

# Discrete Mathematics Recitation Class

Tianyu Qiu

University of Michigan - Shanghai Jiaotong University

Joint Institute

Summer Term 2020

# Contents

## The Natural Numbers

## Propositional Logic

- Logic Operations

- Equivalence

- Arguments

## Predicate Logic

- Predicates

- Logical Quantifiers

## Naive Set Theory

- Sets

- Operations on Sets

- Ordered Pairs

- Problems in Naive Set Theory

## Exercises

# The Natural Numbers (P4)

## Definitions

1. *greater than (or equal to)*
2. *exact division*
3. *odd & even*
4. *prime*

## Question

Prove that every natural number is either even or odd and not both.

## Uniqueness of Odevity (P4)

Proof.

Suppose that  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

1. prove that  
*if  $n$  is odd,  $n$  cannot be even*
2. prove that  
*if  $n$  is even,  $n$  cannot be odd*
3. prove that  $n$  cannot be  
*neither odd nor even (Do  
not forget!)*



Proof.

Suppose that  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

1. prove that  
*if  $n$  is not odd,  $n$  must be even*
2. prove that  
*if  $n$  is not even,  $n$  must be odd*
3. prove that  $n$  cannot be  
*both odd and even (Do  
not forget!)*



# Propositions

## Definition (P2)

- ▶ Declarative
- ▶ One can tell true (T) or false (F) immediately

## Logic operations

- ▶ unary operation:  $\neg$ (negation)
- ▶ binary operations:  $\vee$ (disjunction),  $\wedge$ (conjunction),  
 $\Rightarrow$ (implication),  $\Leftrightarrow$ (biconditional/equivalence)



# Negation (P7)

**Definition** (by truth table)

Suppose  $A$  is a proposition:

$A$	$\neg A$
T	F
F	T



# Conjunction (P8)

**Definition** (by truth table)

Suppose  $A, B$  are propositions:

$A$	$B$	$A \wedge B$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F



# Disjunction (P9)

**Definition** (by truth table)

Suppose  $A, B$  are propositions:

$A$	$B$	$A \vee B$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F





# Implication (P11)

**Definition** (by truth table)

Suppose  $A, B$  are propositions:

$A$	$B$	$A \Rightarrow B$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

# Biconditional (P13)

**Definition** (by truth table)

Suppose  $A, B$  are propositions:

$A$	$B$	$A \Leftrightarrow B$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

# Precedence of Logical Operators (DMA P11)

**TABLE 8**  
**Precedence of**  
**Logical Operators.**

<i>Operator</i>	<i>Precedence</i>
$\neg$	1
$\wedge$	2
$\vee$	3
$\rightarrow$	4
$\leftrightarrow$	5



# Logical Equivalence

## Definitions

1. *tautology* (P10)

A compound expression that is always true.

2. *contradiction* (P10)

A compound expression that is always false.

3. *logical equivalence* (P14)

The biconditional of two propositions is tautology.



# Logical Equivalence Examples

## 1. De Morgan Rules (P14)

$$\neg(A \vee B) \equiv (\neg A) \wedge (\neg B) \quad \neg(A \wedge B) \equiv (\neg A) \vee (\neg B)$$

## 2. Contraposition (P15)

A	B	$\neg A$	$\neg B$	$A \Rightarrow B$	$\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A$	$A \Rightarrow B \Leftrightarrow \neg B \Rightarrow \neg A$
T	T	F	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

## 3. Other Logical Equivalences (P18-P20)



# Tips for Logic Operations

## Usages

1. Express some operation with other operations  
**e.g.** Prove that  $\neg(A \Rightarrow B)$  is equivalent to  $A \wedge \neg B$
2. Prove tautologies/contradictions without truth tables  
**e.g.** Prove that  $(A \wedge B) \Rightarrow (A \vee B)$  is a tautology.

