Copyright © 2018 by [Author Name] All rights reserved

[DISSERTATION TITLE]

by

[Author Name]

Department of [Department Name]

Duke University

Date:	:	
	Approved:	
-		
	[Advisor Name], Supervisor	
	[Committee Member Name]	

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Department of [Department Name] in the Graduate School of Duke University

$\underline{ABSTRACT}$

[DISSERTATION TITLE]

by

[Author Name]

Department of [Department Name]

Duke University

Date:		
	Approved:	
_	[Advisor Name], Supervisor	
	[Committee Member Name]	

An abstract of a dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Department of [Department Name] in the Graduate School of Duke University

Abstract

Acknowledgements

Contents

\mathbf{A}	Abstract		
\mathbf{A}	Acknowledgements		\mathbf{v}
1	Inti	roduction	1
	1.1	Motivation and Outline	1
2	Del	iberative Probabilism	2
	2.1	Introduction	2
	2.2	William James on Epistemic Values	2
B	Bibliography		3
B	Biography		14

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Motivation and Outline

Historically, empiricism is often associated with a flavor of foundationalism that holds that empirical evidence must in some sense be untainted - if experience is to serve as the objective foundation of knowledge, it must be unsullied by our attitudes, beliefs, and values. It is without a doubt motivated by a conception of rationality familiar to philosophers, because of Descartes' method of doubt:

Reason now leads me to think that I should hold back my assent from opinions which are not completely certain and indubitable just as carefully as I do from those which are patently false. [DescartesDescartes1984]

Chapter 2

Deliberative Probabilism

2.1 Introduction

test

2.2 William James on Epistemic Values

In *The Will to Believe*, William James claims that our rationality is governed by two competing duties: '...we must known the truth; we must avoid error.'

Bibliography

- [ArnauldArnauld1996] Arnauld, A. (1996). Logic, or, the Art of Thinking: Containing, Besides Common Rules, Several New Observations Appropriate for Forming Judgment. Cambridge University Press.
- [AustinAustin1962] Austin, J. L. (1962). How to Do Things with Words. Clarendon Press.
- [AyerAyer1968] Ayer, A. J. (1968). The Origins of Pragmatism: Studies in the Philosophy of Charles Sanders Peirce and William James. San Francisco, Freeman, Cooper.
- [AyerAyer1972] Ayer, A. J. (1972). Probability and Evidence. Macmillan.
- [BradleyBradley2016] Bradley, S. (2016). Imprecise probabilities. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Winter 2016 ed.). Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University.
- [BurkeBurke2013] Burke, F. T. (2013). What Pragmatism Was. Indiana University Press.
- [CarnapCarnap1937] Carnap, R. (1937). The Logical Syntax of Language. London: K. Paul, Trench, Trubner Co.
- [CarnapCarnap1945] Carnap, R. (1945). The two concepts of probability: The problem of probability. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 5(4), 513–532.
- [CarnapCarnap1947] Carnap, R. (1947). Empiricism, Semantic, and Ontology. University of Chicago Press.
- [CarnapCarnap1950] Carnap, R. (1950). Logical Foundations of Probability. Chicago]University of Chicago Press.
- [ChristensenChristensen1991] Christensen, D. (1991). Clever bookies and coherent beliefs. Philosophical Review 100(2), 229–247.

- [Crupi, Fitelson, and TentoriCrupi et al.2008] Crupi, V., B. Fitelson, and K. Tentori (2008). Probability, confirmation, and the conjunction fallacy. *Thinking and Reason*ing 14(2), 182–199.
- [de Finettide Finetti1972] de Finetti, B. (1972). Probability, Induction, and Statistics. Wiley.
- [de Morgande Morgan1838] de Morgan, A. (1838). An Essay on Probabilities. Longman, Orme, Brown, Green, Longmans.
- [DescartesDescartes1984] Descartes, R. (1984). The Philosophical Writings of Descartes, Volume 1. Cambridge University Press.
- [ElgaElga2006] Elga, A. (2006). Reflection and disagreement. Noûs 41(3), 478–502.
- [EllsbergEllsberg1961] Ellsberg, D. (1961). Risk, ambiguity, and the savage axioms. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 75(4), 643–669.
- [EllsbergEllsberg2001] Ellsberg, D. (2001). Risk, Ambiguity, and Decision. Garland Publishing.
- [Eriksson and HajekEriksson and Hajek2007] Eriksson, L. and A. Hajek (2007). What are degrees of belief? *Studia Logica* 86 (183-213).
- [FitelsonFitelson2001] Fitelson, B. (2001). A bayesian account of independent evidence with applications. *Proceedings of the Philosophy of Science Association 2001*(3), S123–.
- [FraassenFraassen1980] Fraassen, B. C. V. (1980). *The Scientific Image*. Oxford University Press.
- [FraassenFraassen1984] Fraassen, B. C. V. (1984). Belief and the will. *Journal of Philoso-phy* 81(5), 235–256.
- [FraassenFraassen2000] Fraassen, B. C. V. (2000). The false hopes of traditional epistemology. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 60(2), 253–280.

