

# Topic 13

## Arithmetic Components

# Components to be discussed

- Arithmetic and logic unit (ALU)
- Carry lookahead adder
- Incrementer
- Magnitude comparator
- Multiplier

# Arithmetic-Logic Unit: ALU

- **ALU**: Component that can perform any of various arithmetic (add, subtract, increment, etc.) and logic (AND, OR, etc.) operations, based on control inputs
- Key component in computer

TABLE 4.2 Desired calculator operations


Inputs			Operation	Sample output if A=00001111, B=00000101
x	y	z		
0	0	0	$S = A + B$	S=00010100
0	0	1	$S = A - B$	S=00001010
0	1	0	$S = A + 1$	S=00010000
0	1	1	$S = A$	S=00001111
1	0	0	$S = A \text{ AND } B$ (bitwise AND)	S=00000101
1	0	1	$S = A \text{ OR } B$ (bitwise OR)	S=00001111
1	1	0	$S = A \text{ XOR } B$ (bitwise XOR)	S=00001010
1	1	1	$S = \text{NOT } A$ (bitwise complement)	S=11110000

# Multifunction Calculator with an ALU

- ALU functions selected by a mux
  - But too many wires
  - Wasted power computing all those operations when at any time you only use one of the results

TABLE 4.2 Desired calculator operations

Inputs			Operation	Sample output if A=00001111, B=00000101
x	y	z		
0	0	0	$S = A + B$	S=00010100
0	0	1	$S = A - B$	S=00001010
0	1	0	$S = A + 1$	S=00010000
0	1	1	$S = A$	S=00001111
1	0	0	$S = A \text{ AND } B$ (bitwise AND)	S=00000101
1	0	1	$S = A \text{ OR } B$ (bitwise OR)	S=00001111
1	1	0	$S = A \text{ XOR } B$ (bitwise XOR)	S=00001010
1	1	1	$S = \text{NOT } A$ (bitwise complement)	S=11110000





# Carry-Ripple Adder


- Carry-ripple adder

- 4-bit adder: Adds two 4-bit numbers, generates 5-bit output
  - 5-bit output can be considered 4-bit “sum” plus 1-bit “carry out”
- Can easily build any size adder


carries:	<b>c3</b>	<b>c2</b>	<b>c1</b>	cin	
B:	b3	b2	b1	b0	
A:	+	a3	a2	a1	a0
	<hr/>				
<b>cout</b>	s3	s2	s1	s0	



# Carry-Ripple Adder's Behavior



Assume all inputs initially 0




**0111+0001**  
(answer should be 01000)

Output after 2 ns (1 FA delay)


Wrong answer -- something wrong? No -- just need more time for carry to ripple through the chain of full adders.

# Carry-Ripple Adder's Behavior




**0111+0001**  
(answer should be 01000)

Outputs after 4ns (2 FA delays)



Outputs after 6ns (3 FA delays)





Output after 8ns (4 FA delays)

Correct answer appears after 4 FA delays


# Faster Adder

- Faster adder – Use two-level combinational logic design process
  - 4-bit two-level adder is big
    - 9 input and 5 output combination circuit
  - Pro: Fast
    - 2 gate-level delays
  - Con: Large
    - Truth table would have  $2^{(4+4+1)} = 512$  rows
    - Plot shows 4-bit adder would use about 500 gates





# Recall Full Adder



A	B	C	Sum	Carry
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	0
0	1	0	1	0
0	1	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	1
1	1	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	1