## Comparison

- ▶ Truth table
  1. High efficiency for no more than 2 propositional variables
  2. Low efficiency when it comes to 3 or more propositional variables
- ▶ Logical equivalence transformation
  1. Practice & familiarity required



# Arguments

## Definitions (P27)

1. *arguments*
2. *premise*
3. *conclusion*

## Rules of Inference (P34-P38)

1. Hypothetical Syllogisms
2. Disjunctive and Conjunctive Syllogisms
3. Simple Arguments



# Validity & Soundness (P39)

## Definitions

1. *validity*: True premises leads to true conclusion. (Conclusion does not need to be true if premises are not true.)
2. *soundness*: All premises are true. (Only deals with truth of premises.)

e.g. Example 1.22 (P39)

When deal with practical proving questions

1. Valid & Sound
2. Contraposition





# Predicate

For any natural number  $n$ ,

Quantifier

$n^3 > 10$ .

Predicate

## Definitions

1. *predicate*: Declarative sentence involving variables.
2. *arity*: number of distinct variables in the predicate.

Difference between Predicates and Propositions:

e.g.

- ▶ " $x > 5$ " is not a proposition. (we cannot tell the true or false immediately because the value of  $x$  is unknown)
- ▶ " $x + y < 5$ " is a binary predicate.



# Predicate

predicate logic {  
  basic predicate variables :  $A, B, P, Q, \dots$   
  variables :  $x, y, z, \dots$   
  constants :  $a, b, c, \dots$   
  domain of discourse (domain)

Predicates  $\xrightarrow[\text{replace variables by constants}]{\text{bound with quantifiers}}$  Statements



# Logical Quantifiers

## Definitions (P22)

1.  $\forall$ : for all...
2.  $\exists$ : there exists...

## Hanging Quantifiers (P23)

Appearing at the back is equivalent to appearing just before the expression.

## Contraposition of Quantifiers (P24)

$$\neg((\exists x \in M)A(x)) \equiv (\forall x \in M)\neg A(x)$$

$$\neg((\forall x \in M)A(x)) \equiv (\exists x \in M)\neg A(x)$$

Passing negation symbol in quantifier statements from the very left to the very right. (P28)



# Vacuous Truth (P25)

## Definition

- ▶ Apply only for universal quantifier  $\forall$
- ▶ Domain  $M = \emptyset$
- ▶ Regardless of  $A(x)$  being true or false

A universal statement is true unless there is a counterexample to prove it false.



# Nesting Quantifiers (DMA P60)

**TABLE 1** Quantifications of Two Variables.

<i>Statement</i>	<i>When True?</i>	<i>When False?</i>
$\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$ $\forall y \forall x P(x, y)$	$P(x, y)$ is true for every pair $x, y$ .	There is a pair $x, y$ for which $P(x, y)$ is false.
$\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$	For every $x$ there is a $y$ for which $P(x, y)$ is true.	There is an $x$ such that $P(x, y)$ is false for every $y$ .
$\exists x \forall y P(x, y)$	There is an $x$ for which $P(x, y)$ is true for every $y$ .	For every $x$ there is a $y$ for which $P(x, y)$ is false.
$\exists x \exists y P(x, y)$ $\exists y \exists x P(x, y)$	There is a pair $x, y$ for which $P(x, y)$ is true.	$P(x, y)$ is false for every pair $x, y$ .



# Rules of Inference

- ▶
  1. Universal Instantiation
  2. Universal Generalization
  3. Existential Instantiation
  4. Existential Generalization
- ▶ All other rules of inference that are valid in propositional logic



# Proof by Contradiction

Recall the definition of validity of arguments: If all premises are true, then the conclusion is also true.

## Question

Prove that there are infinitely many prime numbers.



## Proof.

Suppose that there are not infinitely many primes. Therefore there must be finitely many primes:  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_n$  and hence a largest prime  $p_n$ . Let

$$m = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_i + 1$$

By our assumption,  $m$  is not prime. So there exists  $p_j$  such that  $p_j | m$ , i.e.  $m = p_j k$  for some integer  $k$ . But now,

$$1 = p_j \left( k - \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} p_i \right)$$

which shows that  $p_j | 1$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore, we can conclude that there are infinitely many primes. □



# Sets

## Definition (P48,P51)

- ▶ collection of objects
- ▶ ignore order
- ▶ ignore repetitions

Express Sets with Predicates:  $X = \{x|P(x)\}$  i.e.  $x \in X$  iff  $P(x)$

e.g.