- [GärdenforsGärdenfors1978] Gärdenfors, P. (1978). On the logic of relevance. Synthese 37(3), 351–367.
- [GilliesGillies2000a] Gillies, D. (2000a). Philosophical Theories of Probability. Routledge.
- [GilliesGillies2000b] Gillies, D. (2000b). Philosophical Theories of Probability. Routledge.
- [GoodGood1966] Good, I. J. (1966). On the principle of total evidence. British Journal for the Philosophy of Science 17(4), 319–321.
- [GoodGood1981] Good, I. J. (1981). An error by peirce concerning weight of evidence.

 Journal of statistical computation and simulation 13(2), 155–157.
- [GoodGood1983a] Good, I. J. (1983a). Good Thinking: The Foundations of Probability and its Applications. Univ Minnesota Pr.
- [GoodGood1983b] Good, I. J. (1983b). Subjective probability as the measure of a non-measurable set. In *Good Thinking: The Foundations of Probability and its Applications*. Univ Minnesota Pr.
- [GoodmanGoodman1947] Goodman, N. (1947). The problem of counterfactual conditionals. *Journal of Philosophy* 44(5), 113–128.
- [GreenwaldGreenwald1993] Greenwald, D. (1993). The forgetful witness. *The University of Chicago Law Review* 160(1), 167–195.
- [Groen, Elshof, Visser, Rutgers, Winter-Warnars, Lips, and WesselingGroen et al.2017] Groen, E. J., L. E. Elshof, L. L. Visser, E. J. T. Rutgers, H. A. Winter-Warnars, E. H. Lips, and J. Wesseling (2017). Finding the balance between over- and under-treatment of ductal carcinoma in situ (dcis). *The Breast 31* (Supplement C), 274 283.
- [HackingHacking1990] Hacking, I. (1990). The Taming of Chance. Cambridge University Press.

- [HajekHajek2008] Hajek, A. (2008). Dutch book arguments. In P. Anand, P. Pattanaik, and C. Puppe (Eds.), The Oxford Handbook of Rational and Social Choice. Oxford University Press.
- [HájekHájek2009] Hájek, A. (2009). Fifteen arguments against hypothetical frequentism. $Erkenntnis\ 70(2),\ 211-235.$
- [HájekHájek2012] Hájek, A. (2012). Interpretations of probability.
- [HanHan2016a] Han, P. K. J. (2016a). Conceptual, Methodological, and Ethical Problems in Communicating Uncertainty in Clinical Evidence, pp. 133–144. New York, NY: Springer New York.
- [HanHan2016b] Han, P. K. J. (2016b). Uncertainty and Ambiguity in Health Decisions, pp. 133–144. New York, NY: Springer New York.
- [Han, Klien, Lehman, Massett, Lee, and FreedmanHan et al.2009] Han, P. K. J., W. Klien, T. Lehman, H. Massett, S. Lee, and A. Freedman (2009). Laypersons' responses to the communication of uncertainty regarding cancer risk estimates. *Medical Care Research and Review* 70(3), 391–403.
- [HarmanHarman1968] Harman, G. (1968). Knowledge, inference, and explanation. American Philosophical Quarterly 5(3), 164–173.
- [HarmanHarman1965] Harman, G. H. (1965). The inference to the best explanation. *Philosophical Review* 74(1), 88–95.
- [HoffHoff2009] Hoff, P. (2009). A First Course in Bayesian Statistical Methods. Springer.
- [HookwayHookway2000] Hookway, C. (2000). Truth, Rationality, and Pragmatism: Themes From Peirce. Oxford University Press.
- [HooverHoover1994] Hoover, K. (1994). Pragmatism, pragmaticism, and economic method. In *New Directions in Economic Methodology*. Routledge.