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Sum} &= A'B'C + A'BC' + AB'C' + ABC \\ &= \Sigma m(1, 2, 4, 7)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Carry} &= A'BC + AB'C + ABC' + ABC \\ &= \Sigma m(3, 5, 6, 7)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Sum} &= A'B'C + A'BC' + AB'C' + ABC \\ &= A'(B'C + BC') + A(B'C' + BC) \\ &= A'(B \oplus C) + A(B \oplus C)' \\ &\quad (\text{let } B \oplus C = D) \\ &= A'D + AD' \\ &= A \oplus D \\ &= \mathbf{A \oplus B \oplus C}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Carry} &= A'BC + AB'C + ABC' + ABC \\ &= A'BC + AB'C + AB(C' + C) \\ &= A'BC + AB'C + AB \\ &= (A'C + A)B + A(B'C + B) \\ &= (C + A)B + A(C + B) \\ &= CB + AB + AC + AB \\ &= \mathbf{AB + AC + BC} \\ &= (A'B + AB')C + AB(C' + C) \\ &= \mathbf{(A \oplus B)C + AB}\end{aligned}$$

# Faster Adder – Intuitive Attempt at “Lookahead”

- Produce carries directly

$$c_1 = a_0b_0 + a_0c_0 + b_0c_0$$

$$c_2 = a_1b_1 + a_1c_1 + b_1c_1$$

$$= a_1b_1 + a_1(a_0b_0 + a_0c_0 + b_0c_0) + b_1(a_0b_0 + a_0c_0 + b_0c_0)$$

$$c_3 = a_2b_2 + a_2c_2 + b_2c_2$$

$$= \dots\dots \text{(replace } c_2 \text{)}$$


$$c_4 = a_3b_3 + a_3c_3 + b_3c_3$$

$$= \dots\dots \text{(replace } c_3 \text{)}$$

- Carry outputs of all FAs are represented with  $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3,$   
 $b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3,$  and  $c_0$

# Faster Adder – Intuitive Attempt at “Lookahead”


- Idea: Modify carry-ripple adder
  - don't wait for carry to ripple, but rather *directly compute* from inputs of earlier stages
  - Called “**lookahead**” because current stage “looks ahead” at previous stages



Notice – no rippling of carry

# Faster Adder – Intuitive Attempt at “Lookahead”

- Carry lookahead logic
  - No waiting for ripple
  - 2-layer SOP logic
- Problem
  - Equations get too big
  - Not efficient
  - Need a better form of lookahead



$$c1 = b0c0 + a0c0 + a0b0$$

$$c2 = b1b0c0 + b1a0c0 + b1a0b0 + a1b0c0 + a1a0c0 + a1a0b0 + a1b1$$


$$c3 = b2b1b0c0 + b2b1a0c0 + b2b1a0b0 + b2a1b0c0 + b2a1a0c0 + b2a1a0b0 + b2a1b1 + a2b1b0c0 + a2b1a0c0 + a2b1a0b0 + a2a1b0c0 + a2a1a0c0 + a2a1a0b0 + a2a1b1 + a2b2$$

Huge number of gates

# Better Form of Lookahead

- Recall Full Adder, another equation for carry  
Carry =  $ab + (a \oplus b)c$
- Define two terms
  - **Propagate:**  $P = a \oplus b$
  - **Generate:**  $G = ab$
- Compute lookahead carries from  $P$  and  $G$  terms, *not from external inputs*
  - $C_{out} = G + Pc$ 
    - $c_1 = a_0b_0 + (a_0 \oplus b_0)c_0 = G_0 + P_0c_0$
    - $c_2 = a_1b_1 + (a_1 \oplus b_1)c_1 = G_1 + P_1c_1$
    - $c_3 = a_2b_2 + (a_2 \oplus b_2)c_2 = G_2 + P_2c_2$

# Better Form of Lookahead



After plugging in:

$$c_1 = G_0 + P_0c_0$$

$$c_2 = G_1 + P_1c_1 = G_1 + P_1(G_0 + P_0c_0)$$

$$c_2 = G_1 + P_1G_0 + P_1P_0c_0$$


$$c_3 = G_2 + P_2c_2 = G_2 + P_2(G_1 + P_1G_0 + P_1P_0c_0)$$

$$c_3 = G_2 + P_2G_1 + P_2P_1G_0 + P_2P_1P_0c_0$$


$$c_{out} = G_3 + P_3G_2 + P_3P_2G_1 + P_3P_2P_1G_0 + P_3P_2P_1P_0c_0$$

Much simpler than the intuitive lookahead

# Better Form of Lookahead (cont.)




# Better Form of Lookahead (cont.)



- With  $P$  &  $G$ , sum outputs are
  - $s_0 = P_0 \oplus c_{in}$
  - $s_1 = P_1 \oplus c_0$
  - $s_2 = P_2 \oplus c_1$
  - $s_3 = P_3 \oplus c_2$




