#### SAYAN KARMAKAR

#### 1. Introduction

We have till now only shown existence of a polynomial invariant of a link characterized by the way it behaves after cutting. In this section, we will show that this polynomial can be connected to any quantum state, and using this we can study the entanglement property of the state.

# 2. Obtaining A Link From Quantum System

The polynomial gives us the behavior of the topological link after cutting any particular knot. In the case, we the operation of cutting a particular is equivalent to taking a partial trace with respect to that system. That means if a measurement is done for some system then the other states are entangled or not.

As cutting the link is equivalent to tracing out the state. First step to write down the polynomial expression for the state is to perform all possible partial traces, and then we have to check if the resulting state is entangled or not. This information gives us the polynomial which is essentially the same as finding out the topological link.

# 2.1. Example. Consider the three qubit system, given by the wavefunction,

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|100\rangle_{abc} + |010\rangle_{abc} + |110\rangle_{abc} + |011\rangle_{abc}).$$

The density matrix here is given by the matrix  $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ . Also we chose the convention that the state a is identified as the ring variable a, state b for the ring variable b, and state c for the ring variable c.

Firstly we have to find out if all the wavefunctions are in entangled initially or not. This will tell us if the polynomial has any three variable term or not. To do this we use the PPT test (positive partial transpose) with respect to each subsystem. We denote the partial transpose with respect to subsystem a as  $\rho^{T_a}$ . Here we present the partial

1

transpose with respect to each subsystem.

The set of eigenvalues of this matrix is -0.433, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.25, 0.433, 0.75, one of which is negative.

The set of eigenvalues of this matrix is -0.354, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.146, 0.354, 0.854, one of which is negative.

This matrix is the same as the matrix  $\rho^{T_a}$ . As partial transpose of all the subsystem has negative eigenvalues we can say that all the subsystems are entangled with each other. So there exists a **tripartite entanglement**.

Now we want to see if the system remains entangled after tracing out with respect to each subsystem or not. Here the reduced density matrix is denoted as  $\rho_{ab}$  when the system c is traced out, similarly we have reduced density matrix  $\rho_{bc}$ , and  $\rho_{ac}$ . To see if this reduced density matrices are separable or entangled, we again use PPT test. Here as the system size is  $2 \times 2$ , presence of at least one negative eigenvalue will imply entanglement between the subsystems, but also if none of the eigenvalues are negative then the systems are separable. The last statement is only true for  $2 \times 2$  and  $2 \times 3$  systems.

The reduced density matrices,  $\rho_{bc}$ ,  $\rho_{ab}$ ,  $\rho_{ac}$  are the following:

$$\rho_{bc} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.25 & 0 & 0.25 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.25 & 0 & 0.5 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.25 & 0.25 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\rho_{ac} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0 \\ 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0 \\ 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\rho_{ab} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0.25 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Partial transpose of  $\rho_{bc}$  with respect to subsystem b is  $\rho_{bc}^{T_b}$ .

$$\rho_{bc}^{T_b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.25 & 0 & 0.25 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.25 & 0 & 0.5 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.25 & 0.25 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This has all positive eigenvalues: 0.0, 0.0, 0.25, 0.75. So, the subsystem b and c are separated after a is traced out. Now, partial transpose of  $\rho_{ac}$  with respect to the subsystem a is  $\rho_{ac}^{T_a}$  and it is

$$\rho_{ac}^{T_a} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 \\ 0.25 & 0.25 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.25 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0.25 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix are -0.233, 0.121, 0.379, 0.733, one of which is negative. So after tracing out subsystem b, the subsystem a and c remains entangled. Partial transpose of  $\rho_{ab}$  with respect to the subsystem a is the following,

$$\rho_{ab}^{T_a} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0.25 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.25 & 0.25 \\ 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 & 0.25 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of this matrix are -0.233, 0.121, 0.379, 0.733, one of which is negative, so after tracing out c, the subsystem a and b remains entangled. So to summarize, we want to a 3 variable polynomial with the following property:

- If we put a = 0, the polynomial is zero.
- If we put b = 0, the polynomial is just ac, corresponding to the entanglement of a and c after tracing out b.
- If we put c=0, the polynomial is bc, as tracing out c, gives an entangled state of b and c.

From these information we can say that the polynomial corresponding to this state is ac + bc. This corresponds to the link class  $3^3$ .