- [HooverHoover2012] Hoover, K. (2012). Pragmatism, perspectival realism, and econometics. In *Economics for Real*. Routledge.
- [HumeHume2007] Hume, D. (2007). An Enquiry Concerning Human Understanding and Other Writings. Cambridge University Press.
- [JamesJames1960] James, W. (1960). The Will to Believe and Human Immortality. Dover Publications.
- [JaynesJaynes2003a] Jaynes, E. (2003a). *Probability the Logic of Science*. Cambridge University Press.
- [JaynesJaynes2003b] Jaynes, E. T. (2003b). *Probability: the Logic of Science*. Cambridge University Press.
- [JeffreyJeffrey1965] Jeffrey, R. (1965). Logic of Decision. McGraw-Hill.
- [JoyceJoyce1998] Joyce, J. M. (1998). A nonpragmatic vindication of probabilism. *Philosophy of Science* 65(4), 575–603.
- [JoyceJoyce2005] Joyce, J. M. (2005). How probabilities reflect evidence. *Philosophical Perspectives* 19(1), 153–178.
- [Kahneman and TverskyKahneman and Tversky1972] Kahneman, D. and A. Tversky (1972). Subjective probability: A judgment of representativeness. Cognitive Psychology 3, 430–454.
- [Kahneman and TverskyKahneman and Tversky1979] Kahneman, D. and A. Tversky (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society, 263–291.
- [KasserKasser2016] Kasser, J. (2016). Two conceptions of weight of evidence in peirceil-lustrations of the logic of science. *Erkenntnis* 81(3), 629–648.
- [KeynesKeynes1921] Keynes, J. M. (1921). A Treatise on Probability. Macmillan and Co., Limited.

- [KeynesKeynes1933] Keynes, J. M. (1933). Essays in Biography. Macmillan and Co., Limited.
- [KeynesKeynes1938] Keynes, J. M. (1938). The collected writings of john maynard keynes vol.x. Macmillan, Cambridge University Press for the Royal Economic Society.
- [Kuhn and HackingKuhn and Hacking2012] Kuhn, T. S. and I. Hacking (2012). The Structure of Scientific Revolutions: 50th Anniversary Edition. University of Chicago Press.
- [KyburgKyburg1974] Kyburg, H. (1974). The Logical Foundations of Statistical Inference.
 Reidel.
- [LaplaceLaplace1995] Laplace, P.-S. (1995). Philosophical Essay on Probabilities. Spring.
- [LeviLevi1967] Levi, I. (1967). Gambling with Truth. Alfred A. Knopf.
- [LeviLevi1990] Levi, I. (1990). Hard Choices: Decision Making Under Unresolved Conflict.
 Cambridge University Press.
- [LeviLevi1995] Levi, I. (1995). Induction according to peirce. In *Peirce and Contemporary Thought*. Fordham University Press.
- [LeviLevi2004] Levi, I. (2004). 11 beware of syllogism: Statistical reasoning and conjecturing according to peirce. In C. J. Misak (Ed.), *The Cambridge Companion to Peirce*, pp. 257. Cambridge University Press.
- [LeviLevi2011] Levi, I. (2011). The weight of argument. In S. M. D. Brandolini and R. Sczzieri (Eds.), Fundamental Uncertainty: Rationality and Plausible Reasoning, pp. 39–58. Palgrave MacMilan.
- [LewisLewis1980] Lewis, D. (1980). A subjectivist's guide to objective chance. In R. C. Jeffrey (Ed.), Philosophy of Probability: Contemporary Readings, pp. 83–132. University of California Press.
- [Lewis Lewis1994] Lewis, D. (1994). Humean supervenience debugged. $Mind\ 103(412),$ 473–490.

- [Lindley and PhillipsLindley and Phillips1976] Lindley, D. V. and L. D. Phillips (1976). Inference for a bernoulli process (a bayesian view). *The American Statistician* 30(3), 112–119.
- [LindleyLindley1976] Lindley, D. V. Phillips, L. D. (1976). What are degrees of belief? The American Statistician 112-119(183-213).
- [LiptonLipton2004] Lipton, P. (2004). Inference to the Best Explanation. Routledge/Taylor and Francis Group.
- [Mayo and SpanosMayo and Spanos2011] Mayo, D. G. and A. Spanos (2011). Error statistics. In P. S. Bandyopadhyay and M. R. Forster (Eds.), Handbook of the Philosophy of Science, Vol. 7: Philosophy of Statistics. Elsevier B.V.
- [McauliffeMcauliffe2015] Mcauliffe (2015). How did abduction get confused with inference to the best explanation? Transactions of the Charles S. Peirce Society 51(3), 300–319.
- [MinnameierMinnameier2004] Minnameier, G. (2004). Peirce-suit of truth why inference to the best explanation and abduction ought not to be confused. *Erkenntnis* 60(1), 75–105.
- [MisakMisak2013] Misak, C. (2013). The American Pragmatists. Oxford University Press.
- [MisakMisak2016a] Misak, C. (2016a). Peirce. In Cambridge Pragmatism: From Peirce and James to Ramsey and Wittgenstein. Oxford Scholarship Online.
- [MisakMisak2016b] Misak, C. (2016b). Ramsey. In Cambridge Pragmatism: From Peirce and James to Ramsey and Wittgenstein. Oxford Scholarship Online.
- [MooreMoore1988] Moore, G. E. (1988). Principia Ethica. Prometheus Books.
- [Neurath, Neurath, and CohenNeurath et al.1973] Neurath, O., M. Neurath, and R. S. Cohen (1973). Empiricism and sociology. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 25(4), 343–352.