# Carry-Lookahead Adder -- High-Level View



- Fast -- only 4 gate level delays
  - Each stage has SPG block with 2 gate levels
  - Carry-lookahead logic quickly computes the carry from the propagate and generate bits using 2 gate levels inside
- Reasonable number of gates
- Nice balance between intuitive lookahead and pure combinational logic


# Cascading Adders

- Example: construct an 8-bit adder with two 4-bit adders




# Cascading Adders to CLA Addres

- Example: construct an 16-bit adder with four 4-bit adders



# Carry-Lookahead Adder -- High-Level View

- Adding two more outputs: P, G




$$P = P_3P_2P_1P_0$$


$$G = G_3 + P_3G_2 + P_3P_2G_1 + P_3P_2P_1G_0$$

# Cascading Adders to CLA Addres

- Example: construct an 16-bit adder with four 4-bit adders



Again, carries cause propagation delay



$$P = P_3P_2P_1P_0$$

$$G = G_3 + P_3G_2 + P_3P_2G_1 + P_3P_2P_1G_0$$


$$C_1 = G_0 + P_0C_0$$

$$C_2 = G_1 + P_1C_1 = G_1 + P_1G_0 + P_1P_0C_0$$


$$\dots\dots$$

# CLA Adders

- Multi-level CLA structure




# CLA Adders



# Adder Example: DIP-Switch-Based Adding Calculator

- To prevent spurious values from appearing at output, can place register at output







# Incrementer

- Traditional design procedure
  - Capture truth table
  - Derive equation for each output
    - $c0 = a3a2a1a0$
    - ...
    - $s0 = a0'$
  - Results in small and fast circuit
  - Works for small operand
    - larger operand leads to exponential growth, like for N-bit adder

Inputs				Outputs				
a3	a2	a1	a0	c0	s3	s2	s1	s0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1
1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0
1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0
1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0

# Incrementer



- Alternative incrementer design
  - Could use N-bit adder with one of the inputs set to 1
  - Use half-adders (adds two bits) rather than full-adders (adds three bits)
  - Slower but simpler



# Comparators

- ***N-bit equality comparator***: Outputs 1 if two N-bit numbers are equal
- Example: 4-bit equality comparator with inputs A and B
  - Approach 1: combinational design procedure
  - Approach 2: recall functionality of XOR and XNOR

0110 = 0111 ?



# Magnitude Comparator

- ***N-bit magnitude comparator:***
  - Indicates whether  $A > B$ ,  $A = B$ , or  $A < B$ , for its two N-bit inputs A and B
  - Design approach 1: combinational design procedure
  - Design approach 2: Consider how compare by hand.

A=1011 B=1001

1011    1001 Equal



1011    1001 Equal

1011    1001 Unequal


**So  $A > B$**

# Magnitude Comparator

- By-hand example leads to idea for design
  - Start at left, compare each bit pair, pass results to the right
  - Each stage has 3 inputs indicating results of higher stage, passes results to lower stage



# Magnitude Comparator





- Each stage:
  - $out\_gt = in\_gt + (in\_eq * a * b')$ 
    - $A > B$  (so far) if already determined in higher stage, or if higher stages equal but in this stage  $a=1$  and  $b=0$
  - $out\_lt = in\_lt + (in\_eq * a' * b)$ 
    - $A < B$  (so far) if already determined in higher stage, or if higher stages equal but in this stage  $a=0$  and  $b=1$
  - $out\_eq = in\_eq * (a \text{ XNOR } b)$ 
    - $A = B$  (so far) if already determined in higher stage and in this stage  $a=b$  too
  - Simple circuit inside each stage, just a few gates (not shown)

# Magnitude Comparator


- How does it work?

$$1011 = 1001 ?$$




# Magnitude Comparator

1011 = 1001 ?




