exercise result to specify BP 1-4 for linear case, by substitution of linear f(z) for arbitrary f(z).

#### 3.4 Mathematical notation, linear activation function

In all our equations we simply substitue  $f'(z_i^l) = 1$ :

BP1:

$$\delta_i^l = \frac{\partial C}{\partial f_i} f'(z_i^l) = \frac{\partial C}{\partial f_i} 1$$

BP2:

$$\delta_i^l = f'(z_i^l) (\sum_j (w^{l+1})_{ij}^T \delta_j^{l+1})_i = 1 (\sum_j (w^{l+1})_{ji}^T \delta_j^{l+1})_i$$

BP3:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial b_i} = \delta_j' \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial b_i} = \delta_j' 1$$

BP4:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial w_{ij}} = \delta_j' 1 a_j^{l-1}$$

This concludes modification of backpropagation equations