- [O'DonnellO'Donnell1992] O'Donnell, R. (1992). Keynes's weight of argument and popper's paradox of ideal evidence. *Philosophy of Science* 59(1), 44–52.
- [OkashaOkasha2000] Okasha, S. (2000). Van fraassen's critique of inference to the best explanation. Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A 31(4), 691–710.
- [PeircePeirce1931a] Peirce, C. S. (1931a). Collected Papers of Charles Sanders Peirce.

 Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- [PeircePeirce1931b] Peirce, C. S. (1931b). Collected Papers of Charles Sanders Peirce. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- [PeircePeirce1966a] Peirce, C. S. (1966a). Doctrine of chances. In Writings of Charles S. Peirce: A Chronological Edition, Volume 3: 1872–1878. Indiana University Press.
- [PeircePeirce1966b] Peirce, C. S. (1966b). The fixation of belief. In Writings of Charles S. Peirce: A Chronological Edition, Volume 3: 1872–1878. Indiana University Press.
- [PeircePeirce1966c] Peirce, C. S. (1966c). How to make our ideas clear. In Writings of Charles S. Peirce: A Chronological Edition, Volume 3: 1872–1878. Indiana University Press.
- [PeircePeirce1982] Peirce, C. S. (1982). Harvard lecture vi, 1865. In Writings of Charles S. Peirce: A Chronological Edition, Volume 1: 1857–1866. Indiana University Press.
- [PeircePeirce1984] Peirce, C. S. (1984). Venn's the logic of chance. In Writings of Charles S. Peirce: A Chronological Edition, Volume 2: 1867–1871. Indiana University Press.
- [PeircePeirce1986a] Peirce, C. S. (1986a). Deduction, induction, and hypothesis. In Writings of Charles S. Peirce: A Chronological Edition, Volume 3: 1872–1878. Indiana University Press.
- [PeircePeirce1986b] Peirce, C. S. (1986b). The probability of induction. In Writings of Charles S. Peirce: A Chronological Edition, Volume 3: 1872–1878. Indiana University Press.

- [PeircePeirce1989a] Peirce, C. S. (1989a). Note on the theory of economy of research. In Writings of Charles S. Peirce: A Chronological Edition, Volume 4: 1879–1884. Indiana University Press.
- [PeircePeirce1989b] Peirce, C. S. (1989b). A theory of probable inference. In Writings of Charles S. Peirce: A Chronological Edition, Volume 4: 1879–1884. Indiana University Press.
- [PeircePeirce1993] Peirce, C. S. (1993). On small differences of sensation. In Writings of Charles S. Peirce: A Chronological Edition, Volume 5: 1884-1886. Indiana University Press.
- [PeircePeirce1998] Peirce, C. S. (1998). The Essential Peirce, Volume 2: Selected Philosophical Writings (1893-1913). Indiana University Press.
- [PopperPopper2002] Popper, K. (2002). The Logic of Scientific Discovery. Routledge.
- [QuineQuine1960] Quine, W. V. (1960). Word and Object. MIT Press.
- [QuineQuine1969] Quine, W. V. (1969). Epistemology naturalized. In Ontological Relativity and Other Essays. New York: Columbia University Press.
- [QuineQuine1997] Quine, W. V. (1997). From Stimulus to Science. Harvard University Press.
- [Raiffa and SchlaiferRaiffa and Schlaifer1964] Raiffa, H. and R. Schlaifer (1964). Applied Statistical Decision Theory. Harvard.
- [RamseyRamsey1990a] Ramsey, F. P. (1990a). *Philosophical Papers*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- [RamseyRamsey1990b] Ramsey, F. P. (1990b). Weight or the value of knowledge. *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* 41(1), 1–4.
- [RundeRunde1990] Runde, J. (1990). Keynesian uncertainty and the weight of arguments. Economics and Philosophy 6(2), 275.