Suppose  $A = \{x|A(x)\}$ ,  $B = \{x|B(x)\}$ ,  $C$  are sets,

- ▶  $\forall x \in A(A(x) \wedge B(x)) \Leftrightarrow A \subseteq B \Leftrightarrow (A(x) \Rightarrow B(x))$
- ▶  $\exists x \in A(A(x) \wedge B(x)) \Leftrightarrow A \cap B \neq \emptyset$
- ▶  $C = \{x|\neg A(x)\} = A^c$

The examples above may help you understand the relation between sets and predicates better.

# Sets

## Definitions

1. *empty set* (P49)
  2. *equality of two sets* (P50)
  3. *(proper) subset* (P50)
  4. *cardinality* (P52)
  5. *powerset* (P52)
- ▶ Empty set ( $\emptyset$ ) is subset of any set.
  - ▶  $A \subseteq X \equiv A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$
  - ▶ For any finite set  $X$ ,  $|\mathcal{P}(X)| = 2^{|X|}$

**e.g.**

Let  $A = \{\emptyset, \{\{\emptyset\}\}\}$ ,  $B = \{\emptyset\}$  and  $C = \{\{\emptyset\}\}$ .  $B \subseteq A$ , but  $B \notin A$ , and  $C \in A$ , but  $C \not\subseteq A$ .

# Operations on Sets (P53-P54)

## Definitions

1. *union*
2. *intersection*
3. *difference*
4. *complement*
5. *disjoint*

## Complex Operations on Sets

Suppose  $A, B, C$  are sets,

- ▶  $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$
- ▶  $A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$
- ▶  $(A \cup B) \setminus C = (A \setminus C) \cup (B \setminus C)$
- ▶  $(A \cap B) \setminus C = (A \setminus C) \cap (B \setminus C)$
- ▶  $A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus C) \cap (B \setminus C)$
- ▶  $A \setminus (B \cap C) = (A \setminus C) \cup (B \setminus C)$
- ▶  $A \setminus B = B^c \cap A$
- ▶  $(A \setminus B)^c = A^c \cup B$

Use Venn Diagrams to help understand.

# Operations on Sets (P55)

**e.g.** For a finite number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  of sets  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n$ :

$$\bigcup_{k=0}^n A_k := A_0 \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$$

$$\bigcap_{k=0}^n A_k := A_0 \cap A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n$$

If  $n$  extends to  $\infty$ ,

$$x \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k :\Leftrightarrow \exists_{k \in \mathbb{N}} x \in A_k$$

$$x \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k :\Leftrightarrow \forall_{k \in \mathbb{N}} x \in A_k$$

# Ordered Pairs & Cartesian Products (P57-P58)

## Definitions

1.  $(a, b) := \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$
2.  $(a, b) = (c, d) \Leftrightarrow (a = c) \wedge (b = d)$
3. Cartesian product of two sets  $A \times B := \{(a, b) | a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$ .
4. ordered  $n$ -tuple &  $n$ -fold Cartesian product

## Question

How to express ordered  $n$ -tuple with sets?

## Paradoxes (P59-P63)

- ▶ Epimenides Paradox
- ▶ Barber Paradox (Russell's Paradox)

Russell's Paradox:

### Theorem

*The set of all sets that are not members of themselves is not a set.  
i.e.*

$$R := \{x \mid x \notin x\} \text{ is not a set.}$$

### Proof.

The proof is by contradiction. Suppose that  $R$  is a set. If  $R \in R$ , then  $R \notin R$  by the definition of  $R$ , which is a contradiction. If  $R \notin R$ , then  $R \in R$  by the definition of  $R$ , which is also a contradiction.



## Exercises

1. Determine whether  $(\neg p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg q$  is a tautology.
2. Determine whether  $(\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg p$  is a tautology.
3. Show that  $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$  is a tautology.
4. Show that  $(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$  is a tautology.