- Final answer appears on the right
- Takes time for answer to “ripple” from left to right
- Thus called “carry-ripple style” even though there’s no “carry” involved





# Magnitude Comparator Example: Minimum of Two Numbers

- Design a combinational component that finds the minimum of two 8-bit numbers
  - Solution: Use 8-bit magnitude comparator and 8-bit 2x1 mux
    - If  $A < B$ , pass A through mux. Else, pass B.



What if inputs are 2's complement???

# Multiplier

- Can build multiplier that mimics multiplication by hand
  - Notice that multiplying multiplicand by 1 is same as ANDing with 1

0110	(the top number is called the <i>multiplicand</i> )
0011	(the bottom number is called the <i>multiplier</i> )
----	(each row below is called a <i>partial product</i> )
0110	(because the rightmost bit of the multiplier is 1, and $0110 * 1 = 0110$ )
0110	(because the second bit of the multiplier is 1, and $0110 * 1 = 0110$ )
0000	(because the third bit of the multiplier is 0, and $0110 * 0 = 0000$ )
+0000	(because the leftmost bit of the multiplier is 0, and $0110 * 0 = 0000$ )
-----	
00010010	(the <i>product</i> is the sum of all the partial products: 18, which is $6 * 3$ )


# Multiplier – Array Style

- Generalized representation of multiplication by hand

$$\begin{array}{rcccccccc}
 & & & a_3 & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & & \\
 & & & \times b_3 & b_2 & b_1 & b_0 & & \\
 \hline
 & & & & b_0a_3 & b_0a_2 & b_0a_1 & b_0a_0 & (pp1) \\
 & & & & b_1a_3 & b_1a_2 & b_1a_1 & b_1a_0 & 0 & (pp2) \\
 & & & & b_2a_3 & b_2a_2 & b_2a_1 & b_2a_0 & 0 & 0 & (pp3) \\
 + & b_3a_3 & b_3a_2 & b_3a_1 & b_3a_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (pp4) \\
 \hline
 p_7 & p_6 & p_5 & p_4 & p_3 & p_2 & p_1 & p_0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

# Multiplier – Array Style

- Multiplier design – array of AND gates




# Smaller Multiplier -- Sequential (Add-and-Shift) Style

- Add-and-Shift
  - Don't compute all partial products simultaneously
  - Rather, compute one at a time (similar to by hand), maintain a *running sum*

	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4
	0 1 1 0	0 1 1 0	0 1 1 0	0 1 1 0
	* 0 0 1 <b>1</b>	* 0 0 <b>1</b> 1	* 0 <b>0</b> 1 1	* <b>0</b> 0 1 1
(running sum)	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0 0 1 0
(partial product)	+ 0 1 1 0	+ 0 1 1 0	+ 0 0 0 0	+ 0 0 0 0
(new running sum)	0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0

# Smaller Multiplier -- Sequential (Add-and-Shift) Style

- Design circuit that computes one partial product at a time, adds to running sum
  - Note that **shifting** running sum right (relative to partial product) after each step ensures partial product added to correct running sum bits



Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4	
0 1 1 0	0 1 1 0	0 1 1 0	0 1 1 0	
+ 0 0 1 1	+ 0 0 1 1	+ 0 0 1 1	+ 0 0 1 1	
0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0 0 1 0	(running sum)
+ 0 1 1 0	+ 0 1 1 0	+ 0 0 0 0	+ 0 0 0 0	(partial product)
0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0	(new running sum)

What about carry?

What if 2's complement?

# Smaller Multiplier – Controller Design