- [RundeRunde1991] Runde, J. (1991). Keynesian uncertainty and the instability of beliefs.

 Review of Political Economy 3(2), 125–145.
- [RundeRunde1994] Runde, J. (1994). Keynes after ramsey: In defence of a treatise on probability. Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A 25(1), 97–121.
- [S. and N.S. and N.2007] S., G. M. and W. J. N. (2007). *Moore's Paradox: New Essays on Belief, Rationality, and the First Person*. Oxford University Press.
- [SalmonSalmon1966] Salmon, W. C. (1966). The Foundations of Scientific Inference. University of Pittsburgh Press.
- [SavageSavage1954] Savage, L. J. (1954). The Foundations of Statistics. Dover.
- [SchoenfieldSchoenfield2012] Schoenfield, M. (2012). Chilling out on epistemic rationality. Philosophical Studies 158(2), 197–219.
- [SearleSearle1969] Searle, J. R. (1969). Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language. Cambridge University Press.
- [ShacklesShackles1955] Shackles, G. (1955). Uncertainty in Economics and Other Reflections. Cambridge University Press.
- [SkyrmsSkyrms1966] Skyrms, B. (1966). Resiliency, propensities, and causal necessity.

 Journal of Philosophy 74(11), 704–713.
- [StiglerStigler1978] Stigler, S. M. (1978). Mathematical statistics in the early states. *The Annals of Statistics* 6(2), 239–265.
- [SuárezSuárez2013] Suárez, M. (2013). Propensities and pragmatism. *Journal of Philoso*phy 110(2), 61–102.
- [TalbottTalbott1991] Talbott, W. (1991). Two principles of bayesian epistemology. *Philosophical Studies* 62(2), 135–150.
- [TellerTeller1989] Teller, P. (1989). A Modern Formal Logic Primer.

- [Tversky and KahnemanTversky and Kahneman1983] Tversky, A. and D. Kahneman (1983). Extensional versus intuitive reasoning: The conjunction fallacy in probability judgment. *Psychological Review* 90(4), 293–315.
- [van Fraassenvan Fraassen1989a] van Fraassen, B. (1989a). Laws and Symmetry. Clarendon.
- [van Fraassenvan Fraassen1995] van Fraassen, B. (1995). Against naturalized epistemology. In P. Leonardi and M. Santambrogio (Eds.), On Quine. Cambridge University Press.
- [van Fraassenvan Fraassen1989b] van Fraassen, B. C. (1989b). Laws and Symmetry. Oxford University Press.
- [van Fraassenvan Fraassen2002] van Fraassen, B. C. (2002). *The Empirical Stance*. Yale University Press.
- [van Fraassen Bas C.van Fraassen Bas C.1995] van Fraassen Bas C. (1995). Belief and the problem of ulysses and the sirens. *Philosophical Studies* 77(1), 7–37.
- [WalleyWalley1991] Walley, P. (1991). Statistical Reasoning with Imprecise Probabilities. Chapman Hall.
- [WeisbergWeisberg2009] Weisberg, J. (2009). Locating ibe in the bayesian framework. Synthese 167(1), 125-143.
- [WilliamsonWilliamson2010] Williamson, J. (2010). In Defence of Objective Bayesianism.

 Oxford University Press.
- [WilliamsonWilliamson2011] Williamson, J. (2011). Objective bayesianism, bayesian conditionalisation and voluntarism. *Synthese* 178(1), 67–85.
- [WinklerWinkler2010] Winkler, R. (2010). An Introduction to Bayesian Inference and Decision. Probabilistic Publishing.

Biography

Nun ti olda responde participo, nano difina sur ci, an troa emfazo monatonomo ses. Paki verba substantiva ul sat, ut veki eksterajo dua. Dev tebi halt' ve. Dis duona trudi bv, lipa tempo rilata sep it. He elen kunmetita ind. Ceceo kunmetajo gh jen.

So ebl poste posta nombrovorto, nul be fine jugoslavo kontraui. Sub ac deka sube, orda hiper u jam. Plu onin iometo ej, os peti irebla per. Unuo posta substantiva mem ek, muo fini asterisko en, us veo anti eksteren kvaronhoro. Ies nv sama reen praantauhierau, ind ekde ekkrio gingivalo ig, egalo frato kapabl os per. De por fora ofon altlernejo.