## 3. Density Matrix Formalism

If a Hilbert space is given, then any wavefunction is given by an element of the Hilbert space. For isolated system, any quantum state is given by these elements of the Hilbert space. This kind of states are also called pure state. As the whole quantum state is given by one state vector from the Hilbert space.

But in general, it can be possible that the system is not isolated and connected to an environment. In that case even if we are interested in the wavefunction corresponds to the Hilbert space of the system, the effect of environment makes a difference. In this case, the actual Hilbert space that we should consider should be the tensor product of the Hilbert space of the system and the environment. So any state should corresponds to both the

Hilbert space of environment and the system. But we are only interested in the state of the system. So it might be possible that we do not get any pure state but an incoherent superposition of pure states. This is called a mixed state. Mixed states are ensembles of pure states. And each of the states have a probabilty corresponding to it. These states can not be represented as a state vector from the Hilbert space.

To tackle this problem of representing mixed state, we introduce the formalism of density matrix. First we define density matrix for a pure state. Let  $|\psi\rangle$  is an state vector from the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then we define the density matrix corresponding to this state as  $\rho = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$ . Note that, for pure state  $\rho^2 = \rho$ , this is an alternate definition of pure state. We can also see that this formalism is gauge invariant, as in quantum mechanics any vector if multiplied with a U(1) phase represents the same phase, but in density matrix formalism density matrix remains same. Trace of density matrix is always one. it corresponds to probability conservation. Assume an ensemble of pure state  $\{\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots\}$  are given where  $\psi_i$  has probability  $\lambda_i$ , then the density corresponding to this mixed state is given by

$$\rho = \lambda_1 |\psi_1\rangle \langle \psi_1| + \lambda_2 |\psi_2\rangle \langle \psi_2| + \dots$$

Here also, we see that trace of the density matrix is 1. Mathematically, we can define density matrix as a trace class operator on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with trace 1.

Let B is observable corresponds to the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . The expectation of this observable is given by  $\text{Tr}\{B\rho\}$ . The proof follows as following,

$$\begin{split} \langle B \rangle &= \sum_{j} \lambda_{j} \left\langle \psi_{j} \right| B \left| \psi_{j} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \lambda_{j} \left\langle \psi_{j} \right| B \left| \psi_{k} \right\rangle \left\langle \psi_{k} \right| \psi_{j} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \left\langle \psi_{j} \right| B \lambda_{j} \left| \psi_{k} \right\rangle \left\langle \psi_{k} \right| \psi_{j} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \left\langle \psi_{j} \right| B \lambda_{k} \left| \psi_{k} \right\rangle \left\langle \psi_{k} \right| \psi_{j} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j} \left\langle \psi_{j} \right| B \rho \left| \psi_{j} \right\rangle \\ &= \operatorname{Tr} \{ B \rho \} \end{split}$$

# 4. Peres-Horodecki criterion

The Peres–Horodecki criterion is a necessary condition, for the joint density matrix  $\rho$  of two quantum mechanical system A and B, to be separable. It is also called the PPT criterion, for positive partial transpose. In the  $2 \times 2$  and  $2 \times 3$  dimensional cases the condition is also sufficient. It is used to decide the separability of mixed states, where the Schmidt decomposition does not apply. The theorem was discovered in 1996 by Asher Peres and the Horodecki family.

In higher dimensions, the test is inconclusive, and one should supplement it with more advanced tests, such as those based on entanglement witnesses.

Suppose, we have a general state given by a density matrix  $\rho$  in a joint Hilbert state  $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ . Let the  $\rho$  is denoted as,

$$\rho = \sum_{ijkl} p_{kl}^{ij} |i\rangle \langle i| \otimes |k\rangle \langle l|.$$

5

Here, i, j represents the basis elements from  $\mathcal{H}_A$  and k, l represents the basis elements from  $\mathcal{H}_B$ , and  $\otimes$  denote the Kronecker product. Now, partial transpose with respect to B is given as

$$\rho^{T_B} = \sum_{ijkl} p_{kl}^{ij} \left| i \right\rangle \left\langle i \right| \otimes (\left| k \right\rangle \left\langle l \right|)^T = \sum_{ijkl} p_{kl}^{ij} \left| i \right\rangle \left\langle i \right| \otimes \left| l \right\rangle \left\langle k \right|.$$

Now, Peres-Horodecki criterion says that if  $\rho$  is separable then all the eigenvalues of the partial transpose of  $\rho$  is non-negative. So, this means if any of them are negative, then the state is not separable so entangled. The converse of this is not true in general, but in  $2 \times 2$  and  $2 \times 3$  the converse is also true.

## 5. Application to four quibit system

Consider the link class  $4^{20}$ . The polynomial is abc + abd + ac. The wavefunction corresponding to it is  $|\psi_{20}\rangle = \left|3^{1}\right\rangle_{abc}|0\rangle_{d}|0\rangle_{e} + \left|3^{1}\right\rangle_{abd}|0\rangle_{c}|1\rangle_{e} + \left|2^{1}\right\rangle_{ac}|10\rangle_{bd}|2\rangle_{e}$ . The density function corresponding to it is

$$\hat{\rho}_{abcd} = \frac{\operatorname{Tr}_e(\langle \psi_{20} | \psi_{20} \rangle)}{\sqrt{|\psi_{20}\rangle \langle \psi_{20}|}}.$$

The density matrix becomes

Partial trace with respect to the subsystem a is  $\hat{\rho}_{abcd}^{T_a}$ . This matrix is,

Eigenvalue of the density matrix is -0.270, -0.103, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.103, 0.167, 0.167, 0.270, 0.333, 0.333. It has negative eigenvalue. So, the qubit a and the subsystem bcd is entangled. Now we compute partial transpose with respect to b subsystem. So, partial trace  $\hat{\rho}_{abcd}^{T_b}$  is given by the matrix

Eigenvalues of the density matrix is -0.186, -0.000, -0.000, 0.000

transpose with respect to c is  $\hat{\rho}_{abcd}^{T_c}$ . The matrix is given by,

The eigenvalues of the matrix is -0.236, -0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.004, 0.167, 0.236, 0.333, 0.436. We see that it has negative eigenvalue. So, the subsystem c and abd are entangled. At last, we want to check if the subsystem d and abc is entangled or not. The partial trace is  $\hat{\rho}_{abcd}^{T_d}$ , the matrix is given by,

The eigenvalues of the matrix are -0.167, 0.000, 0.

is entangled or separable. Partial trace with respect to system a gives the reduced density matrix  $\hat{\rho}_{bcd}$ , given by

As this is a diagonal matrix, after tracing out the subsystems become separable. Partial trace with respect to system b gives us the reduced density matrix  $\hat{\rho}_{acd}$  given by,

Now partial transpose with respect to the subsystem a gives us

Its eigenvalues are -0.167, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.167, 0.167, 0.333, 0.500. The presence of the negative eigenvalue suggest that the subsystem a and cd is entangled. Now, partial transpose with respect to c gives us

The eigenvalues of the matrix are same as before -0.167, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.167, 0.167, 0.333, 0.500. So, c and ad is entangled. Now we see that the partial trace with respect to d is

Eigenvalues are 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.000, 0.167, 0.230, 0.603. It might be possible that the d and ac are separable. Eigenvalues of  $\hat{\rho}_{acd}$  are

For each of this eigenstates  $|v_i\rangle$  we should compute the matrix  $\rho^i = |v_i\rangle \langle v_i|$  and then check if after tracing out d we get a pure density matrix or not. If all of them are pure then we can decompose the each  $|v_i\rangle$  as  $|v_i'\rangle_{ac}\otimes |v_i''\rangle_d$ . For the first 6 eigenvectors it is true as their tensor product with itself produces diagonal matrix. For the 7th vector,

Partial trace with respect to d gives us,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.276393202250021 & 0 & 0 & -0.447213595499958 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.447213595499958 & 0 & 0 & 0.723606797749979 \end{bmatrix}$$

I am stuck here, I have to check if this matrix is pure or not, but I think it needs to be done exactly not in computer. One of the things we can do is to check if this matrix has only one eigenvalue and one eigenvector or not.

Partial trace with respect to system b gives us the reduced density matrix  $\hat{\rho}_{abd}$  given by,

Partial trace with respect to system b gives us the reduced density matrix  $\hat{\rho}_{abd}$  given by,

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, IISERK Email address: sk22ms163@iiserkol.ac.in